115 year ice-core data from Akademii Nauk ice cap, Severnaya Zemlya: high-resolution record of Eurasian Arctic climate change
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ABSTRACT. From 1999 to 2001 a 724 m deep ice core was drilled on the Akademii Nauk ice cap (Severnaya Zemlya) to gain high-resolution proxy data from the Central Russian Arctic. Despite strong summertime meltwater percolation, this ice core provides valuable information on the regional climate and environmental history. We present data of stable water isotopes, melt-layer content and major ions from the uppermost 57 m of this core, covering the period 1883–1998. Dating was achieved by counting seasonal isotopic cycles and using reference horizons. Multi-annual δ18O values reflect Eurasian sub-Arctic surface air temperature variations. We found strong correlations to instrumental temperature data from some stations (e.g. r = 0.62 for Vardø/Northern Norway). The δ18O values show pronounced 20th-century temperature changes with a strong rise about 1920 and the absolute temperature maximum in the 1930s. A recent decrease in the deuterium-excess time series indicates an increasing role of the Kara Sea as a regional moisture source. From the multi-annual ion variations we deduced decreasing sea-salt aerosol trends in the 20th century, as reflected by sodium and chloride, whereas sulphate and nitrate are strongly affected by anthropogenic pollution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ice cores are well known as one of the best archives for providing information on palaeoclimatic and palaeoenvironmental changes. These data are very valuable for climate research, especially for regions or time periods with only few meteorological observations. For the Arctic, ice cores from the dry snow zone of the Greenland ice sheet contribute data of more than a hundred thousand years (e.g. North Greenland Ice Core Project (NorthGRIP) members, 2004). However, for a more comprehensive view on the climate changes on a more regional scale and over shorter timescales, more data from Arctic regions outside Greenland are needed. Therefore, in the Eurasian Arctic several ice cores from ice caps on Svalbard (Isaksson and others, 2001; Watanabe and others, 2001) and on Franz Josef Land (FJL) (Henderson, 2002) have been drilled and analysed recently. Ice caps in the Eurasian Arctic are relatively small and have a relatively low altitude compared with the Greenland ice sheet as well as with Canadian Arctic ice caps. Moreover, they have higher accumulation rates. Thus, they mostly contain records of only several hundred years. Additionally, they are characterized by summer surface melting and infiltration processes, which alter these ice-core records further (e.g. Koerner, 1997). Nevertheless, the small Arctic ice caps contain valuable palaeoclimatic and palaeoenvironmental records (e.g. Henderson, 2002; Isaksson and others, 2005).

The easternmost considerable ice caps of the Arctic exist on the Severnaya Zemlya (SZ) archipelago, located in the Central Russian Arctic between the Kara Sea in the west and the Laptev Sea in the east (Fig. 1). SZ is vulnerable to climatic and environmental changes due to its position in the high latitudes and in the transition zone from the Atlantic-influenced Western Siberian to continental Eastern Siberian Arctic climate. In the last decades, several ice cores have been drilled on SZ ice caps (see next section). They were analysed only in a relatively low resolution, resulting in an uncertain maximum time resolution and questionable timescales. To improve the resolution of palaeoclimatic data, to check the previous timescales and to evaluate the alteration of the original atmospheric deposition by melting and infiltration, a new 724 m long surface-to-bedrock core was drilled on Akademii Nauk (AN) ice cap from 1999 to 2001 at 80°31’ N, 94°49’ E (fritzsche and others, 2002).

In this paper, we present high-resolution data of stable water isotopes, melt-layer content as well as major ions from the uppermost 57 m of this core, covering the time period 1883–1998. The uppermost 57 m section was chosen because this is the only section with high-resolution ion data. We discuss the palaeoclimatic significance of the ice-core proxies by comparing them with meteorological and palaeoclimatic data from the Eurasian sub-Arctic and the deduced climatic features.

2. PREVIOUS SEVERNAYA ZEMLYA ICE CORES

Between 1978 and 1988, scientists from the former Soviet Union drilled eight ice cores on SZ (seven on Vavilov ice cap and one on AN ice cap) (Kotlyakov and others, 2004). Six of these cores were sampled and analysed, but only in low resolution (about 2 m and less). Some of these data are available, provided by the database ‘Deep Drilling of Glaciers in Eurasian Arctic’ (DDGA) at http://www.pangea.de/search?q=ddga.

Dating of these cores was achieved by a combination of annual layer counting (based on variations of optical density,
electrical conductivity and non-specified stratigraphic features), ice-flow models and wiggle matching with ice-core records from Greenland and Antarctica. These methods led to different age-models for the SZ ice cores. For the near-bottom ice layers of AN ice cap as well as of Vavilov ice cap, ages between 10 and 40 kyr were published, but due to uncertainties in the applied dating methods, these ages seem to be distinctly overestimated (Kotlyakov and others, 2004, and references therein). However, according to Koerner and Fisher (2002), the AN ice cap, as the thickest and coldest ice cap on SZ, is the only one in the Eurasian Arctic with the potential for a Late Pleistocene age.

Stable-isotope data ($^{18}O$, $^D$) from the previous ice cores revealed a marked warming trend for the last 150 years (Tarussov, 1992; Kotlyakov and others, 2004). The older climatic implications, though, are questionable due to the aforementioned inadequate dating methods.

3. STUDY AREA

3.1. Climate conditions on Severnaya Zemlya

In general, the climate of SZ is typical for the High Arctic. The large-scale atmospheric circulation is dominated by the low-pressure area over the Barents Sea and Kara Sea and by the high-pressure areas over Siberia and the Arctic Ocean (Bolshiyanov and Makeev, 1995; Alexandrov and others, 2000). During wintertime, anticyclone circulation connected with the Siberian and Arctic highs dominates, but cyclones coming from the Kara Sea reach the archipelago. In spring, the circulation shows winter characteristics, but with lower pressure gradients and cyclone activity. The summer is characterized by continually decreasing cyclone activity and the formation of a high-pressure area. In autumn, wintertime pressure fields start to develop and the cyclone activity increases to its annual maximum.

Meteorological measurements on SZ started only in 1930. Unfortunately, there are some data gaps in the 1930s and 1940s. Golomyanny station (WMO number 20087, Fig. 1), located on a small island at the western tip of SZ (7 m a.s.l.) has an annual mean surface air temperature (SAT) of $-14.7^\circ C$ for the period 1951–1980 (Alexandrov and others, 2000). After a SAT maximum in the 1950s, Golomyanny data show a cooler period until 1980 and a warming trend since 1990, though without reaching the values of the 1950s (data from Polyakov and others, 2003b, http://www.frontier.iarc.uaf.edu/~igor/research/data/airtemppres.php).

Annual mean precipitation is 186 mm at Golomyanny station (Alexandrov and others, 2000), whereas the ice caps receive about 400 mm distributed throughout the year with maxima in summer and autumn (Bolshiyanov and Makeev, 1995). According to the general circulation pattern, Bolshiyanov and Makeev (1995) demonstrated that the main part of precipitation on the Vavilov ice cap occurs in connection with southern and south-western winds, caused by north-eastward movement of moisture bearing cyclones over the Kara Sea. The autumn precipitation maximum is connected to the increase in cyclonic activity: depressions causing much precipitation move from the North Atlantic. Cyclones, formed over Northern Europe and Western Siberia, are responsible for the summer precipitation maximum. An air-mass transport mainly from Asia, Northern Eurasia, Europe and the Atlantic Ocean, as identified by trajectory analysis for spring (Vinogradova and Egorov, 1996) and summer (Vinogradova and Ponomareva, 1999), confirms these findings. We assume a similar pattern and origin...
of precipitation for the nearby AN ice cap, situated about 150 km north of the Vavilov ice cap.

3.2. Drilling site characterization and melting processes

Covering an area of 5575 km², the dome-shaped AN ice cap is the largest one on SZ and in the whole Russian Arctic, reaching a maximum elevation of about 750 m a.s.l. (Dowdeswell and others, 2002). To avoid a disturbed core stratigraphy, the drilling site was chosen in an area with flat subglacial topography and low horizontal ice-flow velocity (Fritzsche and others, 2002). Although situated near the summit, the drilling site is located in the percolation zone and therefore is affected by infiltration of meltwater during summer – like almost all Arctic ice caps outside interior Greenland. We assume that melting and infiltration processes occur in almost every summer due to temperatures above 0°C and/or high insolation.

An automatic weather station (AWS) was run at the drilling site between May 1999 and May 2000, with a data gap of 2 months (during February and April 2000) due to a breakdown in power supply (Kuhn, 2000). The AWS data give the opportunity to characterize the temperature conditions near the drilling point for the 1999 summer season. Figure 2 illustrates daily mean values of air temperature (2.5 m above snow cover at the start in May 1999), snow height (calculated from the distance between sensor and snow cover, relative to the start) and snow temperature (0.5 m below snow cover at the start). Clearly visible are temperatures above 0°C in July and August, causing snow melt and decrease of snow height. Strong increases in snow temperature within a few days, corresponding with decreasing snow height, indicate infiltration events. The meltwater pulses in form of latent-heat flow reach depths of 1 m (height difference between snow height and snow temperature logger) as a minimum, at least in fresh snow.[AUTHOR: please clarify meaning of ‘in form of latent-heat flow...’]. At the end of August, almost all the snow that had fallen since May had sintered and melted, before new snow accumulation began. The maximum percolation depth on AN ice cap is uncertain. However, percolation deeper than two to three annual layers seems unlikely, since the ice layers, formed by refrozen meltwater, should be barriers for further infiltration. For the Lomono-sofonna ice core, extensive studies of Pohjola and others (2002a) showed that the infiltrated meltwater typically refreezes within the recent annual layer.

The annual mean air temperature for May 1999–April 2000 was calculated as –15.7°C (Kuhn, 2000). Compared with the measured 10 m depth temperature of –10.2°C in April 2000, this indicates latent-heat flow of infiltrating meltwater, as mentioned above. From a comparison of AWS and Golomyanny SAT data, we calculated a mean temperature gradient of ~0.5°C/100 m altitude, with lowest values in summer and highest values in autumn as a result of sea-level freezing processes with release of latent heat.

4. METHODS

The AN ice core was processed and sampled in the cold laboratory of the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research in Bremerhaven. At first, electrical conductivity and density were determined in 5 mm resolution by using dielectrical [AUTHOR: spelling OK?] profiling and γ-

absorption techniques (Wilhelms, 2000). Thereafter, two core-axis-parallel slices were cut. Samples for stable water-isotope measurements were taken from the first 11 mm thick slice in a very high resolution of 25 mm. For the determination of δ18O and δD we used a Finnigan-MAT Delta S mass spectrometer with an analytical precision of better than ±0.1‰ for δ18O and ±0.8‰ for δD (Meyer and others, 2000). In total, we analysed ~2248 samples for the 57 m considered here.

The second 30 mm thick slice was polished and scanned with a line-scan camera (Svensson and others, 2005) for stratigraphical analysis and identification of melt layers. Subsequently, theses slices were used for glaciochemical studies. For the uppermost 53 m discrete samples were taken continuously under clean conditions in a resolution of 60 mm (for details of sampling see Weiler and others, 2005). For the remaining 4 m of the considered core section, we took discrete samples in a resolution of about 30 mm by using a melting device for continuous flow analysis (CFA) coupled with an autosampler system, which was also used for the analysis of the ice cores from Greenland (NorthGRIP) and Antarctica (EPICA) (for details see Ruth and others, 2004). A Dionex IC20 ion chromatograph was used to analyse the melted samples for anions (methanesulphonate (MSA−), Cl−, NO3−, SO42−) and cations (Na+, NH4+, Mg2+, Ca2+) (for details see Weiler and others, 2005).

5. DATING APPROACH AND AGE MODEL

We dated the presented ice-core section and determined the annual layer thickness by identifying different reference horizons as well as by counting seasonal isotopic cycles. As
reference horizon, we used the 1963 radioactivity peak caused by the fallout from nuclear bomb tests, as determined by \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) measurements (Fritzsche and others, 2002; Pinglot and others, 2003). Additionally, we interpreted two peaks in electrical conductivity as well as sulphate as deposits of the volcanic eruptions of Bezymianny/Kamchatka, 1956 (Weiler and others, 2005) and of Katmai/Alaska, 1912 (section 6.3).

Seasonal signals of \(\delta^{18}\text{O}, \delta D\) and deuterium excess \(d\) (\(d = \delta D - 8\delta^{18}\text{O}\)) are detectable in AN ice-core isotopic data, even though altered from the originally deposited signal in the snowpack and smoothed due to melting and infiltration processes. The counted annual marks (\(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) winter minima and corresponding \(d\) maxima) confirm the reference horizons and yield an age of 116 years in annual resolution for the 57 m core section studied. As a result of the high-resolution sampling, each year is represented by a mean of 20 samples (min. 9, max. 44). However, the possibility of substantial runoff or strong modification of the original isotopic signature has to be taken into account. This could lead to complete smoothing of annual cycles or creation of additional peaks, which could possibly be counted as additional years.

The resulting depth–age relationship and annual layer thickness are displayed in Figure 3. The annual layer thickness shows distinct variations on different scales. The mean value for the period 1883–1998 is 0.41 m water equivalent (w.e.). In general, the mean annual layer thickness shows lower values (0.36 m.w.e.) before and higher values (0.44 m.w.e.) after 1935. A similar increase of annual layer thickness in the second half of the 20th century also occurred on Lomonossofovnna on Svalbard (Pohjola and others, 2002b).

As another approach for dating the upper AN ice-core section, Weiler and others (2005) counted Na\(^+\) peaks as assumed annual markers. They determined 94 years in the 0–53 m core section, 15 years less than by the above-mentioned dating method for the same depth interval. Deviations between both dating approaches occur over the whole core section, but mainly in the 1950s and 1920s, probably caused by strong melting.

An important hint for the reliability of our age model is given by the coincidence of a strong rise in 1920 in both the \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) and SAT data (as shown in Fig. 5 below), which could be used as additional reference horizon (Watanabe and others, 2001). This leads to the conclusion that the chemical profile of the AN ice core is modified more by infiltration processes than the isotopic record, as reported also for a Svalbard ice core from Lomonossofovnna (Pohjola and others, 2002a). Therefore, counting seasonal isotopic cycles and crosschecking with reference layers seem to be more promising for dating the AN ice core than counting chemical signals. In the following discussion, we use the dating shown in Figure 3 and estimate the dating accuracy to be better than ±3 years after 1920 and up to ±5 years at the end of the 19th century.

Fritzsche and others (2005) found that the AN ice cap was not in dynamic steady state, but had been growing until recent times. They calculated the age of the near-bottom ice of the AN ice core at approximately 2500 years. Therefore, it is distinctly younger than assumed before drilling this ice core. Most likely, the AN ice cap had disappeared almost completely during the Holocene thermal maximum and started growing again to the present state thereafter.

6. RESULTS, CLIMATIC IMPLICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

6.1. Stable water-isotope ratios \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) and \(\delta D\)

Due to their dependence on condensation temperatures, stable water-isotope ratios \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) and \(\delta D\) in precipitation are commonly accepted as valuable proxy for local to regional scale temperatures in high polar latitudes. For the AN ice core, the relationship between all \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) and \(\delta D\) values in the presented core section is \(\delta D = 7.46\delta^{18}\text{O} - 0.11\) (\(R^2 = 0.98, n = 2248\), Fig. 4). Considering only the annual mean values, derived by averaging all isotope values between the defined annual marks, the isotopic relationship is calculated as \(\delta D = 7.55\delta^{18}\text{O} + 1.84\) (\(R^2 = 0.99, n = 116\), Fig. 4). Both relationships are close together and can be interpreted as a Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL). Additionally, they differ only slightly from the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL), which is defined theoretically as \(\delta D = 8\delta^{18}\text{O} + 10\) (Craig, 1961) and calculated on a global scale as \(\delta D = 8.17\delta^{18}\text{O} + 10.35\) (Rozanski and others, 1993). From this, it follows that the mean isotopic composition of the AN ice core is not affected by considerable changes owing to evaporation. Therefore, the AN ice-core stable-isotope data seem to be suitable for palaeoclimate studies. Statistical
descriptions of the stable water-isotope data (single values and annual mean values) are given in Table 1.

For palaeoclimatic consideration of stable-isotope data, we focused on $\delta^{18}O$, which is more common in Arctic ice-core studies and therefore suitable for comparisons. To evaluate the palaeoclimatic significance of AN $\delta^{18}O$ data, we compared them with instrumental as well as composited SAT time series and other ice-core data. For the calculation of correlation coefficients the time series were detrended by removing the linear trends. We used annual mean values and 5 year running mean (5yrm) values of AN $\delta^{18}O$ and SAT data, since the effects of melting, infiltration and refreezing processes on the stable-isotope data should be reduced or even negligible when smoothing the dataset over 5 years.

We did not find any good agreement between AN $\delta^{18}O$ data and SAT time series from the nearest meteorological station, Golomyanny ($R_{5yrm} = 0.62$) and Arkhangelsk ($R_{5yrm} = 0.61$) stations, located at the Barents Sea and White Sea coasts, respectively (Fig. 5, data from Climate Research Unit (CRU) Norwich, Brohan and others, 2006). Compared with Golomyanny, their SATs are not (or in the case of Arkhangelsk to a much lesser extent) influenced by the occurrence of sea ice. The good correlations and similarities of the time series reveal again the influence of the Atlantic Ocean via the Barents Sea and Kara Sea on SZ region SAT conditions. Additionally, we found a strong correlation ($R_{5yrm} = 0.72$, Fig. 5) with the composite Arctic (north of 62$^\circ$) SAT anomalies time series of Polyakov and others (2003b). However, one should note that these strong correlations are mainly due to common multi-annual variability (Table 2).

The strong correlations to instrumental and composite SAT data show that AN $\delta^{18}O$ data are representative for SAT changes, not only for the Central Russian Arctic, but also for the Western and possibly whole Eurasian Arctic and sub-Arctic. Since there are no meteorological data from the Central Russian Arctic and only a few time series in the Eurasian Arctic spanning more than 100 years, our high-resolution AN $\delta^{18}O$ data are very valuable for further palaeoclimatic studies in the Eurasian Arctic.

The AN $\delta^{18}O$ time series shows a distinct increasing trend with pronounced changes since 1883. Starting from a low level of about –22%o, $\delta^{18}O$ values increased to values of –18%o at about 1920 and 1940. From 1950 to the 1980s they oscillated about –20%o and rose again afterwards. Besides the strong warming in the first two decades of the 20th century the most prominent feature of the AN $\delta^{18}O$ time

![Fig. 4. $\delta^{18}O$-D diagram for (a) single values and (b) annual mean values of the AN ice core. Grey dots represent single values, the thick black lines represent the regression fit and the dashed grey line represents the GMWL.](image)

| Table 1. Statistical descriptions of AN ice-core stable water-isotope data |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                                   | $\delta^{18}O$ | $\delta D$ | $\delta D$ |
|                                   | Single values | Annual mean values | Single values | Annual mean values |
| N                                  | 2248          | 116           | 2248          | 116               |
| Max.                               | –13.8         | –16.6         | –104.0        | –122.2            |
| Min.                               | –27.4         | –24.6         | –206.5        | –183.1            |
| Range                              | 13.6          | 7.9           | 102.5         | 60.9              |
| Mean                               | –20.1         | –20.2         | –150.0        | –150.7            |
| Median                             | –20.0         | –20.2         | –149.1        | –150.8            |
| Variance                           | 4.0           | 2.0           | 225.8         | 114.6             |
| Standard deviation                 | 2.0           | 1.4           | 15.0          | 10.7              |

local climate. In contrast, temperature conditions at the AN ice-cap summit, as well as at the precipitation condensation level, are relatively independent of the local sea-ice occurrence at Golomyanny.

Since there are no Central Russian Arctic SAT time series reaching back to the 19th century, we chose additional stations, mainly from the Atlantic and Eurasian sub-Arctic, with respect to their spatial vicinity on the one hand and temporal extension of the time series on the other. We present the correlations for some of these SAT time series in Table 2. The best accordance between AN $\delta^{18}O$ and instrumental SAT time series (1883–1998) was found for Vardø ($R_{5yrm} = 0.62$) and Arkhangelsk ($R_{5yrm} = 0.61$) stations, located at the Barents Sea and White Sea coasts, respectively (Fig. 5, data from Climate Research Unit (CRU) Norwich, Brohan and others, 2006). Compared with Golomyanny, their SATs are not (or in the case of Arkhangelsk to a much lesser extent) influenced by the occurrence of sea ice. The good correlations and similarities of the time series reveal again the influence of the Atlantic Ocean via the Barents Sea and Kara Sea on SZ region SAT conditions. Additionally, we found a strong correlation ($R_{5yrm} = 0.72$, Fig. 5) with the composite Arctic (north of 62$^\circ$) SAT anomalies time series of Polyakov and others (2003b). However, one should note that these strong correlations are mainly due to common multi-annual variability (Table 2).

The strong correlations to instrumental and composite SAT data show that AN $\delta^{18}O$ data are representative for SAT changes, not only for the Central Russian Arctic, but also for the Western and possibly whole Eurasian Arctic and sub-Arctic. Since there are no meteorological data from the Central Russian Arctic and only a few time series in the Eurasian Arctic spanning more than 100 years, our high-resolution AN $\delta^{18}O$ data are very valuable for further palaeoclimatic studies in the Eurasian Arctic.

The AN $\delta^{18}O$ time series shows a distinct increasing trend with pronounced changes since 1883. Starting from a low level of about –22%o, $\delta^{18}O$ values increased to values of –18%o at about 1920 and 1940. From 1950 to the 1980s they oscillated about –20%o and rose again afterwards. Besides the strong warming in the first two decades of the 20th century the most prominent feature of the AN $\delta^{18}O$ time
The double-peak structure of this SAT maximum seems to be a specific feature of the Eurasian Arctic, since the 1920 peak is not visible in other SAT time series, e.g. Bodø or Akureyri. Based on instrumental data, Przybylak (2007) stated for the whole Arctic the decade 1936–1945 and the year 1938 to be the warmest in the 20th century. Only the period 1995–2005 and the year 2005, respectively, reached and exceeded these values. This SAT pattern shows the Arctic peculiarity compared with the whole Northern Hemisphere (NH), where the SAT maximum values at about 1940 have been exceeded since the end of the 1980s (Fig. 5).

AN $\delta^{18}$O data show distinct similarities to the ice-core $\delta^{18}$O time series from the Austfonna ice cap (Svalbard) (Isaksson and others, 2005) and the Vetreniy ice cap (FJL) (Henderson, 2002), whereas the accordance to Lomonosovfonna (Svalbard) (Isaksson and others, 2005) is not as good. The AN, Austfonna (750 m a.s.l.) and Vetreniy (500 m a.s.l.) ice caps are located at relatively low altitudes and are therefore more sensitive to SAT changes. In contrast, glaciers of higher elevation like Lomonosovfonna (1250 m a.s.l.) seem to reflect primarily free atmospheric climate signals (Isaksson and others, 2005). The higher sensitivity of the lower altitude ice caps is also visible in the broader range of $\delta^{18}$O values (about 4% for AN and Austfonna for 5yrm in the 20th century) compared with Lomonosovfonna (about 2%o). The range differences may also be influenced by different impacts of sea ice and of the dampening North Atlantic, as well as by the more frequent

### Table 2. Correlation coefficients between the detrended time series of AN $\delta^{18}$O and of selected sub-Arctic SAT ($r_y$ for annual mean values, $r_{5yrm}$ for 5 year running mean values) in the given time periods. The WMO stations are sorted from east to west (see Fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WMO station</th>
<th>WMO number</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>$r_y$</th>
<th>$r_{5yrm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mys Shmidtå¹</td>
<td>25173</td>
<td>1933–1998</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>0.66***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mys Chelyuskin¹</td>
<td>20292</td>
<td>1933–1998</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mys Golomyanyå¹</td>
<td>20087</td>
<td>1946–1998</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrov Dikson¹</td>
<td>20674</td>
<td>1917–1998</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrov Rudolfa¹</td>
<td>20049</td>
<td>1948–1995</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkhangelsk²</td>
<td>22550</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.34***</td>
<td>0.61**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vardo²</td>
<td>01098</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>0.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svalbard Luthavn²</td>
<td>01008</td>
<td>1912–1998</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>0.56*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bjørnøya²</td>
<td>01028</td>
<td>1946–1998</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodø²</td>
<td>01152</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td>0.53**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Mayen²</td>
<td>01001</td>
<td>1921–1998</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akureyri¹</td>
<td>04063</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angmagssalik¹</td>
<td>04360</td>
<td>1895–1998</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upernavik¹</td>
<td>04210</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow¹</td>
<td>70026</td>
<td>1893–1998</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic¹</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1883–1998</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.72**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Data source: Polyakov and others, 2003b.
²Data source: CRU Norwich.
*Time series were shortened due to data gaps.
Correlation coefficient statistically significant at the level of $p = 0.1$.
**Correlation coefficient statistically significant at the level of $p = 0.05$.
***Correlation coefficient statistically significant at the level of $p = 0.01$.

Fig. 5. Time series of the AN melt-layer content, AN $\delta^{18}$O, sub-Arctic SAT and NH SAT. The thin grey lines indicate annual mean values. The thick black lines represent $r_{5yrm}$ values (for AN melt-layer content 1 and 3 m running mean values, respectively) except for Arctic2, where it represents 5 year mean values. Arctic1 displays the Arctic SAT anomalies (relative to 1961–1990) dataset of Polyakov and others (2003b), Arctic2 the reconstructed Arctic SAT anomalies (relative to 1901–1960) of Overpeck and others, 1997.
temperature inversions at the lower altitudes as reported for Austfonna compared with Lomonosovfonna (Isaksson and others, 2005).

In contrast to these Eurasian Arctic ice-core data, the accordance between AN $\delta^{18}O$ data and the often used proxy-deduced SAT time series of Overpeck and others (1997) is less pronounced (Fig. 5). This is probably caused by the predominance of Canadian and Alaskan Arctic proxy sites in this composite record, whereas just a few proxy records of the Eurasian Arctic are considered.

### 6.2. Deuterium excess

The second-order parameter deuterium excess $d$ (Dansgaard, 1964) in precipitation depends largely on the evaporation conditions in the moisture source region, to a lesser degree on condensation temperatures but also on secondary kinetic fractionation processes. The main controlling factors are the relative air humidity and the seasurface temperature (SST) and, to a lesser extent, wind speed during evaporation (Rozanski and others, 1993). Assuming no significant changes in the spatial distribution of the moisture source regions, variations of $d$ can be interpreted in terms of changing SST and relative humidity conditions (e.g. Hoffmann and others, 2001).

The mean $d$ values of the considered core section account for 10.7% for all single samples and 10.8% for the annual mean values, respectively (Table 1). These values are typical for precipitation in high-latitude coastal areas (Johnsen and others, 1989). Therefore, we assume an oceanic main moisture source, whereas substantial water recycling from the continents seems unlikely. However, the AN mean $d$ value is slightly higher than the 9.5% for the period 1883–1990 in the Lomonosovfonna ice core (Divine and others, 2008), the only Arctic ice core outside of Greenland for which a study of $d$ was performed so far. This points to different moisture generation and transport regimes, probably due to the more remote location of SZ compared with the much more Atlantic-influenced western Svalbard.

In general, high (low) $d$ values are caused by high (low) SST as well as by low (high) relative humidity during evaporation (Johnsen and others, 1989). However, on seasonal timescales $d$ seems to be controlled mainly by the relative humidity. In the AN $d$ record, high (low) $d$ values in winter (summer) are connected with lower (higher) relative humidity in the moisture source region, outbalancing lower (higher) SSTs during that time. This pattern of opposite behaviour of $\delta^{18}O$ and $d$ corresponds with the isotopic data of the Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (e.g. Rozanski and others, 1993) and model calculations (Fröhlich and others, 2002).

Based on the assumption of nearly constant annual mean values of relative humidity in the moisture source region, multi-annual variations of $d$ should be related mainly to SST changes (Hoffmann and others, 2001). The AN $d$ time series shows a distinct decreasing trend over the 115 years considered. This is a reverse picture compared with $\delta^{18}O$ (Fig. 6), corresponding with the findings in the seasonal cycles of $d$ and $\delta^{18}O$. Only the strong $\delta^{18}O$ peak about 1920 shows no counterpart in $d$ (see below). The highest $d$ values (about 12%) occurred about 1900 and the lowest (about 9%) in the 1990s. A similar decreasing trend is visible in the ice cores from Central Greenland (Hoffmann and others, 2001), but not in the Lomonosovfonna ice core (Divine and others, 2008), where an increasing $d$ trend is visible for the period considered here.

The main feature of the AN $d$ time series is the strong decrease since 1970, also detectable in the ice-core records from Central Greenland (Hoffmann and others, 2001), but not in the Lomonosovfonna ice core, which shows an increase (Divine and others, 2008). Assuming the positive d–SST relationship both the general decreasing trend and the strong $d$ decrease after 1970 in the AN $d$ record would indicate corresponding SST decreases in the main moisture source region. However, no comparable cooling trends are visible in the SST time series of the North Atlantic (0–70$^\circ$N) (Gray and others, 2004; data: ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/treering/reconstructions/amo-gray2004.txt), which is the supposed main moisture source for precipitation reaching the AN ice cap (Fig. 6), as well as in the NH SAT record (Fig. 5). Opposed to the AN $d$ record, the North Atlantic SST has increased strongly since 1970. Such an opposite behaviour is also detectable about 1940, where a distinct $d$ minimum was accompanied by high SST values. This pattern indicates that in hemispherical warmer periods (e.g. about 1940 and since 1970, Fig. 5) the AN ice cap receives more precipitation from moisture evaporated at lower SSTs, for example due to a northward shift of the

![Fig. 6. Time series of AN $\delta^{18}O$, AN $d$, Kara Sea August sea-ice anomalies (Polyakov and others, 2003a, computed against mean of the entire period) and North Atlantic SST anomalies (Gray and others, 2004). The thin grey lines indicate annual mean values, the thick black lines show 5yrn.](image-url)
moisture source or a significant moisture contribution of a secondary regional source (Johnsen and others, 1989). Since most precipitation on SZ is caused by air masses moving from the south and southwest, the Kara Sea could be a regional moisture source (Fig. 1) with its sea-ice cover as main controlling factor for summer and autumn evaporation. The time series of Kara Sea August sea-ice extent anomalies (Polyakov and others, 2003a; data: http://www.frontier.iaarc.uaf.edu/~igor/research/ice/icedata.php) shows a pattern similar to AN d data \((r_{\text{sym}} = 0.51\) for detrended data, \(p = 0.05\), Fig. 6). A low sea-ice extent in the Kara Sea allows higher evaporation rates and leads to higher contribution of regional moisture to AN ice-cap precipitation. This results in lower d values due to the lower SST of the Kara Sea compared with the North Atlantic. In contrast, high sea-ice extent prevents a considerable regional moisture contribution, preserving the initially higher d values. The missing d counterpart of the 1920 \(\delta^{18}O\) peak can also be explained with this approach. The first part of the strong early 20th-century warming about 1920 occurred primarily in the winter season (Polyakov and others, 2003b). This did not lead to a substantial decrease in sea-ice extent in the Kara Sea and therefore not to a considerable regional moisture contribution and a decrease of d values. Since 1930 a warming of the non-winter season is perceptible, causing the absolute SAT maximum about 1938 (Polyakov and others, 2003b). This resulted in a corresponding decrease of sea-ice extent, leading to an amplified regional evaporation and, thus, a minimum in d.

Therefore, we assume the Kara Sea to be an important secondary moisture source region for the air masses reaching SZ, as well as the AN deuterium excess to be an indicator of temperature changes in the surrounding seas and the connected sea-ice extent changes. However, this is not the case for the d record of the Lomonosovfonna ice core, which can be interpreted as a proxy for the SST variability of the mid-latitude Western North Atlantic (Divine and others, 2008).

### 6.3. Melt-layer content

The amount of melt layers in ice cores is a proxy for the summer warmth at the ice-cap surface (Koerner, 1977). We calculated the melt-layer content by the proportion of melt-layer ice per metre by weight. As melt layer we considered ice, which consists of firn, infiltrated by a visible content of meltwater, independent of the amount of meltwater. Summertime meltwater infiltration on the AN ice cap is irregular, as shown by Fritzschel and others (2005). Percolation deeper than the corresponding annual layer leading to the formation of superimposed melt features may occur, at least in the warmest summers (section 3.2). Consequently, as a nearly annual resolution is not possible, we identified the melt-layer content per metre.

The melt-layer content in the AN ice core shows a strong increase at about the beginning of the 20th century, remains on a high level until about 1970, despite distinct variations, and exhibits a strong decrease in the most recent decades (Fig. 5). The ice cores from the Vetenreny ice cap (Henderson, 2002) and the Austfonna ice cap (Watanabe and others, 2001) show similar melt-layer records, in contrast to the Lomonosovfonna melt-layer time series (Kekonen and others, 2005).

Whereas the first increase in the AN melt-layer record is also perceptible in a rise in the \(\delta^{18}O\) time series, there are distinct differences between both proxies later on. Around the \(\delta^{18}O\)-derived SAT maxima at about 1920 and 1940, as well as in the last decades, the melt-layer content shows sharp declines, whereas it exhibits higher values in the cooler 1950s and 1960s, indicating different seasonal temperature trends. For example, the strong warmings at about 1920 and 1940 occurred primarily in winter and autumn, which is supported by the studies of Polyakov and others (2003b, see above) and of Henderson (2002) for the Vetenreny ice core (FL). We did not find any correspondence between the melt-layer content and the Golomyanny summer SAT record (not shown here), which is influenced mainly by the cold Kara Sea due to melting sea ice. However, we assume that the melt-layer content in the AN ice core reflects predominantly the local summer conditions at the ice-cap surface, determined not only by the temperature, but also by the energy balance. Hence the significance of the melt-layer content in terms of regional SAT conditions is limited, at least on such short timescales, as considered here.

#### 6.4. Major ions

The ion record of ice cores reflects the atmospheric aerosol concentrations (e.g. Legrand and Mayewski, 1997). However, ions deposited in glaciers with percolation are subject to post-depositional changes (Koerner, 1997). The initially deposited AN chemical profile is superimposed by melting, infiltration and refreezing processes. Weiler and others (2005) found that the AN ion record does not reflect seasonal atmospheric changes, but can be considered as an annually varying melting/refreezing signal. Most years seem to be represented by a peak of the more conservative ions such as sodium, which was used to achieve a depth-age scale (Weiler and others, 2005, see also section 5). However, using mean values (here: 5yrm values) seems to be promising to detect lower resolution changes in ice-core chemistry. In this section, we will focus on 20th-century trends in AN ion data and two singular events, which are assumed to be of volcanic origin (see below).

The sea-salt ions sodium and chloride increased from a relatively low level at about 1900 to maximum values between 1910 and 1925 (Fig. 7). From this time the sea-salt ions show a decreasing trend. In the most recent years, values comparable to those at about 1900 were reached. Nevertheless, until about 1970 they remained on a high level characterized by a high frequency variability. The period from 1900 to 1970 is characterized by the highest melt-layer content in the core section shown here. Therefore, the high variability could be caused or strengthened by meltwater percolation due to accumulation of leached ions in or above a melt-layer. This assumption is supported by similar variations of the other ions of sea-salt origin: magnesium, calcium and (not shown here) potassium.

Similar records of sea-salt ions with a mid-20th-century maximum and a distinct decrease thereafter are also found in the Vetenreny ice core (Henderson, 2002), in the Austfonna ice core (Watanabe and others, 2001) with maximum values for 1920–1963 and, less pronounced, in the Lomonosovfonna ice core (Isaksson and others, 2001; Kekonen and others, 2005). Kekonen and others (2005) attributed this decrease to a substantial loss of ions due to increased melting and runoff, whereas Isaksson and others (2001) stated a decreasing deposition.
The magnesium to sodium ratio, introduced as a sensitive indicator for meltwater percolation in the Austfonna ice core by Iizuka and others (2002), shows no long-lasting decrease (Fig. 7). Only three short-term drops about 1920, 1930 and 1950 are detectable, accompanied by increased values in the years before. This indicates short phases of preferential elution of magnesium with respect to sodium and accumulation in deeper layers. However, no decline of this ratio in the last decades is perceptible, corresponding to the decrease in sea-salt ion concentration. Additionally, the melt-layer content shows the lowest values of the 20th century for this period, indicating that an increased loss of ions owing to increased melting and runoff seems unlikely. Hence the decreasing sea-salt ion trend favours a decreasing deposition due to lower wind speed, a change of dominating air masses or storm tracks. Since the Svalbard and FJL ice cores show similar patterns, the reasons for this decrease seem not to be only regional, but on a larger scale, covering at least parts of the Eurasian Arctic. However, a possible further growth of the AN ice cap with a subsequent rise in altitude due to higher values of mean annual layer thickness since 1935 (see section 5) could also have contributed.

Short-term peaks in ice-core ion time series are often caused by major volcanic eruptions. During these eruptions large amounts of aerosols are injected into the atmosphere, leading to increased ion concentrations, particularly of sulphate (e.g., Legrand and Mayewski, 1997). In the AN sulphate record two outstanding sharp peaks are visible, ascribed to the years 1956 and 1912 by \(\delta^{18}O\) cycle counting (Fig. 7). These values represent the highest values of sulphate concentrations, at least for the last 1500 years and of electrical conductivity in the whole AN ice core. The peaks were interpreted as imprints of the large volcanic eruptions of Bezymianny/Kamchatka 1956 and Katmai/Alaska 1912 and were therefore used as reference horizons for our age model. It is notable that for both events not only sulphate increased significantly, but all ions show enlarged values (Fig. 7), indicating leaching of ions. Therefore, it is likely that these peaks were amplified by infiltration processes and accumulation of ions. However, the peak linked to the Bezymianny eruption was identified clearly as of volcanic origin by Weiler and others (2005), whereas this was not necessarily the case for the 1912 peak. The Bezymianny eruption was also detected in the Lomonossofonna ice-core sulphate record, whereas there was no hint for the Katmai-related peak (Isaksson and others, 2001; Kekonen and others, 2005). However, there are spikes in the Austfonna sulphate profile (Fig. 6 in Iizuka and others, 2002) which could be related to the two peaks in the AN ice core. Also, in the ice core from the Vetreniy ice cap (FJL), a strong peak in sulphate is perceptible about 1956 (Henderson, 2002), even though not attributed to the Bezymianny eruption.

The concentrations of nitrate and sulphate in the AN ice core show a distinct anthropogenic imprint with a strong increase in the 1960s, maximum values about 1970 and decreasing values thereafter. This pattern corresponds to the records of the Svalbard and FJL ice cores (Isaksson and others, 2001; Watanabe and others, 2001; Henderson, 2002; Kekonen and others, 2005) and was attributed to regional industrial sources by Weiler and others (2005), who discussed these records in detail, even though with the slightly different age model mentioned above.

**Fig. 7.** Time series of AN \(\delta^{18}O\), AN melt-layer content, AN major ions and magnesium to sodium ratio by weight. The thin grey lines indicate annual mean values, the thick black lines indicate 5yrm (for AN melt-layer content 1 and 3 m running mean values, respectively). The dashed lines as well as numbers in brackets represent nss-values. The horizontal black line indicates the magnesium to sodium ratio of sea water.
7. CONCLUSIONS
This study deals with the uppermost 57 m of the recently drilled ice core of the AN ice cap (SZ), covering the time period 1883–1998. To evaluate the palaeoclimatic significance of the ice-core data, we compared them to meteorological and other proxy data. We show that the AN ice core reflects Eurasian Arctic climate and environmental changes, although the ice cap is affected by summertime melting and infiltration processes. The main conclusions are:

1. Despite overprinting by summertime melting and infiltration processes, seasonal stable water-isotope cycles can be recognized over most of the section of the AN ice core considered here. In combination with the use of reference horizons, they enable a high-resolution dating of the AN ice cap.

2. The multi-annual AN $\delta^{18}O$ time series shows strong similarities and correlations to instrumental SAT data from a couple of meteorological stations from the sub-Arctic. Hence AN $\delta^{18}O$ data are a valuable proxy for mean Eurasian Arctic SAT, providing unique information for this poorly investigated region, particularly beyond the instrumental records.

3. In the warmest periods of this record, low $\delta$ values connected with minima in the Kara Sea sea-ice extent indicate an increasing role of the Kara Sea as an important regional moisture source for the precipitation feeding the AN ice cap.

4. The melt-layer content has no large-scale significance as proxy for SAT, since it reflects predominantly summer conditions at the ice-cap surface.

5. The AN ion record is more affected by meltwater percolation than the stable-isotope record. Nevertheless, long-term trends in atmospheric aerosol content as well as short-term events like the volcanic eruptions of Bezymianny 1956 and Katmai 1912 can be deduced.
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