

Abstract

A number of coupled physical/biological studies dealing with ocean primary production estimates have already emphasized the impact of correct mathematical formulation of physical mechanisms controlling the ocean ecosystem dynamics. We would like however investigate how much the modelled ocean primary production is sensitive to parameterizations of biogeochemical processes. We consider 3 different versions of the ecosystem model (2 of them are developed within EU MERSEA project). The models have been coupled to a North Atlantic general circulation model based on the Parallel Ocean Program.

Models



In the model, phytolpankton is presented by a spectrum of different sizes. Thus, some of the parameterized biogeochemical process- in particular, phytoplankton growth and exudation,- are size-dependent. (We will refer to the model as SD NPZD).

NPZD Figure model schematic diagram.



Assimilated data: Monthly mean satellite CZCS surface chlorophyll averaged over 1979 – 1985. Method : a weak constraint variational technique (Losa et al, 2004)



References

- [1] Conkright, M.E., Garcia, H.E., O'Brien, T.D., Locarnini, R.A., Boyer, T.P., Antonov, J., 2002. World Ocean Atlas, Vol 4, Nutrients. Washington, D.C.: NOAA 52.
- [2] Kriest, I. and Oschlies, A., 2006. Towards a new implicitly size-structured marine ecosystem model. Part I: Evaluating cell size-dependent nutrient uptake and exudation (submitted).
- [3] Lévy, M., Gavart, M., Mémery, L., Caniaux, G. and A. Paci, 2005. A four-dimensional mesoscale map of the spring bloom in the northeast Atlantic (POMME experiment): Results of a prognostic model. J. of Geoph. Res,110, C07S21.

Estimating primary production in the North Atlantic

S. Losa¹, J. Schröter¹, D. Wright², A. Vézina²

¹AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany; ²BIO, Bedford, Canada; Svetlana.Loza@AWI.de



Acknowladgment The authors thank Dr. Youyu Lu for the physical model support.

[4] Losa, S.N, Kivman, G.A., and Ryabchenko, V.A., 2004. Weak constraint parameter estimation for a simple ocean ecosystem model: what can we learn about the model and data? J. Mar. Sys., 45, 1–20.

[5] Losa, S. N., Vezina, A., Wright, D., Lu, Y., Thompson, K., Dowd, M., 2006.3D ecosystem modelling in the North Atlantic: relative impacts of physical and biological parameterizations, Journal of marine systems, 61(3/4), 230-245.

[6] da Silva, A.M., Young, C.C. and Levitus, S., 1994. Atlas of Surface Marine Data. Volume 1: Algorithms and Procedures. NOAA Atlas NESDIS, 6, U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA, NESDIS, 83.

Forcing, Initial and Boundary conditions (*similar to Losa et al., 2006*)

Phisical

- Climatological monthly mean surface fluxes and wind stress (da Silva et al., 1994).

- Climatological monthly mean temperature and salinity (I. Yashayaev, Bedford Institute of Oceanography).

- Northern and Southern boundaries are closed with sponge

Biogeochemical

- crease exponentially with depth.

- Other model variables in the sponge layer is chosen to be some contants at the surface and then, similar to P, the concentrations change exponentially with depth over the characteristic scale depth of 100m.







http://www.mersea.eu.org/



- Climatological seasonal mean nutrients, derived from the World Ocean Database (1998). - Climatological monthly mean surface chlorophyll estimates, obtained by averaging Sea-WiFS data over the period 1997-2003. We assume phytoplankton concentration to de-

http://www.awi.de/People/show?sloza