Distribution and transport processes of marine particulate matter off Cape Blanc (NW-Africa): results from vertical camera profiles
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Abstract

In this study, we present vertical particle profiles acquired with the deep-sea camera system ParCa. The profiles were obtained during three campaigns in the spring seasons of 2001–2003 off NW-Africa (Cape Blanc). The camera profiles showed interannual and spatial variabilities regarding the particle concentrations in the ocean surface which were related to changes in the Chl-a biomass concentration. Although particle concentrations varied, all profiles showed rather similar distribution patterns. They were characterised by a subsurface particle maximum layer, around 200 m at stations close to the coast and around 400 m at stations further offshore. We argue, that at least a portion of the material seen in the subsurface maximum originates from the coast, and was laterally advected towards the open ocean by filament activity. Apart from this offshore transport, increased concentrations of particulate matter above the seafloor suggest a downslope transport of particulate matter near the seafloor. Both hypothesis were tested with model computations, described in a companion paper. A special sedimentation event was observed during a field campaign in 2001. The particle abundance patterns and the size distributions most likely reflect a sinking event, where particulate matter is transferred on very short time scales from the surface to the seafloor. We assume, that the fast sinking particle cloud preferably scavenges the more abundant small particles, resulting in larger particles sizes above and within the sinking cloud.

1 Introduction

The flux of particulate matter from the ocean surface to the deep-sea plays an important role in the global CO₂-cycle. Atmospheric carbon dioxide is removed via phytoplankton from the surface waters and stored over longer periods in the deep water column and the sediments when sinking to the deep-sea. The export of particulate matter from the sea surface to the seafloor occurs for instance in the form of large, marine aggregates,
known as marine snow. Marine snow, by definition are aggregated particles >0.5 mm (Fowler and Knauer, 1986; McCave, 1975), composed of small particles from organic and inorganic sources. More than 50% of the organic carbon embedded in particulate matter is remineralised within the upper 300 m of the water column (Martin et al., 1987), so its contribution to the mass transfer of nutrients and elements from the ocean surface to the seafloor is mainly a function of their vertical distribution, composition, size, and sinking rate (Asper, 1987; Gardner and Walsh, 1990; MacIntyre et al., 1995; Walsh and Gardner, 1992).

Areas of increased particle export are the upwelling systems along the continental margins. One of the world’s largest upwelling system is located off Cape Blanc (NW-Africa) which belongs to one of the four most important Eastern Boundary Current systems (EBCs). EBCs have long been known for very high primary production rates in the ocean surface (Carr, 2002) and although coastal upwelling system cover a rather small area, they contribute to 7% to the total ocean primary production of 50 GT yr⁻¹ (Longhurst et al., 1995; Antoine et al., 1996; Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997; Chen et al., 2003).

Upwelling is a wind driven process and strongly coupled to the persistent trade winds, that displace surface waters offshore. Upwelling off Cape Blanc occurs throughout the year (Nykjaer and Van Camp, 1994), with periods of stronger intensity during spring, early summer and fall (Barton, 1998; Mittelstaedt, 1991; Van Camp et al., 1991). Although the upwelling belt is only about 50 to 70 km wide (Van Camp et al., 1991), giant filaments of chlorophyll-enriched water are advected 300 km (Hernandez-Guerra and Nykjaer, 1997) to 600 km offshore (Gabric et al., 1993). This offshore vectored transport of material from the coastal near areas is reported to be an important mechanism for the export of nutrient-rich waters towards the open ocean and is partly responsible for increased primary productivity offshore (Gabric et al., 1996).

Nevertheless, little is known about the processes which control the transfer of particles from the surface to the ocean bottom. Martin et al. (1987) suggested that the flux of particulate matter to the seafloor is primarily a function of the primary produc-
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8.7 × 10^6 t y^{-1} (Schütz et al., 1990). Hence, the lithogenic fraction is the second most important bulk flux constituent with flux rates between 8 and 22 g m^{-2} y^{-1} (Fischer et al., 2006). Ratmeyer et al. (1999a, b) showed, that lithogenic particle flux can be correlated to the atmospheric circulation patterns and dust may affect surface productivity and/or particle sinking rates. Although dust may be a potential ballast mineral, the correlation between organic carbon and lithogenic fluxes are not highly significant. Sometimes, there is an apparent decoupling of both constituents (Fischer et al., 2006; Bory et al., 2002) which is not sufficiently understood and occurs for instance, during times of increased POC flux (Bory and Newton, 2000).

Although significant progress in our understanding of this highly dynamic upwelling system was made, little is still known about the in-situ distribution of particulate matter off NW-Africa. Our knowledge about the shape, size and vertical distribution of particles in the ocean is mainly based on non-destructive, optical methods like camera systems. Although profiling camera systems are in use since 20 years, the amount of optical datasets are comparably low which is in the first instance related to the low temporal and spatial resolution of vertical profiles and the complexity of such systems. Since the introduction of this method by Honjo et al. (1984), studies on the vertical distribution of particulate matter were carried out throughout the world oceans (e.g. Diercks and Asper, 1997; Gardner and Walsh, 1990; Walsh and Gardner, 1992; Asper et al., 1992; MacIntyre et al., 1995; Ratmeyer and Wefer, 1996; Stemmann et al., 2002). Particle concentrations and distribution patterns are highly variable on small and large temporal and spatial scales. Significant changes in the particle concentrations were observed for 4 years in the Mediterranean Sea and were related to primary production, deep vertical mixing and thermal stratification (Stemmann et al., 2002). However, changes in the particle distribution occur also on daily scales and are affected by turbulence and mixing after wind events and biological factors such as grazing, growth or respiration (Walsh et al., 1995; Stemmann et al., 2000).

In this study, we present 9 vertical camera profiles from the Cape Blanc region. All profiles were obtained in the spring season (early April–late May) during three cruises between 2001 and 2003. We applied these data to discuss the following questions:

1. How is particulate matter distributed in the water column off Cape Blanc?
2. Which factors and mechanisms are responsible for specific particle abundance patterns in the water column?
3. What are the possible transport processes and pathways of particulate matter

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Investigation area

The study site is located off Cape Blanc/Mauritania and the investigation area is a rectangle of approximately 450 km × 300 km. The profiles were acquired during three cruises with the vessels RV Poseidon and RV Meteor. Profiles along Tansect 1 were acquired in April 2001 in east-west direction. Profiles along Tansect 2 were acquired alongshore during April and May 2002 and Tansect 3 in May/June 2003, again in east-west direction (Fig. 1). Profile depths range between 1200 m on the midslope areas down to 4000 m in the abyssal plains. The particle camera was usually lowered at a winch speed of 0.3 m s^{-1} from the surface to the seafloor, acquiring images from the entire water column at depth intervals of 10 m. A detailed station list is presented in Table 1.

### 2.2 Camera system description

The optical datasets were obtained with the deep-sea camera system ParCa, described in Ratmeyer and Wefer (1996). The optical setup is based on camera systems used by Honjo et al. (1984), Asper (1987) and others. ParCa employs a modified, programmable PHOTOSEA 70 middle format camera. Compared to standard 35 mm
camera systems, the 6×6 cm sized negatives provide images with a much higher resolution. ParCa is equipped with a light-sensitive KODAK TRI-X Pan film for enhanced results in low light situations. Up to 350 single frames can be stored in the camera’s magazine. A strobe, mounted perpendicular to the camera, shoots a collimated light beam of 12 cm width and the power supply is provided by a 24 V/38 Ah battery. The optical setup of the camera system provides sharp images without blurring effects. All system components are mounted in a galvanised frame, with a weight of approximately 200 kg. In comparison to the ParCa system described in Ratmeyer and Wefer (1996), the improved version is equipped with a SeaBird 36 telemetry unit and a SeaBird 19 CTD. The CTD transmits the pressure values to ParCa’s controller and triggers it at given depth intervals. The telemetry unit provides full control over the system and trigger depth information, while it is being lowered on the ship’s coaxial wire. To prevent interference with ambient light, ParCa was deployed during night times only.

2.3 Image capturing and data extraction

The digitalisation of the negatives after development was carried out by using an ADIMEC MX12 CCD 8-bit grey scale camera (1024×1024 pixel resolution) and an IC PCI Imaging Technology frame-grabber card. To provide a good size/resolution relationship of the acquired particles in the sample volume, a section of the negative was digitised. The size calibrated frame width of this section is equivalent to 187 cm×187 cm, resulting in a sample volume of 4.19 l. The smallest measurable particle size with this image analysis setup is 180 µm. This means that a portion of the small particle spectra is not acquired by the system, but the detection limit of ParCa is restricted to the physical limitations of its optical properties. Nevertheless, the detection limit is well within ranges of similar systems, such as the UVP IV (>90 µm, Gorsky et al., 2000) or the MSP (>600 µm, Lampitt et al., 1993). Within the digital image analysis software OPTIMAS, the automated image processing and data extraction procedure was provided by an adapted OPTIMAS macro file. This macro was used to export the datasets of particle abundance and particle size to a worksheet for further data preparation.

2.4 Particle abundance

The image analysis software recognises particles as areas in the 2-dimensional pictures. The abundance of particles was computed by counting the number of areas, i.e. particles, on each image. An 8-bit grey-scale threshold (Burdick, 1997; Parker, 1997) was used to distinguish between the background and the particles in the foreground. Zooplankton was excluded from the measurement by being cut away from the image. The size of the recognised particles is calculated as their Equivalent Spherical Diameter (ESD). An algorithm computes all areas to regular, geometrical circles and extracts the ESD.

2.5 Particle-size distribution

To investigate the in-situ vertical size distribution of particulate matter, 24 size classes were defined ranging from ≤185 µm to >2000 µm (Table 2). For illustrating the change of particle sizes with depth, the particle concentrations of each size class were averaged over a depth interval of 100 m. Afterwards, the absolute numbers of each size class were converted to their frequencies in percent and encoded into colour spectra, where blue colours represent low, and white to yellow colours high frequencies. This conversion from absolute numbers to frequencies was necessary, due to the broad range of particle concentrations acquired by the camera (n=2–250 part l⁻¹). The usage of absolute numbers prohibited a proper illustration of the size distribution in a way, that changes in the particle size spectra with depth become not visible.

2.6 SeaWiFS satellite imagery

SeaWiFS chlorophyll datasets with a 9 km pixel resolution were processed with the data analysis software SeaDAS in order to display the biomass distribution in the ocean surface during the camera deployments. The optimal method is to use daily
SeaWiFS datasets, corresponding with the deployment times of the particle camera. Unfortunately this was not possible because of cloud and/or dust cover on all except one ParCa deployment date. We therefore used 8-day averaged chlorophyll-a data for 2001 and 2003, but covering the deployment times of the camera system. Reliable chlorophyll values from 2002 for the corresponding ParCa deployment sites are only available for periods before or after the profiles were acquired. Because biomass production is highly variable in the area of investigation and can change within a few days, a chlorophyll image for this specific year was not known.

3 Results

3.1 Particle distribution patterns

The camera profiles acquired during three years in the spring season, show very similar particle distribution patterns (Figs. 2, 3, 4). Regarding the particle abundances, three distinct concentration maxima can be identified.

The first particle maximum is located in the surface water, down to depths of approximately 100 m. Concentrations in this layer are highly variable. Apart from GeoB 8628, all profiles are characterised by a second, subsurface maximum (Figs. 2, 3, 4; grey dashed line). This characteristic particle maximum is found in depths around 200 m at the coastal near sites (e.g. GeoB 7411, GeoB 8630) and around 400 m at site GeoB 7404, further offshore. Below the subsurface maximum, particle abundances decrease in the water column (e.g. GeoB 7408, GeoB 8630) or remain constant (e.g. GeoB 8628; GeoB 7920). A third particle maximum is located above the ocean bottom. No bottom maximum is seen at stations GeoB 7404, GeoB 7927, GeoB 7938 and GeoB 8628. At these specific sites, the camera was not lowered down to the seafloor.

The only site without such typical distribution pattern is site GeoB 7415 (Fig. 2d). Below the surface maximum, particle concentrations remain more or less constant down to 600 m. Between 600 m and 800 m a midwater particle maximum is seen.

Below 800 m, the particle concentration decreases constantly to the seafloor.

3.1.1 Particle abundances

While the particle distribution patterns all look very similar, particle concentration is highly variable on temporal as well as on spatial scales.

Particle abundances measured in the surface maximum were highest along Transect 3 in 2003 (Figs. 4a–b), reaching 105 part l⁻¹ in 10 m and more than 200 part l⁻¹ in 20 m depth at site GeoB 8630. Along Transect 1 (Figs. 2a–d) particle abundances ranged between 22 part l⁻¹ (GeoB 7404) and 78 part l⁻¹ (GeoB 7411). Very low concentrations were measured along Transect 2 (Figs. 3a–c), acquired in spring 2002. Particle abundances obtained during this campaign ranged between 17 part l⁻¹ (GeoB 7920) and 30 part l⁻¹ (GeoB 7927). Temporal variabilities in the surface waters are clearly observed during all three years in the investigation area. A good example for the interannual variability of particulate matter in this region are sites GeoB 7411 (Transect 1), GeoB 7920 (Transect 2) and GeoB 8630 (Transect 3). Although all three sites are not more than 60 km apart, particle concentration in the ocean surface differ significantly. Site GeoB 7411 (2001) and GeoB 8630 (2003) show highest measured particle concentrations of 105 part l⁻¹ in 21 m water depth and 78 part l⁻¹ in 10 m depth, respectively. At the adjoining site GeoB 7920, only 17 part l⁻¹ were measured during the field campaign in 2002, which is less than one fifth of the values observed in 2001 and 2003.

The same can be accounted for particle abundances acquired in the subsurface maximum. Lowest concentrations (6 part l⁻¹) in this particular layer were for instance measured at station GeoB 7920 (Transect 2) while more than 250 part l⁻¹ where observed at site GeoB 8630 along Transect 3. It may be noted, that the particle concentrations in the subsurface maximum, can exceed those found in the productive ocean surface. At sites GeoB 7408, GeoB 7411 and GeoB 8630, the abundance of particulate matter in the subsurface maximum is twice as high as compared to the surface concentrations.
Spatial varialities regarding the concentration in the surface waters, are clearly visible along transects, obtained in east-west direction. For instance, particle concentrations at the coastal near stations GeoB 7411 (Transect 1) and GeoB 8630 (Transect 3) are around 78 part l$^{-1}$ and 105 part l$^{-1}$, respectively. The corresponding distal stations GeoB 7404 and GeoB 8628, reach particle concentrations of 22 part l$^{-1}$ and 20 part l$^{-1}$, respectively. In contrast, profiles acquired alongshore (Transect 2) show very similar concentrations between 17 and 30 part l$^{-1}$ in the surface water.

The particle distribution pattern of site GeoB 7415 (Transect 1) is completely different compared to all other profiles and concentrations remain more or less constant between 100 m and 600 m (~10 part l$^{-1}$). A particle maximum is observed in the midwater column between 600 m and 800 m water depth, where particle abundances reach concentrations of more than 50 part l$^{-1}$. This is almost twice as much as the particle concentration measured in the surface water (30 part l$^{-1}$). Below the midwater particle maximum, particle abundances decrease constantly down to the seafloor as observed for some other camera profiles (e.g. GeoB 7411, GeoB 8630).

4 Size distribution

The description of the in-situ size distribution will focus on camera profiles GeoB 7411 (Fig. 6) and GeoB 7415 (Fig. 7). Apart from site GeoB 7415, all other stations show very similar size distribution patterns as described for site GeoB 7411 in this section. While profile GeoB 7415 is very different with respect to its particle abundance pattern and size distribution, it will be discussed separately.

GeoB 7411

Particles up to a size of 500 µm provide the majority of the size spectra. These small particles (size class 1–6) are distributed in high frequencies throughout the water column (Fig. 6). Highest frequencies of this size fraction are found in the upper part of the water column, between 75 m and 150 m depth. Medium sized particles (500 µm–1000 µm) appear to be distributed more evenly in the GeoB 7411 profile. Visible changes in the size distribution are only observed for the large particle sizes (>1000 µm). The frequency of particles larger than 1000 µm appear to increase slightly with increasing depth. However, changes in the particle abundances are obviously not connected with significant changes in the particle size distribution.

GeoB 7415

At site GeoB 7415, changes in the particle abundance are correlated with changes in the particle size spectra. The concentration profile of this site can be divided into three sections (Fig. 7). Down to a depth of 250 m, small particles (< 270 µm) are found in high frequencies, while particles >1000 µm are not found in this layer. An abrupt change in the size distribution is clearly visible below 250 m. Between 250 m and the bottom of the particle maximum around 800 m, larger particles >800 µm in diameter (> size class 14) are found in increased frequencies. In contrast, smaller particles, especially the fraction <270 µm (< size class 3), is depleted in these depths. Within the midwater particle maximum, located between 600 m and 800 m depth, highest frequencies of particles larger than 1000 µm are observed. Another visible change in the size spectra occurs below 800 m. Small particles (<270 µm) are measured in higher frequencies, while particles larger than 800 µm are seldom observed in the lower half of the water column.

4.1 Density

CTD density data are only available for profiles GeoB 7927, GeoB 7938 and GeoB 8630 (Figs. 5a–c). Distinct changes in the density structure are in the first instance observed in the upper water column, down to approximately 100 m water depth. Below 100 m, density increases constantly with increasing water depth. An increase in the particle concentration, corresponding with changes in the density, is only seen at site...
GeoB 7938 in 30 m depth. However, a connection between density and the observed subsurface particle maxima is apparently not the case. A significant change in the density structure with the corresponding depths of the subsurface peaks is not visible.

4.2 Chlorophyll distribution and particle abundances

During April 2001 (Fig. 8a), very high chlorophyll concentrations of more than 4 mg m\(^{-3}\) are predominantly found in the coastal region. Further offshore, chlorophyll concentrations decrease and are considerably lower (1 mg m\(^{-3}\) and lower). However, there are patches of increased biomass concentrations off the coastal areas that may have detached from the shelf. ParCa stations GeoB 7408 and GeoB 7411 are located within such a patch of increased biomass with values around 3 mg m\(^{-3}\). At site GeoB 7411 for instance, particle abundance at 20 m water depth is 78 part l\(^{-1}\) and the corresponding chlorophyll value is 3.31 mg m\(^{-3}\). Compared to site GeoB 7404, located far offshore in an area of much lower biomass concentration (0.89 mg m\(^{-3}\)), the particle concentration is only 22 part l\(^{-1}\).

During late May 2003 (Fig. 8b), areas of very high biomass concentrations extended far offshore. Biomass concentration at the coastal near site GeoB 8630 is 2.98 mg m\(^{-3}\) and the corresponding particle concentration in 10 m depth is 105 part l\(^{-1}\). Chlorophyll concentration at the distal site GeoB 8628 is only 0.31 mg m\(^{-3}\) with a comparatively low particle abundance of 20 part l\(^{-1}\).

It appears that there is a qualitative connection between the biomass concentration and the particle abundance in the surface water. Areas of high chlorophyll values are characterised by higher particle concentrations in the surface water while low particle abundances are measured in areas of low biomass productivity.

5 Discussion

Based on our observations, we distinguish between two situations. The first will be referred to as “Standard situation” while the second situation likely reflects a “sinking event”, where particulate matter is transferred rapidly to the seafloor.

5.1 Situation 1 – “Standard situation”

We observed strong temporal variabilities regarding the particle concentrations in the surface water between the three spring seasons. ParCa stations GeoB 7411 (Transect 1, April 2001), GeoB 7920 (Transect 2, May 2002) and GeoB 8630 (Transect 3, May 2003) are all located approximately 60 km apart. While the spatial distances between these sites are rather small, particle abundances can differ by a factor >5 between years. These variations may be explained by interannual changes in the biomass production in the ocean surface. Increased biomass production is generally found within the giant filament structures, present throughout the year, while the water surrounding the filaments is characterised by far lower pigment concentrations (Van Camp et al., 1991). Pigment concentrations off Cape Blanc vary significantly on interannual scales (Davenport, personal communication). This is also observed in the SeaWiFS images from early April 2001 and late May 2003 (Figs. 8a–b). The distribution pattern of satellite derived chlorophyll-a concentration is completely different during the observation period from 2003 compared to 2001 and is probably responsible for the different particle abundances acquired in the same area.

Apart from interannual variabilities in the particle concentration between the three campaigns, spatial differences are clearly visible. We observed a decreasing trend in the particle concentrations with increasing distance from the coast. For instance, the coastal near sites GeoB 7411 or GeoB 8630 are characterised by far higher concentrations in the entire water column, compared to the distal sites GeoB 7404 and GeoB 8628, respectively. This observation can be explained by the far lower biomass further offshore, compared to the coastal near areas (Figs. 8a–b). Thus we think, that particle
concentration in the surface contains a biological signature and that it is closely linked to the biomass concentration. Although concentrations vary on temporal and spatial scales, the particle distribution patterns look very similar. We argue, that the profiles presented here, represent a typical distribution pattern of particles in the water column during the observation periods off Cape Blanc. Due to logistical reasons, camera profiles from other seasons are not available for comparison purposes. All profiles show a subsurface particle maximum below the euphotic zone. This characteristic maximum is found around 200 m depth at station close to the coast (e.g. GeoB 7411, GeoB 7927, GeoB 8630) and 400 m depth further offshore (GeoB 7404). Several mechanisms may be responsible for the observed subsurface particle maximum layer. Particulate matter is reported to accumulate even at modest density gradients (Condie, 1999; Gorsky et al., 1992; MacIntyre et al., 1995). However, a clear relationship between the density structure and the subsurface particle maxima is not seen in any of the profiles and it appears, as if the density has no influence on the particle distribution in this specific layer.

A numerical experiment described in the companion paper Karakas et al. (2006) clearly showed, that biological detritus produced in the ocean surface is not able to produce a subsurface maximum as observed in the optical measurements. The model computed a clear signal in the euphotic zone, but the amount of biodetritus decreases rapidly beyond depths of 150 m. Thus, biodetritus alone cannot be responsible for generating a particle maximum beyond the euphotic zone. More likely is that at least a portion of the material found in the subsurface particle maximum, originates from the shelf areas and is laterally advected by filament activity. This hypothesis was likewise tested with aid of model computations (Karakas et al., 2006). In the high resolution 3-D hydrostatic model simulation, material with a sinking velocity of 5 m day$^{-1}$ was released, taking into account the mean climatological conditions off Cape Blanc. The source of the material is located on the shelf break, at approximately 135 m depth. The signal of the advected material coincides with the subsurface maximum layers, seen in the camera profiles. Hence, the model provides good evidence that at least some of the material in the subsurface maximum acquired by the camera, is of shelf origin. While the subsurface maximum is located around 200 m depth at sites close to the coast (e.g. GeoB 7411; GeoB 8630), it is situated around 400 m at site GeoB 7404 further offshore. This may be explained by the slow, but continuous sinking of the material, while advected offshore. The lateral advection of particulate matter from the shelf areas towards the open ocean has been described in several publications (e.g. Freudenthal et al., 2001; Neuer et al., 1997). Our results show, that particles produced along the shelf areas are advected several hundred kilometers offshore in a subsurface maximum layer system, beyond the euphotic zone. The subsurface layer might be the place of mixing processes of older, laterally advected material from the shelf areas, with relatively fresh material derived from the ocean surface. This may be an important mechanism regarding the re-distribution and quality of particulate matter off Cape Blanc.

A third particle maximum was generally found close to the seafloor. Higher particle loads above the seafloor are seen in all camera profiles, where images were acquired down to the ocean bottom (GeoB 7408, GeoB 7411, GeoB 7920, GeoB 8630). Current activity along the ocean bottom is reported to transport resuspended material over long distances (Thomsen, 2003). Such a downslope transport of particulate matter from the shelf towards the open ocean was suggested by Fütterer (1983) for the Cape Blanc region. The sediments from the shelves are free of the fine sediment fraction and same author suggests downslope transport by a nepheloid layer system or grain-by-grain. Model computations were able to reproduce increased particle concentrations close to the seafloor, indicating an apparent offshore vectored downslope transport of material (Karakas et al., 2006).

However, there is a problem in the combination of in-situ particle measurements and model results. We argued, that a portion of the material in the subsurface maximum is originating from the shelf areas and laterally advected offshore by filament activity. The model applies particle specific sinking velocities of 5 m day$^{-1}$. Particle sizes cannot be incorporated into the computation. On the other hand, the camera acquires particles
sinking event, where material is transferred on a short temporal scale from the ocean surface to the seafloor. This study. The particle and size distribution of site GeoB 7415 (Fig. 7) may indicate a sinking event but it appears to be an unrealistic approach, although there are still uncertainties related to insufficient understanding of the particle dynamics in the ocean.

5.2 Situation 2: sinking event

Site GeoB 7415 differs distinctively from the general distribution pattern described in this study. The particle and size distribution of site GeoB 7415 (Fig. 7) may indicate a sinking event, where material is transferred on a short temporal scale from the ocean surface to the seafloor.

We assume, that site GeoB 7415 initially possessed the typical particle distribution pattern as described for the profiles presented above. The material from the ocean surface starts to sink at higher rates than the particulate matter in the remaining column. While sinking through the water column, smaller particles are preferably scavenged, because they are more abundant. This results in a depletion of these small particles above and within the sinking cloud. This depletion (particles <500 \(\mu\)m) is clearly visible in the size spectra. This in turn, results in a coarsening of the material seen above and within the midwater maximum, where larger particles prevail. These scavenging processes are known to be important for the growth and removal of particulate matter in the water column (Allredge and Gotschalk, 1989; Stolzenbach, 1993). As seen in the in-situ size spectra plot, small particles are available in high frequencies below the midwater particle maximum. We suggest that while the cloud progresses through the water column, particles of these size classes will be depleted in a similar way as observed in the water column above the midwater maximum.

Pulsed-like sedimentation events were observed by sediment trap records with high contents of trapped material in one sample interval, for instance in the Bransfield Strait (Berger and Wefer, 1990) or in the North Atlantic Ocean (Lampitt, 1985). A sedimentation pulse of pteropod shells was reported Fischer et al. (1996a) in the Cape Blanc region. Such events may be triggered by episodic phytoplankton blooms. Although they occur on small spatial and temporal scales, their intensity is reported to play an important role for the export production (Deuser et al., 1988). Müller and Fischer (2001) reported average sinking rates between 63 m d\(^{-1}\) and 88 m d\(^{-1}\) off Cape Blanc which can occasionally reach 280 m d\(^{-1}\). These very high sinking speeds appear to be connected to an export of coccolithophorids after a bloom. Fischer et al. (1996b) estimated time scales for sedimentation events from several days to a few hours for the Canary Island region. Another trigger mechanisms might be the input of wind transported dust from the African continent. Outbreaks of sandstorms over the African continent are strongly coupled with the input of high-density lithogenic material into the Atlantic Ocean (Schütz et al., 1981; Swap et al., 1996). The interaction of marine particulate
matter with episodically imported dust from the Sahara leads a “ballasting” or “particle loading” (Ramaswamy et al., 1991; Stolzenbach, 1993). Terrigenous grains collide with phytodetritus in the water column, resulting in the forming of high-density aggregates in the surface waters, leading to higher sinking rates. Obviously, increased lithogenic fluxes are coupled to the prevailing atmospheric conditions off Cape Blanc. Ratmeyer et al. (1999a) observed highest lithogenic and Al fluxes during winter and spring, concurrent with highest atmospheric dust loads in the lower troposphere. On the other hand Passow (2004) argued, that mineral grains may be too small to sink at significant speeds which leads to an excess of lithogenic material below the mixed layer. POC-rich marine snow aggregates passing this layer, may scavenge the lithogenic particles to a maximum loading capacity, transferring them rapidly to the seafloor.

6 Summary

In this study, 9 vertical camera profiles acquired in the upwelling system off Cape Blanc/NW-Africa were presented. The camera profiles were obtained during three campaigns in the spring of 2001, 2002 and 2003.

Particle concentrations in the ocean surface underlie interannual and spatial variabilities and were related to variabilities in the biomass concentration. A qualitative comparison of particle abundances in the ocean surface and satellite derived chlorophyll-a concentration revealed, that the amount of particulate matter in the surface water is linked to the biomass concentration and thus carried a biological signature. All profiles were characterised by a subsurface maximum, located around 200 m depth at sites close to the coast and 400 m depth at camera stations further offshore. With the aid of a numerical experiment, as described in a companion paper, it was shown that biodetritus is unable to produce such a subsurface maximum, as observed in the optical measurements. At least a portion of the material found in this maximum layer originates from the shelf areas, laterally advected offshore by filament activity. This hypothesis was likewise tested with the aid of model computations. Most profiles are characterised by an increased particle load above the seafloor, suggesting a downslope transport of particulate matter from the shelf areas to deeper parts of the continental slope. A special sedimentation event was observed during a campaign in 2001. A rapid sinking particle cloud preferably scavenged the more abundant smaller and slower sinking particles. This resulted in a depletion of small particles above and a coarsening of the material within the particle cloud. However, the trigger mechanism behind such events could not be clarified on the basis of our datasets.

7 Conclusions

This study shows, how in-situ observations can be combined with model computations. With aid of the model simulations, mechanisms discussed to be responsible for the generation of specific particle distribution patterns, could be tested. Most of the discussion regarding the transportation pathways (e.g. offshore advected transport) would be difficult to justify without the model results and are not possible solely on the basis of vertical particle profiles. Camera profiles acquire the actual particle distribution at a specific time and place and sampling is of a punctiform nature. Thus, computer models are helpful for interpreting and understanding large scale patterns of particle transport and distribution, but rely on in-situ measurements for verification purposes. What complicates the approach between in-situ measurements and the numerical experiments is the lack of knowledge whether there is a relationship between size and sinking rate. New technologies, such as remotely operated vehicles, may be able to trace sinking particles in-situ and sample them below depths divers can acces to gain more information about their individual properties.
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Table 1. ParCa station list: positions and depths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Lon.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POS 272</td>
<td>GeoB 7404</td>
<td>20°38.1′ N</td>
<td>21°10.2′ W</td>
<td>4 April 2001</td>
<td>4313</td>
<td>4170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2001</td>
<td>GeoB 7408</td>
<td>20°17.1′ N</td>
<td>18°14.8′ W</td>
<td>6 April 2001</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>1820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeoB 7411</td>
<td>20°23.6′ N</td>
<td>18°01.1′ W</td>
<td>6 April 2001</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>1180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeoB 7415</td>
<td>20°48.6′ N</td>
<td>18°15.7′ W</td>
<td>7 April 2001</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 53-1</td>
<td>GeoB 7920</td>
<td>20°45.2′ N</td>
<td>18°34.9′ W</td>
<td>24 April 2002</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>2280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April/May 2002</td>
<td>GeoB 7927</td>
<td>19°56.3′ N</td>
<td>19°09.1′ W</td>
<td>27 April 2002</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeoB 7938</td>
<td>18°50.1′ N</td>
<td>18°02.5′ W</td>
<td>1 May 2002</td>
<td>2606</td>
<td>2546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 58-2b</td>
<td>GeoB 8628</td>
<td>21°16.8′ N</td>
<td>20°46.7′ W</td>
<td>30 May 2003</td>
<td>4159</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June 2003</td>
<td>GeoB 8630</td>
<td>20°49.0′ N</td>
<td>17°58.2′ W</td>
<td>1 June 2003</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Size classifications and ranges for particles.

| Size Class | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Range (µm) | ≤185 | 245 | 269 | 291 | 301 | 429 | 471 | 517 | 623 | 684 | 751 | 825 | 905 | 994 | 1091 | 1198 | 1295 | 1392 | 1490 | 1588 | 1686 | 1784 | 1882 | 1980 |
| Range (mm) | ≤0.5 | >0.5–≤1 | >1–<2 | >2 | 930 |
Fig. 1. Map of camera stations acquired during RV Poseidon cruise POS 272 (2001), RV Meteor cruise M 53-1 (2002) and RV Meteor cruise M 58-1 (2003). All profiles were obtained during spring in the highly dynamic upwelling system off Cape Blanc/Mauritania.

Fig. 2. Vertical distribution of particulate matter off Cape Blanc. Although particle abundances are variable throughout the years, the distribution patterns look very similar (except GeoB 7415). All profiles, apart from GeoB 8628, are characterised by a subsurface particle maximum (grey dashed line) located between 200 m and 400 m depth. A bottom near particle maximum is seen at sites, where images were acquired down to the seafloor. Note, that there is a picture gap at profile GeoB 7408 (Fig. 2b) between 630 m and 1270 m (dashed line).
Fig. 3. As Fig. 2.

Fig. 4. As Fig. 2.
Fig. 5. Close-up of camera stations with available CTD data. Significant changes in the density structure occur only in the upper water column but a connection between the density and the subsurface particle maximum is not seen.

Fig. 6. Particle concentration and size distribution at site GeoB 7411. Changes in the particle abundance are obviously not correlated with significant changes in the particle size distribution.
Fig. 7. Concentration and size distribution of profile GeoB 7415 very likely reflects a sinking event, where material is transferred rapidly from the ocean surface to the seafloor. The sinking cloud (600 m–800 m) preferably scavenges the more abundant smaller size fraction on its way through the water column. This results in a depletion of small particles and a coarsening of the material above and within the sinking cloud (see text for further description).

Fig. 8. Chlorophyll distribution off Cape Blanc during early April 2001 and late May 2003 acquired by SeaWiFS. Figure 5a is an 8 day average of the chlorophyll distribution starting with day 089 (30 March 2001) and ending with day 096 (6 April 2003). Figure 5b is an 8 day chlorophyll average starting with day 145 (25 May 2003) and ending with day 152 (1 June 2003).