A 275 year ice core record from Akademii Nauk ice cap, Severnaya Zemlya, Russian Arctic
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ABSTRACT. Between 1999 and 2001 a 724 m long ice core was drilled on Akademii Nauk, the largest glacier on Severnaya Zemlya. The drilling site is located near summit. The core is characterized by high melt-layer content. The melt-layers are caused by melting and even by rain during the summertime. We present high resolution data of density, electrical conductivity (DEP), stable water isotopes and melt-layer content for the upper 136 m (120 m w.e.) of the ice core. The dating by isotopic cycles and electrical conductivity peak identification suggests that this core section covers approximately the past 275 years. Singularities of volcanogenic and anthropogenic origin provide well defined additional time markers. Long term temperatures inferred from 12 years’ running mean averages of $\delta^{18}O$ reach their lowest level in the entire record around 1790. Thereafter the $\delta^{18}O$ values indicate a continuously increasing mean temperature on the Akademii Nauk ice cap until 1935, interrupted only by minor cooling episodes. The 20th century is found to be the warmest period in this record.
INTRODUCTION

In the Eurasian Arctic, Severnaya Zemlya is the easternmost archipelago, which is covered by considerable ice caps. This allows to access regional climate signals from ice core records. The Akademii Nauk ice cap, covering an area of 5,575 km$^2$, is the largest in the Russian Arctic with a maximum elevation of about 800 m a.s.l. (Dowdeswell and others, 2002). The first 761-m-long surface-to-bedrock core on this ice-cap was drilled in 1986/87 by a Russian team (Klement’yev and others, 1991; Savatyugin and Zagorodnov, 1988). The core has been sampled in a relatively low resolution. A chronology was published for that core indicating a Late Pleistocene near-bottom age (Klement’yev and others, 1988, 1991; Kotlyakov and others, 1990).

Between 1999 and 2001 the new ice core discussed here was drilled to bedrock on Akademii Nauk (Fig. 1) to improve the data resolution and to revise the previous time-scale. For this new core the drilling site was selected close to summit considering the form and flow of the ice cap (Dowdeswell and others, 2002). Fritzsche and others (2002) report details about the drilling site at 80°31’N, 94°49’E as well as recent accumulation rates. For technical details of operation see Savatyugin and others (2001). The mean annual air temperature at the drilling site was –15.7 °C for the period between May 1999 and April 2000 (Kuhn, 2001). During summer air temperature can even be above 0°C. In April 2000 a temperature of –10.2 °C was measured at 10 m depth. Latent heat from percolating water has an effect on the difference between mean annual air temperature and temperature in firn. In 2000/01 the borehole temperature was between -12.40 °C at 100 m depth and – 7.49 °C at the bottom, with a minimum of -14.35 °C at 209 m depth (Kotlyakov and others, 2004). Consequently Akademii Nauk is a cold glacier.
Reconstructing annual signals (e.g. \(\delta^{18}O\)) in ice cores from the percolation zone of glaciers can be problematic owing to the effect of meltwater infiltration (Koerner, 1997). The variation of stable isotopes in ice (\(\delta D\) and \(\delta^{18}O\)) can be eroded, but at least in particular cases seasonal cycles still persist (Pohjola and others, 2002). Sometimes the deuterium excess \(d\) (\(d = \delta D - 8\times\delta^{18}O\)) resolves the annual variation better than the corresponding \(\delta D\) or \(\delta^{18}O\) data, as shown for the Vernagtferner (Oetztal Alps, Austria) (Stichler and others, 1982).

We present density, \(\delta^{18}O\), \(\delta D\) and \(d\) profiles as well as the melt-layer content from the uppermost 136 m of the new Akademii Nauk ice core. These parameters are compared to electrical conductivity obtained by dielectrical profiling (DEP) of the same core sequence. A chronology is given based on the annual layer thickness determined from \(\delta^{18}O\) and \(d\) and using the time markers from volcanic events detected by DEP measurements. According to this depth-age model the core section under investigation covers approximately 275 years.

**ANALYTICAL METHODS**

The core was processed in the cold laboratory of the Alfred Wegener Institute in Bremerhaven. Processing included the following steps:

1. Quasi-continuous density measurements (\(\gamma\)-densimeter) and dielectric profiling (DEP) (Moore and Paren, 1987) were taken non-destructively at 5 mm resolution on a combined bench, which was used also for analysis of ice cores from Greenland (NGRIP) and Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (EPICA). For a detailed description refer to Wilhelms (2000).

2. Following the DEP measurements two slices were cut with a horizontal band saw parallel to the core axis. The first 11 mm thick segment was sampled for isotopes (\(\delta^{18}O\), \(\delta D\); the
second, a 30 mm thick plate, was polished for optical scanning of the internal structure, and subsequently sampled for chemical investigations (Weiler and others, 2004).

(3) The stable isotopes were sampled from the segment in 25 mm long increments. To get a first overview samples for each 1 m segment of core were collected by abrading 1 to 2 mm off the surface over the whole segment-length with a microtome knife. The frozen samples were melted for the determination of $\delta^D$ and $\delta^{18}O$ with a Finnigan-MAT Delta S mass spectrometer. The analytical precision is better than $\pm0.8$ ‰ for $\delta^D$ and $\pm0.1$ ‰ for $\delta^{18}O$ (Meyer and others, 2000).

(4) The melt-layers were visually identified on scanned pictures of coplanar slices. The scanning resolution is better than 0.1 mm, but because of irregular boundaries between melt-layers and firn the fixing of layers was less precise. Accurate distinction between firn-ice and melt-impacted layers was difficult in the deeper parts of the core, but less problematic in the section discussed in this paper. Following Madsen and Thorsteinsson (2001) we call glacial ice formed by firn only firn-ice. In the manner of Paterson (1994) we use the term “firn” for aggregates of several crystals with interconnecting air passages between the grains. Ice consisting of firn infiltrated by a visible content of water we call independent of the amount of water melt-layer ice or melt-layer. Most of melt-layers in this core are formed by infiltration of water into the porous firn structure. Close to the surface the firn has a porosity of 50 %, which can be filled with percolating water. The melt-layer content is calculated by the proportion of melt-layer ice per meter by weight. In general the amounts of melt-layer ice are a proxy parameter for warm summers, but superimposed sporadic melt features can make this interpretation complicated (Koerner, 1977).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A shallow core (SZ 99-2) was drilled about 200 m ESE from the main coring site (SZ 99) in order to estimate the stratigraphic noise in the $\delta^{18}$O and melt-layer records. Both data sets are in good agreement with a 0.55 m depth-offset (Fig. 2). This offset could be caused by a compression of the uppermost winter snow during drill tower assembly and/or by roughness of the snow surface. The uppermost 0.43 m of the main core and 0.2 m of the shallow core were lost because of their poor consolidation. Discrete, massive melt-layers are found in the main core, for e.g. at 2.9 m or 7.8 m depth, and equivalent layers are found in the shallow core at corresponding depths. Many more, but by far not every, small ice layers could be observed in both cores. As expected especially melt-layers consisting of partially saturated firn can often be found in various depths. The firn is not a homogenous medium and internal surfaces are undulated, thus heterogeneous flow of vertical and horizontal percolating meltwater is the rule. Nevertheless, the two $\delta^{18}$O profiles look similar but the differences in infiltration are clearly visible in detail. For example in 8.5 m depth of SZ 99 the deformed $\delta^{18}$O minimum indicates infiltration of less depleted water. On the whole, the correlation of $\delta^{18}$O between the two cores is good with a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.67$ (2.5 cm resolution, 906 samples each, 14 years). An increase to more than $r = 0.75$ is possible with a visual piecewise adjustment (cores shifted against each other, 6 breaks, none overlapping).

Figure 3 shows the ice core density profile at 5 mm resolution. Melt-layers are obvious already in the uppermost meters of the core with a density about 900 kg m$^{-3}$. Between these layers firn horizons appear with a typical density of 300 to 400 kg m$^{-3}$ near the surface and increasing density with depth. From density profile it is apparent that the core originates from
the percolation zone of the glacier. The transition from firn to ice occurs in about 60 m depth. The density profile is used to convert the depth scale into m water equivalent (m w.e.). Air bubbles are found throughout the entire core in varying size and concentration. Only very few parts of the core are almost free of bubbles. The homogeneously distributed bubbles suggest air entrapment between firn crystals. Hence in most cases, the melt-impacted layers were not made entirely from refrozen (bubble free) meltwater. For the uppermost 30 m, where the density of ice and firn is sufficiently different, the calculation of infiltration from the density log (Fig. 3) agrees well with the visual recording of the melt-layer content.

The electrical conductivity record (Fig. 4 d) exhibits some of the volcanogenic time markers, which were used to establish the core chronology. The conductivity peak at about 20 m w.e. depth was related by chemical analysis (Weiler and others, 2004) to the 1956 eruption of Bezymianny, Kamtchatka, with an attributed volcanic explosivity index (VEI) of 5 (Siebert and Simkin, 2002). This is the largest conductivity peak observed in the whole core. The other peaks in conductivity are supposed to be of volcanogenic origin and the assigned age is based on correlation with ice core records from Greenland e.g. from the Hans Tausen ice cap (Clausen and others, 2001). The chronology of the core section discussed here is established by identifying peaks in the conductivity record. In between the peaks the timescale is refined by counting seasonal signals in the stable isotope records, mostly the δ oscillations together with the δ18O variations. As an example Figure 5 presents the stable isotope variations between the Bezymianny event (1956) and the assumed Katmai eruption (1912). On the graph’s right-hand side our interpretation of annual cycles is marked with tags. An annual mark is defined by a minimum in δD and δ18O and simultaneously a maximum in δ. But four more years (arrows) could possibly be counted as well (error +10%). When counting the peaks one finds probably more and not less years in this core, because of appearance of additional infiltration peaks.
The melt-layer content (Fig. 4 a) shows maxima at around 65, 50 and between 40 and 10 m w.e. according to the 1840s, 1880s and 1900 through 1970 respectively. Following Koerner (1977) the amount of meltwater can be considered as a proxy for summer warmth.

The $\delta^{18}$O profile (Fig. 4c) shows a minimum at 90 m w.e. (1790 AD). An increasing trend of $\delta^{18}$O is obvious between 90 and 27 m w.e.. The d record exhibits annual cycles (Fig. 5) but the long term trend is nearly constant (Fig. 4 b) — mean: $d = 11.0$ ‰ (dotted line).

The derived annual layer thickness for the top 120 m w.e. of the core, corresponding to the period 1725–1999, is plotted in Figure 6. The annual layer thickness is presented as found in the core (none de-compressed). The modern mean annual layer thickness of about 0.46 m w.e. agrees with the recent mean annual accumulation rate at the drill site as calculated earlier from $^{137}$Cs measurements (Fritzsche and others, 2002; Pinglot and others, 2003) as well as with the mean accumulation rate of 0.46 m w.e. a$^{-1}$ in the period 1986/87 published by Zagorodnov and others (1990). In deeper parts of the core (not shown here) the annual layer thickness was determined over discrete 1 m intervals by high-resolution analysis of seasonal $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta$D and d and variations of electrical conductivity assumed to be seasonal. We found an annual layer thickness of about 0.12 m w.e. for the near-bottom layers. From a linear decrease in annual layer thickness with depth as observed in the DEP profile and assuming no discontinuity in the record we calculated a bottom age of roughly 2500 years. This is less than the age computed by a Nye model (Paterson, 1994) with an accumulation rate of 0.46 m w.e. a$^{-1}$. Therefore our results imply that this ice cap was not in dynamic steady state throughout its existence, but has been growing until modern times. Similar conclusions have been drawn for the Hans Tausen ice cap in Northern Greenland (Hammer and others, 2001).
The new $\delta^{18}$O record from Akademii Nauk (Fig. 7) looks similar to the Vardø (Norway) temperature record (data: http://www.giss.nasa.gov/data/update/gistemp/TMPDIR/tmp.634010980003.1.1/634010980003.1.1.txt) and a composite of surface air temperatures in the Arctic (Polyakov and others; 2003) (data: http://denali.frontier.iarc.uaf.edu:8080/~igor/research/data/airtempres.php). The good agreement suggest that $\delta^{18}$O from Akademii Nauk is a good proxy for mean annual air temperature. Our $\delta^{18}$O record shows an absolute minimum at about 1790, following the eruption of more than 10 volcanoes with a VEI = 4 since 1750, including Laki in 1783. Thereafter the $\delta^{18}$O value increased until 1935, interrupted by a 10–20 years long reverse trend following huge volcanic eruptions during the 1850s. During the same time period Kotlyakov and others (2004) present a long term warming trend, which was reconstructed from borehole temperature logging in the Akademii Nauk 1986/87 bore-hole with a model considering the effects of vertical heat transfer by meltwater and its refreezing. The warming trend until the late 1930s was recorded by many meteorological stations in different regions of the Arctic, for e.g. at Svalbard (Brázdil and others, 1988). This trend is also a strong signal in composite air temperature data sets from the Arctic as well as in data for the northern hemisphere 64–90°N (http://www.giss.nasa.gov). The increasing temperature in the 19th and beginning of the 20th century can also be found in glaciers on Svalbard (Isaksson and others, 2003) and Franz Josef Land (Henderson, 2002) as well as North Greenland (Hans Tausen ice cap, Hammer and others, 2001).

CONCLUSIONS

Our investigations on the newly drilled ice core from Akademii Nauk revealed:
Annual layers could be identified in the upper 136 m of core presented in this paper using a combination of high resolution (2.5 cm) \( d \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) data.

The core was dated by combining the annual layer thickness measurements from stable isotope studies with volcanogenic signals in the electrical conductivity record used as time markers.

The \( \delta^{18}O \) time series is very similar to trends in air temperature measured in the Arctic. Thus the \( \delta^{18}O \) data is a good proxy for mean annual air temperature.

The accumulation rate over the period 1956–1999 is about 0.46 m w.e. a\(^{-1}\) based on stable isotope investigations. This finding agrees with the rates we found earlier by \( ^{137}Cs \) radioactivity measurements and the annual accumulation rate published for 1986/87 (Zagorodnov and others, 1990). It is in disagreement with a modern annual layer thickness of 0.26–0.28 m suggested by Klement’yev and others (1988) and used by Kotlyakov and others (1990) for dating of the Akademii Nauk ice core drilled in 1986/87.

Our preliminary chronology of the ice core dates the lowermost part to roughly about 2500 years B.P..
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Fig. 1. Location of Severnaya Zemlya and its glaciers.
Fig. 2. Comparison of visible stratigraphy (a) and $\delta^{18}O$ (b) in the upper part of the main core SZ 99 and the shallow core SZ 99-2 drilled some 200 m apart:

(a) 1 – firm not impacted by water.
Melt-layers: 2 – partially saturated, 3 – saturated.
The abscissa is mirrored for easier comparison. Arrows indicate melt-layers occurring in both cores at the same depth.

(b) Shading made for easy comparison.
Fig. 3. Density-depth profile of the core (5 mm resolution)
Fig. 4. Profiles from the upper 120 m w.e. (136 m depth) of the main core from Akademii Nauk ice cap.
(a) melt-layer content - step function 1 m values, black curve 5 m running mean
(b) D excess d, (c) $\delta^{18}O$ – in (b) and (c): grey 25 mm data, black curve 5 m running mean
(d) electrical conductivity (DEP) – 5mm resolution
Fig. 5. Stable isotope variations between the 1956 Bezymianny event and the layer with high electrical conductivity assumed to be originating from the eruption of Katmai in 1912. Tags on right-hand side indicate our interpretation of annual cycles. Arrows mark peaks which can be interpreted as additional years.
Fig. 6. The annual layer thickness AD 1725–1999 derived from $\delta^{18}O$ and $d$ oscillations and from interpolation between volcanic time-markers. Dots symbolise thickness of a single year, black curve – 5 year running mean and step line – mean annual layer thickness between presumed volcanic eruptions.
Fig. 7. Dated $\delta^{18}O$ curve (1) for the segment of ice core shown in Fig. 4 – 1 m mean values and 5 m running means (approx. 2.3 respectively 12 years) – compared with air temperature (2) measured at Vardø/North Norway – 5 and 10 years running mean values – and trend of composite surface air temperature in the Arctic (3) – 6 years running mean – deviation from mean temperature 1961–2000 (data from Polyakov and others, 2003).