Ascending marine particles: Significance of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in the upper ocean
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Abstract
The high abundance of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in marine and freshwater greatly affects particle dynamics. TEP act as glue for colliding particles and form the matrix in aggregates, thereby altering aggregation dynamics. We studied the sinking behavior of freshly produced, particle-free TEP and of aggregates composed of TEP and latex spheres in a laboratory using water collected from Santa Barbara Channel, California. Particle-free TEP ascend and accumulate in the surface layer of a settling column at an average velocity of $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm s}^{-1}$. The estimated density of TEP ranges from 0.70 to 0.84 g cm$^{-3}$. TEP also transported latex spheres of 45.6 and 1.82 $\mu$m in diameter and a density of 1.05 g cm$^{-3}$ to the surface layer. We describe a simple model illustrating the role of TEP for the vertical transport of solid particles. The densities and relative proportions of TEP, solid particles, and interstitial water within an aggregate determine its sinking or ascending velocity. High ratios of TEP to solid particles retard the sinking of aggregates, prolonging their residence time in the surface ocean. Our results demonstrate that TEP can provide a vehicle for the upward flux of biological and chemical components in the marine environment, including bacteria, phytoplankton, carbon, and reactive trace elements.

Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) are important for many aspects of particle dynamics in aquatic systems. This stems from their central role in coagulation (Passow et al. 1994; Jackson 1995; Logan et al. 1995) and sedimentation of particles (Passow et al. 2001). TEP are formed abiotically from dissolved precursors released by phytoplankton and bacteria (Passow 2000) and consist predominantly of surface-active polysaccharides (Mopper et al. 1995; Zhou et al. 1994; Jackson 1995; Logan et al. 1995) and readily form aggregates of all sizes with ambient solid particles such as bacteria, phytoplankton, molts, mineral clays, or detritus (Alldredge et al. 1993). TEP exist as microaggregates of tenths of micrometers (Simon et al. 1990) and as an integral part of snow-sized aggregates of $>500 \mu$m (Alldredge et al. 1993).

Marine particulate matter settles to great depths as snow-sized aggregates (Knauer 1991). Sinking velocities of diatoms embedded in snow-sized aggregates are generally very fast (50–200 m d$^{-1}$; Asper 1987; Alldredge and Gotschalk 1988) compared with those of individually sinking cells ($<1–10$ m d$^{-1}$; Culver and Smith 1989), allowing aggregated particles to reach the deep ocean more effectively. Factors determining sinking velocities of aggregates include size, dry weight, porosity, and excess density of aggregates (Alldredge and Gotschalk 1988), as well as density gradients in the water (Alldredge and Crocker 1995; MacIntyre et al. 1995) and turbulence (Shanks 2002). The density of aggregates is a function of the presence of gas enclosures (Riebesell 1992), the density of the enclosed solid matter (Asper 1987; Azetsu-Scott and Johnson 1992), and the TEP to cell ratio (Engel and Schartau 1999). The present study evaluates in detail the effect of TEP on the density and sinking velocity of aggregates.

The sinking behavior of particle-free TEP and of aggregates composed of latex spheres and TEP was investigated to better understand the transport of solid particles and substances sorbed to TEP in aquatic systems. We present experiments showing that particle-free TEP are positively buoyant, and we provide the first estimates of TEP density. The volume fraction of TEP required for aggregates to be neutrally buoyant was estimated for different solid particle types. The effect of TEP on the flux of solid particles, as well as on the biogeochemical cycles of elements associated with TEP, is also discussed.

Materials and methods
Seawater was collected in the Santa Barbara Channel (34°20’N, 119°50’W) from the chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$) maximum (0–20 m) for 10 different experiments in March, April, and May 1997 (Table 1). Chl $a$ concentrations at the sampling stations ranged from 0.41 to 3.81 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$.

All experiments were conducted with freshly collected water. Samples were prefiltered through 0.2-$\mu$m polycarbonate (Nuclepore) filters to remove all particles, including pre-existing TEP. Then, clean (particle-free) TEP was generated from dissolved precursors by rotating 1.2 liters of the pre-filtered seawater in a Couette flocculator (at a shear rate of...
Table 1. Characteristics of seawater collected in the Santa Barbara Channel, California, in March, April, and May 1997.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Floculation period (h)</th>
<th>Settling period (h)</th>
<th>TEP conc. seawater* (µg Xeq L⁻¹)</th>
<th>TEP conc. experiment†</th>
<th>TEP surface accumulation‡ (%)</th>
<th>Ascending speed (10⁻⁴ cm s⁻¹)</th>
<th>N§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean TEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 Mar</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2, 4, 6</td>
<td>14,031±1,182</td>
<td>5,611±915</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 Apr</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2</td>
<td>3,837±790</td>
<td>3,372±627</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 May</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,038±52</td>
<td>965±164</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14 May</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,927±312</td>
<td>878±50</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14 May</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>604±104</td>
<td>432±142</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28 May</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,167±163</td>
<td>678±97</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28 May</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>911±69</td>
<td>766±111</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28 May</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,107±138</td>
<td>575±69</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latex sphere–TEP aggregates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30 Apr</td>
<td>20¶</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2</td>
<td>4,655±273</td>
<td>3,287±228</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>8.72#</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30 Apr</td>
<td>20¶</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2</td>
<td>3,236±521</td>
<td>2,617±99</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>4.44#</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TEP concentration in seawater before being filtered (Xeq: gum xanthan equivalent).
† Averages and standard deviations of replicate experiments, or after different incubation intervals (experiments 1, 2, 9, and 10), or of methodological replicate measurements (experiments 3–8).
‡ Average TEP concentration in the surface and bottom layer are significantly different (P < 0.01) in experiments 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10, but not in experiments 2, 5, and 7.
§ Number of replicate experiments.
¶ 45.6-µm Latex spheres were added.
# Average ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP aggregates calculated from increased latex sphere concentration. For experiment 9, t = 2 h is used for the calculation.
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24.9 s\(^{-1}\)) for 2–24 h (Passow 2000). Four hundred milliliters of this water, rich in clean TEP, was gently transferred to 500-ml polyethylene settling columns (7 cm in diameter, 1–4 replicates). The remainder of the TEP-rich solution was used to determine the initial concentration and the average size of TEP. Samples in settling columns were allowed to settle for 0.5–6 h. Three 90-ml subsamples were taken from the bottom layer (bottom 300 ml), and two 40-ml subsamples were taken from the surface layer (top 100 ml) to measure the final concentration of TEP. In experiment 1, a larger settling column was used, and the surface layer was 700 ml and the bottom layer was 400 ml, respectively. All samples were carefully drawn through a collecting tube located at the bottom of each settling column, so that vertical mixing of bottom and surface layers during sampling was minimal (Azetsu-Scott and Johnson 1992). In each step of the experiments, the introduction of bubbles was avoided to minimize the resulting production of TEP by surface coagulation. Flocculation periods, sampling intervals, and number of replicates for all experiments are summarized in Table 1.

Latex spheres (styrene divinylbenzene, Polysciences Inc.), with a mean diameter of 45.6 \(\mu\)m (6.6 \(\mu\)m SD) and 1.82 \(\mu\)m (0.31 \(\mu\)m SD) and a density of 1.05 g cm\(^{-3}\), were introduced into the flocculator of experiments 9 and 10 to mimic the presence of small particles like diatoms or bacteria. Latex spheres were added only during the last hour of flocculation to discourage them from aggregating with each other rather than with TEP. Concentration of latex spheres was 3.4 to 290 \(\mu\)g Xeq L\(^{-1}\) (Azetsu-Scott and Johnson 1992). In each step of the experiments, the introduction of bubbles was avoided to minimize the resulting production of TEP by surface coagulation. Flocculation periods, sampling intervals, and number of replicates for all experiments are summarized in Table 1.

The concentration of TEP was determined colorimetrically by filtering samples through 0.4-\(\mu\)m polycarbonate filters under low vacuum (150 mm Hg) and then staining with Alcian blue. Stained TEP was redissolved in 80% sulfuric acid, and absorption at 787 nm was measured (Passow and Aldredge 1995). Presented results are averages of triplicate (bottom layer) and duplicate (surface layer) determinations for experiments 3–8 and averages of two to four replicate settling experiments, each with triplicate determinations for experiments 1, 2, 9, and 10. TEP data are expressed as standardized gum xanthan equivalents (\(\mu\)g Xeq L\(^{-1}\)) \(\pm\) \(\pm\) SD. TEP concentrations in the sample water before filtering ranged from 131 to 290 \(\mu\)g Xeq L\(^{-1}\). The average size of freshly formed TEP was determined microscopically, and filters were prepared by the filter-freeze transfer method (Passow and Aldredge 1994). Concentration of latex spheres was measured with a Coulter Counter (Multisizer II) for 45.6-\(\mu\)m latex spheres and a flow cytometer (Beckton Dickinson FACSort) for 1.82-\(\mu\)m latex spheres. Chl \(a\) was measured fluorometrically.

Results and discussion

**Accumulation of freshly produced TEP in the surface layer**—In all 10 experiments, TEP concentrations in the surface layer after 0.5–6 h were higher than those in the bottom layer, although samples were collected from ecologically varying conditions and experiments were conducted with different flocculation and settling intervals. Accumulation of TEP, calculated by the difference of TEP concentrations in surface and bottom layers and normalized by the average concentration, ranged from 13.3% to over 90% (Table 1). No significant relationship was observed, however, between the degree of accumulation of TEP in the surface layer and the measured characteristics of the sample, including sampling depth, Chl \(a\), nutrient concentrations and TEP concentration, or flocculation period and settling time.

The average ascending velocity of TEP, \(W_{\text{TEP}}\), was calculated from the increase of TEP concentration in the surface layer as

\[
W_{\text{TEP}} = \frac{(C_t - C_0)V}{\pi r_{\text{TEP}}^2 C_{\text{eq}}}
\]

where \(C_t\) and \(C_0\) are concentrations of TEP (\(\mu\)g Xeq L\(^{-1}\)) in the surface layer at time \(t\) and 0, \(V\) is the volume of the surface layer (100 cm\(^3\)), \(r_{\text{TEP}}\) is the radius of the settling column (3.5 cm), and \(t\) is the time interval of experiments. Concentration, size, and density of TEP at time 0 were assumed homogeneous throughout the column. The calculated ascending velocity of particle-free TEP ranged from 4.85 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) cm s\(^{-1}\) to 2.49 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) cm s\(^{-1}\), with an average velocity of 1.6 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) cm s\(^{-1}\) (Table 1).

The average density of TEP (Eq. 2) was then calculated with the ascending velocities obtained by Eq. 1 and Stokes' equation,

\[
W_{\text{TEP}} = \frac{2}{9} \frac{g r_{\text{TEP}}^2 (\rho_a - \rho_{\text{TEP}})}{\mu}
\]

where \(r_{\text{TEP}}\) is the radius of TEP, \(g\) is gravity, \(\mu\) is the dynamic viscosity, and \(\rho_{\text{TEP}}\) and \(\rho_a\) are densities of TEP and the ambient seawater, respectively. TEP were assumed to be spherical with a uniform radius, and the Reynolds number was assumed to be <1. From Eqs. 1 and 2, the density of TEP can then be calculated (Eq. 3).

\[
\rho_{\text{TEP}} = \rho_a - \frac{9}{2} \frac{W_{\text{TEP}} \mu}{g r_{\text{TEP}}^2} = \rho_a - \frac{9}{2} \frac{\mu (C_t - C_0) V}{\pi r_{\text{TEP}}^2 C_{\text{eq}}}
\]

With an average freshly generated TEP size of 3–4 \(\mu\)m, determined microscopically, the calculated density of TEP ranged from 0.70 to 0.84 g cm\(^{-3}\). Density is one of the least known parameters of marine particles, and no direct measurements of TEP density have been reported to date, although the density of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) from biofilm has been determined. Biofilm is produced by microbial cells attached to any submerged surface and consists of an EPS matrix and embedded organisms (Köster and Meyer-Reil 2002). The chemical and physical characteristics of EPS are similar to those of TEP (Passow 2002). The dry density of EPS ranges from 0.01 to 0.05 g cm\(^{-3}\) and bacterial extracellular polymers are known to be highly hydrated, \(~\times\) 99% water by weight (Characklis and Gookey 1983). Using the range of dry density of biofilm and a water content of 99% by weight, the hydrated biofilm density lies between 0.51 and 0.86 g cm\(^{-3}\). Our estimate of TEP density is within this range of EPS density. This result is also consistent with the observation that TEP cannot be enriched by centrifugation (Aldredge et al. 1993).
Accumulation of TEP in the surface layer might also be explained by surface-active TEP being transported to the surface layer by diffusion, where they adhere to the air–water interface. The time scale (τ) necessary for the accumulation of TEP by passive diffusion is estimated by \( \tau = L^2/D \), where \( L \) is a characteristic length and \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient of TEP. In our experiment, \( L \) was equal to the surface layer height of the settling column from the bottom (2.6 cm), and \( D \) can be estimated by the molecular diffusion of salt (1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}), which is most likely much higher than that of TEP. (TEP is much larger than salt molecules.) Because \( \tau > 5 \text{ d} \), whereas TEP accumulated in the surface layer after only hours, it can be concluded that diffusion and trapping of TEP at the air–water interface did not contribute significantly to the observed accumulation of TEP during these experiments. This process might, however, be important in the ocean, where turbulence and convection is dominant. TEP are very sticky and possible trapping of bubbles, generated during experiments, can also cause TEP to ascend. Bubbles can be generated by photosynthesis and trapped in aggregates (Riebesell 1992); however, in our experiments, filtered seawater was used to produce TEP. Therefore, it is not likely that any bubbles were generated by organisms during TEP formation. Although “cavitation” by acceleration of liquid can produce bubbles, a flocculator, which we used in our experiments, was operated at a much slower than critical speed to produce bubbles. Also, we did not find bubbles during microscopic observation of newly produced TEP. Therefore, observed TEP accumulation in the surface layer was not caused by trapped bubbles in TEP. However, bubbles are ubiquitous in the upper ocean and trapping of bubbles by sticky TEP adds another means of upward transport of TEP.

Physical and chemical characteristics of TEP, and therefore their densities, are expected to vary spatially and temporally, depending on amount and characteristics of precursor dissolved organic carbon, strength of shear, and age of TEP. A marine polymer gel assembly from dissolved organic matter (DOM) showed the divalent binding (Chin et al. 1998). However, cation bridging was not significant for formation of microparticles from DOM in freshwater systems (Kerner et al. 2003). Phase transformation of TEP with time, collapse of the polymer network, and reduced hydration, can result in a dense, nonporous array, and therefore increase the densities of TEP. Density variation of TEP with space and time has important implications for biogeochemical cycle of carbon and other elements in the upper ocean and requires further investigations.

**Upward flux of aggregates composed of TEP and latex spheres**—In situ, TEP are commonly covered with bacteria and entangled with other living and nonliving particles, forming microaggregates of tens to hundreds of microns in size (Allardedge et al. 1993). The sinking behavior of microaggregates was studied in experiments 9 and 10 with the use of latex spheres with mean diameters of 45.6 and 1.82 \( \mu \text{m} \) to mimic small solid particles. Concentrations of latex spheres used in these experiments lie within the range of cell numbers of diatoms and bacteria in the upper ocean. Because 45.6-\( \mu \text{m} \) latex spheres have a Stokes’ sinking velocity of \( 2.94 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm s}^{-1} \), these larger latex spheres should—in the absence of TEP—settle out of the surface layer (100 ml, 2.6 cm deep) within 0.5 h. The Stokes’ velocity of 1.82-\( \mu \text{m} \) spheres is \( 4.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm s}^{-1} \), so that the concentration of these smaller latex spheres should only decrease by 1.2% during a 2-h experiment. Because aggregation between spheres increases their sinking velocity, these are conservative estimates.

Aggregates consisting of latex spheres and TEP, however, accumulated in the surface layer within half an hour during experiment 9 (Figs. 1, 2). The concentration of TEP in the surface layer was enriched by 24% after 30 min (Fig. 1). During the remainder of experiment 9, TEP concentration in the surface layer increased further and remained significantly higher than in the bottom layer (Fig. 1). The total amount of TEP within the settling column remained constant, implying that TEP were neither formed nor lost during the experiment (Fig. 1). The concentration of 45.6-\( \mu \text{m} \) latex spheres in the surface layer had also increased after 30 min and continued to rise during the entire settling period (Fig. 2a), while the concentration of spheres in the bottom layer
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Fig. 2. Changes in the size frequency distribution of 45.6-μm latex spheres (a, b) and 1.82-μm latex spheres (c, d) in the surface and the bottom layers, respectively, at times 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 h.

decreased accordingly (Fig. 2b). These results suggest that the density of latex sphere–TEP aggregates were lighter than ambient water, resulting in their ascension.

Apparent ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP aggregates \( W_a \) was calculated from the increase of latex spheres in the surface layer using Eq. 4, which is similar to Eq. 1. Concentrations of latex spheres (number ml\(^{-1}\)) at times \( t \) and 0 are denoted by \( N_t \) and \( N_0 \).

\[
W_a = \frac{(N_t - N_0)V}{\pi r^2 N_o t}
\]

Latex sphere–TEP aggregates were assumed to be distributed homogeneously at time 0 and to be identical at time \( t \), with regard to size and number of latex spheres and, therefore, ascending velocity. The ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP aggregates decreased from 1.08 \( \times 10^{-2} \) cm s\(^{-1}\) at 0.5 h to 7.09 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cm s\(^{-1}\) at 2 h, with an average of 8.72 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cm s\(^{-1}\). The average ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP aggregates calculated from changes in TEP concentration was in the same range, although somewhat lower (2.2 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cm s\(^{-1}\)). The calculation, on the basis of changes in TEP, is considered less reliable because concentration changes of TEP were small compared with those of latex spheres; therefore, the analytical error was higher. The ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP microaggregates was faster than that of particle-free TEP because aggregates were larger than TEP alone, and the effect of the change in size compensated for the effect of a change in density on ascension speed. The ascending velocity of latex sphere–TEP microaggregates decreased with time (on the basis of calculations of either latex spheres or TEP). This suggests that the latex sphere to TEP ratio of aggregates entering the surface layer increased with time. Consequently, the density of these microaggregates \( \rho_a \) increased over time, which in turn caused the decrease in the upward velocity of aggregates entering the surface layer.

In experiment 10, in which 1.82-μm latex spheres were added, TEP and latex spheres also accumulated in the surface layer, but less notably than in experiment 9 (Figs. 1b, 2c,d). The difference in the concentration of latex spheres between the surface and bottom layer was only statistically significant after 2 h of settling, when the concentration of latex spheres in the surface layer was three times higher than in the bottom layer. The lower concentration of TEP and the lower concentration and sinking velocity of latex spheres during experiment 10 could explain the lack of a significant accumulation of TEP and latex spheres after 0.5 and 1 h. Apparent ascending velocities calculated from changes in latex sphere and TEP concentrations after 2 h were 4.44 \( \times 10^{-2} \) cm s\(^{-1}\) and 3.00 \( \times 10^{-4} \) cm s\(^{-1}\), respectively. As in experiment 9, estimates of the ascending velocity of aggregates based on changes in latex spheres were more reliable than those based on changes in TEP.

Model-based evaluation of the effect of TEP on the vertical transport of solid particles—We have demonstrated that freshly produced, particle-free TEP ascend and that TEP can also transport latex spheres upward. Marine aggregates contain TEP, and incorporation of positively buoyant TEP into aggregates adds a new dimension to the concept of vertical transport of marine particles. We will evaluate the role of TEP in the flux of latex spheres with a simple model.

If the fraction of the aggregate volume that is occupied by TEP is \( a \) (×100%) and the fraction occupied by solid matter (e.g., latex spheres in our experiments), is \( b \) (×100%), then the density of the aggregates \( \rho_a \) can be expressed as

\[
\rho_a = \alpha \rho_{\text{TEP}} + \beta \rho_s + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \rho_w
\]

with

\[
1 \geq \alpha + \beta
\]

where \( \rho_{\text{TEP}} \), \( \rho_s \), and \( \rho_w \) are densities of TEP, solid material, and interstitial water, respectively. When \( \alpha + \beta = 1 \), aggregates are composed of only TEP and solid materials and no
respectively) are in the domain constrained by \( a \) and \( b \) and solid matter volume fractions in aggregates (\( r \)), and solid particle volume fraction (\( b \)). The criterion for the vertical transport of aggregates; that is, \( \alpha \cdot a9 \), aggregates will settle. Figure 3 depicts the critical volume fraction of TEP for neutrally buoyant aggregates (see review in Passow 2002) and larger volume fractions for mixed phytoplankton aggregates formed on the roller table (Engel et al. 2002). The respective volume fractions of solid matter, TEP, and interstitial water in natural marine snow–sized aggregates are difficult to measure, and few data exist. However, a variety of estimates suggests a TEP volume fraction in the range of 0.5–5% for natural aggregates (Fig. 3). The solid particle volume of natural marine snow–sized aggregates is <10%, commonly <0.1% or even <0.01% (Allard and Gotschalk 1988; Alldredge and Crocker 1995; Engel and Schartau 1999). The TEP content of marine snow–sized aggregates is difficult to measure, and few data exist. However, a variety of estimates suggests a TEP volume fraction in the range of 0.5–5% for natural aggregates (see review in Passow 2002) and larger volume fractions for mixed phytoplankton aggregates formed on the roller table (Engel et al. 2002). The respective volume fractions of solid matter, TEP, and interstitial water in natural marine snow–sized aggregates are thus in the range to potentially allow aggregates not only to sink, but also to be neutrally buoyant or ascend.

Average sinking velocities of large aggregates are in the range of 50–200 m d\(^{-1}\) and are mainly a function of size within the euphotic zone (Allard and Gotschalk 1988). Estimates from in situ photography, on the other hand, indicate that aggregates of the same size class exhibit a wide range of sinking velocities (Asper 1987; Diercks and Asper 1997). Large differences between the sinking velocities measured for individual aggregates and the average sinking velocities calculated from particle concentration and flux also indicate that sinking velocities of aggregates in any size class can vary widely (Shanks 2002). Here, we argue that any population of aggregates will most certainly consist of sinking, neutrally buoyant, and possibly ascending aggregates. Differences in the respective volume fractions of solid matter, TEP, and interstitial water could explain the large deviation observed in measured sinking velocities.
ations from the average relationship between aggregate size and sinking velocity such as that observed for individual aggregates by Shanks (2002). The vertical transport of aggregates, specifically, the rate of ascent or settling, will be controlled by the interplay between TEP, ambient solid particles, and environmental factors. Moreover, the chemical structure and physical characteristics of TEP depend on biological and abiotic factors resulting in variable TEP densities in time and space, adding another component to the concept of particle flux.

Significance of TEP in biogeochemical cycles in the upper ocean—Individual TEP and TEP-rich microaggregates ascend at velocities as fast as 0.1–1 m d$^{-1}$ to the ocean surface. Phytoplankton exudates can substantially reduce gas transfer rates at the interface between the water surface and the atmosphere, and it has been speculated that they could function as microlayer surfactants (Frew et al. 1990). TEP are very surface active (Mopper et al. 1995; Zhou et al. 1998), and a variety of trace substances are likely to be associated with TEP. TEP could hence be one of the sources for the sea surface microlayer (SSM), which is enriched in organic chemicals, microorganisms, nutrients, and trace metals (Hunter 1997; Zaitsev 1997; Zang et al. 1998). Rising TEP add a new mechanism for the production of the SSM, along with the previously suggested mechanisms, such as diffusion, turbulent mixing, bubble transport, direct atmospheric deposition of surfactants (Asher 1997), and photochemistry (Blough 1997). Once TEP reach the air–sea interface and are incorporated into the SSM, TEP will be decomposed by an abundant heterotrophic community, as well as by photodegradation, or will be exported to the atmosphere as aerosol particles.

Moreover, rising TEP will affect flux of solid particles in the ocean. Differential settling of particles increases their collision frequency and thus promotes their aggregation. Consequently, positively buoyant TEP or TEP-rich aggregates encounter settling or suspended solid particles with an increased collision frequency. Enhanced aggregation of solid particles with ascending TEP-rich aggregates changes the density of the aggregates. As the ratio of TEP and solid matter within aggregates reaches the critical value, ascending will slow and stop, and then aggregates will start settling. Continued aggregation and disaggregation will again alter the ratio between TEP and solid particles, affecting the sinking velocity. Inclusion of buoyant TEP in aggregates can regulate the export flux of particulate organic carbon (POC). Kiørboe et al. (1998) showed low vertical flux during the diatom bloom, despite intensive aggregate formation, in the upwelling region with high TEP concentration. Vertical flux of POC is often estimated with the use of tracers such as $^{234}$Th. A recent study by Santschi et al. (2003) showed that surface-active polysaccharides, which TEP are composed of, are responsible for $^{234}$Th binding. Upward flux of TEP, therefore, requires additional evaluation of the biological pump estimated by $^{234}$Th.

Even if the TEP content in aggregates is not sufficient to initiate upward transport to the very surface of the ocean, buoyant TEP in aggregates will prolong the residence time of solid particles in the surface ocean. Therewith, TEP affects the cycling of carbon, reactive elements adsorbed onto aggregates, and nutrients in the upper layer of the ocean.
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