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Abstract

Titanite, zircon and apatite fission-track thermochronology on 123 basement samples from central Dronning Maud Land (CDML) records a complex mid-Paleozoic to Late Cretaceous cooling and denudation history. New constraints were placed on the evolution of the passive margin of CDML during the Early Jurassic breakup of the Gondwana supercontinent. Fission-track analyses on titanites and zircons gave ages ranging from 531±51 Ma to 293±28 Ma and from 364±47 Ma to 237±31 Ma, respectively. The apatite fission-track ages (FTA) range from 315±18 Ma to 83±3 Ma with the oldest FTA being confined to the farthest south of CDML and the youngest ages occurring in close vicinity to the coastline. The mean track lengths point towards different degrees of track annealing. In addition to the basement samples, fission-track dating was performed on six dropstone samples from the offshore region of CDML, giving apatite FTA between 179±9 Ma and 78±4 Ma. The FTA basically confirm that the dropstones represent the products of glacial erosion of the basement of CDML.

After the Pan-African event (~600-500 Ma), the basement of CDML was subjected to post-orogenic cooling during which the central part being affected by post-tectonic intrusions has cooled more deliberately than the surrounding metamorphic basement. In the late Paleozoic the basement has attained a stable cratonic temperature distribution. It is suggested that CDML served in the Permo-Triassic as a region, where crustal material was removed and deposited elsewhere, probably in the Transantarctic basin along the proto-Pacific margin of East Antarctica. The successive denudation by this time was accompanied by faulting and exhumation of crustal blocks in the continental interior of CDML. During the Early Jurassic a major cooling step affected the basement which is interpreted to reflect a period of mantle plume-related exhumation and denudation preceding the separation of East and West Gondwana by continental rifting. Though the continental margin of CDML has formed during the Early Jurassic, the full morphological development of the passive margin occurred in the mid-Cretaceous when the basement experienced a further step of accelerated cooling. The mechanism accounting for the middle Cretaceous cooling is considered being purely isostatic and resulted from the establishment of a new erosional base level due to major plate reorganisations and enhanced seafloor spreading during the fragmentation of Gondwana. As a reaction to the newly created base level, differential denudation across the CDML passive margin was initiated while leading to a flexural isostatic upward movement of the lithosphere.
The total thickness of crustal material that has been denuded since the early stage of the Pan-African until present-day was approximately 31-45 km.

Zusammenfassung

Die Titanit-, Zirkon- und Apatit-Thermochronologie an 123 Grundgebirgsproben aus dem centralen Dronning Maud Land (CDML) ergab eine komplexe mittelpaläozoische bis spätcretazische Abkühlungs- und Denudationsgeschichte. Es wurden neue Ergebnisse bezüglich der Entwicklung des passiven Kontinentalrandes des CDML während der frühjurassischen Gondwana-Fragmentierung erzielt.


der Kontinentalrand des CDML während des frühen Juras gebildet wurde, erfolgte die voll-
kanischen Ereignisses bis heute beläuft sich auf ca. 31-45 km.
1. Introduction

1.1 Discovery and exploration of central Dronning Maud Land

Dronning Maud Land occupies approximately one sixth of the perimeter of the Antarctic continent encompassing the region between 20°W and 45°E (Fig. 1.1). Originally, the name Dronning Maud Land was given to a smaller area between 37°E and 50°E to honour the Norwegian Queen Maud (1869-1938) (cf. Fritzsche and Bormann, 1995). When in 1937, Norway claimed its sector on the Antarctic continent to secure its whaling activities, the whole claim was named after her.

From 1773 until the 1920s the ice shelf edges and the coastal regions of Dronning Maud Land were first sighted from expedition ships that approached the Antarctic continent. In the 1930s, Dronning Maud Land was systematically mapped using aircraft and aerophotogrammetry of Norwegian and German expeditions. Tens of years later the exploration of Dronning Maud Land was followed by the establishment of logistic bases on the ice shelves and the continent.

The area of study, located in the central part of Dronning Maud Land (8°-14°E), was mapped in detail for the first time in 1958/59 during a Norwegian Antarctic Expedition. The resulting maps, created from oblique aerial photometry, were published by the Norsk Polarinstitutt Oslo at a scale of 1:250,000. They provide the basis for the geographical maps used in this study.

In 1959, Russian scientists visited central Dronning Maud Land (CDML) during the 4th Soviet Antarctic Expedition in order to set up a permanent base for later ongoing geographical and geological observations. This led to the establishment of the Lazarev station (69°58'S, 12°55'E) at the edge of the Lazarev Ice Shelf. Due to the limited lifetime of ice based stations, two years later the Russians set up a new station at the Schirmacheroase named Novolazarevskaya (70°46'S, 11°49'E). In 1976, an East German laboratory base was also erected at the Schirmacheroase for ionospheric observations. This base was expanded during later expeditions, and in 1987 it was named the Georg Forster Station.

India started research activities in CDML in 1981 focussing on meteorological and geological surveys. Two new stations were built on the Novolazarevskaya Ice Shelf and at the Schirmacheroase. Up to 1992 eleven Indian expeditions were undertaken to CDML.
During the last German visit, the GeoMaud Expedition of 1995/96, a party of international scientists carried out detailed onshore and offshore geophysical and geological investigations across CDML. One year later an Indian-German party was sent to CDML for further mainly geophysical surveys. A summary of all expeditions until 1992 as well as references of published reports and results have been compiled by Fritzsche and Bormann (1995).

**Fig. 1.1** Map of the Antarctic continent. Abbreviations: BS - Bransfield Passage; BLM - Bertrab, Littlewood and Moltke Nunataks; H - Heinemantfjella; K - Kirwanveggen; B - Borgmassivet; G - Gjelsvikfjella; M-H - Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge; SØ - Sør Rondane Moutains; LHB - Lützow-Holm-Bay; PCM - Prince Charles Mountains; PB - Prydz Bay.
1.2 Geographic overview

The study area of CDML is located between 8°E and 14°E, and forms part of an E-W trending mountain chain extending subparallel to the coastline of East Antarctica. The coastal section along the central part of Dronning Maud Land is termed the Princess Astrid Coast (5-20°E). In a N-S direction, the investigated area stretches from 70°40'S (Schirmacheroase) to 72°S (Weyprechtberge).

Fig. 1.2 Radar-based subice topographic profiles from CDML showing a highly dissected morphology, locally overdeepened to ~1000 m b.s.l. (from Damm and Eisenburger, 1999). The sites of the profiles are displayed in Fig. 1.5.
To the west CDML is bordered by the mountain ranges of the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge and continues to the east into the Sør Rondane Mountains (see Fig. 1.1). The continental boundary to the approximately 80 km wide Nivlisen (Novolazarevskaya) and Lazarev ice shelves is formed by the Schirmacheroase which is the northernmost ice-free spot in CDML. To the south of CDML, the polar plateau is constituted by the Wegener-Inland Ice and defines the southern limit of the investigated area.

The interior of CDML is dominated by the prominent N-NE trending mountain chains of the Orvinfjella (Drygalskiberge, Holtedahlfjella, Conradgebirge, Dallmannberge, Gjeruldsenøya) and further to the east by the Wohlthatmassiv (A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge, Zwieselhöhe, Petermannketten, Schneidegebirge, O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge, Untersee). To the north, the area between the Orvinfjella/Wohlthatmassiv and the Schirmacheroase is largely ice-covered with only a few nunataks protruding the ice sheet.

Fig. 1.3 Sharply incised relief and needle-shaped summit regions of the Petermannketten, Wohlthatmassiv.

Generally the morphology of CDML is characterised by a strongly accentuated relief which reaches maximum values of ~4000 m (Fig. 1.2). From the subice topographic profiles it is evident that the topographic elevations are highest in the central mountain chains where the morphology is characterised by an alpine, sharply incised relief with steep slopes and needle-shaped summits. Here, elevations reach more than 3200 m (Fig. 1.2).
Towards the north, elevations decrease with the highly dissected topography locally overdeepened to \(-1000\) m below sea level. In contrast to the hinterland, the Schirmacheröase region has smooth relief with low elevations ranging between \(0\)-\(200\) m and a strong imprint of glacial erosion (Fig. 1.4).

The thickness of the ice sheet in CDML gradually increases from north to south. Behind the Schirmacheröase, an ice ramp is developed with an elevation increasing from \(~100\) m to \(~1000\) m further to the south. In the Orvinfljella and Wohltatmassiv the valleys between the mountain ranges are drained by roughly south to north running glacial streams. These flow into a larger approximately E-W trending glacier discharging into the sea east of the Schirmacheröase. Further south, behind the mountain ranges of the Orvinfljella and the Wohltatmassiv, the Wegener Inland Ice covers the bedrock totally where it has a thickness between \(~2000\)-\(2700\) m.
1.3 Regional geology

1.3.1 Basement lithology

The outcropping bedrock of CDML is exclusively comprised of crystalline basement rocks which are dominated by interlayered sequences of metasedimentary and metavolcanic units that have undergone polyphase deformation and metamorphism (Fig. 1.5). Plutonic intrusions and laccolites as well as magmatic dykes with a wide range of compositions occur throughout CDML and crosscut the metamorphic basement. Their individual tectono-thermal histories are manifested in the varying degrees of deformation (cf. Bauer et al., 1996; Jacobs et al., 1998).

The lithological assemblage of the metavolcanic sequences has a bimodal character. This is reflected in alternating layers of fine-grained felsic gneisses and amphibolites. Within the metasedimentary units garnet-biotite-paragneisses, sillimanite-cordierite-bearing metapelites as well as forsterite-phlogopite-spinel-marbles and calcisilicates occur. Pre-kinetically emplaced granites have been locally metamorphosed to garnet-bearing migmatic orthogneisses. The interlayered sequences of metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks as well as orthogneisses are mainly exposed in the Kurzgebirge, the Conradgebirge, the Dallmannberge and in Småskjeidrista, whilst the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge and the western Petermannketten are dominated by metasedimentary units. Within the basement complex garnet-bearing leucogranites are also found (Jacobs et al., 1998). The northeastern part of the Wohlt athmassiv (O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge) and the In der Schlüssel is largely intruded by an anorthosite massiv with subordinate norites and ferrodiorites showing in part syn-magmatic deformation (Kämpf and Stackebrandt, 1985; Bauer et al., 1996). The latest deformational and metamorphic event is postdated by the emplacement of voluminous A-type granites that range in composition from syenitic to monzodioritic and charnockitic. This magmatic suite occupies about 50% of the crystalline basement and constitutes major parts of the Drygalskiberge, the Holtedahlfjella, Gjeruldshøgða, the southern and eastern Petermannketten as well as some nunataks which are distributed throughout CDML. Additionally, a variety of post-tectonic lamprophyric and basaltic dykes occurs across CDML (Kaiser and Wand, 1985; D’Souza et al., 1994).
Fig. 1.5 Geological map of CDML.
Regional geology

Fig. 1.5 Geological map of CDML (continuation).
1.3.2 Structural basement evolution

The tectono-metamorphic evolution of CDML was controlled by four deformation stages, most of them reaching regional granulite- and amphibolite-facies conditions (cf. Sen-gupta, 1991; Bauer et al., 1999). From its structural expression the basement can be subdivided into a western (Orvinfjella) and an eastern main structural trends being E-W to NE-SW and N-S, respectively. The E-W-trend can be traced from the Orvinfjella further to the west across the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge to the Gjelsvikfjella, whereas the Wohlthatmassiv structurally resembles the more easterly located Sør Rondane Mountains (Van Autenboer and Loy, 1972; Otha et al., 1990; Shiraishi et al., 1991). In both complexes the earliest stage of deformation (D1) is preserved only as residual isoclinal folding in gneisses and metavolcanic sequences with the original orientation not restorable (Bauer et al., 1999). The second period of deformation (D2) superimposed the most extensive structural imprint on the basement rocks of CDML. In the Orvinfjella this major deformational phase led to the development of an E to NE trending foliation and N-vergent folds, also affecting the pre- and synkinematically intruded plutonites. In the central part of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge an anorthositic intrusion retains an E-W trending foliation with the strike gradually developing into a N-S-direction towards the western part of the massiv. The D3 deformation, accompanied by granulate-facies metamorphism, is also apparent in the Schirmacheroase and the IGA (Institute Geologii Arktiki) Rocks. It has been suggested that an episode of transpressive sinistral shearing (D3) postdates D2 deformation (Bauer et al., 1999). In the Orvinfjella intense shearing has led to the development of an E-W-striking proto- to ultamylonitic foliation which can be traced from the Kurzegebirge to the southern part of the Conradgebirge (Bjerkenuten) and the southern Dallmannberge (South Orvinfjella Shear Zone) (see Fig. 1.5). The D3 deformation is also apparent in the ferrodiorites of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge but here exhibits a NW-directed shear component associated with a granulate-facies metamorphic overprint. Due to the lack of evidence for an E-W-directed compressional regime, the N-S structures in the westerly located parts of the Wohlthatmassiv are interpreted as being related to the rotation of D2-structures (Bauer et al., 1999). In the Schirmacheroase and the IGA Rocks NW-striking shear zones are also developed. The youngest phase of deformation (D4) has been recognised throughout CDML to varying intensity. D4 led to the formation of discrete mylonite shear zones which mainly strike NW-SE in the Orvinfjella and NE-SW in the Wohlthatmassiv. This was accompanied by amphibolite-facies metamorphism (Bauer et al., 1999). A study on metamorphic phase assemblages revealed that the basement of CDML was subjected to at least two metamorphic events with the first one occurring during the Neoproterozoic (Jacobs et al., 1998; Piazolo and Markl, 1998; Colombo and Talarico, 1999). As suggested by Colombo and Talarico (1999) upper
amphibolite conditions prevailed at ~570 Ma (Jacobs et al., 1998) (see below) associated with enhanced migmatisation. This was followed by high-pressure granulite facies metamorphism that possibly occurred between ~530-515 Ma (Jacobs et al., 1998; Colombo and Talarico, 1999).

### 1.8 Previous geochronological work

Recently published results of zircon U-Pb SHRIMP analyses on samples from CDML have placed new constraints upon its Precambrian and early Paleozoic evolution. With the new data it has been possible to trace back the history of CDML until Mesoproterozoic times (Fig. 1.6). The oldest ages of ~1130 Ma correspond to a time of felsic volcanism which was followed by granulite facies metamorphism and the intrusion of granites between ~1085 Ma and ~1075 Ma. In the anorthosites the oldest U/Pb zircon ages scatter around ~600 Ma, and are interpreted as recording their emplacement. The widespread occurrence of magmatic and metamorphic U/Pb zircon ages between ~570 Ma and ~515 Ma signifies that during the Pan-African event, CDML was affected by at least two stages (570 Ma and 530-515 Ma) of amphibolite- to granulite-facies metamorphic overprinting. According to Jacobs et al. (1998) the last stage was accompanied by the intrusion and granulite-facies metamorphic overprinting of the metagranodiorite and the leucogranites. The last metamorphic event is postdated by the intrusion of a syenite suite at ~512 Ma (Mikhalsky et al., 1997).

The post-Pan African Paleozoic history of CDML is only poorly constrained despite the existence of various radiometric ages obtained mainly by Russian and East German scientist. This includes weak evidence from a Rb-Sr age (biotite) for an unspecified post-Pan African thermal event at ~460 Ma (Mikhalsky et al., 1997). Ravich and Solov’ev (1966) carried out K-Ar whole rock analyses on pegmatite veins from the Wohltathamassiv, and obtained ages of ~450 Ma. Similar ages (Rb-Sr on phlogopite, feldspar, amphibole and whole rock) of 458±6 Ma and 455±12 Ma have also been determined by Dayal and Hussain (1997) on lamprophyre dykes from the Schirmacheroase. Their results were interpreted as manifesting post-orogenic igneous activity linked to the Ross Orogeny in the Transantarctic Mountains. K-Ar and Rb-Sr biotite ages on charnockites from the eastern Mühlig-Hofmanngebirge indicate cooling to 300°C at ~450 Ma (Henjes-Kunst and Markl, 1998). Additionally, K-Ar analyses on amphiboles and biotites from lamprophyric dykes of the Schirmacheroase yielded ages of 535-495 Ma (Henjes-Kunst and Markl, 1998). The K-Ar whole rock analyses by Kaiser and Wand (1984) on a basaltic and a
gabbroic sample from the Schirmacheroase gave ages of 383±10 Ma and 154±13 Ma, respectively. In a later study Wand et al. (1988) presented K-Ar whole rock ages on basaltic and doleritic dykes from the Schirmacheroase which range from 330 Ma to 108 Ma with peak distributions at 310±10 Ma and 170±10 Ma. They support a coherence between the Jurassic emplacement of the Karoo igneous province and the basaltic dykes in CDML during the dispersal of East and West Gondwana.

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)

**Fig. 1.6** Magmatic and metamorphic basement evolution of CDML as indicated by U-Pb SHRIMP zircon analyses (modified from Jacobs et al., 1998).
1.5 CDML during the assembly of Gondwana

During the Pan-African cycle in Neoproterozoic/early Paleozoic times when East and West Gondwana became amalgamated, CDML was located next to the eastern rim of southern Africa (Fig. 1.7). By this time, the roughly N-S striking Mozambique Belt has formed as a collisional orogen through the closure of the Mozambique Ocean (e.g. Grunow et al., 1996; Dalziel, 1997).

![Map showing the position of CDML within the late Precambrian/early Paleozoic Gondwana supercontinent.](image)

Fig. 1.7 Position of CDML within the late Precambrian/early Paleozoic Gondwana supercontinent (based on Lawver and Scotese, 1987). Geological contours are adopted from Porada (1989), Grunow et al. (1996) and Wilson et al. (1997). Hypothetical continuations of suture zones (1) and (2) between East and West Gondwana, as suggested by Shackleton (1996) as well as Grunow et al. (1996) and Wilson et al. (1997), respectively. Abbreviations: DML - Dronning Maud Land; S - Sverdrupfjella; H - Heimefrontfjella; SR - Shackleton Range; EM - Ellsworth Mountains; FM - Falkland microplate; RP - Rio de la Plata craton; SF - São Francisco craton; MB - Mwembeshi shear zone; Z - Zambezi belt; M - Madagascar; SL - Sri Lanka; LH - Lützow-Holm Complex; PB - Prydz Bay; Y - Yamato-Belgica Complex; SØ - Sør Rondane Mountains (from Jacobs et al., 1998).
It has been shown by several authors that fundamental similarities exist between the tectono-metamorphic and petrological features of Dronning Maud Land and Mozambique (cf. Pinna et al., 1993; Kröner, 1997; Jacobs et al., 1998). They conclude that the Mozambique Belt finds its southern continuation in Dronning Maud Land. Despite the similarities between the two, there is an ongoing debate about the location of the suture zone in East Antarctica and Africa along which the continental amalgamation occurred. However, the whole assembly trending from western to eastern Dronning Maud Land and farther east has been termed the East Antarctic mobile belt of which CDML is proposed to have formed the central part (e.g. Dirks and Wilson, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1998). There is also evidence that the East Antarctic mobile belt continued to the east, projecting into Sri Lanka and southern India, although the exact position of the eastern extension of the mobile belt is not well defined (Piazolo and Markl, 1998 and references therein). Several studies have demonstrated that striking petrographical and petrological similarities exist between CDML, Madagascar and the Kerala Khondalite Belt in southern India that point towards an analogous metamorphic evolution during the Pan-African event (e.g. Stackebrandt, 1990; Rosen and Raith, 1995; Pradeepkumar et al., 1996; Piazolo and Markl, 1998; Colombo and Talarico, 1999).

In CDML, the Pan-African event possibly terminated with an orogenic collapse that was caused by underthrusting and doubling of the thickness of the continental crust (Bauer et al., 1999; Colombo and Talarico, 1999).

### 1.6 CDML during the fragmentation of Gondwana

During the dispersal of the supercontinent Gondwana, the continental margins around Antarctica developed progressively into passive margins in a clockwise manner. While Antarctica separated from Africa during the Jurassic, India/Madagascar became disconnected from Antarctica during the Cretaceous. The palaeoposition of East Antarctica before and during the breakup is illustrated in Fig. 1.8 – 1.12.

In the Permo-Carboniferous, extension and active rifting were initiated in a triple junction centred on the Kenyan coast and contemporaneously, the deposition of the Karoo sequence along the southeastern African margin begun (Reeves et al., 1987; Lawver et al., 1991; Salman and Abdula, 1995). The rifting zone along the Kenyan coast possibly extended as far south as southern Africa and led to the formation of broad platform depressions (Mozambique Plateau) which were successively filled with the terrigenous and carbonate sediments of the Karoo Group (Reeves et al., 1987; Salman and Abdula,
1995). It is probable that the rifting between East and West Gondwana occurred at the suture zone along which the two continents were amalgamated during the Pan-African event.

In the Jurassic, widespread intracontinental magmatic activity in southern Africa and Antarctica which marked the increasing extensional forces, led to the eruption of large continental flood basalt provinces known as the Karoo (southern Africa) and Ferrar (East Antarctica) provinces (e.g. Kyle et al., 1981; Eales et al., 1984; Cox, 1988; Brewer et al., 1992). According to Duncan et al. (1997), Karoo and Ferrar magmatism took place between 184 Ma and 179 Ma. At the same time, thick sequences of volcanic rocks were erupted offshore along the Explora Escarpment (Hinz, 1981; Hinz and Krause, 1982). White and McKenzie (1989) suggest that the basaltic volcanism was associated with a thermal anomaly that developed as a mantle plume beneath the lithosphere of Gondwana. Generally, the separation of East and West Gondwana was preceded by a period of strike-slip movements between continental fragments along transform faults and the subsequent development of ocean basins. In the Weddell Sea region, the early extension was followed by transtension and transpression leading to the shearing of the margin of Dronning Maud Land which is represented by the Explora Escarpment (Hinz, 1981; Hinz and Krause, 1982; Kristoffersen and Haugland, 1986; Lawver et al., 1991).

Southward movement of the Antarctic/Australian plate relative to South America took place along the Davie Fracture zone and a system of associated transform faults (see Fig. 1.8 - 1.12) (Martin and Hartnady, 1986; Roeser et al., 1996).

Fig. 1.8 - 1.12 Reconstructions do not include the Falkland Plateau and South America because their movements do not provide significant constraints on the reconstruction shown in this figure. The reconstruction of Roeser et al. (1996) is based on their own data. For clarity reasons the 2000-m-depth contour is shown only for East Antarctica. Abbreviations: eRLS, wRLS = eastern, western Riiser-Larsen Sea; MB = Mozambique Basin; SB = Somali Basin.

Fig. 1.8 Plate reconstruction of Roeser et al. (1996) for the region of the Riiser-Larsen Sea before the onset of seafloor spreading at 165 Ma.
It is suggested that by this time the Astrid Ridge, offshore CDML, was connected to the Mozambique Ridge by a system of transform faults (Bergh, 1987; Sandwell, 1992; Roeser et al., 1996). The Astrid Ridge is separated by the Astrid transform fault into a northern fragment which is most probably oceanic in nature while the southern part consists of continental crust and subordinately volcanic complexes (Bergh, 1987; Roeser et al., 1996; Hinz et al., 1998).

---

**Fig. 1.9** Plate reconstruction for chron M22 (155 Ma). The western Riiser-Larsen Sea and the Somali Basin have opened by about 550 km (modified from Roeser et al., 1996).

**Fig. 1.10** Plate reconstruction for chron M10 (135 Ma). Relative southward movement of East Antarctica by 750 km (modified from Roeser et al., 1996).
Southward movement of the Antarctic/Indian/Australian plate relative to South Africa/South America took place along the Davie Fracture zone and a system of associated transform faults (see Fig. 1.8 - 1.12) (Martin and Hartnady, 1986; Roeser et al., 1996). It is suggested that by this time the Astrid Ridge, offshore CDML, was connected to the Mozambique Ridge by a system of transform faults (Bergh, 1987; Sandwell, 1992; Roeser et al., 1996). The Astrid Ridge is separated by the Astrid transform fault into a northern fragment which is most probably oceanic in nature while the southern part consists of continental crust and subordinately volcanic complexes (Bergh, 1987; Roeser et al., 1996; Hinz et al., 1998).

As a reaction to the strike-slip movements along the transform fault system, major ocean basins as e.g. the Somali Basin, Mozambique Basin and the western Riiser-Larsen Sea have opened (e.g. Ségoufin, 1978; Simpson et al., 1979; Rabinowitz et al., 1983; Cochran, 1988; Roeser et al., 1996) (Fig. 1.8 - 1.11). The onset of oceanfloor production was recognised from the earliest magnetic anomalies in the Mozambique Basin and in the western Somali Basin, dated at ~152 Ma (magnetic anomaly M22) (e.g. Ségoufin, 1978; Simpson et al., 1979; Rabinowitz et al., 1983; Storey, 1995). Hinz et al. (1998) suggest that seafloor spreading has started in the western Riiser-Larsen Sea ~160 Ma ago. The eastern Riiser-Larsen Sea has opened later, between 135-124 Ma (Fig. 1.12).
Since India started its rapid northward drift away from Antarctica from ~96 Ma on (Powell et al., 1988), the South Atlantic-faced continental margin of East Antarctica has attained a more isolated position.

1.7 Scope of the thesis

The primary aim of the thesis is to resolve the tectono-thermal history of a rifted continental margin. Fission-track thermochronology was chosen as an appropriate tool to reach this aim. The combination of the titanite, zircon and apatite fission-track results on rocks from CDML allows to establish a cooling history for a temperature range of ~320-60°C and, furthermore, to discriminate between continuous and stepwise cooling phases. The passive margin of CDML in East Antarctica is highly suitable for a fission-track based passive margin study, because it is structurally less complex than that of western Dronning Maud Land, where shearing, rifting and the development of a failed rift complicated the margin evolution.

The continental separation in CDML during the initial breakup of Gondwana is discussed in context with a mantle plume that significantly influenced the cooling history of western Dronning Maud Land. The fission-track analyses on rocks from CDML provide important
information about the involvement of a mantle plume into continental separation, because field evidence for mantle plume-related extensive basaltic volcanism in CDML is less apparent than in western Dronning Maud Land with remnants of basaltic lava piles cropping out.

To constrain the post-rift evolution of the passive margin of CDML a geomorphic approach and the contributions of endogenic factors are presented. This topic is closely related to the redistribution of masses in a continental margin setting, with denudation in the continental interior and subsequent sedimentation on the continental shelf. An approach is made to quantify inland denudation and offshore sedimentation deduced from apatite fission-track data and reflection seismic results (cf. Hinz and Krause, 1982). Six dropstone fission-track samples from offshore CDML can provide additional information about the inland denudation and shelf sedimentation.

The fission-track data from CDML are discussed in correlation with the geological and tectonic structures on a local and regional scale. Furthermore, the amount denudation since cooling to below \(-320^\circ\) is calculated from the fission-track data. Possible relationships between the fission-track results and the sample lithology and/or the mineral composition are also considered.

### 1.8 Previous fission-track studies on Antarctica

Most of the fission-track investigations available on Antarctica concern the Pacific rim of East Antarctica. The majority of fission-track studies focus on the uplift and denudation history of the Transantarctic Mountains (see Fig. 1.1) (e.g. Gleadow et al., 1984; Fitzgerald, 1986; Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987; Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1988; Wagner et al., 1989; Fitzgerald and Stump, 1997). The fission-track studies of Gleadow et al. (1984) (Dry valleys area), as well as Fitzgerald (1992) manifest the cooling and denudation history of the Transantarctic Mountains in southern Victoria Land, while Balestrieri et al. (1993) (Granite Harbour Intrusives), Fitzgerald and Gleadow (1988), Gleadow and Fitzgerald (1988), Lisker (1996) (Granite Harbour Intrusives and Admiralty Intrusives) and Schäfer (1998) (Oates Land) have deduced the tectono-thermal evolution of the extension of the Transantarctic Mountains in northern Victoria Land. The investigations of Schäfer (1998) also comprised a fission-track analysis of samples from the Shackleton Range. Arne et al. (1993) have carried out a fission-track reconnaissance study on the East Antarctic shield with samples from the Prince Charles Mountains and Wilkes Land and fission-track analysis on the northern Prince Charles Mountains was
performed by Arne (1994). Western Dronning Maud Land was the subject of the fission-track studies of Jacobs (1991) and Jacobs et al. (1992, 1996). The first fission-track apatite and zircon results from CDML were published by Meier et al. (1999).

Fission-track thermochronological studies on West Antarctica (Storey et al., 1989, 1996) are available for Marie Byrd Land (e.g. Adams et al., 1995; Lisker and Olesch, 1997, 1998; Richard et al., 1994). Furthermore, Fitzgerald and Stump (1991, 1992) carried out fission-track investigations on the Ellsworth Mountains in West Antarctica.

1.9 Terminology of vertical crustal movements

In the present study, the term surface uplift is employed for the displacement of the earth’s surface with respect to the geoid and has an areal extend of at least $10^5$-$10^6$ km$^2$. Uplift of rocks describes the displacement of rocks relative to the geoid. For rocks being displaced with regard to the surface, the term exhumation is applied (England and Molnar, 1990). Dynamic uplift assesses the contributions of convective flow in the asthenosphere (Buck, 1986; Buck et al., 1988).

The term erosion is used for the simple removal of crustal material, whereas denudation comprises erosional processes as well as the successive isostatic readjustment. The crustal stripping due to exhumation is referred to as tectonic denudation (Summerfield and Brown, 1998).
2. Evolution of passive continental margins

2.1 Introduction

It has long been known that marginal upwarps are common features of rifted continental margins. However, thermal and/or tectonic models have so far been incapable of accounting for marginal upwarps persisting for more than 100 Ma after continental rupture (e.g. Royden and Keen, 1980; Weisell and Karner, 1989; White and McKenzie, 1989; Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990, 1994; Keen and Beaumont, 1990). With respect to the long-term landscape development of a passive margin, it is crucial to review the thermal, tectonic and geomorphic factors controlling the rifting event itself, as well as the post-rifting evolution of the rifted continental margin (cf. Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994).

From their morphological expression mature passive margins (>60 Ma) can broadly be subdivided into two phenotypes. High-elevation passive margins are characterised by a narrow continental shelf and a low-lying coastal region of significant relief, but the most prominent feature is a steeply inclining escarpment or a series of escarpments further inland (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990). Behind the escarpment front which is most elevated in this type of passive margin, the morphology develops towards an elevated (>500 m) interior plateau (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990, 1994; Gallagher and Brown, 1997). If the marginal upwarp is located in close vicinity to the rift, it is termed a rift flank uplift, but in most cases it is situated more than 100 km from the rift hinge and thus represents the subsequent erosional escarpment retreat (Ollier, 1985). During the Mesozoic fragmentation of Gondwana a variety of such high-elevation-type margins with prominent escarpments were created including SW-Africa (Great Escarpment) (e.g. De Swardt and Bennett, 1974; Summerfield, 1985; Partridge and Maud, 1987, 1988; Rust and Summerfield, 1990), E-Brazil and eastern Australia (e.g. Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990, 1994; Gallagher et al., 1994).

In contrast, low-elevation-type margins have a more subdued morphology with a broad continental shelf gradually rising towards a low-lying interior. Such margins can be found, for instance, along the coast of southern Australia and eastern Argentina (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990). A clear distinction between the two phenotypes is, however, not al-
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ways possible. It is likely that a wide range of transitional morphologies exists between these two endmember types (Summerfield, 1991).

2.2 Rift tectonics

During the initial breakup of Gondwana many new continental margins were created around the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Their formation was initiated by continental rifting - a mechanism which can be either active or passive (e.g. Sengör and Burke, 1978; Beaumont et al., 1982; Keen, 1985; Buck et al., 1988; Bott, 1995). The terms “active” and “passive” refer to the role of the asthenosphere with respect to the lithosphere. Active rifting involves interactions between the mantle and the lithosphere and is basically a process of heat transfer, whereas passive rifting is confined to the lithosphere and is considered being the result of differential stresses therein (Sengör and Burke, 1978). In active rifting processes, the development of a rift zone may be related to thermal anomalies that evolve, for example, from mantle anomalies located deep within the asthenosphere (>400 km) which migrate as a mantle plume through the mantle to the base of the lithosphere (Sengör and Burke, 1978; White and McKenzie, 1989; Bott, 1995) (Fig. 2.1). The development of an asthenospheric thermal anomaly promotes a density deficiency within the upper mantle by remelting and removing lithospheric material. This leads to lithospheric thinning and a broadly coincident domal uplift of the area located above the anomaly. Due to subsequent heating from below, the lithosphere becomes thinned and the increasing tension therein can trigger stretching and faulting (Bott, 1995).

Passive rifting, in contrast, is preceded by an episode of large deviatoric tension which mainly concentrates on the rift-external region (Bott, 1995) (Fig. 2.2). If tension is sufficiently large, the lithosphere within the rift-region becomes thinned. As a result of crustal thinning and upwelling asthenospheric material, stretching and faulting can be initiated (McKenzie, 1978).

Volcanism is often an accomplishing feature of both modes of rifting. However, the mechanisms for magma generation can be different in each case. In an active setting, decompression melting will occur in the upper part of the upwelling diapir, but for a passive setting, the decompression melting of rising asthenospheric material will be related directly to lithospheric thinning (Bott, 1995).
Fig. 2.1 Schematically illustrated process of active rifting and the evolution of a passive continental margin. (a) Onset of rifting and initial updoming. (b) Beginning of seafloor spreading and development of a nascent passive margin. (c) In this case the mature passive margin corresponds to a low-elevation type margin (modified after Summerfield, 1991).
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Fig. 2.2  Schematically illustrated process of symmetric passive rifting and the evolution of a passive continental margin. (a) Onset of rifting. (b) Beginning of seafloor spreading and development of a nascent passive margin. Marginal upwarp caused by secondary convection, non-uniform extension and lateral heat flow. (c) In this case the mature passive margin corresponds to a low-elevation type margin (modified after Summerfield, 1991).
Mechanisms of rift flank uplift

Generally, the distinction between the two endmembers refers only to the method of rift initiation. While the rift development progresses, the modes will be rather transitional than clearly distinguishable (cf. Bott, 1995). Nevertheless, the initial mode strongly influences the future geomorphic development of the rift and the rift flanks. For many palaeorifts it is not possible to trace back the mechanism that has been responsible for the initial rift formation but the present-day geomorphology of the ancient rift environments can contribute information about the kinematics of rift flank uplift and the post-rifting evolution.

2.3 Mechanisms of rift flank uplift

Young rift zones are characterised by distinct marginal upwarps which are attributed to the thermal and dynamic effects of the rifting event itself. During active rifting the surface uplift is attributed to thinning of the lithosphere from below by convection and to the subsequent removal of material. Hence, the denser lithospheric mantle becomes replaced by hot asthenosphere, and isostatic reequilibration due to the lithospheric density deficiency is attained by surface uplift. The amount of surface uplift can be on the order of several kilometers (Keen and Beaumont, 1990). Because this mechanism can create extensive surface uplift only in slowly moving plates, penetrative magmatism has been proposed to account for increasing uplift in fast moving plates that also show signs of dome-shaped surface uplift (Crough, 1983; Fleitout et al., 1986; Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994).

In a passive rift setting with a uniformly stretched lithosphere, the vertical movement will be subsidence rather than uplift (McKenzie, 1978). According to Royden and Keen (1980) surface uplift of 1.5 km can be generated by differential extension of the lithosphere. In contrast to the uniform stretching model of McKenzie (1978), differential extension requires a decoupling of the crustal lithosphere from the lithospheric mantle, with the crust being thinned out proportionally less than the sub-crustal lithosphere, while extensional forces operate (Royden and Keen, 1980; Lister et al., 1986; White and McKenzie, 1988). Consequently, by replacing lithospheric mantle with asthenospheric material, surface uplift can occur. Another mechanism for inducing surface uplift in a passive rifting setting is small-scale convection which can be established by lateral temperature gradients in the mantle beneath the rift. Heat advection into the rift shoulders can lead to uplift of more than 1000 m (Keen, 1985; Buck, 1986).
The mechanisms attributed to thermal effects and the dynamic effects of convection are only capable of creating transient uplift that will decay after a period of time. As proposed by McKenzie (1984) thermal effects which are generally time-dependent, are expected to decay within ~60 Ma, and thus thermally and dynamically supported rift flanks can remain uplifted solely as long as extension prevails (e.g. Buck, 1986; Buck et al., 1988).

Permanent uplift of rift flanks can be generated by subsequent isostatic adjustment in response to lithospheric deformation (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994). An approach to explain permanent surface uplift was made by Karner (1985) who proposed that thermally induced surface uplift can be maintained while the rigidity of an oceanic lithosphere increases during cooling, and therefore can lock the pre-existing surface uplift. Weissel and Karner (1989) developed a model that relates permanent rift flank uplift to a flexural isostatic compensation caused by mechanical unloading of the lithosphere during asymmetric rifting and detachment faulting. Although the amount of uplift can be several kilometers, sediment loading in the rift basin will reduce the amplitude of uplift substantially. Nevertheless, permanent uplift as a consequence of isostatic rebound is confined to the area adjacent to the rift and it cannot account for upwarps located more than 100 km inland.

A viable explanation could be that magmatic underplating and thickening of the crust from below occurs. White and McKenzie (1989) inferred an areal extension of the domal uplift associated with underplating on the order of 1000-2000 km in diameter. Underplating can take place by decompression melting of asthenospheric material due to lithospheric extension and by addition of anomalous hot asthenosphere to the crust. Depending on the temperature, and hence the density of the anomalous hot mantle, permanent uplift in the range of 1000-2000 m is expected (White and McKenzie, 1989). The continental flood basalts that have been observed in a couple of palaeorift environments, for instance the Ferrar (Antarctica) or the Karoo (southern Africa) and Deccan Trapps (India) magmatic provinces are considered as having been generated by the effusion of crust-penetrating magma from such asthenospheric thermal anomalies (see White and McKenzie, 1989; Baksi, 1994; Duncan et al., 1997). However, such domal uplifts do not explain marginal upwarps with a rift-parallel extension that commonly have a shorter wavelength topography than would be the case for a domal uplift triggered by magmatic underplating.

From the above discussion it can be concluded that none of the models described can account adequately for the features observed at mature passive margins. Thermally induced uplift will decay after ~60 Ma and the high heat flow will be confined to the vicinity of the rift, and thus
cannot be responsible for uplifts > 100 km inland. Magmatic underplating is unable to create rift-parallel upwarps and the dynamic and mechanical models are not capable creating uplift located more than 100 km away from the rift hinge (cf. Royden and Keen, 1980; Buck, 1986; Weissel and Karner, 1989; White and McKenzie, 1989).

So far, denudational processes have not been included into the models of passive margin evolution. But the thicknesses of offshore sediments that were deposited adjacent to rifted continental margins, e.g. in the Cape Basin clearly imply that significant erosion must have occurred after rifting (Rust and Summerfield, 1990). Depending on the lithospheric rigidity, the post-rifting denudation can invoke a flexural isostatic rebound of the lithosphere rather than an Airy isostatic compensation, and potentially it can result in surface uplift. The geomorphic expression of high-elevation type passive margins is thought to reflect the interaction of differential denudation across the continental margin and the subsequent flexural isostatic compensation by the unloaded lithosphere (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990, 1994).

2.4 Differential denudation and flexural isostasy

It is crucial to the geomorphological evolution of a mature passive margin to make assumptions about its pre-rifting topography. Although significant rift flank uplift can be generated during rifting, the pre-breakup regional elevation within a supercontinent, such as Gondwana and Laurasia might have initially been high. According to Gilchrist and Summerfield (1990, 1994) the landscape development of highly elevated regions within a supercontinent would have been characterised by low channel gradients and thus low denudation rates due to the larger distances between the source and the mouth of riversystems. Furthermore, any relief modification could have been lowered by arid climatic conditions. Climate models for Gondwana and Laurasia during the Permo-Triassic suggested that the climate would have been dominated by extreme continentality and low denudation rates for latitudes <40° (Kutzbach and Gallimore, 1989). However, any residual elevation may have been modified by rifting-related surface uplifts as described in the previous chapter. As soon as continental breakup occurs, the evolution of a dual denudational system across the developing passive margin is initiated in response to the lowering of the erosional base level. Along the rift flanks an oceanward directed drainage system will evolve separated by an escarpment or a series of escarpments from internally draining rivers (Fig. 2.3). The high
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relief of the newly formed continental margin will lead to enhanced denudation of the coastal catchment whereas beyond the escarpment erosion will be less aggressive due to the inherited subdued relief (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990). As a consequence of progressive denudation along the escarpment front, the escarpment will retreat further inland. The best studied example of passive margin evolution by escarpment retreat is given by the Great Escarpment (southern Africa) (e.g. Ollier and Marker, 1985; Summerfield, 1985; Partridge and Maud, 1987, 1988; Rust and Summerfield, 1990) but similar features have been observed at the continental margins of eastern Australia (e.g. Moore et al., 1986; Dumitr et al., 1991) and the Red Sea (e.g. Bohannon et al., 1989; Omar and Steckler, 1995; Menzies et al., 1997). Nonetheless, discontinuous denudation across a passive margin is not solely confined to mature passive margins, but is also a phenomenon of young margins such as the Red Sea. This led Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994) to conclude that the differential in denudation must be maintained from the onset of the post-rift evolution until the mature stage.

**Fig. 2.3** Schematic cross-section of an idealised passive margin with an escarpment crest separating two oppositely directed drainage systems (modified from Tucker and Slingerland, 1994). $S_T$, $U_T$ = thermally induced subsidence/uplift; $S_I$, $U_I$ = isostatically induced subsidence/uplift. The denudational-flexural coupling reflects enhanced denudation along the coastal region and subsequent upward movement of the escarpment. In addition, the offshore shelf subsides due to sediment loading.

The permanent uplift observed at mature passive margins is considered to reflect the flexural isostatic upward compensation as a reaction to the differential denudation across the margin.
In contrast to an (local) Airy isostatic compensation which is confined to the immediate area where material has been removed, a flexural readjustment will extend over an area larger than that altered by erosion. The amount of flexural upwarp/downwarp along the passive margin is mainly controlled by the lithospheric rigidity D which is given by

\[ D = \frac{E \times T^2_e}{12 \times (1 - v)} \]

with \( E \) = Young modulus, \( T_e \) = effective elastic thickness and \( v \) = Poisson's ratio (cf. Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994). This equation forms the base of the models discussed below.

Normally, hot lithosphere has a low rigidity whereas older and cooler lithosphere becomes increasingly rigid. During the initial stage of the post-rift evolution, subsidence mainly occurs because of thermal contraction and the resulting increase in density of the cooling lithosphere. When the lithosphere has cooled sufficiently, flexural bending starts to overcome the thermal relaxation. As soon as the rigidity of the lithosphere is high enough, denudational unloading along the continental rupture can create an isostatic flexural upwarp which can be anticipated by sediment loading on the seaward side of the margin and an isostatic flexural downward compensation. With increasing lithospheric rigidity the bulge of maximum flexural uplift retreats further inland (Summerfield, 1985).

Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994) have modelled the topographic evolution of the Namibian Atlantic margin through time by employing different elastic thicknesses of the lithosphere (Fig. 2.4).
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Fig. 2.4 Models of the denudational-flexural behaviour of the western margin of southern Africa (Great Escarpment) (from Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994). “Rift hinge” = axis defining the transition from unstretched to stretched lithosphere. Comparison between the observed and modelled topography across the passive margin for a denudational-flexural coupling. The results are shown for different elastic thicknesses $T_e$.

The models show that the elastic thickness does not have a significant effect on the amplitude of marginal upwarp but has a substantial influence on the wavelength of the topography. The longest wavelengths would be thus expected for the largest values of the elastic lithospheric thickness. Fig. 2.5 illustrates the distribution of the accumulative denudation across the margin since the onset of rifting.

Fig. 2.5 Evolution of the denudational pattern through time for $T_e = 16.5$ km.
Throughout the post-rifting evolution of the margin the amount of denudation is highest in the coastal region, whereas behind the escarpment denudation significantly decreases towards a constant value. As displayed in Fig. 2.6 the flexural uplift along the passive margin develops progressively with time. Synchronously the axis of the maximum upwarp retreats further inland (Fig. 2.7).

![Figure 2.6](image1)

**Fig. 2.6** Increasing flexural uplift through time ($T_c = 16.5$ km).

![Figure 2.7](image2)

**Fig. 2.7** Inland migration of the axis of maximum flexural uplift and retreat of the escarpment ($T_c = 16.5$ km).

The continental margin evolution has also been modelled for an Airy-isostatic case which is based on a zero-value elastic lithospheric thickness and for a lithosphere of infinite rigidity (Fig. 2.8 and 2.9). Both models are not capable of producing the amount of marginal uplift.
that has been observed at the Namibian Atlantic margin. From this Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994) concluded that the flexural isostatic response must play an important role in the generation of the denudational pattern observed at mature passive margins.

**Fig. 2.8** Airy-isostatic case for a zero-value lithospheric rigidity.

**Fig. 2.9** Airy-isostatic case for an infinite rigidity through time. No uplift is created by this mechanism operating alone.

It should be noted that Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994) based their models involving a flexural response of the lithosphere on an effective elastic thickness of 16.5 km which is relatively low in comparison with other studies. So far, no adequate models are available for elastic thicknesses of >40 km and thus the models of Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994)
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approximate a potential passive margin evolution. For instance, Ten Brink and Stern (1992) assumed an elastic thickness of ~90 - 110 km for the Transantarctic Mountains and Stephenson and Lambeck (1985) employed a value of 22 km for southeastern Australia. Van der Beek (1995) concludes that the elastic thickness of the lithosphere should be on the order of ~40 km in the onshore region and decrease towards ~20 km in adjacent offshore basins.

In order to assess quantitatively the isostatic readjustment in the offshore and onshore regions two concepts have been developed; one is based on the “backstripping” of sediments from the rift-basin, the second concerned with the “backstacking” of eroded material onto the pre-existing topography (Steckler and Watts, 1978; Brown, 1991). The “backstripping” procedure of Steckler and Watts (1978) serves to estimate the amount of isostatically driven subsidence and additionally to differentiate between the isostatic and tectonic effects on a subsiding rift-basin. By removing the sediment load from the offshore margin, the amplitude of an Airy and a flexural isostatic downward compensation that is attributable to the deposition of sediments alone, can be calculated. The amount of subsidence $U_T$ can be determined by applying the transformation

$$U_T = w + s \left( \frac{\rho_s - \rho_{sl}}{\rho_w - \rho_s} \right)$$

with $w =$ present-day water depth, $s =$ sediment thickness and $\rho_s, \rho_r, \rho_w =$ density of sediment, asthenosphere and seawater, respectively (modified by Van der Beek, 1995).

Complementary to the “backstripping” concept is the “backstacking” technique of Brown (1991). This allows the erosional and local isostatic rebound component to be resolved from fission-track-based uplift profiles. The amount of upward movement $U_T$ is given by

$$U_T = (H_0 + \Delta E) - \left( \frac{\Delta E \times \rho_s}{\rho_a} \right) - H_i - \Delta H_{sl}$$

with $H_0, H_i =$ present-day and initial elevation, $\Delta E =$ mean thickness of erosion, $\rho_s, \rho_a =$ crustal and asthenospheric densities and $\Delta H_{sl} =$ change from initial to present-day sea level. By knowing the present-day and palaeo-sea level as well as the palaeo-elevation it is therefore
possible to analyse the contributions of erosion, isostatic upward compensation and true tectonic uplift to highly elevated rifted margins.

2.5 Surface processes and landscape evolution of passive margins

When resolving the post-rift evolution of a passive margin surface processes governing the denudation pattern must also be taken into account. A series of studies have been published which are mainly concerned with the modelling of various surface parameters controlling long-term escarpment retreat (e.g. Gilchrist et al., 1994; Kooi and Beaumont, 1994; Tucker and Slingerland, 1994). One of the exogenic factors influencing the lateral escarpment retreat is the steepness of bedrock channels. Their power to incise the underlying bedrock increases with the size of the drainage area. Additionally, low sedimentation rates and a high elevation of the area as well as flexural isostatic upward movement help to maintain the escarpment morphology through time. The models of Kooi and Beaumont (1994) use a different approach which relates the denudational and morphological features observed in an escarpment environment to the effects of hillslope and fluvial transport. In their models they have shown that the escarpment can retreat if its front coincides with the drainage divide and if the reaction time for fluvial mass transport is long. Flexural isostatic compensation along the escarpment front helps to keep the crest as a drainage divide, and for low flexural lithospheric rigidities the velocity of escarpment retreat will be reduced. For an arid climate with low weathering rates the escarpment will have a sharp-topped shape with straight contours, whereas in a humid and temperate climate the escarpment will develop towards a convex upper slope and a concave lower slope morphology. Furthermore, resistant caprocks, as for instance the Karoo basaltic sequence, exert a major control on the long-term evolution of an escarpment while preventing erosion of the underlying and possibly less resistant rocks (Kooi and Beaumont, 1994). The model results of Kooi and Beaumont (1994) have been applied by Gilchrist et al. (1994) to the development of the Great Escarpment along the Namibian Atlantic margin. By combining the erosion-relevant parameters, the various morphological features of the Great Escarpment could be resolved.
2.6 Passive margin evolution constrained by apatite fission-track analysis

Fission-track studies on the evolution of passive margins have mainly focused on the timing and the tectonic post-rifting development of the newly formed continental margin (cf. Gallagher and Brown, 1997). Most of these studies deal with the passive margins that formed during the Mesozoic breakup of Gondwana, e.g. in southeastern Australia (Moore et al., 1986; Dumitru et al., 1991), southeastern Brazil (Gallagher et al., 1994), Red Sea/Gulf of Aden (e.g. Bohannon et al., 1987; Menzies et al., 1997) and southeastern Africa (Brown et al., 1990; Brown, 1992). The majority of these studies show a distinct pattern of increasing apatite fission-track ages (FTA) with increasing distance from the continental margin as well as a pronounced positive correlation of the FTA with the topographic elevation. The appearance of the youngest apatite fission-track ages is commonly associated with the lowest elevations in vicinity to the coastline whilst the oldest apatite fission-track ages are expected to occur further inland, somewhere in the more elevated interior. The amounts of denudation that have been deduced from fission-track analysis are typically higher than those calculated from numerical models for a simple morphological escarpment evolution (see above). By successive adjustment of the numerical modelling parameters for individual passive margins, a good agreement between the fission-track based estimates and the model results can indeed be obtained (cf. Gilchrist et al., 1994; Gallagher and Brown, 1997).

In addition to the quantitative information on denudation, fission-track analyses can be employed to date tectono-thermal events, using the assumption that the FTA directly reflect tectonic events, i.e. tectonically related denudation. Although initial rifting-related periods of accelerated denudation have been observed in a wide range of continental margin studies, morphologically-driven mechanisms for enhanced denudation have been neglected in the interpretation of fission-track data. For instance, it is crucial to the mode of landscape development to know, how fast any new drainage system becomes established. If river systems of the coastal catchment captured those draining landward shortly after breakup, then crustal cooling and denudation will occur over a large area extending far into the interior. In contrast, for a retarded breaching of the marginal upwarp the period of enhanced denudation and crustal cooling will be confined to a narrow zone adjacent to the coast, with the interior region recording a later phase of cooling (Summerfield and Brown, 1998). The importance of local morphological effects can be seen in the Lesotho Highlands of southern Africa. Here the results of an apatite fission-track study (Brown, 1992) imply the removal of less than 1 km of...
Evolution of passive continental margins

The extremely low denudation rate required over this time is in marked contrast to the high elevation (>2000 m) and the present strongly accentuated local relief of the Lesotho Highlands as well as their proximity to the Indian Ocean (Brown, 1992; Summerfield and Brown, 1998). The present local relief of the Lesotho Highlands implies a currently prevailing high denudation rate of >100 m/Ma (Summerfield, 1991a). Summerfield and Brown (1998) attribute the recent accelerated denudation in the Lesotho Highlands to the erosional power of the Orange River headwaters that have cut through the resistant Karoo basalts into the softer, underlying sediments. Such denudational events can also be recorded in the fission-track data and they are not related to any tectonic upward movement.
3. Fission-track thermochronology

3.1 Introduction

Fission tracks are nuclear damage trails in solids. They are produced either by spontaneous fission of naturally abundant radioactive elements, such as uranium and thorium, or by induced fission through irradiation of elements, for example with neutrons, protons or γ-rays. The fission reaction of a single nucleus produces two heavily positive charged nuclides that travel away from their source with high velocity while leaving behind a linear track in the insulating solid (Fleischer et al., 1975; Wagner and Van den haute, 1992).

The exploitation of fission reactions for geochronological applications takes advantage of the natural abundance of $^{235}$U in certain minerals. To be suitable for fission-track dating, a mineral must contain substantial amounts of $^{235}$U due to the very slow fission decay of $^{238}$U (half life $8.2 \times 10^{18}$ a; Holden, 1989). Additionally, the minerals should occur in relative abundance among the rock-forming minerals. Routinely employed are apatite, zircon and titanite, with apatite being the best studied mineral. In analogy to conventional radiometric dating methods where parent/daughter isotopic concentrations are measured, fission-track dating is based on the determination of spontaneous and induced track densities by counting the fission tracks. Induced fission tracks are generated by irradiation with thermal neutrons which cause the fission of $^{238}$U. Due to a constant $^{235}$U/$^{238}$U ratio and a constant nuclear fission rate in natural minerals, the apparent (see below) fission-track age (FTA) of a mineral grain can be determined from the ratio between the spontaneous and induced tracks. When a sample containing fission tracks is heated through a certain temperature range, the fission tracks will become progressively erased. This erasure or annealing begins at a mineral-specific temperature with the degree of annealing increasing towards higher temperatures. This temperature range is known as the partial annealing zone (PAZ). As soon as a distinct temperature threshold is reached, the fission tracks become totally annealed. The temperature range of the PAZ is best investigated for apatite. Due to its low temperature range, the apatite PAZ (APAZ) can be observed in situ in deep borehole samples (Naeser and Forbes, 1976; Naeser, 1979; Gleadow and Duddy, 1981). Based on drillcore fission-track results, an APAZ from ~60°C to ~110°C has been established (e.g. Gleadow and Duddy, 1981; Fitzgerald et al., 1988; O’Sullivan et al., 1996; O’Sullivan and Currie, 1996). In addition to
the in-situ observation, the well investigated crystallographical properties of apatite render this mineral highly suitable for fission-track analysis. The annealing behaviour for zircon and titanite is less well constrained because their partial annealing zones occur at higher temperatures and hence at deeper crustal levels, where natural track fading cannot be studied in situ. From laboratory annealing experiments, Yamada et al. (1995) suggest a zircon PAZ (ZPAZ) ranging from $-210^\circ$C to $-320^\circ$C for a heating duration of $10^7$ years. The titanite PAZ (TPAZ) is inferred to range from 265 - 310$^\circ$C (Coyle and Wagner, 1998). Coyle and Wagner (1998) also revealed that no in situ annealing occurred in titanites recovered from ultra-deep drillcores to at least depth of $-9$ km. If palaeotemperature information of a fission-track sample plus the FTA are known, then estimates about the minimum amount of denudation to bring the sample to surface conditions since the time dated by the FTA can be made. Additional information about the thermal history of a sample can be drawn from measuring the lengths of fission tracks. When a sample is heated through the PAZ the lengths of fission tracks are subjected to progressive shortening. Hence, the lengths of confined fission tracks (true lengths of horizontal tracks) serve as an indicator for the degree of annealing a sample has experienced. This is routinely applied to apatite fission-track analysis, but is also increasingly employed for titanite (e.g. Gleadow et al., 1986ab; Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987; Coyle and Wagner, 1998). Currently, efforts are made to extend the applicability of track length measuring to zircon samples (Yamada, 1998).

Generally, FTA are apparent ages and do not record a samples age or a distinct cooling event, but reflect the time a sample has spent within the PAZ. The more rapid a sample has cooled through the PAZ, the closer the FTA will match the time of the cooling event. For a sample cooling slowly or having a polyphase cooling history, the FTA record any moment within the PAZ. In the present study, the term FTA is used throughout, but it should be kept in mind that these FTA are apparent ages.

3.2 Principles of fission-track analysis

During the spontaneous fission decay of natural $^{238}$U the two positively charged fragments traverse the crystal at high speed creating a linear track within the host-mineral lattice. To render these fission tracks visible, they can be enlarged by chemical etching. Appropriate
etching conditions can be basically controlled by the concentration of the etchant and the etching time (Wagner and Van den haute, 1992). The fission decay of $^{235}$U in a crystal is induced by irradiation with thermal neutrons. During the irradiation process the sample is bombarded with thermal (slow), epithermal (partially slowed) and fast neutrons with thermal neutrons triggering the desired fission of $^{235}$U. However, epithermal neutrons are also capable of inducing fission of $^{235}$U whereas fast neutrons trigger the fission of $^{238}$U. Both effects can lead to an underestimation of the FTA if the reactor facility is not well thermalised (Wagner and Van den haute, 1992). A good thermalisation of the reactor is therefore of great importance for irradiation (Wagner and Van den haute, 1992) because tracks whether induced by the fission of $^{235}$U or $^{238}$U are microscopically indistinguishable. When the external detector method is applied for fission-track analysis, usually U-poor muscovite planar crystals are attached to the surface of the sample before irradiation. During irradiation new tracks that also shoot into the mica are formed in the mineral grains. After irradiation, the induced tracks in the mica can be revealed by appropriate etching. For the fission-track analysis, the induced tracks are counted in the external detector. The newly formed tracks are also present in the sample itself, though they remain invisible, because the sample is not etched again. The external detector method allows counting of spontaneous and induced tracks separately for individual grains. This therefore permits the calculation of individual ages within a rock sample.

The neutron fluence and gradient of the sample suite is monitored by using precisely calibrated U-doped glass monitors.

### 3.3 Annealing kinetics and interpretation of fission-track data

Radiation damage generated by nuclides through fission decay indicates an energetically unstable state of the crystal lattice within the host. With increased temperature this damage becomes restored by progressive diffusion and the fission tracks anneal, causing the spatial and areal density of fission tracks within each grain to be reduced (Laslett et al., 1987; Wagner and Van den haute, 1992). This means that the probability of tracks intersecting a mineral surface decreases during annealing, and the FTA of the analysed sample will become younger (Wagner, 1981; Green, 1988). In addition to the FTA, the lengths of the horizontal
tracks will be subsequently reduced within the PAZ (Gleadow and Duddy, 1981; Gleadow et al., 1986a; Green et al., 1986). For titanite, annealing kinetics analogous with those of apatite are assumed but have been studied to a far lesser extent (Coyle and Wagner, 1998). Partial annealing of fission tracks is a non-linear process (Fig. 3.1).

The annealing kinetics are not only governed by temperature but also by the heating duration (e.g. Gleadow and Duddy, 1981; Naeser, 1981; Green et al., 1986), the annealing anisotropy (Green and Durrani, 1977; Green et al., 1986; Donelick, 1991) and the chemical composition (Green et al., 1986; O'Sullivan and Parrish, 1995). In particular the F/Cl ratio in apatites can have a significant influence on the annealing behaviour. This has been demonstrated for instance by Green et al. (1986) from a fission-track and microprobe study on sandstones from the Otway Basin (Victoria, Australia). Green et al. (1986) draw the conclusion that chlorine-rich apatites are more resistant to track annealing than fluorine-rich ones causing a significant scatter of FTA among detrital apatites.

The FTA with the addition of mean track length data can be used to deduce the thermal history of an apatite sample (Wagner, 1979; Gleadow et al., 1986a,b; Wagner, 1988) (Fig. 3.2). If a sample has cooled rapidly through the PAZ, the FTA will be close to the age of formation and a relatively long mean track length (14.5-15 \mu m) with a small standard deviation (~1 \mu m) and nearly symmetric track length distribution are expected (Fig. 3.2a). This applies for example to volcanic rocks which have not been thermally influenced since their time of extrusion. For a slow and continuous cooling path, the mean track lengths will be shorter (~12-13 \mu m) with increased standard deviations (~1.2-2 \mu m) (Fig. 3.2b). The track length distributions broaden with a negatively skewed shape. This is typical for
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crystalline basement rocks of the Precambrian cratons that have cooled slowly through the PAZ to ambient surface temperatures.
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**Fig. 3.2** Time-temperature paths and mean track length distributions for three different model thermal histories. Additionally, the fission-track ages and the mean track length (± Standard Deviation) are quoted. Hatched boxes represent the APAZ. *(A)* Rapid cooling. *(B)* Continuous slow cooling. *(C)* Reheating (from Van Der Beek, 1995).

For a sample that has been reheated after cooling below 60°C, the fission tracks are either totally erased or undergo partial annealing (Fig. 3.2c). Reheating can be caused for instance by the intrusion of hot magmatic material into the crust. In case of total erasure, the thermal history prior to reheating is removed completely, whereas partial overprinting leads to the preservation of a two step cooling history. This would be reflected in a usually bimodal track length distribution with strongly annealed tracks having formed prior to heating, and new
long tracks being generated after thermal overprinting. Furthermore, the FTA of the sample is decreased. For samples with a complex thermal history, the track length distributions will represent a series of transitional rather than bimodal distributions. Such track length distributions are often broad and contain a significant portion of strongly annealed short tracks (cf. Gleadow et al., 1986a).

3.4 Qualitative information and estimation of denudation rates

Apatite fission-track results from deep borehole studies revealed that the apatite FTA rapidly approach a zero age towards the base of the PAZ, i.e. its high-temperature limit (cf. Fig. 3.1).
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**Fig. 3.3** Age-elevation plot from the Transantarctic Mountains (from Fitzgerald, 1992). Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the apatite FTA. The histograms illustrate the development of track lengths and their distributions above and below the break. Histograms are normalised to 100 tracks. The samples from above the break in slope have larger standard deviations and contain a significant portion of strongly shortened tracks suggesting some degree of annealing prior to denudation. For the younger samples below the break, the confined tracks are comparatively long and have small standard deviations. They reflect only minor annealing.
If such a suite of samples has cooled rapidly to surface temperatures after being exposed to temperatures between 110-60°C for considerable time, this pattern can be retained in the vertical sample profile. This profile will exhibit a marked change in the apatite FTA gradient (Fig. 3.3) with a shallow gradient above the break-in-slope and a steep gradient below it (e.g. Naeser, 1979; Gleadow et al., 1984; Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987; Green, 1989; Brown et al., 1990). A break-in-slope is interpreted to reflect the base of an exhumed fossil PAZ and its FTA marks the approximate onset of rapid cooling (Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987). The samples from above the break-in-slope are characterised by strongly decreasing ages and mean track lengths with decreasing elevation, while those from below the break-in-slope have long mean track lengths with narrow standard deviations. The FTA of the break-in-slope underestimates to some degree the onset of rapid cooling due to partial annealing during the rapid cooling (Fitzgerald et al., 1995). From the present-day topographic elevation of the break-in-slope, the amount of denudation since the onset of rapid cooling can be calculated, if the palaeogeothermal gradient is known (Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987).

Thermal histories of rocks can also be deduced from the relationship between the FTA and the mean track length (Fig. 3.4). This approach was introduced by Green (1986) and since that time has found application in a variety of studies (e.g. Moore et al., 1986; Omar et al., 1989; Gallagher et al., 1994). By relating the FTA to the mean track lengths of a suite of samples from different elevations, a boomerang-shaped trend often becomes apparent. The longest mean track lengths are confined to the youngest samples whereas the oldest samples have track lengths indicating some degree of annealing prior to denudation. The shortest mean track lengths are attributed to those samples with intermediate ages. Green (1986) interpreted the concave shape as the mixing of two cooling components producing the track length distributions shown in Fig. 3.4. Between the two end points of the boomerang there exists a series of transitional bimodal track length distributions where the abundance of inherited annealed tracks becomes reduced in favour of newly formed long tracks while the FTA of the samples decrease.
Fig. 3.4 Relationship between the fission-track age and the mean track length in samples from Northern England. Error bars on the age are quoted ±1σ, the mean track lengths are reported ± 1 Standard Deviation. The concave shape of the plot indicates a partial to complete thermal overprint, where in the samples older than ~100 Ma pre-existing tracks have become substantially annealed while in the youngest samples inherited tracks have been totally erased (from Green, 1986).

Fission-track age and/or mean track length profiles can provide quantitative information about vertical movements that have occurred since the samples have cooled through the PAZ. If the palaeo-geothermal gradient is known, then the amount of crustal material that has been removed since the cooling recorded in the fission-track data, can be calculated (e.g. Gleadow and Fitzgerald, 1987).

In thermochronological studies denudation rates are determined either from isotopic and/or fission-track systems with differing closure temperatures or from the slopes of cooling ages in an age-elevation plot. Parrish (1983) implies a horizontal orientation of the critical isotherms and a constant depth of the isotherms with respect to the surface to make an appropriate calculation of the denudation rate. Additionally, the rate of uplift must equal the
rate of denudation, so that no change in surface elevation takes place. Such criteria are difficult to meet, in particular the maintaining of the position and shape of isotherms (e.g. Brown, 1991; Stüwe et al., 1994; Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997). According to Mancktelow and Grasemann (1997) it is crucial to consider that the geothermal gradient can change due to heat advection during denudation. Other than for surface uplift, where during uplift an overall cooling of the surface occurs, tectonic exhumation leads to the displacement of points within the crust from higher to ambient surface temperatures, and thus causes advection of heat. When the denudation rate is calculated using different closure temperatures, the rate estimation is strongly dependent on the geothermal gradient which is usually assumed to be constant and steady (Fig. 3.5a). For a dynamic approach that involves a change of the geothermal gradient by advection, the calculated denudation rate will be increased, and the rate quoted for a constant geothermal gradient will therefore be an underestimate of the true denudation rate (Fig. 3.5b). From fission-track profiles the apparent denudation rate can be calculated without knowing the geothermal gradient, if the critical isotherms were located at the same depth (Fig. 3.6a and 3.6b). Denudation rates derived from vertical fission-track profiles are apparent rates because they include the time lag between cooling and the onset of exhumation (Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997).
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**Fig. 3.5** Influence of the geothermal gradient on the determination of denudation rates. $T_1$ and $T_2$ refer to two different closure temperatures; $T_{FP}$ represent a fission-track closure temperature. $G_1$ and $G_2$ are two different geothermal gradients, $\Delta z$ is the estimated amount of denudation. (A) Steady-state geothermal gradients and the resulting denudation for two isotopic systems with different closure temperatures. The highest denudation rate is obtained for $G_1$ with a decreasing denudation rate for lower geothermal gradients. (B) Increasing geothermal gradient due to heat advection. The true denudation will be larger than for a constant geotherm which is illustrated in Fig. 3.5a (from Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997).
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Fig. 3.6 $\Delta z$ is the estimated amount of denudation. (A) Both samples from different elevations record the same amount of denudation independent of the prevailing gradient. (B) In case of heat advection, the denudation will be the same for both samples, but an additional distance $\Delta y$ results from crossing the critical isotherms at different depths (from Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997).

Brown (1991) and Stüwe et al. (1994) have demonstrated that the topography can bear significant influence on the depth and the shape of isotherms within the crust (Fig. 3.7 and 3.8).

Fig. 3.7 Effects of topography on the position and shape of the 110°C-isotherm. (a) $S_1$ and $S_2$ represent two sample localities on a ridge crest and in a valley. $\Delta z_1$ and $\Delta z_2$ are the distances between the critical isotherm and the sample elevation. The sample from $S_1$ will record a younger FTA than $S_2$ (from Stüwe et al., 1994).

As shown in Fig. 3.7 the 110°C-isotherm is comparatively far apart from the surface underneath ridges and more closely-spaced beneath valleys. The FTA of sample from the valley thus records an older FTA than the sample from the mountain crest, though originating from higher topographic elevation. The perturbation of isotherms due to topographic effects is relevant for denudation rates of >400-500 m/Ma and is negligible for lower rates of denudation (Stüwe et al., 1994). For the zircon and titanite fission-track systems with closure
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Fig. 3.7 Effects of topography on the position and shape of the 110°C-isotherm. (a) $S_1$ and $S_2$ represent two sample localities on a ridge crest and in a valley. $\Delta z_1$ and $\Delta z_2$ are the distances between the critical isotherm and the sample elevation. The sample from $S_1$ will record a younger FTA than $S_2$ (from Stüwe et al., 1994).

As shown in Fig. 3.7 the 110°C-isotherm is comparatively far apart from the surface underneath ridges and more closely-spaced beneath valleys. The FTA of sample from the valley thus records an older FTA than the sample from the mountain crest, though originating from higher topographic elevation. The perturbation of isotherms due to topographic effects is relevant for denudation rates of >400-500 m/Ma and is negligible for lower rates of denudation (Stüwe et al., 1994). For the zircon and titanite fission-track systems with closure
temperatures of ~240°C and ~300°C, respectively, topographical effects have a facing influence on isotherms at temperatures >200°C (Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997).
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The effects of topography on the denudation rate are counteracted by the influence of heat advection which causes an apparent decrease in the denudation rate (Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997).

### 3.5 Fission-track data analysis

The FTA equation is based on the law of radioactive decay that provides also the basis to other conventional isotopic dating methods. The standard age equation is modified for fission-track analysis to account for the fission-track specific recruitments (see below). The derivations and transformations are explicitly reported by Fleischer et al. (1975) and by Wagner and Van den haute (1992).

The age equation is developed upon the basic equation that describes the process of radioactive decay:

\[ N_D = N_F \times (e^{A \Delta t} - 1) \] with
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\[ t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left( \frac{N_D}{N_P} + 1 \right) \]

where
\[ t = \text{time} \]
\[ N_D = \text{number of daughter atoms (per unit of volume)} \]
\[ N_P = \text{number of parent atoms (per unit of volume)} \]
\[ \lambda = \text{decay-constant}. \]

Instead of measuring the concentrations of parent and daughter isotopes, the fission-track method is based on counting spontaneous and induced tracks. To account for this, the standard age equation is modified by involving the fission decay constant, the spontaneous and induced track densities, a geometry factor, the \(^{235}\text{U} / ^{238}\text{U}\) ratio and the neutron fluence (see Dumitru, 1996):

\[ t = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \right) \times \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} \right) \times \left( g \times \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_s} \right) \times I \times a \times \Phi \right) \right] \]

with
\[ \lambda_0 = 1.551 \times 10^{10} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ (total (alpha and fission) decay constant of } ^{238}\text{U})} \]
\[ \lambda_i = -8.46 \times 10^{-7} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ (spontaneous fission decay constant of } ^{238}\text{U})} \]
\[ g = 0.5 \text{ (geometry factor for external detector method; see Wagner and Van den haute (1992) for explanation)} \]
\[ \rho_s = \text{measured spontaneous fission-track density (number of tracks cm}^{-2}) \]
\[ \rho_i = \text{measured induced fission-track density (number of tracks cm}^{-2}) \]
\[ I = 7.2527 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (} ^{235}\text{U} / ^{238}\text{U}\text{ ratio)} \]
\[ a = 584.25 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ neutron}^{-1} \text{ (thermal neutron capture cross section as an indicator of how likely a thermal neutron induces fission of a } ^{235}\text{U nucleus)} \]
\[ \Phi = \text{thermal neutron dose (neutrons cm}^{-2}) \]

Because the fission decay constant \( \lambda_0 \) of \(^{238}\text{U}\) and the neutron dose \( \Phi \) are difficult to determine precisely, Hurford and Green (1983) developed the zeta calibration approach to avoid
imposing these uncertainties on the FTA. The zeta factor $\zeta$ substitutes $\lambda_\varphi$ and the $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio $I$ by a calibration with standards of isotopically determined age:

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_\varphi}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\rho_s}\right) \times \left(\frac{\ln(1 + \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_s})}{\rho_i}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)$$

with

$t_{st}$ = age of standard sample
$\rho_s$ = measured fission-track density in glass monitor (number of tracks cm$^{-2}$).

Hence, by modifying the basic fission-track age equation (see above), the FTA can be obtained from the following age equation:

$$t = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) \times \left(\ln\left(1 + \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_s}\right) \times \lambda_i \times \zeta \times \rho_s\right)\right)$$

Fission decay of uranium is a randomly governed process. The areal and spatial distributions of fission tracks per unit volume thus follow Poissonian statistics. For calculating the error of the FTA, the "conventional analysis" (Green, 1981) was applied:

$$\sigma(t) = t \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{N_s} + \frac{1}{N_i} + \frac{1}{N_d} + \frac{(\sigma(\zeta))^2}{\zeta}\right)}$$

where

$\sigma(t) = \pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty on the FTA
$N_s, N_i$ = number of spontaneous and induced tracks
$N_d$ = number of tracks counted in glass monitor
$\sigma(\zeta) = \pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty on the zeta factor.

Several experimental factors exist that introduce non-Poissonian distributions to the fission-track counting. These experimental factors include the identification of track-like defects as
fission tracks, an insufficient contact between the samples surface and the mica detector, etching anisotropy, natural contamination of samples (e.g., in sedimentary and volcanic rocks), misalignment of the counting area, vertical uranium inhomogeneities and variations in the neutron fluence (Green, 1981). This can lead to variations in $\rho_p$ and $\rho_i$, and therefore in the FTA. In order to assess non-Poissonian fluctuations in the FTA, Galbraith (1981) has developed the $\chi^2$-test where measured track densities are compared with those expected from a Poissonian distribution:

$$
\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(N_{s_j}+\hat{N}_{s_j})^2}{\hat{N}_{s_j}} + \sum \frac{(N_{i_j}+\hat{N}_{i_j})^2}{\hat{N}_{i_j}}
$$

with

$$
\hat{N}_{s_j} = \frac{N_i \times (N_j + N_0)}{N_s + N_i}; \quad \hat{N}_{i_j} = \frac{N_i \times (N_j + N_0)}{N_i + N_i}
$$

$n$ = number of countable grains
$N_s, N_i$ = sum of measured spontaneous and induced tracks
$N_{s_j}, N_{i_j}$ = number of spontaneous and induced tracks in the jth grain
$\hat{N}_{s_j}, \hat{N}_{i_j}$ = number of expected counts of spontaneous and induced tracks in the jth grain.

The FTA of a sample can simply be calculated by determining the arithmetic mean of all single grain ages (mean age). However, the mean age does not take into account the precisions of the single grain ages. This is particularly important for samples that fail the $\chi^2$-test (<5%), which are characterised by a considerable scatter in single grain ages. This is assessed by reporting the population geometric mean age (central age) instead of the mean age for $\chi^2$-values less than 5% (cf. Galbraith, 1981; Green, 1981; Galbraith and Laslett, 1993). If the relative error of the central age decreases towards zero, a median age (pooled age) is approached. The pooled age is quoted in case where a sample passes the $\chi^2$-test. In the present study the pooled age is quoted for samples having $\chi^2$-values >5%, otherwise the central ages are given.
3.6 Sample preparation

123 samples of kilogram-size from various metamorphic and magmatic rocks have been prepared for fission-track analysis. The first step was to fragment the samples with a jawcrusher to <cm-size. From the crushed material the fraction <315 μm was sieved for further treatment. The grains <315 μm were run over a Wilfley table in order to concentrate the denser minerals in two fractions and also to remove any dust particles. After drying, the two fractions which contain the heavy minerals, including apatite, zircon and titanite, were run through a Frantz isodynamic magnetic separator to remove the ferro-magnetic minerals from the non-magnetic apatites and zircons as well as from the diamagnetic titanites. The best results were obtained by using a forward slope of 15° and a side slope of 25° at a direct current of 0.6 A.

From the non-magnetic fraction the apatites, zircons and titanites were concentrated by heavy liquid separation. First, the fission-track relevant minerals were separated with tetrabromoethane (2.93 g/cm³) from the lighter fraction mainly containing quartz and feldspar. For the separation of the lighter apatite grains from the heavier zircons and titanites methylene iodide (3.32 g/cm³) was used. When the apatite fraction still contained a portion of heavier minerals like zircons, impurities were removed by isodynamic separation at 1.2 A with 15° forward slope and 25° side slope. The same adjustments were employed for the isolation of the titanites from the zircon fraction after heavy liquid separation.

The apatite and titanite grains were mounted in epoxy resin, ground with ~20 μm grinding powder and polished with 0.05 μm aluminiumoxide powder to attain even and smooth mineral surfaces. Apatites were etched with 5% HNO₃ at 23°C for ~60 seconds in order to reveal the spontaneous fission tracks. Etching of the titanites was carried out with a mixed liquid of HF, HNO₃, HCl and H₂O (1:2:3:6) for 17-22 minutes at 21°C (Naeser and McKee, 1970). The zircon grains were mounted in PFA Teflon®, ground with ~20 μm grinding powder and polished with 0.05 μm aluminiumoxide to an optical finish. Thereafter, they were etched in an eutectic NaOH-KOH melt at 215 ± 1°C (Gleadow et al., 1976). To obtain a high quality etch, each sample required an individual etching period ranging from 12-28 hours.

After the etching procedure, U-poor muscovite detectors were attached tightly onto the samples surfaces, and the samples were placed in a polyethylene tube together with at least one age standard and two U-doped glass monitors at each end of the tube. For monitoring the neutron fluence, CN5 monitors (Corning Glass Inc.) with a U-content of 12.5 ppm were
used for irradiation of apatite, whereas for titanite and zircon the CN2 detector with a higher natural uranium concentrations (~38 ppm U) was chosen. The age standards used for zeta calibration in the present study are listed below (Tab. 3.1).

Table 3.1 Age standards employed for ζ-calibration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Fish Canyon Tuff (27.8 ± 0.2 Ma)(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Durango (31.4 ± 0.6 Ma)(^{(2)})</th>
<th>Tardree Rhyolite (58.7 ± 1.1 Ma)(^{(3)})</th>
<th>Mount Dromedary (98.8 ± 0.6 Ma)(^{(4)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanite</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) \(^{39}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar (biotite); Hurford and Hammerschmidt (1985)
\(^{(2)}\) K-Ar; McDowell and Keizer (1977)
\(^{(3)}\) K-Ar, \(^{39}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar (sanidine); Hurford et al. (unpubl.); see Wagner and Van den haute (1992)
\(^{(4)}\) Rb-Sr (biotite); Williams et al. (1982).

Irradiation of the samples was carried out in the well-thermalised graphite reflector facility R3 at Risø (Denmark). The significance of a good thermalisation of the reactor during irradiation has been pointed out in section 3.2. After irradiation, the mica detectors were etched for 15 minutes in 40% HF in order to render the induced fission tracks visible. Finally, the samples and the mica detectors were prepared for microscopic investigations by mounting them mirror-imaged on glass slides.

### 3.7 Data acquisition - technical equipment, track counting and measuring of confined track lengths

The basic technical equipment employed for fission-track analysis was a ZEISS Axioplan microscope connected to an Apple Macintosh computer and a high-resolution CalComp Drawing Board II with a 16-button cursor. The fission-track slides were positioned on an automated Kinetek scanning stage which was operated with a joystick. With the scanning stage it was possible to move between the counting areas in grains and the mica with high precision (±2 μm). Additionally, the microscope was equipped with a drawing tube for track...
length measurements. The fission-track stage was operated using the “FT-stage” software provided by Dumitru (1993a,b). This was used for calibrating the fission-track slide, for marking the countable grains as well as for confined track length measurements. In practice, the counting of fission tracks was carried out in transmitted light with a rotatable counting grid of known areal extent. Track counting in apatite was done with an air objective and a total magnification of 1000x. Confined tracks were measured with a total magnification of 2000x. This magnification was also used for track counting in titanite and zircon due to their higher track densities. For zircon an oil objective was employed. The practice of track counting and confined track length measuring was accomplished according to the recommendations outlined by Gleadow (1984), Gleadow et al. (1986a) and Wagner and Van den haute (1992). In apatite specimen generally ~20-30 grains were dated, whereas in titanite and zircon samples commonly only ~10-20 grains were datable. In most of the apatite samples between 40-100 confined track length measurements were made, although in some samples less than 40 horizontal tracks were measured due to low track densities.
4. Results and interpretation

4.1 Introduction

Fission-track analyses have been performed on 123 rock samples from which 114 apatite, 19 titanite and 14 zircon fission-track ages were obtained. The majority of samples are constituted by \textit{in-situ} basement rocks from the onshore region of CDML. The altitude of the sample locations were determined barometrically, and were then corrected to fixed GPS reference points, using a correction factor of \(-4.7\%\) (cf. Reitmayr, 1996). The samples cover an area of \(\sim 35000\, \text{km}^2\) and span a range in topographic elevation from near sea level to 3100 m with sample elevations increasing from the grounding line towards the mountain chains of the Orvinjella and the Wohltathmassiv. Most of the \textit{in-situ} samples were collected from the latter two localities. Additional samples from the easternmost Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge as well as from the nunataks of Sigurdsvodene, Henriksenskjera and Starheimtind were included for fission-track analyses. The northernmost rock samples were obtained from the Schirnmacherose located adjacent to the grounding line. The areal distribution of apatite and titanite fission-track data over CDML is well balanced, whereas zircon fission-track ages (FTA) are available from the Conradgebirge and Schneidegebirge.

In addition to the \textit{in-situ} basement samples, six dropstone apatite samples from the offshore region of CDML have been dated. The dropstones were kindly provided by the “Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung” (Bremerhaven) and were collected with a large box corer (GKG) or an Agassiz trawl (AGT) during the Polarstern cruise ANT-IX/3 (Bathmann et al., 1992). Their sample locations are situated between 6-12°E and 69°57'-69°59'S (Fig. 4.1).

Lithologically, the \textit{in-situ} as well as the dropstone samples from CDML comprise a broad petrographical range of metamorphic and magmatic basement rocks (Tab. I.1 - I.111, Appendix).

The sample data (latitude/longitude, locality, lithology and elevation) as well as the fission-track data and the counting parameters are presented in Tab. I.1 - I.111 (Appendix). In addition, for each sample the distributions of single grain ages and mean track lengths are illustrated as radial plots and frequency histograms (Fig. I.A-C, Appendix). The fission-track ages (FTA) are quoted with \(\pm 1\sigma\)-error throughout and the mean track lengths are given \(\pm\) their standard deviation, unless otherwise stated.
Fig. 4.1 Contour map of continental margin of Dronning Maud Land. Positive isopleths represent topographic elevation above sea level, negative isopleths represent depth below sea level. Map was created from the ETOPO-5 database. Thick black line corresponds to seismic line of profile BGR 78-012 for the seismostratigraphic sequence of Fig. 5.6.

Analyses on in-situ basement titanites gave the oldest apparent FTA from CDML. They vary between 894±171 Ma and 293±28 Ma with $\chi^2$-values of 0-100%. From zircon fission-track analysis FTA between 364±47 Ma and 237±31 Ma with $\chi^2$-probabilities from 20% to 99% were obtained. The apatite FTA of the in-situ basement samples from CDML range from 344±20 Ma to 83±3 Ma and the $\chi^2$-probabilities of which range from 0% to 92%. Measurements (40-100 confined tracks measurable) of the mean confined track lengths in the apatite samples gave values between 12.0 $\mu$m and 14.1 $\mu$m with standard deviations ranging from 0.8 $\mu$m to 2.3 $\mu$m. Fission-track dating of the dropstone samples yielded apatite FTA between 170±9 Ma and 78±4 Ma with $\chi^2$-probabilities of 0% to 68%. Due to the low apatite uranium-content, no apatite confined track length measurements were possible on the dropstone samples.

The sample numbers and their FTA are compiled in Fig. 4.2 and Fig. 4.3, respectively. More detailed illustrations together with the age-elevation plots are given with the presentation of the results (Fig. 4.8 – 4.14).
Fig. 4.2 Overview map of CDML with sample localities and sample numbers.
Fig. 4.3 Overview map of CDML with FTA reported ±10.
4.2 Titanite fission-track analysis

The titanite fission-track analysis yield FTA from 894±171 Ma to 293±28 Ma with $\chi^2$-values between 0-100%. In spite of the broad scatter in ages, most of the samples fall in the range between ~450 Ma and ~300 Ma. Sample J1700 with an extremely old age of 894±171 Ma was excluded from further discussion, because of the low statistical relevance of the number of tracks counted in the detector. Due to the very small titanite grains of this sample, too few tracks per grain could be counted in the external detector.

The relationship between the titanite FTA and the topographic elevation is only weakly developed, although it appears that the ages do decrease towards higher elevations (Fig. 4.4).

![Age-elevation plot of titanite FTA. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA.](image)

As illustrated in Fig. 4.5 the older titanite FTA seem to be confined to the peripheral part of CDML whilst the youngest ages occur in the central part of the Orvinjella and the Wohlthatmassiv. Due to the fact that the sampling sites of the titanites are widely dispersed over CDML, it is likely that local variations in the positions of isotherms have influenced the individual annealing properties of the fission tracks. A fluctuating geothermal gradient during the time of titanite fission-track accumulation could also account for the differential annealing recorded by the scatter in FTA, in particular with respect to the post-Pan-African cooling (see section 5.2 for discussion).
Fig. 4.5 Three dimensional surface and contour plot of titanite FTA from CDML. Black dots depict the titanite sample locations (samples positions are estimated from the Norwegian topographic maps). Both maps show an accumulation of relatively young titanite FTA in central parts of the Orvinfjella and the Wohllhatmassiv. Towards the north, the titanite FTA subsequently decrease. The plots are stretched by 50% in E-W direction. The data for the topographic grid were compiled from the ETOPO-5 database.

Though, the titanite fission-track system is not as well constrained as the apatite system, and compositional effects on the annealing properties in titanite are still unknown, it is probable that such factors contribute to a differential track retention behaviour (cf. Coyle and Wagner, 1998). The broad range in single grain ages and the mainly high $\chi^2$-values suggest that the titanite FTA have a common origin and reflect a continuous slow cooling process through the TPAZ from middle to early late Paleozoic times.
4.3 Zircon fission-track analysis

Zircon FTA range from 364±47 Ma to 237±31 Ma with most of them falling in the range between 310 Ma and 240 Ma. In the Conradgebirge and Schneidegebirge the zircon FTA are substantially older than the apatite FTA. The relatively old age of 364±47 Ma was obtained from sample J1655 which was of poor quality and where only seven grains were datable. All samples passed the \( \chi^2 \)-test with values of 20-99% inferring that all ages comprise single age populations. The correlation between increasing zircon FTA and the topographic elevation is well developed and is characterised by a steep slope (Fig. 4.6).

![Fig. 4.6 Age-elevation plot of zircon FTA. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA.](image)

The zircon FTA from the Schneidegebirge are slightly younger than those of the Conradgebirge. On the basis of their high \( \chi^2 \)-probabilities and broad distributions of single grain ages, steady cooling through the ZPAZ from the late Paleozoic until the early Mesozoic is inferred. Compared to the scattered titanite FTA, the zircon fission-track data show much less variation in their ages, although this is probably because they were obtained from spatially limited areas, in contrast to the widely distributed titanite fission-track samples. The well developed zircon FTA-elevation relationship in comparison to that for the titanite FTA, implies that the isotherms had most probably attained a stable position within the upper crust by this time.
4.4 Apatite fission-track analysis

For a more detailed presentation and interpretation, the apatite fission-track data of the *in-situ* basement samples that constitute the major part of this study, are treated in more detail and subdivided according to their localities. It was necessary to make this differentiation in order to account for local variance in the fission-track data, and to emphasise regional differences. The results of the dropstones apatite fission-track analyses are presented separately at the end of this chapter.

Generally, in most of the vertical profiles (Fig. 4.8 - 4.14) a distinctive relationship between the mean track length and the topographic elevation is not observable, though it appears that the fraction of shortened tracks commonly decreases in favour of long tracks towards lower elevations.

---

**Fig. 4.7** Overview map of CDML. Boxes represent frames of detail maps from Fig. 4.8 – 4.14.
Fig. 4.8 Legend for Fig. 4.8 - 4.14. (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±1σ) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of the eastern Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge and the western Orvinfjella.
Fig. 4.8 (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.

### 4.4.1 Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge

Samples J1742 and J1743 from the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge were collected from a granitic gneiss unit and from a syenite intrusion (Fig. 4.8). The two samples have apatite FTA of 169±9 Ma and 210±12 Ma, both of them are characterised by zero $\chi^2$-probabilities suggesting a mixed age population. The younger FTA is confined to the less elevated sample J1742 (~1400 m), whereas the older FTA belongs to the more southerly located and higher elevated sample J1743 (~1700 m) (Fig. 4.8b). Both samples have single grain age components >300 Ma, but it should be noted that the 1σ-error on these ages is relatively large and that compositional effects could have influenced the annealing behaviour of these grains (see section 4.5.2 for discussion). The mean track lengths of 12.7±1.9 μm and 12.4±1.9 μm as well as their frequency distributions imply significant shortening of confined tracks, although for sample J1743 only 23 track length measurements were possible.

The shortened mean track lengths as well as the broad frequency distributions of the single grain ages imply that the samples from the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge spent considerable time within the APAZ. This is supported by the single grain age distributions comprising ages between ~100 Ma and ~350 Ma. This is interpreted to reflect a prolonged cooling time within the APAZ. The youngest single grain ages indicate cooling of these grains below 110°C in the Early Jurassic with the samples remaining within the APAZ until the mid-Cretaceous.
4.4.2 Sigurdsvodene

For the fission-track dating of apatites from Sigurdsvodene, felsic gneisses (J1730, SP40), a hornblende-bearing gneiss (J1731) and an amphibolite (J1732) were sampled from elevations between 1035 m and 1155 m (Fig. 4.8).

The apatite fission-track analyses gave apparent ages ranging from 156±7 Ma to 229±18 Ma with $\chi^2$-probabilities of 0-39%. Most of the Sigurdsvodene samples exhibit a significant spread in single grain age distributions with ages between 140-200 Ma being most frequent. Particularly sample J1732 contains a large fraction of ages >200 Ma, but the 10-errors on these ages are extremely large. Sample SP40 is characterised by a narrow single grain age distribution with a comparatively high $\chi^2$-probability. Most probably this sample is representative of the cooling history of Sigurdsvodene. Due to the very low track densities in the apatite grains no confined track length measurements were possible. There is no observable correlation between the apatite FTA and the elevation (Fig. 4.8b).

From the apatite FTA of sample SP40 and the abundance of Early and Middle Jurassic single grain ages, the samples from Sigurdsvodene resemble those of the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge, and might have experienced a similar cooling history.

4.4.3 Drygalskiberge

The three samples of the Drygalskiberge are syenitic, pegmatitic and amphibolitic in nature and were collected from elevations between 1745-2145 m (Fig. 4.8).

They yield apparent apatite FTA between 255±11 Ma and 285±18 Ma. Their single-grain age distributions are characterised by low $\chi^2$-values (3% and 7%) with the exception of sample J1769 which passed the $\chi^2$-test with a value of 70%. The youngest apatite FTA belongs to the sample (J1700) from the lowest elevation in the northern part of the Drygalskiberge (Fig. 4.8b). For the higher elevated samples from the southern tip of the Drygalskiberge older FTA were obtained. The Drygalskiberge samples are substantially older than the apatite FTA from the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge and Sigurdsvodene, the FTA of. From their youngest single grain ages it is apparent that the samples most probably cooled below 60°C at ~180 Ma. The most abundant single grain ages occur between 260-240 Ma. Every sample from the Drygalskiberge contained fewer than 40 measurable confined track lengths due to their low track densities. The mean track lengths with 10.4±1.6 μm to 12.1±1.1 μm are relatively short. The small standard deviations could be attributed to the low number of track length measurements. It can be inferred from these mean track length distributions that significant track annealing occurred while samples cooled through the APAZ.
4.4.4 Holtedahlfjella

The syenitic sample J1685 from the central Holtedahlfjella (1710 m) has an apatite FTA of $173\pm10$ Ma and a $\chi^2$-value of 17% (Fig. 4.9). The migmatitic and gneissic samples J1681 and J1682 that were collected from elevations of 2765 m and 2680 m in the southern Holtedahlfjella have apatite FTA of $181\pm12$ Ma and $186\pm12$ Ma. Sample J1681 failed the $\chi^2$-test while J1682 passed it with 49% (Fig. 4.9b).

Although the single grain age distributions do not display a distinct peak, it is probable that the samples were cooled below 60°C sometime during the Cretaceous. The mean track lengths vary between 12.8 $\mu$m and 13.5 $\mu$m while the standard deviations range from 1.3 $\mu$m to 1.6 $\mu$m. Their distributions contain a large fraction of shortened confined tracks. Because of the Jurassic FTA and the shortened mean track lengths of the Holtedahlfjella samples, it is thought that this area was exposed to APAZ temperatures for a long time and was affected by a potential cooling step around 180-160 Ma.

4.4.5 Henriksenskjera

From the nunataks of Henriksenskjera a leucogranite and a gneissic unit were sampled (J1673 and J1677) (Fig. 4.9). The oldest apatite FTA ($108\pm13$ Ma) belongs to sample J1673 that was collected at a topographic elevation of 1200 m from the northernmost nunatak (Fig. 4.9b). Sample J1677 (1315 m) from the southern part of Henriksenskjera has a younger apatite FTA of $88\pm7$ Ma. Both ages failed the $\chi^2$-test and have relatively large $\sigma$-errors. The mean track length measurements gave a value of $12.4\pm1.6$ $\mu$m for sample J1673 and of $13.0\pm1.7$ $\mu$m for J1677.

The apatite FTA of the samples from Henriksenskjera are distinctively younger than at the previous localities, with single grain ages <140 Ma being most abundant. Though the mean track lengths indicate track annealing prior to cooling below 60°C, a comparatively large fraction of confined tracks >14 $\mu$m is retained in the frequency distributions. As inferred by the apatite FTA and the single grain ages, cooling of the Henriksenskjera samples must have occurred during the Cretaceous.
Fig. 4.9 (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±1σ) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of the Holtedahljella, Henriksenskjera and the Conradgebirge. (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.
4.4.6 Conradgebirge

Twenty samples from the Conradgebirge were collected over a N-S distance of ~25 km and vertically from elevations between 1590 m and 3100 m. Their rock types are heterogeneous and range in composition from leucogranites to amphibolites (Fig. 4.9). Samples J1640 (2200 m) and J1647 (2010 m) were collected from the northern part of the Conradgebirge and have the youngest FTA among all samples. They gave apatite FTA of \(158 \pm 9\) Ma and \(153 \pm 9\) Ma and \(\chi^2\)-probabilities of 12% and 16%. Both of them have abundant Jurassic and Cretaceous single grain ages with a distribution peak at 120-140 Ma and no single grain ages younger than ~100 Ma. For sample J1640 an extremely short mean track length of \(10.4 \pm 1.4\) µm was obtained, but the statistical relevance of this value is negligible, because only 24 track lengths measurements were possible. Sample J1720 from the summit region of the Conradgebirge (2985 m) has a similar young FTA of \(153 \pm 14\) Ma, but the 1σ-error on this age is comparatively large.

In the central part of the Conradgebirge, most of the samples have Early Jurassic apatite FTA showing an indistinct correlation with the topographic elevation. In this part of the Conradgebirge, the \(\chi^2\)-values range from 0-75%, but most of the samples have values less than 20%. Though the samples single grain age frequency distributions scatter, nearly all of them have a peak at \(\approx 180-200\) Ma developed. Towards lower elevations, it seems that the old age components are reduced in favour of younger ones. The comparatively old apatite FTA of sample J1698 (1785 m) could be related to the very low track density of the apatite grains and the mica, introducing substantial errors on the single grain ages. In sample J1651 with a FTA of \(242 \pm 14\) Ma, the track densities vary significantly among the apatite grains. In this part of the Conradgebirge, the mean track lengths range from 12.0-13.4 µm with standard deviations between 1.0-2.3 µm. The shortened mean track lengths suggest that track annealing took place to differing degrees, before the samples have cooled below \(60^\circ\)C. With exception of sample J1723, it appears that the longest mean track lengths (>13 µm) are confined to samples from lower elevations (J1634, J1635, J1745).

Sample J1736 has a distinctively older FTA (\(292 \pm 10\) Ma) than the other Conradgebirge samples. It passed the \(\chi^2\)-test with 57% and has a mean track length of \(12.7 \pm 1.9\) µm. This sample was collected from an elevation of 2605 m and belongs to the southernmost tip of the Conradgebirge (Bjerkenuten). Its FTA comprises abundant single grain ages of 300-320 Ma. No single grain ages younger than 220 Ma are preserved.

From the spatial distribution of apatite FTA in the Conradgebirge, it appears that the samples have cooled through the APAZ from south to north with the oldest southernmost sample cooling first below \(60^\circ\)C. The samples from the northern Conradgebirge experienced cooling below \(60^\circ\)C most probably later.
Results and interpretation

With respect to the scatter in data, particularly in the central part of the Conradgebirge, it should be kept in mind that the samples were not collected from a strictly vertical profile but instead are spread across the whole mountain range. Additionally, the samples are lithologically heterogeneous and hence the apatites might bear variations in their chemical composition. Such effects may have influenced the annealing behaviour and be a cause of the scattering of the fission-track data. This problem is discussed in more detail in section 4.5.2.

4.4.7 Dallmannberge

Seven samples were analysed from the Dallmannberge. Lithologically, most of these are metavolcanic rocks with exception of samples J1754 and J1797 being granitic orthgneisses. Sample J1661 was collected from a granitic dyke (Fig. 4.10). The samples topographic elevations range from 1640 m to 2080 m.

The apatite FTA of the Dallmannberge samples fall in a range between 169+9 Ma and 210+9 Ma. Generally, the $\chi^2$-probabilities for the Dallmannberge samples are very low (<8%), only sample J1797 passed the $\chi^2$-test with a probability of 47%. The single grain age distributions show a peak at ~180-200 Ma though the abundance of a Jurassic age component is reduced in the younger FTA, where single grain ages younger than 100 Ma predominate. The mean track lengths are relatively short and range from 12.0 $\mu$m to 13.4 $\mu$m with standard deviations between 1.4-1.9 $\mu$m. Their distributions contain a number of shortened tracks indicating confined track length reductions.

To the samples of the Conradgebirge and Holtedahlfjella as well as Sigurdsvodene and the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge, the Dallmannberge samples show similar fission-track characteristics.

4.4.8 Gjeruldsenhøgda

At Gjeruldsenhøgda two samples were collected from a syenite body, whereas two additional samples were obtained from amphibolite xenoliths within the syenite. Sample J1763 was taken from a diorite sill (Fig. 4.10). The vertical profile of Gjeruldsenhøgda covers a range in elevation between 2100 m and 2660 m.

The apatite FTA are distinctively older than those of the nearby Dallmannberge samples and do not change significantly with the topographic elevation (Fig. 4.10b). They range from 245±12 Ma to 263±12 Ma and have $\chi^2$-probabilities between 0-35%. Single grain ages between 220-280 Ma are most frequent with the youngest ages implying cooling to temperatures <60°C during the Jurassic. The samples mean track lengths vary between
12.6 µm and 13.4 µm with standard deviations of 1.6 µm to 1.7 µm. The track length distributions are relatively broad and contain a portion of tracks <11 µm.

On the basis of their fission-track data, the samples from Gjeruldsenhøgda resemble the samples from the Drygalskiberg as well as the southernmost sample from the Conradgebirge (J1736). From the appearance of the youngest single grain ages (~140-160 Ma) the samples from Gjeruldsenhøgda could have cooled below 60°C by that time.

Fig. 4.10 (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±1σ) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of the Dallmannberge and Gjeruldsenhøgda. (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.
4.4.9 A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge and Zwieselhöhe

Sample J1790 from the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge has an amphibolitic composition and was collected from an elevation of 2110 m (Fig. 4.11). Eight of the samples from the Zwieselhöhe are gabbroic rocks with exception of the charnockitic and gneissic samples J1821 and J1823. The Zwieselhöhe samples belong to a vertical profile ranging from 1670 m to 2965 m. The A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge sample has an apatite FTA of 200±9 Ma and a \( \chi^2 \)-probability of 21%. In the Zwieselhöhe, the apatite FTA range from 170±6 Ma to 344±20 Ma and have \( \chi^2 \)-values between 0% and 92%. For three of the Zwieselhöhe samples (J1816, J1821, J1822) extremely old apatite FTA were obtained. Within these samples, the apatite grain sizes were very small and additionally track densities were relatively low. These FTA were considered not to be representative, because of the low statistical relevance of the small number of tracks countable in the external detector. They were therefore excluded from further discussion. Related to the topographic elevation, the apatite FTA of the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge sample and the Zwieselhöhe samples do not change substantially, but the distribution peaks of the single grain ages indicate a successive shift towards younger age components with decreasing elevation. No single grain ages younger than 100 Ma are retained pointing towards cooling below 60°C by that time. The mean track lengths of the Zwieselhöhe samples fall in the range between 12.0 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 13.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) and consist of a significant portion of highly annealed tracks. The standard deviations remain almost constant (1.5-1.6 \( \mu \text{m} \)). In the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge sample no confined track length measurements were possible due to low track densities.

4.4.10 Weyprechtberge

The felsic gneiss samples J1923 (2365 m) and J1924 (2685 m) from the Weyprechtberge yield relatively old apatite FTA of 315±18 Ma and 274±14 Ma, respectively (Fig. 4.11). Both passed the \( \chi^2 \)-test with probabilities of 58% and 15%. On the basis of their single grain age components it is inferred that both samples were cooled below 60°C at –200-220 Ma. The mean track length measurements give values of 14.0±1.4 \( \mu \text{m} \) for J1923 and of 13.0±1.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) for sample J1924. This therefore points towards a more rapid cooling of sample J1923. Compared to the localities described previously, the samples from the Weyprechtberge appear to be similar to the samples from the Drygalskiberge, Bjerkenuten and Gjeruldenhøgda with regard to their fission-track data.
4.4.1 Petermannketten

The seven samples from the Petermannketten are felsic in nature but range petrographically from diorite dykes to leucosomes (Fig. 4.12). They were taken from an area of ~30 x 30 km and from elevations between 1125 m and 1600 m with the elevations of the samples decreasing from south to north.

The apatite FTA of the samples from the Petermannketten range from 156±7 Ma to 103±4 Ma. Their $\chi^2$-values are relatively low and vary between 0% and 32%. Compared to the apatite FTA of the localities described previously the FTA of the Petermannketten samples
are distinctively younger and are confined to lower elevations. Because of the low track densities in all samples, mean track length measurements were possible in only four samples. Indeed in three of them fewer than 15 confined tracks were measurable. They range from 12.4±0.9 μm to 13.6±1.9 μm. Due to the low statistical significance of so few measurements only the mean track length data from J1887 are considered worthy for interpretation.

The apatite FTA are only weakly related to the topographic elevation and show considerable scatter, but the frequency distributions of single grain ages show a successive decrease of age components >200 Ma in S-N direction. Additionally, the abundance of single grain ages <100 Ma increases further north. The moderate correlation between the apatite FTA and the elevation could be attributed to fact that the samples do not belong to a strictly vertical profile, and thus they could have experienced differential cooling histories. Furthermore, the broad range of lithologies samples could have influenced the annealing behaviour to some degree (see section 4.5.2).

4.4.12 Schneidegebirge and Oddenskjera
Nine samples from the Schneidegebirge were collected from a syenite body, although sample J1942 was taken from a mafic xenolith within the syenite. The Oddenskjera sample is a fluorite-bearing biotite-granite. The samples elevations range from 1020 m to 1980 m.

The apatite FTA of the Schneidegebirge and Oddenskjera vary between 105±6 Ma and 180±12 Ma. Nearly all samples failed the χ²-test, except of samples J1875 and J1942 which have high χ²-values >70%. The mean track lengths range from 13.3±1.6 μm to 13.7±1.3 μm, but because of low track densities, length measurements could be carried out on only three samples.

The apatite FTA are positively correlated with the elevation while defining a steep slope. In the single grain age distributions of the upper samples a peak at 200-180 Ma is developed, whilst towards the less elevated samples the peaks are shifted towards younger single grain ages. From the appearance of single grain ages no younger than 40-60 Ma in the less elevated samples, it is inferred that cooling below 60°C took place by that time.
Apatite fission-track analysis

Fig. 4.12 (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±1σ) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of the Petermannkeiten, Schneidegebirge and Oddenskjer. (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.

4.4.13 O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge
In the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge the twenty samples analysed almost entirely have an anorthositic composition. Samples J1902 and J1907 are gabbronorites. Vertically the profile comprises samples from elevations of 1285-2840 m (Fig. 4.13). The apatite FTA vary between 159±7 Ma and 246±13 Ma. Their χ²-probabilities fall in the range from 0% to 70%. There is a clearly positive correlation between the apatite FTA and the elevation developed, although a few samples do not quite fit the main trend. With respect to the samples single grain age histograms, it is evident that the distributions are broadest for the samples from elevations >-2400 m and more narrow towards the less
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Elevated samples. This is coincident with low $\chi^2$-values for the upper part of the profile, whereas nearly all samples from the lower section have passed the $\chi^2$-test. Peak distributions of ~180-200 Ma were obtained for those samples with broad distributions. Towards lower elevations the peaks are subsequently shifted to younger ages with the youngest peak of ~100 Ma being confined to the lowermost sample. Furthermore, the youngest single grain ages of the samples from elevations >2400 m range from ~140-160 Ma suggesting cooling below 60°C by this time. In the samples from elevations <2400 m the youngest single grain ages are shifted towards younger ages, but cooling out of the APAZ most probably occurred no later than ~100 Ma.

The mean track lengths of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge samples range from 12.5 µm to 14.0 µm with most of them being >13 µm. Their standard deviations vary from 0.7 µm to 2.0 µm. There is only a small number of tracks <10 µm retained in the frequency distributions suggesting that cooling progressed more rapidly than at other localities with similar FTA such as in the Conradgebirge.

4.4.14 Untersee

The three samples from the Untersee oasis are also anorthositic species and were collected from an elevation of 745 m (Fig. 4.13). Though the samples were collected from the same elevation, they show substantial scatter in their FTA. The oldest apatite FTA of 168±7 Ma (J1956) and 138±10 Ma (J1955) resemble the FTA of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge samples. The FTA of samples J1956 and J1955 are characterised by high $\chi^2$-values of 60-84%, but sample J1955 has a broader single grain age distribution. In both samples single grain ages of ~140-160 Ma are most abundant, despite the apatite FTA of sample J1956 does not contain age components younger than ~140 Ma. The mean track length of sample J1956 is with 13.6±1.6 µm relatively long.

In contrast, sample J1958 (95±3 Ma) does not contain single grain age components older than ~150 Ma but has a pronounced frequency peak at 90±10 Ma developed. Its single grain age distribution is characterised by a high $\chi^2$-value of 82%. Its mean track length with 13.5±0.9 µm suggest more intense annealing than for sample J1956. Similar single grain ages in samples J1955 and J1956 and in the samples from the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge, indicate that the samples J1955 and J1956 were subjected to a similar cooling history until cooling below 60°C. Thereafter, sample J1955 could have experienced renewed partial track annealing being recorded in the youngest age components. In sample J1958 which has a distinctively younger FTA, reheating to temperatures higher 60°C could have been far more intense and led to the partial annealing
of older ages. A mechanism that could have been responsible for such a reheating will be discussed in section 5.7.4.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Fig. 4.13** (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±10) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge and the Untersee oasis. (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.

### 4.4.15 Starheimtind

In Starheimtind, the apatite FTA of the dioritic samples vary between 139±14 Ma and 183±11 Ma (Fig. 4.14). Their single grain age distributions are characterised by $\chi^2$-probabilities between 14% and 83%. The single grain age data of the individual samples show no clear pattern, but instead a broad spread in single grain ages with no distinctive
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age peaks. In particular, sample J1973 displays an extremely broad range in single grain ages that scatter between ~600-40 Ma. This sample has extremely low track densities and in some grains only very few tracks (<3) could be counted, though the grains are relatively large. The very old single grain ages are attributed to the grains with the low track densities. Thus, the associated error on the old single grain ages is extremely large. However, the youngest single grain ages infer cooling <60°C at ~60-100 Ma.

No confined track length determinations were possible for the samples because of their small grain size and the low track densities within the grains.

No distinctive relationship between the FTA and the elevation (1075-1345 m) is developed. Although the majority of samples reveal a positive coherence with the elevation, the oldest FTA is confined to the lowermost sample.

From the characteristics of their FTA, it seems probable that the samples from Starheimtind were subjected to a cooling history similar to e.g. the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge samples. The samples from Starheimtind have distinctively older apatite FTA than, for instance, those from the Petennannketten and Schneidegebirge occurring at the same topographic elevation.

4.4.16 Schirmacheroase

The five samples from the Schirmacheroase are gneissic in nature and were collected from an elevation of approximately 50 m (Fig. 4.14).

For the apatite samples FTA between 83±3 Ma and 117±5 Ma with high $\chi^2$-values from 19% to 86% were obtained. Their mean track lengths are long and range from 13.8 $\mu$m to 14.1 $\mu$m with standard deviations between 1.1-1.3 $\mu$m. The mean track length distributions are dominated by tracks longer than 14 $\mu$m while no tracks <10 $\mu$m are retained.

Because of the long mean track lengths and the narrow distributions of mean track lengths and single grain ages, the Schirmacheroase samples are considered to reflect a rapid cooling through the APAZ. This is also supported by the high $\chi^2$-values which are indicative of all single grain ages belonging to one single age population.
Fig. 4.14 (A) Geological map with sample locations, FTA (±1σ) and mean track lengths (± 1 Std. Dev.) of Starheimtind and the Schirmacheroase. (B) Apatite age-elevation plot and mean track length-elevation plot. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length.

4.4.17 Dropstones
The six dropstones samples comprise a variety of rock types but most of them are granitic in nature. Samples 2057/1 and 2057/2 have an anorthositic composition. Their sample localities are presented in Fig. 4.1. The samples were collected from waterdepths between 155 m and 905 m along the Fimbulisen and Nivlisen.

The range in apatite FTA is very broad varying between 170±9 Ma and 78±4 Ma with χ²-probabilities of 0-62%. Their single grain ages also cover a broad range with single grain ages of ~120-160 Ma being most frequent. Only sample 2033-2 with an apatite FTA of
78±4 Ma shows a distinctive peak in its single grain ages frequency at 70±10 Ma. The youngest single grain ages of the dropstone samples infer that the samples cooled below 60°C not before ~100 Ma and no later than ~40 Ma. No mean track length measurements were possible in the dropstone samples because of the low track densities within the apatite grains. There is neither a systematic pattern in the local distribution of the apatite FTA evident nor is a coherence between the FTA and the water depth observable.

4.5 Interpretation of apatite fission-track results of in-situ basement rocks

In summary the apatite fission-track data from the analysed in-situ basement samples yield apparent FTA from 315±18 Ma to 83±3 Ma with Early to Middle Jurassic ages being most abundant. They have χ²-probabilities between 0-92%, with the majority falling below 50% and approximately half of the samples failing the χ²-test (<5%). Due to the generally low χ²-values of the fission-track samples from CDML it is proposed that the majority of samples contain mixed age populations probably as a consequence of a polyphase tectono-thermal evolution. This is supported by the predominantly broad single grain age distributions with single grain ages of ~180±20 Ma being most frequent in the Jurassic-aged samples, whereas ages of ~100-80 Ma are most abundant in samples of Cretaceous FTA.

The track length measurements give mean values ranging from 10.4 μm to 14.1 μm with most of them being shorter than 13.5 μm. The corresponding standard deviations yielded values between 0.7-2.3 μm. Most of the samples have broad mean track length distributions indicative of considerable track annealing at temperatures between 110-60°C. The samples from the Schirmacheroase (~120-85 Ma) differ from this pattern, and show long mean track lengths with narrow distributions and well defined single grain age populations. Such results reflect rapid cooling through the APAZ, in contrast to most of the other samples which can be interpreted as mixed ages and therefore represent a more complex thermal evolution (cf. Wagner, 1979; Wagner, 1988; Gleadow et al., 1986a, b).

4.5.1 Topographic relationship

Generally, the apatite FTA of the in-situ basement samples show a positive correlation with the topographic elevation, although the relationship is often distorted by scattering
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FTA, as for instance in the Conradgebirge or the Petermannketten. As most of the FTA from CDML are mixed ages, the slopes should not be directly converted into exhumation/denudation rates. Indeed, the age-elevation correlation provides only limited information about the thermal history of the analysed samples. Nonetheless, the common occurrence of mixed ages in CDML indicates that the samples spent a prolonged time within the APAZ, although modest differences in the mean track lengths between the localities also infer some regional variation in the tectono-thermal evolution. For instance, the mean track lengths of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge profile are slightly longer than those of the Conradgebirge samples and thus are interpreted as having cooled more rapidly below 110°C. In order to explain such variations between the localities, block tectonics and differential movements of crustal blocks along faults across CDML must be considered. The amount of vertical offsets between the crustal blocks is estimated to be on the order of a few hundreds of meters.

4.5.2 Possible compositional effects on apatite fission-track results

As it has been shown in section 4.4 a linear correlation between the apatite fission-track data and the topographic elevation in CDML is often obscured by scattered FTA and an indistinct pattern of mean track lengths. This is especially true for Sigurdsvodene, Conradgebirge, Dallmannberge and Starheimind. Other profiles such as from Gjeruldsenhoega, the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge, the Zwieselhöhe and the Schneidegebirge display a more obvious relationship between the FTA and the elevation. As summarised earlier many of the sampling sites within each mountain range were spatially distributed over a large area and do not comprise ideal true vertical profiles. In addition, the fission-track data of the majority of samples point towards a protracted cooling history, and therefore different annealing properties might have influenced variably the retention of single grain ages as well as a differential reduction in mean track lengths (cf. Gleadow and Duddy, 1981; Green et al., 1985, 1986). Another important point is that the sampled rock types are very heterogeneous and cover a broad petrographical and compositional range.

It is widely accepted that the fluorine/chlorine ratio in apatites bears significant influence on the annealing behaviour of fission tracks in apatite (e.g. Gleadow and Duddy, 1981; Green et al., 1985, 1986; O’Sullivan and Parrish, 1995; Van der Beek, 1995). According to these studies apatite grains with a high chlorine content are more resistant to annealing than apatites rich in fluorine. If a sample is cooled below 110°C or reheated to APAZ temperatures, then the chlorine-rich grains will retain older ages while those grains
enriched in fluorine are expected to have younger FTA. This can lead to a substantial spread in single grain ages within a single sample, although such variance in the apatite chemical composition may not necessarily be related to the lithology of the sample. Apart from potentially varying fluorine/chlorine ratios in the apatite grains, Carpéna (1998) emphasised the significance of substitution reactions at the cation sites in apatite, with actinides, REE and metals acting as substitutes within the apatite crystal lattice. Furthermore, it was proposed by Carpéna (1998) that the number of substitutions on the cation and anion sites are inversely correlated and that this may imply that the number of cation substitutions is responsible for varying thermal stabilities in apatite crystals and not in fact the fluorine/chlorine ratio. The conclusion drawn was that the degree of substitution could bear strong influence on the annealing behaviour of fission tracks in apatites. In mafic rocks (e.g. gabbro, diorite) which are generally depleted in heavy elements, the apatites are expected to be more resistant to track annealing. Consequently, felsic and alkaline rocks (e.g. granites, pegmatites), usually enriched in heavy elements, should anneal more readily. Though the true chemical composition of the various sampled lithologies from CDML is not known, it has been observed that a positive FTA-elevation correlation is better developed in the profiles which are lithologically homogeneous. For instance, the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge and Zwieselhöhe profiles are composed almost entirely of anorthosites and gabbros, respectively, both show a well defined, steep slope in the FTA-elevation plot. In contrast, the Conradgebirge and Dallmannberge profiles, which comprise a broad compositional range of rocks, are characterised by distinctive scatter of apatite fission-track data. Carpéna et al. (1988) also suggest that the U-Th content of the apatites and consequently the radiation damage in the crystal lattice can increase the sensitivity of fission tracks to thermal events. However, apart from the fluorine/chlorine content the effects of the chemical composition on the annealing properties in apatite are still quantitatively poorly constrained and are the subject of ongoing research.

4.5.3 Regional distribution of apatite FTA across CDML
One of the most striking features of the apatite fission-track data from CDML is the continuous increase of apatite FTA with increasing distance from the continental margin (Fig. 4.15; Fig. 4.16). This pattern is also evident from the single grain age frequencies of the samples from the Conradgebirge and Petermannketten, where the distribution peaks are successively shifted towards younger ages with decreasing distance to the continental margin. The 70°S-latitude is chosen to approximate the position of the continental margin because continental rupture in CDML occurred almost parallel to the present-day coastline.
Interpretation of apatite fission-track results of in-situ basement rocks (Bormann et al., 1986). This implies that the youngest FTA are confined to the northernmost locality (Schirmacherose), whereas the oldest apatite fission-track datings were obtained for those samples from the southernmost part of CDML.

Fig. 4.15 (A) Apatite FTA against distance from 70°S. (B) Mean track lengths against distance from 70°S. Error bars represent the 1σ-error on the FTA and the standard deviation on the mean track length. Grey line and right axis correspond to a subice topographic profile from 70°10'S to 72°30'S/parallel 10°E (from Damm and Eisenburger, 1999). The scatter in apatite fission-track data could be related to the fact that the data are projected onto a common surface, irrespective of the samples topographic elevation as well as local and individual tectono-thermal influences.
The longest mean track lengths occur in close vicinity to the continental margin and also furthest from it (Fig 4.15b). Those samples in between reveal a broad range in apatite FTA and to some degree shortened mean track lengths.

**Fig. 4.16** Three dimensional surface plot with apatite FTA from CDML and contour plot of apatite FTA illustrating the increasing apatite FTA with increasing distance from the continental margin and with increasing topographic elevation. Black dots represent sampled localities (sample positions are estimated from the Norwegian topographic maps). The plots are stretched by 50% in E-W direction. The topographic data for the surface and contour plots were obtained from the ETOPO-5 database.

This pattern is typical for passive margin settings and usually is interpreted as being attributed to the syn- and post-rift evolution of continental margins (cf. Moore et al., 1986; Brown et al., 1990; Gallagher et al., 1994; Gallagher and Brown, 1997). In addition to increasing FTA further inland, at most passive margins the FTA are coherently
related to the topography, i.e. the oldest FTA are confined to the highest elevations which in turn generally occur most remote from the continental margin. Because of the occurrence of significantly older apatite FTA at the same elevations as Jurassic FTA, the trend is disrupted in the southernmost part of CDML (see below). The importance of this trend will be discussed in detail in section 5.7.

The regional distribution of the apatite FTA in CDML reveals a complex pattern, although several distinct apatite FTA groups can be discriminated across the study area (Fig. 4.17). In the easternmost part of the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge, in the Orvinfjella, the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge, Zwieselhöhe and O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge the samples with apparent apatite FTA between ~210-150 Ma are confined to elevations of ~1000-3000 m, and indicate cooling to below 60°C between the Early Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. The samples from the Petermannketten, Schneidegebirge and Oddenskjera have distinctively younger apatite FTA of ~170-100 Ma and belong to elevations between ~1000-2000 m. Similarly, the samples from Henriksenkjera (northern Orvinfjella) come from an elevation of ~1250 m and have apatite FTA of ~90 Ma and ~110 Ma. It is suggested that the Cretaceous-aged samples cooled to below 60°C during and after the Late Cretaceous.

In the Orvinfjella the abundance of mainly Jurassic apatite FTA is interrupted by the occurrence of FTA >255±11 Ma in the Drygalskiberg and the southernmost Conradgebirge (Bjerkenuten). Similar apparent apatite FTA were obtained from samples from Gjeruldsenråda and the Weyprechthöhe, both localities representing the southernmost part of CDML. The single grain age distributions of these samples indicate cooling below the APAZ during the Late Triassic/Early Jurassic, when the other samples were still at temperatures of >60°C. The markedly older samples were also collected from elevations of ~1750-2700 m and they were not obtained from elevations any higher than the other samples from the central mountain chains.

The regular pattern of increasing FTA landwards from the continental margin is also distorted by the apatite FTA of Sigurdsdøv and Starheimtind which are relatively old with respect to their proximity to the continental margin and their topographic elevation. Cooling in these areas to <60°C is also proposed to have occurred during the Late Jurassic/Early Cretaceous.

The young apatite FTA, the long mean track lengths and the low elevation of the Schirmacherose occupies a unique position within CDML, and reflect a period of accelerated cooling through the APAZ in the late Early to Late Cretaceous.
Fig. 4.17 Overview map of CDML showing the sample apatite FTA and the different apatite FTA groups.
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Irrespective of any spatial coherences, the thermal evolution of CDML can largely be constrained by the relationship between the apparent apatite FTA and the mean track length (Fig. 4.18).

Fig. 4.18 (A) Apatite FTA-mean track length relationship. Error bars correspond to the 1σ-error on the FTA and the mean track length. (B) Coherence between the apatite FTA and the standard deviation of the mean track lengths. The error bars are omitted for clarity reasons. The grey lines emphasize the trend of increasing/decreasing mean track lengths/standard deviations towards younger FTA. The hatched rectangles mark times of enhanced cooling.
A three- or at least two-staged thermal history can be proposed for CDML with a significant increase in mean track lengths at -180 Ma and a second one at ~90 Ma. Furthermore, the oldest apatite FTA from CDML has a mean confined track length of 14.0 µm and possibly reflects a very old cooling step at ~315 Ma. The lowest standard deviations also occur at ~315 Ma, 180 Ma and at ~90 Ma and support enhanced cooling at these times.

4.6 Interpretation of apatite fission-track results for dropstones

A provenance study (Kuhn et al., 1993) on clasts in glaciomarine sediments from the Lazarev Sea, offshore CDML, yields that the deposition of such clasts occurred predominantly from the nearest land-ice source. According to Kuhn et al. (1993), mixing with exotic clasts from far-travelled icebergs is negligible in this region. Hence, it is concluded that the dropstones were transported from the continental region of CDML apatite FTA of the dropstones represent fragments of the tectono-thermal history of the adjacent landmass. Samples 2057/1 and 2057/2 for instance, both give Jurassic ages and originate from anorthosites. By comparing the two samples with the in-situ anorthosite samples, the dropstone samples FTA would fit well in the data sets of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge and the Untersee profile. Assuming that the two dropstones originated from the large anorthosite intrusion in the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge or possibly from the In der Schüssel area, the likely glacial transport of the clasts was accomplished within the large NE-ward flowing glacier draining onto the ice shelf east of the Schirmacheroase. Samples 2058-1 and 2031-1, located adjacent to the ice shelf and the grounding line, give Jurassic apatite FTA similar to the FTA obtained from CDML. However, it is difficult to assign them to a specific locality in CDML because of their less prominent lithology. Sample 2034-3 may also originate from CDML, although its sampling site is situated furthest from the ice shelf and ~2° west of the western border of the area of study (~8°E). Sample 2033-2 was also collected from an ice-shelf-edge remote site and has the youngest apatite FTA of all samples dated from CDML. Due to the fact that the youngest apparent FTA are confined to the Schirmacheroase which bounds the investigated area of CDML to the north, ages younger than 83±3 Ma would be expected at elevations <50 m. Subsequently, the sample may either have been transported by glacier to the west, although this seems to be inhibited by a rather NE-ward directed glacial flow or the sample may have originated
Interpretation of apatite fission-track results for dropstones from the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge, and represent a part of the continental margin evolution of the neighbouring mountain ranges of CDML.

4.7 Note on modelling thermal histories

Some authors (e.g. Clift et al., 1997; Gallagher et al., 1994) apply modelling programs (e.g. MONTE TRAX; Gallagher, 1995) for specifying thermal histories of apatite fission-track samples. Based on the apatite single grain ages and the track lengths of a sample as well as individual time-temperature frames, the program approaches an optimal fit between the observed data and the data predicted for a specific thermal history. The program allows to chose between different annealing models and runs either in the random Monte Carlo simulation or in the genetic algorithm mode. A good model fit is attained for apatite samples of known chemical composition which apparently experienced a relatively simple thermal evolution.

In the present study, thermal modelling was not carried out for a variety of reasons. Generally, the apatite fission-track data of the samples from CDML scatter substantially, and the majority of FTA refers to mixed ages and mostly are characterised by low \( \chi^2 \) values (<20%) or even failed the \( \chi^2 \)-test (<5%). As pointed out in the previous interpretation of the results, it cannot be determined precisely when the samples have individually cooled <110°C, and later on <60°C. In addition, a samples specific position during its stay within the APAZ cannot be constrained exactly from the fission-track data, if no break-in-slope is retained in the vertical profiles. Though discussed in detail in the next chapter, the data are interpreted to reflect a principally common thermal history of the basement, but local differences also could have contributed to the observed distribution of the fission-track data. Furthermore, the apatite fission-track data from CDML record a smooth cooling history rather than a sequence of drastic cooling steps. The smooth thermal development is further complicated by the local variations in the apatite fission-track data.

The resolution of the MONTE TRAX program is considered not to be high enough to constrain the complex apatite fission-track data from CDML and appears to be incapable to account for such small-scale variations as observed in the data from studied area. The modelling was performed attemptively on some samples, but a consistent cooling path in agreement with the fission-track data of the other samples and with the field evidence could not be obtained. Furthermore, the annealing models available for running the
modelling procedure could be inappropriate for apatites of possibly varying chemical composition (see section 4.5.2).
5. Discussion

5.1 Pan-African cooling constrained from metamorphic P-T conditions

It is known that the basement of CDML was metamorphically overprinted in two major periods during Pan-African times. The first metamorphic imprint at ~570 Ma reached temperatures of 810-850°C at a depth of 20-25 km (Piazolo and Markl, 1998). A later metamorphic Pan-African imprint in CDML occurred between ~530 Ma and 500 Ma, when the presently outcropping basement was located at temperatures of ~650°C in a depth of ~15 km. For both periods of metamorphism elevated geothermal gradients are suggested (Piazolo and Markl, 1998). Piazolo and Markl (1998) also propose that the implied highly elevated heat flow for the duration of 50-100 Ma could have been caused by magmatic underplating and the melting and intrusion of syenitic magma into a crust that was already heated at this time.

From the position of the isotherms during the Pan-African metamorphism, the amount of cooling of the basement rocks from CDML between ~570 Ma and ~530-500 Ma can be estimated as about 160-200°C (Fig. 5.1). Using the calculated depth of the 650°C- and 810-850°C-isotherms, this corresponds to a total denudation of crustal rocks on the order of 4-11 km at a rate of 60-275 m/Ma. It is not known, however, if the high geothermal gradients affected the crust throughout the Pan-African event or if the crust cooled down between the metamorphic episodes to a normal cratonic temperature distribution. If a normal geotherm became stabilised between the two metamorphic episodes, this would even have increased the calculated amount of denudation during the Pan-African event.

Discussion

In the late Precambrian/early Paleozoic CDML formed part of an extensive collisional orogen that was created by the closure of the Mozambique Ocean during the amalgamation of East and West Gondwana. CDML therefore probably represents the southern continuation of the Mozambique Belt to the south (see Fig. 1.7) (Jacobs et al., 1998). Compared to young collisional orogens, such as the Alps (e.g. Hurford et al., 1989), the Andes (Kohn et al., 1984) or the Southern Alps of New Zealand (e.g. Kamp et al., 1989), where denudation rates on the order of 500 m/Ma to 1000 m/Ma are reported, the rates in CDML during Pan-African times are relatively low. However, any enhanced crustal uplift and denudation in CDML, combined with an elevated heat flow, could have been attributed to magmatic underplating that affected large parts of the Gondwana supercontinent during the Pan-African event (Unrug et al., 1994; Veevers, 1995; Piazolo and Markl, 1998). Veevers (1995) suggested that the Pan-African cycle (950-450 Ma) ended at ~500 Ma with rapid uplift and cooling/denudation in Gondwana. The widespread buoyancy of the supercontinent was attributable to thermal rejuvenation, shear zone

Fig. 5.1 Generalized time-temperature path for the basement of CDML through the last 600 Ma. The thick solid black line represents the cooling path of the central mountain ranges, the thick broken line corresponds to the samples with younger titanite FTA, being affected by the 512 Ma-syenite intrusion. For the oldest apatite fission-track samples (cross hatched line) an alternative cooling path for the Permio-Triassic is depicted (cross hatched broken line).
5.2 Post-Pan African to mid-Paleozoic cooling history of CDML

The early to mid-Paleozoic cooling history of CDML is largely constrained by the titanite FTA which range from ~450-300 Ma. Sample SP40 (Sigurdsvoedene), whose titanite FTA of 531±51 Ma overlaps with the latest stage of metamorphic overprinting in CDML, is interpreted to postdate the Pan-African event within its 1σ-error. It seems that the oldest titanite FTA (≥430 Ma) (Mühlhöf-Hofmann-Gebirge, Sigurdsvoedene, Untersee, Starheimind and Schirmacheroase) from CDML are distributed around the Orvinfjella and Wohlthatmassiv (cf. Fig. 4.5). Most of the samples from the peripheral zone of CDML experienced the last metamorphic overprint no later than ~530 Ma. The youngest titanite FTA are roughly confined to the central parts of the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv where at ~510 Ma the voluminous post-tectonic syenite-granite suite intruded the metamorphosed basement (Mikhalsky et al., 1997). This affected in particular the central mountain chains of the Wohlthatmassiv, but also areas of the western Orvinfjella as well as Gjeruldsenøya (cf. Fig. 1.5). The central part of the Conradgebirge was affected at ~530 Ma by the intrusion of a granodiorite and synmigmatic high grade metamorphic overprinting (Jacobs et al., 1998).

It is concluded that the titanite FTA from CDML most probably record a differential post-orogenic cooling. The basement surrounding the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv potentially could have cooled from temperatures of no less than 650°C after the last metamorphic overprinting at ~530 Ma (cf. Jacobs et al., 1998; Piazolo and Markl, 1998; Colombo and Talarico, 1999). The titanite FTA of sample J1743 is in good agreement with the K-Ar and Rb-Sr biotite ages of ~450 Ma for the eastern Mühlhöf-Hofmann-Gebirge indicating cooling to 300°C by that time (cf. Henjes-Kunst and Markl, 1998).

While the surrounding basement samples record a progressive post-Pan-African cooling, the samples from Oddenskjera and the Zwieselhöhe were emplaced with the intrusion of the syenite-granite suite at ~510 Ma (cf. Mikhalsky et al., 1997). Their younger titanite FTA therefore reflect a slower and/or later cooling of the intrusive bodies through the TPATZ in a thermal environment characterised by condensed isotherms and high heat flow. The sample from the syenite intrusion of Gjeruldsenøya has a comparatively old titanite FTA of 428 Ma and perhaps traces a more rapid post-magmatic cooling and/or a
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tectonically different evolution. The young titanite FTA of sample GM651 (351±43 Ma) is interpreted to record the delayed and/or slow cooling of the granodiorite intrusion in the Conradgebirge. In the Petermannketten, the A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge and Dallmann-berge, the titanite FTA are also younger than ~430 Ma. It is possible that magmatic intrusions were emplaced in this area at a lower crustal level, although igneous activity in these areas during the late Pan-African has not been recognised. However, it seems that the titanite samples from this area were influenced by the high heat flow predominating in the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv. The scatter in titanite FTA in the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv could reflect local variations in the geothermal gradient associated with the post-tectonic magmatism.

It is generally assumed that the Pan-African-related high heat flow decreased during the post-orogenic cooling leading to a subsequent reaccommodation and decompression of isotherms as well as to the establishment of a stable normal geothermal gradient within the crust. For the post-Pan-African tectono-thermal evolution of CDML, this would imply that the basement cooled until ~450-300 Ma by ~350°C, if a palaeotemperature of ~650°C (depth of ~15 km) for 530-500 Ma is assumed (cf. Piazolo and Markl, 1998). By employing a palaeogeotherm of 20-30°C/km (depth of 300°C-isotherm: 10-15 km) for the early to middle Paleozoic, a total amount of denudation of no more than 5 km can be calculated. This corresponds to a denudation rate of ~22-100 m/Ma. The Paleozoic gradient was chosen in order to account for a fluctuating thermal regime after the Pan-African cycle. The lowermost value corresponds to a normal cratonic gradient whilst the maximum value represents a slightly elevated geotherm.

It is difficult to estimate the rate of denudation more precisely because the scatter in the titanite FTA could have been produced as the result of perturbation of the isotherms during post-orogenic cooling. The amount of denudation could have been substantially higher, because Jacobs et al. (1998) as well as Colombo and Talarico (1999) postulated granulite facies conditions for the last metamorphic overprinting in CDML.

In CDML, the Pan-African event was terminated by an orogenic collapse resulting from continental underthrusting and doubling of the thickness of the crust (Bauer et al., 1999; Colombo and Talarico, 1999). The relief and the topographic elevation expected from a collapsed orogen are likely to be low, and thus promoting low denudation rates. Because of the double thickened crust and the resulting isostatic rebound, the subsequent denudation of the orogen could have been maintained for considerable time.
5.3 Mid-Paleozoic to early Mesozoic steady cooling in CDML

The zircon FTA (~310-240 Ma) record a period of Late Carboniferous to Early Triassic steady cooling and denudation and indicate that the basement of CDML had cooled by ~60°C in not more than 210 Ma. This corresponds to a total denudation of ≤6 km of crustal material at a rate of ~30-600 m/Ma adopting a geothermal gradient of 15-25°C/km. As the zircon FTA correspond well with the topographic elevation and are not as significantly scattered as the titanite FTA, it is assumed that the critical isotherms must have attained a stable position within the upper crust at around 310-240 Ma. Therefore, it is likely that the thermal gradient at this time covered the range usually applied to cratonic values (cf. Chapman, 1986; Brown et al., 1994).

Field evidence recording the post-Pan African geological development of CDML in the Paleozoic has so far not been recognised. However, in western Dronning Maud Land sedimentary rocks of the Permo-Triassic Beacon Supergroup provide valuable information about the cooling history of the basement at this time (e.g. Juckes, 1972). The strata of the Beacon Supergroup unconformably overlie the basement and are capped by basaltic lava flows. However, it is not known if CDML was a region either of erosion or deposition during the mid- to late Paleozoic. There is no evidence for thermal overprinting of the fission tracks by burial due to depositional loading in the zircon FTA from CDML. This would require cooling of the samples below the ZPAZ (320-210°C) before reheating. However, no evidence for this is seen in the zircon fission-track data which rather point towards a slow continuous cooling history. Compelling evidence for a thermal overprinting is also not included in the Late Carboniferous to Late Permian apatite FTA of the samples from the southernmost localities of CDML (Drygalskiberge, Bjerkenuten, Gjeruldsenhøgda and Weyprechtberge, see Fig. 4.17) which indicate cooling to ~100°C by this time. The zircon and apatite fission-track data imply that CDML most probably served as a region of progressive cooling and denudation where crustal material was removed and deposited elsewhere.
5.4 East Antarctica in early Paleozoic to early Mesozoic times

The post-Pan-African Paleozoic history of CDML has previously only been poorly constrained from field evidence and other geochronological methods with the latest cooling episode being Pan-African. As outlined in the previous discussion, the middle Paleozoic to early Mesozoic titanite and zircon FTA reflect a continuous thermal evolution rather than a succession of short-lived cooling events. Due to the lack of good geological evidence, the better studied regions of East Antarctica should be able to provide conclusive ideas about the Paleozoic evolution of CDML. For instance, a wealth of information is available concerning the Paleozoic evolution of the Pacific margin (Transantarctic Mountains including the Thiel, Pensacola and Theron Mountains) of the East Antarctic craton that, on a large scale, potentially could have influenced the tectono-thermal development of CDML (Fig 5.2) (e.g. Laird, 1963, 1981; Clarkson, 1981; Cooper et al., 1983; Pankhurst et al., 1983; Webers and Spörli, 1983).

Since the late Proterozoic the Pacific rim of the East Antarctic craton formed part of the Panthalassan margin of Gondwana that extended from southern South America, southern Africa and East Antarctica into the Australian continent (e.g. Collinson et al., 1994; Powell and Li, 1994). The Panthalassan margin in East Antarctica has subsequently been modified by at least three orogenies, the earliest taking place in the Late Proterozoic (Beardmore Orogeny). The Cambro-Ordovician Ross Orogeny of Pacific East Antarctica, contemporaneous with the Pan-African event in the Atlantic section of East Antarctica, led to widespread folding, metamorphism and granite intrusions (Collinson et al., 1994). The Ross Orogeny was followed by an episode of erosion and tectonic quiescence which lead to subsidence of the passive continental margin along the Transantarctic Mountains margin of East Antarctica and to the subsequent formation of a peneplanation surface termed the Kukri peneplain (Gunn and Warren, 1962). Remnants of peneplanation surfaces are widespread in the Transantarctic Mountains, the Pensacola and the Ellsworth Mountains, but also occur to a lesser extent in western Dronning Maud Land and possibly in the Gjelsvikfjella (Barrett et al., 1972; Näslund, 1998). On this erosional surface the Devonian to Jurassic sedimentary rocks of the Beacon Supergroup were deposited creating a pronounced unconformity with the basement rocks beneath.
Fig. 5.2 Panthalassan margin of East Antarctica during the final Gondwanide deformation and prior to the fragmentation of Gondwana. The palinspastic reconstruction for the Late Triassic (~230 Ma) is based on Grunow et al. (1991) (see Collinson et al. (1994) for description). Abbreviations: AP - Antarctic Peninsula, B - Bowers terrane, EC - Eights Coast, EWM - Ellsworth-Whitmore Mountains, FI = Falkland Islands, MBL - Marie Byrd Land, NZ - New Zealand, NVL - North Victoria Land, RB - Robertson Bay, SVL - South Victoria Land, T - Tasmania, TI - Thurston Island (from Collinson et al., 1994).

Sequences of the Beacon Supergroup crop out particularly well along the Pacific margin and reach thicknesses ranging from ~2300 m (southern Victoria Land) to ~3500 m in the Pensacola Mountains (Barrett et al., 1972; Collinson et al., 1994; Powell and Li, 1994). It is important to note that similar sedimentary sequences are also found in Australia, Peninsular India, Madagascar, Africa, the Falkland Islands and southern South America (Craddock, 1982). Although Beacon sedimentary strata have been recognised in the Heimefrontfjella and Kirwanveggen area of western Dronning Maud Land (Juckes, 1972; Groeneveld et al.,...
1991), no sedimentary record has been identified in the region between the Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge (Otha et al., 1990) and the more easterly located Sør Rondane Mountains (Shiraishi et al., 1991). In the Heimefrontfjella, the Beacon sedimentary sequences reach a thickness of no more than 160 m and are capped by a 130 m thick pile of Jurassic basaltic lavas (cf. Jacobs et al., 1992). Juckes (1972) recognised an erosional hiatus between the deposition of the sediments and the eruption of the volcanic rocks.

As was mentioned earlier in this chapter, subsidence along the Pacific margin of the East Antarctic craton resumed in the middle Paleozoic. From the Late Permian a major depositional foreland basin developed, termed the Transantarctic basin. This basin has occupied almost the full length of the East Antarctic Panthalassan margin (e.g. Barrett et al., 1972; Collinson et al., 1994; Powell and Li, 1994; Veevers et al., 1994a). The subsequent deepening of this basin occurred contemporaneously with the Gondwanide Orogeny that affected the Panthalassan margin of Gondwana from the Late Permian to the Triassic. It is known that the deposition of the Gondwana Sequence elsewhere in Gondwana was strongly associated with extension and subsidence parallel to this dominant convergent margin. In southern Africa the subsidence of the Karoo Basin and Zambezi Basin as well as the sedimentation of the Karoo Sequence are intimately related to the formation of the Cape Fold Belt during the Gondwanide Orogeny (Groenewald et al., 1991; Veevers et al., 1994b). In East Antarctica subsidence resumed after the Ross Orogeny, whereas the basin development in southern Africa started somewhat later in the Late Carboniferous spreading northwards as far as to the equatorial region (Veevers et al., 1994b). According to Rust (1975) subsidence across the African continent was triggered by two tectonic processes. The first of these involved warping of basins (Karoo, Kalahari, Congo and Gabon) and the development of intervening swells. In contrast, the Zambezi terrain underwent intense faulting with the creation of graben-type depositional realms. Similarly, in Peninsular India sedimentation in the Gondwana Master Basin started in the latest Carboniferous with the deposition of the glaciogenic Talchir Formation. Further subsidence of this basin in the Late Triassic was enhanced by faulting and rifting (Veevers and Tewari, 1995). The termination of the depositional regime along the East Antarctic and southern African Panthalassan margin and also in Peninsular India is defined by the effusion of basaltic lavas that preceded the breakup of Gondwana in the Early Jurassic and which are locally preserved as resistant cap rocks on top of the pre-Jurassic sedimentary strata.

Plate tectonic and palaeogeographic reconstructions of East Antarctica for the Pan-African and for the pre-Gondwana breakup assembly show that CDML represented a region in the
East Antarctic craton, where the edges of three continental fragments were connected. According to the Pan-African reconstructions, CDML formed part of the East Antarctic mobile belt that trended from the Mozambique Belt (southern Africa) to Dronning Maud Land and propagating eastward into the Kerala Khondalite Belt (southern India) (Stackebrandt, 1990; Jacobs et al., 1998; Piazolo and Markl, 1998). Gilchrist and Summerfield (1994) have demonstrated that the palaeosurface elevations in the central parts of the Gondwana supercontinent were most probably significant for two reasons. They concluded that the distances from source to mouth were much greater than for modern cratonic drainage systems and therefore the mean river gradients would have been low enough not to have promoted enhanced incision and sediment transport. Landscape modification would have been further retarded because of the arid climate that prevailed, for instance, in the Triassic of southern Africa. In CDML a slowly progressing landscape modification seems to be reflected in the relatively low denudation rates (~25-120 m/Ma) after the termination of the Pan-African until the mid-Paleozoic. Titanite and zircon fission-track results and the estimated late Paleozoic denudation rate indicate that CDML was a region of low relief which became successively eroded at that time. This is in good agreement with the presumed smooth morphology of a Pan-African collapsed orogen. The eroded material could be transported from CDML to regions of subsidence and sedimentation which predominated, for instance, along the Panthalassan margin of East Antarctica and southern Africa. Hypothetically, CDML could have been located at the periphery of the Transantarctic basin because it appears that western Dronning Maud Land was still influenced by the depositional regime prevailing along this continental margin at that time. Furthermore, CDML was most probably a continuation of the Pan-African Mozambique Belt and therefore its later involvement, particularly in context with the formation of the Zambezi Basin and the associated faulting, cannot be ruled out.
5.5 Late Paleozoic/early Mesozoic fault control on zircon and apatite fission-track pattern

The oldest apatite FTA from the samples of the Drygalskiherge, Bjerkenuten, Gjeruldenhøgda and Weyprechthberge indicate cooling to below -110°C during the Late Carboniferous to Late Permian. It should be stressed that the majority of samples at this time were still at temperatures of -240°C and cooled to below 110°C in Early Jurassic times. This implies that from at least the Late Carboniferous the central part of the Orvinfjella (Holtedahlfjella, Conradgebirge and Dallmannberge) and the Wohlthatmassiv (A.-v.-Humboldt-Gebirge, Zwieselhöhe, Petermannketten, Schneidegebirge, Odden-skjera, O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge and Untersee) were subjected to a different thermal evolution than the southernmost areas. Since the titanite FTA of all samples from CDML are believed to share a common cooling path, all samples from CDML should share a common tectono-thermal history from the early until the mid-Paleozoic. It thus needs to be explained how the samples from the southernmost localities with the oldest apatite FTA cooled to temperatures of the APAZ during the Paleozoic, while the other samples remained at temperatures of -240°C. It appears that the samples with the late Paleozoic/early Mesozoic zircon and the Jurassic apatite FTA could represent the general cooling path of the basement rocks whilst those with the pre-Jurassic apatite FTA were subjected to accelerated cooling probably caused by tectonic denudation.

To suppress a cooling process, a heating mechanism, such as magmatic intrusion, is required whereby samples are kept at temperatures of -240°C while others cool by -140°C. However, because of their spatial vicinity (samples having late Paleozoic apatite FTA and those with Jurassic FTA lie side by side), such a mechanism cannot account for the observed distribution of apatite FTA in the south of CDML. It therefore seems likely that after the Pan-African and prior to the Late Carboniferous physical separation of the samples from the southernmost localities from the remaining samples by faulting occurred.

Unfortunately extensive ice coverage means that field evidence for the presence of faults that were either created or reactivated during this time is only sparsely available. In the southern Conradgebirge, at Bjerkenuten, a major sinistral transpressional shear zone (South Orvinfjella Shear Zone) runs through the outcropping basement rocks in an E-W direction and is also apparent in the Kurzegebirge and the Dallmannberge (see Fig. 5.3). According to Jacobs et al. (1998) this shear zone reflects a general sinistral transpressional setting that predominated during the amalgamation of East and West Gondwana in the Pan-African. The lateral offset of the South Orvinfjella Shear Zone in the Dallmannberge most probably is caused by a later N-S trending fault (Bauer et al.,
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Further to the east the extension of the shear zone is obscured beneath the ice (Bauer et al., 1999) but potentially it might cut through the basement somewhere north of the Weyprechtberge.

Fig. 5.3 Fault distribution in CDML. Fault locations after Bormann et al. (1995) are given in their approximate positions.

Apparently, all samples belonging to the group of the oldest apatite FTA are located south of the South Orvinfjella Shear Zone while the Jurassic- and younger apatite FTA are situated north of it. This does not include the samples from the Drygalskiberge where the shear zone does not crop out. By inferring a N-S trending fault between the Holtedahlfjella and the Drygalskiberge and possibly between the latter and the eastern Mühlig-Hofmann-Gebirge, and by taking into account that the shear zone experienced a lateral offset along these faults, the shear zone is expected to run somewhere north of the Drygalskiberge. From the apatite FTA it therefore seems likely that the South Orvinfjella Shear Zone was reactivated during the Late Paleozoic, with the samples with older apatite FTA being exhumed to a crustal level corresponding to the APAZ. This also requires that the lateral offset along N-S striking faults must have postdated movement along the shear zone.

Alternatively, differential tectonic denudation could have taken place solely along N-S trending faults without involving the South Orvinfjella Shear Zone. As reported from
photogeological and geophysical investigations in the Schirmacheroase and the Wohltathmassiv, ice covered N-S trending faults are inferred to occur throughout the eastern part of CDML, separating the mountain chains along a pronounced N-S trend (e.g. Bankwitz and Bankwitz, 1995a; Bormann et al., 1995).

Another possibility for differential cooling of the older samples from CDML is provided by NE-SW trending fault zones that are spread across CDML (Kämpfe et al., 1995). In the Schirmacheroase these faults are intruded by lamprophyre dykes which are considered as being older than the Jurassic basaltic dykes from crosscutting relationships, although they have not been dated so far (Wand, 1995). But K-Ar whole rock ages from subalkaline dykes give ages of ~320 Ma pointing towards extensional forces by this time (Wand et al., 1988).

In the late Paleozoic Gondwana supercontinent of the southern hemisphere the deposition of sediments was largely controlled by fault-bounded structures such as in the Zambezi basin (southern Africa) and Peninsular India. Similar extension precursing the Gondwanide Orogeny may also have peripherally affected CDML and thus led to a N-S or NE-SW directed fault pattern. In addition, it must be considered that CDML probably occupied a critical position within Gondwana being situated at the junction of three continental masses each of which was exposed to different regional subsidence and tension.

The amount of tectonic denudation of the mountain ranges with the pre-Jurassic apatite FTA relative to those with younger, Jurassic ages must have been on the order of ~5.5-9.5 km assuming a palaeogeothermal gradient of 15-25°C/km. The onset of tectonic denudation can only be estimated as having occurred sometime between the early Paleozoic and prior to the Late Carboniferous as constrained by the oldest apatite FTA of the most southerly samples. It cannot be ruled out that the zircon FTA of those samples with Jurassic apatite FTA were also influenced by this tectonic denudation in vicinity to the southernmost samples due to compression of isotherms.

**5.6 Early Jurassic basement evolution of CDML - initial breakup of Gondwana**

**5.6.1 Apatite fission-track evidence for an Early Jurassic pre-rifting cooling**

Samples with Jurassic and Cretaceous apatite FTA constitute the majority of the fission-track data and are largely confined to the central mountain chains of the Orvinfjella and
Wohlthatmassiv. The Jurassic ages occur at the highest elevations while the Cretaceous-aged samples are concentrated along the less elevated northern rim of the central mountains. Jurassic FTA have also been obtained for the samples from the nunataks of Sigurdsvodene and Starheimtind further to the north, although they occur at the same elevations as those samples with Cretaceous FTA.

The apatite fission-track data of CDML demonstrate that all samples with Jurassic FTA from elevations between −1300-3000 m underwent a complex tectono-thermal history and spent considerable time within the APAZ. A maximum value for the geothermal gradient in the Jurassic can be estimated by assuming that all samples from a vertical profile were within the APAZ at the same time. The estimate was derived from the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge profile, because this covers the largest range in elevation between Jurassic-aged fission-track samples. The difference of −1600 m between the upper- and lowermost sample yields a maximum geothermal gradient of 30°C/km during the Jurassic.

For the determination of the amount of denudation in CDML from the late Paleozoic until recent a geotherm of 15-30°C/km is assumed. This spread is to account for potential crustal thermal perturbations which cannot be constrained exactly from the fission-track data alone. By employing a maximum value of 30°C/km, which is a slightly elevated geothermal gradient, a mild thermal influence such as heating of the upper crust due to pervasive magmatism can be ascertained. The lowermost value of 15°C/km represents a gradient found in intracratonic stable crust (cf. Brown et al., 1994).

Through the early Mesozoic until the Jurassic the basement of CDML cooled by −140°C at an average rate of 1.3-1.8°C/Ma. Consequently, no more than 10 km of crust could have been removed from the late Paleozoic until the Jurassic, implying a mean denudation rate of 90-125 m/Ma.

Compared to the pre-late Paleozoic, the cooling and denudation rate must have increased sometime at the end of the Paleozoic or the early Mesozoic. As has been discussed in the previous chapter (see Fig. 4.18) the apatite FTA-versus-mean track length relationship illustrates an increase in mean track lengths at ~180±20 Ma pointing towards enhanced cooling of the basement during the Early Jurassic. In summary it can be concluded that the basement rocks of CDML cooled steadily from the late Paleozoic until the late Triassic with cooling and denudation increasing significantly at ~180±20 Ma. During this time the samples cooled from <240°C to below −110°C but still remained at temperatures >60°C until sometime later (Meier et al., 1999).

While the samples with Jurassic apatite FTA cooled to the APAZ, the older samples from the southernmost localities imply cooling to below 60°C during this same episode as indicated by the appearance of apatite single grain ages no younger than Jurassic. Their
post-Early Jurassic cooling history cannot be deduced from the apatite fission-track data. However, the late Mesozoic and Cenozoic tectono-thermal evolution of the basement and the present-day field relationship of old and younger samples suggest that the samples of the southernmost localities and the Orvinfjella/Wohlthatmassiv attained a common crustal level sometime during or after the Early Jurassic, and from then on, have shared the same tectono-thermal evolution. If this was not the case, then cooling of all samples by \(-140^\circ C\) in the Early Jurassic, equivalent to less than 10 km of denudation, could have led to different crustal positions of the older samples and those of the Orvinfjella/Wohlthatmassiv.

The relatively long mean track lengths of the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge samples support a fault-control on the distribution of Early Jurassic FTA. In comparison with the Conradgebirge profile, for example, the O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge samples presumably cooled more rapidly through to APAZ temperatures in the Early Jurassic. Due to the moderate differences in the fission-track data it is proposed that any differential movements between the mountain ranges were on the order of no more than a few hundred meters.

5.6.2 Field evidence in CDML for Gondwana breakup

The purpose of the following section is to highlight the field evidence for the Gondwana breakup which have been obtained during numerous studies in the onshore and offshore region of CDML.

5.6.2.1 Onshore record

The initial breakup of East and West Gondwana in CDML is evident from the abundance of mafic dykes crosscutting the basement rocks as well as older dykes. Field evidence for the breakup in CDML is less apparent than in western Dronning Maud Land and southern Africa, where Early Jurassic relics of basaltic lava piles are preserved. K-Ar whole rock datings on unmetamorphosed basaltic dykes and dolerite erratics from the Schirmacher-oase yield a distribution peak at 180-160 Ma that indicates Early Jurassic magmatism prior to the disintegration of Gondwana (Wand et al., 1988). The thermal influence of these intrusions on the host rocks must have been relatively small and only affected the host on a few tens of centimeters scale. From their geochemistry, the basaltic dykes are tholeiites and weakly alkaline intra-plate basalts, while the dolerite erratics are compositionally similar to the Kirkpatrick Basalts from North Victoria Land (East Antarctica) (Fig. 5.4) (Wand, 1995).
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Fig. 5.4 AFM diagram for basaltic rock samples from the Schirmacheroase in northern CDML. Dolerite erratics plot in the field of the Kirkpatrick Basalts from North Victoria Land (from Wand et al., 1988).

In the Wohlthatmassiv Bankwitz and Bankwitz (1995a) identified a variety of magmatic dykes spread over the outcropping basement, based on photogeological interpretations. Most are assumed to have a doleritic and lamprophyric composition and generally extend over lengths of 200-5000 m. Apart from the K-Ar data of Wand et al. (1988), no conclusive age information about the various mafic dykes in the interior of CDML are available.

Jurassic magmatism in CDML is thought as having been preceded and/or accompanied by intense fracturing of the basement, particularly in E-W direction with the subsequent intrusion of magma into the fissures now preserved as magmatic dykes (e.g. Bankwitz and Bankwitz, 1995b; Paech et al., 1995). The presence of E-W trending faults and/or fault zones has been confirmed by deep seismic sounding, magnetic, and gravimetric investigations in the onshore area of CDML (cf. Kogan, 1972; Kogan and Stroev, 1972). Furthermore, they postulate a thinning of the crust from ~38-40 km to ~26 km north of the ice shelf edge. Their results were compiled by Bormann et al. (1986, 1995) who inferred from a crustal profile four E-W striking deeply reaching faults that run sublatitudinally between 70-71°S. Bormann et al. (1986) also recognised two major E-W trending fault zones of down-faulting character which are located some 10-20 km and 50-60 km north of the Schirmacheroase. It is proposed that the basement rocks became separated by normal faulting in a staircase-like manner. These faults are interpreted to mark the boundary between continental crust (thickness ~40 km at the Schirmacheroase and south of it) and a transitional crust with a thickness of less than 25 km. The formation of these fault zones was most probably accompanied by mafic intrusions and was closely
related to the breakup of Gondwana. In addition to the formation of E-W striking faults, Bormann et al. (1986) suggest that reactivation of the previously formed N-S trending fractures during Gondwana fragmentation also occurred.

5.2.2.2 Offshore record
Seismic surveys carried out by Hinz and Krause (1982) and Hinz et al. (1998) along the Princess Astrid Coast, offshore CDML, revealed seismic structures that can be traced along the entire coast of Dronning Maud Land. The structures are characterised by a thick wedge of oceanward dipping reflectors which are unconformably overlain by a succession of sedimentary sequences. Most pronounced in the seismic profiles is the marked increase in seismic velocities at an unconformity that has been termed the “Weddell Sea continental margin unconformity” (Hinz and Krause, 1982) which defines the upper boundary of the so-called “WS-4 sequence” or “Explora Wedge” (Hinz, 1981). Although the depth of the lower boundary of the Explora Wedge is unknown, its thickness is estimated to be on the order of a few kilometers. The wedge extends seaward over a length of no more than 120 km (Hinz et al., 1998). West of the Astrid Ridge a second wedge of oceanward dipping reflectors was identified which is somewhat shorter in its seaward extension suggesting it might have been deposited under subaquatic conditions. Furthermore, the existence of a second wedge gives reason to suggest that the creation of this volcanic margin took place in several pulses (Hinz et al., 1998).

Because it is known that similar wedges from other continental margins of different ages have a predominantly volcanic composition, Hinz and Krause (1982) also postulate a volcanogenic origin for the Explora Wedge offshore CDML. For instance, analyses of seaward dipping reflector wedges in drilling cores from the Rockall Plateau in the North Atlantic have revealed the deposition of sub-aerial basalts interlayered with tuffs and sedimentary rocks (Roberts et al., 1979). Along the west coast of South Africa the reflector wedge is mainly composed of mafic and subordinately felsic lavas intercalated with pyroclastics and volcanogenic sediments (DeSwardt and McLachlan, 1981).

Based on age determinations of the Dufek Massif and Ferrar Group, which range from 179-163 Ma, Hinz and Krause (1982) have deduced a Mid- to Late Jurassic age for the Weddell Sea unconformity. Hence, deposition of the wedge sequence must have occurred before this time. A Jurassic age for the deposition of the Explora Wedge and the Weddell Sea unconformity is in good agreement with the geophysical results of Roesser et al. (1996) and Hinz et al. (1998). They have determined that the onset of seafloor spreading in the western Riiser-Larsen Sea off CDML occurred at 165 Ma and 159 Ma, respectively. Due to the very low relief in the central Riiser-Larsen Sea, Hinz et al. (1998)
have concluded that magmatic activity during the genesis in this region must have been relatively high.

5.6.3 Influence of a mantle plume on apatite fission-track record

The 180-Ma cooling step in CDML took place ~20 Ma before the western Riiser-Larsen Sea, offshore CDML, has opened and thus predates the onset of seafloor spreading (Roer et al., 1996; Hinz et al., 1998).

A predominantly thermal mechanism to trigger the Early Jurassic cooling in CDML appears not to be realistic, because the apatite fission-track data suggest that the geothermal gradient was only slightly elevated by this time. The surface heat flow and thus the geothermal gradient in rifting settings has been constrained by several authors as being only subordinately influenced by the heat source at the base of the lithosphere (Brown, 1992; Van der Beek, 1995). They infer an increase of 5-10% in the surface heat flow by conduction into the rift flanks and a geothermal gradient of 18-25°C/km.

Additionally, the intrusion of the small Jurassic mafic dykes has affected the basement rocks only to a minor extend (cf. Wand, 1995). However, a weak thermal influence due to processes such as igneous activity or heat advection could have contributed to subsequent Jurassic cooling.

It can therefore be concluded that the Early Jurassic cooling in CDML is mainly attributable to exhumation and denudation rather than to the influences of increased heat flow and magmatism. A reasonable explanation to account for exhumation and denudation prior to continental separation could be the generation of a domal bulge due to the development of a thermal anomaly at the base of the continental lithosphere. As discussed in sections 2.1 and 2.2, the impingement of a mantle plume at the base of the lithosphere can lead to broad domal uplifts as a consequence of increased buoyancy, while cool (dense) lithosphere is replaced with hot (less dense) asthenospheric material (e.g. White and McKenzie, 1989; Hill, 1991 and references therein). Such thermal anomalies can extend across a 1000-2000 km diameter region and can be accompanied by dynamic surface uplift of 1000-2000 m (White and McKenzie, 1989). On the other hand, Griffiths and Campbell (1991) report a maximum topographic elevation of 600 m above an evolving mantle plume (diameter of 1300 km) that would become larger if the hot plume is enabled to penetrate the lithosphere and if the plume volume increased as a reaction of additional melting.

If the emplacement of a mantle plume caused the observed Early Jurassic cooling in CDML, then the amount of surface uplift must have been on the order of 600-2000 m,
with any denudation recorded in the apatite fission-track data having to be somewhat higher because of isostatic reequilibration.

In the Gondwana context, the Karoo Plume beneath the southern African continent and East Antarctica is considered to have played an important role during the separation of East and West Gondwana (Fig. 5.5) (Cox, 1992).

The center of this mantle plume has been suggested as being located either in the Nuanetsi and the Zambezi Valley region (Burke and Dewey, 1972), in the Nuanetsi area (Campbell and Griffiths, 1990) or ~250 km east of Maputo (Mozambique) (White and McKenzie, 1989). This thermal anomaly, which apparently was responsible for widespread magmatic activity across southern Africa and East Antarctica, measured no more than ~2000 km in diameter (White and McKenzie, 1989). This anomaly could have included
the region of the investigated area in CDML, although the plume probably affected CDML rather peripherally. The most prominent indicators for the involvement of the Karoo Plume during the separation of Africa and East Antarctica are the volcanic outpourings of the Karoo Sequence and the basaltic lava pile remnants that crop out in western Dronning Maud Land. The effusion of such basaltic lava flows typically reflects the time when the plume head arrives at the base of lithosphere and considerably predates the onset of seafloor spreading (Hill, 1991). A variety of geochemical studies have revealed that both provinces bear similar geochemical signatures which strongly reflect the contribution of a common source in the asthenospheric upper mantle (e.g. White and McKenzie, 1989; Hill, 1991; Brewer et al., 1992; Cox, 1992). So far, the extent of the Karoo Plume is less well constrained. Encarnacion et al. (1996) have argued against the general assumption that the East Antarctic Ferrar Province is somewhat younger than the Karoo volcanics and that its geochemical signature potentially carries the influence of a subduction zone at the Proto-Pacific margin of East Antarctica (cf. Brewer et al., 1992; Cox, 1992). New zircon and baddeleyite U-Pb ages on the Ferrar (183.6±1 Ma) and Karoo (183.7±0.6 Ma) dolerites have been interpreted as resulting from a single mantle thermal anomaly and rifting event (Encarnacion et al., 1996).

However, in spite of the proposed genetic affiliation of the Ferrar Province to the Karoo and Dronning Maud Land volcanic rocks, the southeastern African and East Antarctic continental margins both reveal a thick sequence of oceanward dipping reflectors which are overlain by the distinct Weddell Sea Unconformity (Hinz, 1981; Hinz and Krause, 1982). Their deposition is also attributed to igneous activity resulting from the emplacement of the Karoo mantle plume.

Although evidence for Jurassic magmatism in CDML is less spectacular than in western Dronning Maud Land and southern Africa, the presence of basaltic dykes in CDML as well as the seaward dipping reflector sequences confirm the probable influence of a mantle plume (Karoo Plume) for the continental separation.

The cooling step at ~180 Ma in CDML is also evident in the apatite fission-track data from western Dronning Maud Land. This points towards an active role of this part of the East Antarctic margin during the initial fragmentation of Gondwana. Qualitatively the cooling observed in western Dronning Maud Land is different from that in CDML (cf. Jacobs et al., 1992, 1996). In the analysed sedimentary and basement samples from western Dronning Maud Land, no single grain ages older than ~200 Ma are retained. This was proposed as being indicative of a short-lived thermal event in the Jurassic, when the samples were reheated to temperatures of at least 100-120°C (Jacobs et al., 1992, 1996).
It is most probable that the reheating to APAZ temperatures was caused by compression of isotherms in response to the burial of the Beacon Supergroup deposits and the underlying basement beneath a 1500-2000 m thick pile of poorly heat conductive Jurassic lava flows. After this short-lived event the samples cooled rapidly to ~80°C and remained there until a further period of accelerated cooling during the mid-Cretaceous (Jacobs, unpublished).

Apatite and zircon fission-track studies from the Shackleton Range also imply an Early Jurassic cooling caused by tectonic denudation (Schnellbach, 1992; Schäfer, 1998; Lisker et al., submitted.). Here it is yielded that denudation was triggered by the activation of a major thrust fault in the Read Mountains (southern Shackleton Range) or along other E-W trending faults (Schäfer, 1998; Lisker et al., submitted). For a thermal gradient of 30°C/km it has been estimated that ~6 km of crustal material have been removed in the Shackleton Range during the Jurassic denudation (Lisker et al., submitted).

5.7 Passive margin evolution during the Late Jurassic and Cretaceous

5.7.1 Accelerated cooling at 90 Ma recorded by the apatite fission-track system

The Jurassic and Cretaceous apatite FTA from the central mountain ranges show a positive correlation with the topographic elevation, although in some places this is only moderately developed (see Fig. 4.8 – 4.14). Furthermore, the apatite FTA tend to young not only with decreasing elevation, but also towards the north, i. e. towards the continental margin (see Fig. 4.15 and Fig. 4.16). This trend is, however, interrupted by the samples from Sigurdsvodene and Starheimtind that have Late Triassic to Early Cretaceous apatite FTA.

After Early Jurassic accelerated cooling, most of the samples from the central mountain ranges resided at temperatures within the APAZ. The fission-track data suggest that the Late Jurassic and the Early Cretaceous in CDML was a period of relative tectonic stability when only minor cooling and denudation occurred. This period of stability can be attributed to the isostatic reequilibration of the basement after the Early Jurassic cooling. Assuming that the geothermal gradient did not change during this time, the uppermost samples could have cooled to below 60°C while the lowermost ones underwent cooling to below 110°C.
As illustrated in Fig. 4.18 the mean track lengths show a further increase relative to the apatite FTA at \( \sim 90\pm 10 \) Ma indicating a further episode of enhanced cooling in CDML. This cooling is most obviously reflected in the samples from the Schirmacheroase which are characterised by long mean track lengths as well as by narrow single grain age distributions. In contrast, the samples with similar late Early and early Late Cretaceous apatite FTA from the central mountain ranges have shorter mean track lengths and broader distributions of their single grain ages. The "cooling-age" samples of the Schirmacheroase were collected from near sea level (~50 m), while those with mixed ages were confined to topographic elevations of ~1000-1300 m. Converting the vertical discrepancy between the two into a temperature difference, this would imply that the Schirmacheroase samples were exposed to temperatures ~15-40°C higher (geothermal gradient 15-30°C/km) before accelerated cooling the mid-Cretaceous, than those from the central mountains. This assumption is probably very simplistic because it requires that the basement behaved as an entity and that faulting played only a subordinate role during cooling. Because it is thought that the Schirmacheroase samples were at temperatures >110°C before the onset of accelerated cooling, then the other samples with similar FTA probably have resided at temperatures between 95-70°C. Furthermore, the Schirmacher-oase fission-track data require cooling of these samples through the whole temperature range of the APAZ to below 60°C during the early Late Cretaceous. Thus, the basement of CDML must have cooled by at least 50°C, corresponding to a minimum denudation of 1.5-3.5 km.

Due to the fact that the apatite fission-track data record tectono-thermal stability and low denudation until the cooling step at ~90±10 Ma, the Early Jurassic passive margin of CDML could not have experienced substantial modifications until the Early to Late Cretaceous boundary. Since this part of the East Antarctic continental margin gradually occupied a more isolated position within the successively dispersing Gondwana fragments, a thermal source for the cooling period at ~90±10 Ma in CDML does not seem to be realistic. It is most likely that the accelerated cooling was either caused by exhumation/tectonic denudation or a purely denudational mechanism without any tectonic component. In order to discriminate between a tectonically controlled and a purely denudational mechanism for the observed cooling, it is crucial to consider the post-rift evolution of a nascent passive margin and the accompanying establishment of a new erosional base level.
5.7.2 Geomorphic constraints on the post-rift evolution of the passive margin

In its present morphological expression the passive margin of CDML conforms to a typical mature high-elevation passive margin as described by Brown et al. (1991) and Gilchrist and Summerfield (1990, 1994). Such a margin is characterised by one or a series of steep escarpments often occurring more than 100 km inland from the original rift hinge. In this context, emphasis has to be stressed on a mechanism which is capable of producing a permanent upwarp, up to >60 Ma after continental rifting was initiated. Such escarpments reflect the inland retreat of a rifting-related marginal upwarp by differential denudation and its flexural isostatic adjustment. This model provides a viable mechanism to maintain such crustal upwarps through time without any associated thermal or tectonic driving forces (Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1990; 1994) (see section 2.4 for detailed description).

In CDML the morphological discontinuity of a low-lying coastal plain and a steep escarpment is strikingly apparent, though the basement throughout is largely ice-covered and strongly dissected by glacial streams (see Fig. 1.2). In the coastal region the outcropping basement has been glacially eroded to near and below sea level, whereby the escarpment is represented by the central mountain ranges of the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv, about 150 km inland from the continental margin (~70°S, see Fig. 4.15). This morphological pattern is certainly a simplification because it is not known how far the landscape of CDML has been modified by the erosional forces of the extensive ice sheet, but probably serves as a first order approximation.

As has been described in section 2.4, continental rupture creates significantly lower erosional base levels along the rifted margin. As a consequence two different drainage systems across the margin develop. The two drainage systems are separated by the escarpment crest while draining in opposite direction, perpendicular to the rifted margin. According to Gilchrist and Summerfield (1990, 1994) the differential in denudation rates across the margin will be maintained throughout the future evolution of the passive margin. For the isostatic compensation of the differential denudation a flexural bending of the lithosphere must be invoked to trigger the marginal upwarp parallel to the continental margin. As differential denudation advances, the region of maximum upwarp subsequently migrates inland.

It is proposed here, that the geomorphic development of CDML was basically analogous to the model of Gilchrist and Summerfield (1990, 1994) for the SW African margin. The creation of a new base level along the continental rupture, generated by the separation of East Antarctic and Africa, led to the rapid denudation of the coastal region while flexural isostatic adjustment was responsible for the formation and later conservation of the
upward. Rapid denudation in CDML could have been augmented by the warm and humid climate of the mid-Cretaceous, with a mean annual surface temperature of ~7°C for 70°S proposed by Thompson and Barrett (1980) and Crowley and North (1991). With progressive denudation the site of maximum isostatic compensation (escarpment crest) retreated further inland. This is in good agreement with the present-day maximum elevations occurring in southern CDML and implies that the bulge of maximum upward movement has retreated as far inland as to the southern parts of the Orvinfiella and the Wohltathmassiv in about ~90 Ma. This works out at a rate of escarpment retreat from the present-day coastline to the interior during the last 90 Ma of ~1600 m/Ma if the retreating boundary behaved as a single line. The rate of escarpment retreat in CDML does not include denudational mechanisms related to the glacial erosion that was active since the Oligocene when the Antarctic ice sheet has formed (Sagnotti et al., 1998). The rate estimated for CDML is twice the rate of escarpment inland migration seen along the Great Escarpment (667 m/Ma) of the SW-African margin (cf. Gilchrist and Summerfield, 1994). On the basis of the Cretaceous apatite single grain ages it is inferred that the samples confined to localities further inland cooled to below 60°C sometime later in the Cretaceous/early Tertiary when the bulge of maximum elevation had begun migrating inland.

The apatite fission-track data as well as the morphological expression of the passive margin of CDML are good evidence for considering a denudation mechanism for the observed cooling period, driven purely by erosion and isostatic rebound. Due to the increasingly isolated position of CDML in the Cretaceous a major tectonic control for the cooling/denudation appears to be unrealistic. However, it is possible that minor amounts of tectonic movements did accompany the cooling process. Such forces could indeed be responsible for the appearance of the relatively old samples from Starheimtind and Sigurdsavdene between the Cretaceous-aged Schirmacheroase samples and the central mountain ranges (see Fig. 5.3). In addition, the fission-track data from the Untersee oasis suggesting differential track annealing among the samples, could have been related to fault tectonics. According to the observations of Kämpf et al. (1995) two fault zones are flanking the morphologically pronounced Untersee oasis. Thus, the samples possibly were exhumed along these faults at some stage between the Jurassic and the Early Cretaceous. After having cooled to below 60°C, samples J1955 and J1958 were subjected differently to renewed track annealing, for instance by circulating hydrothermal fluids.

From the above discussion it is concluded that the post-rift evolution of the CDML passive margin was initiated at ~90±10 Ma, that is 70-90 Ma later than the initial breakup of Gondwana. In order to determine what caused the delay in the full development of the
passive margin it is crucial to briefly review the dynamic plate reorganisations within
Gondwana that culminated in the mid- and Late Cretaceous and which could have affected
the development of the CDML continental margin.

5.7.3 Endogenic influence on the passive margin evolution
In the southern hemisphere of the Gondwana assembly in particular, the widespread
riifting period that begun in the Jurassic and the Early Cretaceous introduced a
fundamental change in the spreading geometry and led to the consequent opening of the
major ocean basins of the South Atlantic and the Indian Ocean in the mid- and Late
Cretaceous. By this time, all continental margins of the Antarctic continent, except the
Proto-Pacific Antarctic margin where subduction prevailed, developed into passive
margins in an almost clockwise manner (cf. Fig. 1.8 – 1.12).
The major plate reorganisations during the Cretaceous were generally accompanied by the
production of large amounts of ocean floor. According to Summerfield (1991), in the
Early Cretaceous this was mainly related to the extension of the world ridge system while
from the mid-Cretaceous on, the volume of the spreading ridges as well as the spreading
rates increased significantly.
A drastic rearrangement of the plate tectonic pattern occurred in the southern hemisphere
in the Early Cretaceous. At ~130 Ma the two-plate configuration of East and West
Gondwana was replaced by a three-plate assembly, with a triple junction developing
between Antarctica, Africa-India and South America, situated at the southern tip of the
Agulhas Plateau (e.g. Martin and Hartnady, 1986; Lawver et al., 1991; Storey, 1995).
This was broadly synchronous with the opening of the South Atlantic between Africa and
South America as well as with the onset of rifting between India and Sri Lanka at ~130
Ma. By approximately this time (~135-130 Ma) the rift zone between India and Sri Lanka
propagated further eastward promoting the continental separation between East Antarctica-
Sri Lanka and India (Lawver et al., 1991; Roeser et al., 1996). Strike-slip motions
between India and Madagascar were also initiated at ~135 Ma (Roeser et al., 1996). The
riifting stage between India and East Antarctica was followed by the subsequent
production of seafloor between 124-118 Ma (Lawver et al., 1991). Due to enhanced
seafloor spreading from 96 Ma on, India then started its northward drift away from the
East Antarctic continent. This was closely related to the large-scale realignment of the
spreading ridge between India and Australia-Antarctica and the formation of a new
spreading ridge between East-Antarctica and Australia-New Zealand at ~110-90 Ma
(Powell et al., 1988; Storey, 1995). At ~100 Ma the Gondwanide subduction beneath
northeastern Australia and New Zealand ceased, but probably continued until ~80 Ma along the Pacific margin of Antarctica (Barker, 1982; Bradshaw, 1991).

Focussing on CDML, the Jurassic and Cretaceous expansion of the Riiser-Larsen Sea was strongly associated with the development of the Mozambique Basin and the separation of India from East Antarctica. In this context, the Astrid Ridge (10-16°E) and the Gunnerus Ridge (32-36°E), which embrace the Riiser-Larsen Sea, are important markers for the reconstruction of the Gondwana assembly due to their tight fit against the Mozambique Ridge and the tip of India, respectively (Powell et al., 1988). Based on GEOSAT gravity anomalies, a fracture zone can be traced connecting the Astrid Ridge to the eastern edge of the Mozambique Ridge (Sandwell, 1992; Roeser et al., 1996).

However, prior to the breakup of South America/Africa and Antarctica-Madagascar-India (>160 Ma), the Riiser-Larsen Sea-Mozambique Basin and the Somali Basin were the major ocean basins whose formation was promoted by strike-slip motions along the Davie Fracture Zone between Africa and Madagascar. The direction of the initial strike-slip movements was dextral with Antarctica moving southward relative to Africa.

Seafloor spreading in the Riiser-Larsen Sea started in the Late Jurassic at ~159 Ma as constrained by the youngest identified magnetic anomaly (M24: 153 Ma) (Hinz et al., 1998). This is about 5 Ma later than the estimated onset of seafloor spreading in the western Riiser-Larsen Sea proposed by Roeser et al. (1996). The production of oceanfloor commenced contemporaneously in the Somali Basin with its spreading axis linked to that of the Riiser-Larsen Sea/Mozambique Basin by the Davie Fracture Zone (Rabinowitz et al., 1983; Cochran, 1988). During the interval between 150-135 Ma (M22-M10) approximately 950 km of oceanic crust were produced in the Somali Basin, whilst in the Riiser-Larsen Sea/Mozambique Basin only 650 km were generated (Rabinowitz et al., 1983).

Between 135-124 Ma (M10-M0) a closed basin evolved between Antarctica, Madagascar, India and Sri Lanka. This assembly was rearranged when India started to separate from Antarctica. The spreading ridge between the two continental masses then propagated westward and cut through the northern tip of the Gunnerus Ridge at ~124 Ma while seafloor spreading was initiated in the eastern part of the Riiser-Larsen Sea. Through further propagation and ridge axis jumps, the continuation of the SE-Indian spreading ridge promoted the separation of Antarctica and Madagascar and, after a further ridge jump, joined into the spreading axis between the western Riiser-Larsen Sea and the Mozambique Basin (Roeser et al., 1996).
5.7.4 Contributions of interacting endogenic and exogenic processes on the development of the continental margin

The apatite fission-track results suggest that the full development of the passive margin of CDML occurred with substantial delay in response to the fundamental plate-tectonic rearrangements in the Cretaceous. Because spreading rates in the Røiser-Larsen Sea were low during the initial stage (~17 mm/a) it is believed that the lithosphere of CDML experienced only minor amounts of subsidence as it moved further away from the heat source (cf. Roeser et al., 1996; Hinz et al., 1998). A persisting magmatic influence is also reflected in the K-Ar whole rock data of alkaline dykes which record magmatic activity in CDML until ~100 Ma (Wand et al., 1988). The Cretaceous geodynamic reorganisation was coeval with the opening of the eastern Røiser-Larsen Sea at 124 Ma and the northward drift of India away from East Antarctica which accelerated substantially at 96 Ma. For comparison, the total spreading rates along the developing SW-Indian Ridge were 100-180 mm/a between 80-50 Ma (Summerfield, 1991). This leads to the conclusion that the passive margin of CDML fully developed ~90-70 Ma after the onset of rifting between East Antarctica and Africa. Because of the relatively low spreading rates in the western Røiser-Larsen Sea after its opening, the lithospheric thermal contraction and the resulting subsidence probably proceeded sluggish until the mid-Cretaceous. In the mid-Cretaceous when seafloor production increased globally, a new base level could have been established along the continental rupture due to enhanced subsidence of the oceanward region. This could then have promoted differential denudation of the coastal region and the loading of the continental shelf and slope with the removed crustal material. By this time the lithosphere of CDML would have been cool enough to attain a sufficient rigidity to compensate the denudational unloading and coupled sediment loading by flexural isostatic upward and downward motion, respectively. The cooling step at 90±10 Ma deduced from the apatite fission-track data is considered being the result of such an isostatic upward movement of the inland side of the passive margin. Consequently, the early Late Cretaceous in CDML was a time when a redistribution of masses took place, with material being denuded from the rising continental interior and thereafter becoming deposited on the subsiding seaward part of the continental margin. The sedimentary record on the continental shelf and slope should thus provide more information about the temporal and quantitative coupling of isostatic uplift and depression in CDML.
5.7.5 Stratigraphic marine record and chronology of mass redistribution

Information about the age of the marine sedimentary record adjacent to CDML is so far only available from the interpretation of the seismic data that were collected by Hinz and Krause (1982) (see section 5.6.2.2). According to this study the sequence of seaward dipping reflectors (WS-4) is unconformably overlain by a package of sedimentary strata (WS-3a,b, WS-2, WS-1) which are considered being Cenozoic in age, even though the age of the basal unit WS-3a is not constrained (Fig. 5.6).

For the unconformity on top of WS-4 a Middle to Late Jurassic age is assumed. The stratigraphic age of the boundary between the subsequences WS-3a and WS-3b, which is marked by an erosional hiatus, is correlated to the opening of the Tasmanian Seaway and the onset of the Antarctic sea ice formation in the Eocene/Oligocene. Hence, deposition of subsequence WS-3a must have occurred sometime between post-Middle Jurassic and pre-Oligocene times. Both subsequences show the characteristic pattern of a deposition during times of sea level lowstand (Hinz and Krause, 1982).

The sediment thickness overlying the sequence WS-4, offshore CDML, was determined on the basis of the refraction seismic results of Hinz and Krause (1982). Between -6°20'E and 15°25'E the sediment thicknesses range from approximately 1.5 km to 3.3 km with the largest thicknesses obtained for those stations closest to the coastline and at the mouth of glacier discharge, east of the Schirmacherosee. Despite the lack of detailed information about the sedimentary stratigraphic record along the neighbouring sections of the CDML margin, the sediments further east than 25°E reach an average thickness of more than 2 km (cf. Hayes and LaBrecque, 1991). Sediment
thickess estimations for the section between 25°W and 9°E, also based on the study of Hinz and Krause (1982), give values between 0.7-2.9 km. In drill cores from the ODP Leg 113 from the eastern edge of the Weddell Sea (drilling sites 691-693) a depositional sequence from the Late Jurassic onwards was observed. According to Baker et al. (1988), the lowermost unit was deposited in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous in an anoxic environment due to the restricted circulation in small ocean basins shortly after the breakup of East and West Gondwana. In addition, although relatively remote from CDML, a stratigraphic record which postdates the onset of seafloor production, is available from the drilling sites 689 and 690 of the ODP Leg 113, located in vicinity of the Maud Rise (see Fig. 4.1). The oldest sediments drilled in this area show a depositional age of late Campanian to early Maastrichtian (~75-65 Ma) while the underlying basement most probably formed during the Cenomanian (~98-91 Ma) (Baker et al., 1988). In the Maud Rise region the sedimentary cover reaches a total thickness of ≤1 km (cf. Hayes and LaBreque, 1991).

The results of Hinz and Krause (1982) thus provide a first approximation for the sedimentation process in CDML. In the Weddell Sea region where similar structures, i.e. the seaward dipping reflector wedge and the overlying sedimentary strata, along the continental margin were observed, sedimentation most probably was initiated in the Late Jurassic (Baker et al., 1988). Hence, it is possible that the continental rupture at ~180 Ma in CDML was coincident with or followed by hinterland denudation and the subsequent accumulation of sediments on the seaward side of CDML prior or during the Late Jurassic. However, the sediment thicknesses obtained from offshore CDML do not ubiquitously confirm if the cooling step derived from the apatite fission-track data led to increasing sedimentation on the subsiding margin, because the thickness of the oldest sedimentary layer (WS-3a) is unknown and the total thickness of sediments does not represent a suite of continuously progressing deposition since the Late Jurassic. It should be noted that a number of hiatuses are recognised from distinctive reflection horizons within the sedimentary sequences of the profiles of Hinz and Krause (1982) as well as in the drilling cores of ODP Leg 113 from the Maud Rise (Baker et al., 1988). Apart from the loss of sediment charge through erosion, a variety of other parameters can also control the denudation and deposition process, but they are rarely quantifiable (see Summerfield, 1991 for discussion).

Potentially, sedimentation in CDML could have begun after the initial doming at ~180±20 Ma. While the basement of CDML was denuded slowly until the Late Cretaceous only minor amounts of crustal material would have been deposited on the evolving continental shelf and slope. Sedimentation enhanced at ~90±10 Ma when subsidence in the offshore
region increased and coupled flexural isostatic uplift and erosion of the continental interior occurred. The post-Late Cretaceous history of CDML cannot be constrained from the apatite fission-track data, but deposition probably continued until the boundary of the Eocene/Oligocene which is recorded in the sedimentary strata as a major erosional unconformity between the subsequences WS-3a and WS-3b (cf. Hinz and Krause, 1982). Before deposition of the WS-3b layer (Miocene) progressed, an unknown extent of the WS-3a subsequence may have been removed by erosion.

Summarising the above discussion, the retarded evolution of the rifted continental margin of CDML in the early Late Cretaceous is interpreted being the result of an initially slowly cooling oceanic lithosphere, where thermal contraction and subsidence was inhibited by slow spreading rates and by the vicinity to the heat source underneath the spreading axis in the Riiser-Larsen Sea/Mozambique Basin. The continental margin to CDML presumably underwent more rapid subsidence in the Cretaceous, reacting on the globally increasing oceanic production, by which CDML was strongly influenced through the opening of the eastern Riiser-Larsen Sea and the beginning of the fast northward drift of India at ~96 Ma. Due to the increasing lithospheric cooling and the subsequent lowering of the erosional base level at ~90±10 Ma, the landward part of CDML then experienced differential denudation and isostatic uplift revealed by an accelerated cooling phase in apatite fission-track data.

Similarly, in the Heimefrontfjella (western Dronning Maud Land) the apatite fission-track results gave reason for a cooling step at ~100 Ma and are interpreted as being related to the flexural uplift of the lithosphere at the transition between normal and stretched continental crust. The flexural bending was accompanied by tilting of the Heimefrontfjella with creation of a topographic relief in excess of ~3500 m. The mass redistribution occurred via some graben-systems, which developed later than ~140 Ma, through which the sediment load was channeled towards the Weddell Sea and the South Atlantic (Jacobs et al., 1992, 1996).

Apatite fission-track analysis on basement rocks from the Shackleton Range show a cooling episode at ~110 Ma that is probably attributable to the suturing of Weddellia and East Antarctica and the establishment of a new isostatic equilibrium in the Weddell Sea embayment. It is likely that differential movements were active during or possible after this cooling episode. This has been inferred from the vertical offsets in the breaks-in-slopes across different crustal blocks of the Shackleton Range (Lisker et al., submitted).
5.8 Post-Early Cretaceous basement cooling

After the Early Cretaceous accelerated cooling episode, the samples from CDML successively cooled to surface temperatures. Whether the post-Early Cretaceous cooling and denudation of the basement was a continuous process or a stepwise cooling, cannot be ascertained from the fission-track data. The cooling and denudation rates are thus reported as mean values.

In the Schirmacheroase region at least 1.5-3.5 km of crust were denuded during the Late Cretaceous. By adopting a present-day mean annual surface temperature of -10°C for this part of East Antarctica, the Schirmacheroase samples must have cooled by further ~70°C in the Cenozoic. This corresponds to a total denudation of 4-8 km of crust at a rate of ~45-90 m/Ma for a gradient of 15-30°C/km since the Early Cretaceous. When the lowermost samples of the Conradgebirge and O.-v.-Gruber-Gebirge with a Cretaceous age are employed as a reference frame, then the samples in the central mountain ranges have cooled by no more than 85-100°C (palaeotemperature of 75-90°C) at a rate of 0.9-1.1°C/Ma since the Early Cretaceous. Since then, the samples from the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv have experienced a denudation of ~3-6.5 km at a corresponding rate of ~30-75 m/Ma.

The differential in the amount of denudation of the Orvinfjella/Wohlthatmassiv and the Schirmacheroase indicates that the continental interior of CDML experienced at least one third less of denudation than the marginal part adjacent to the coast. This differential is clearly reflected in the present-day morphology of the continental margin which indicates a greater depth of denudation for the coastal region than for the interior part, i.e. the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv. Though not indicated by the fission-track data, it is suggested that the 90±10 Ma-accelerated cooling step initiated the geomorphological shaping of the passive margin of CDML, with the subsequent cooling of the fission-track samples to surface temperatures controlled by exogenic factors.

The relatively high elevations in the Orvinfjella and the Wohlthatmassiv point towards a young alpine relief whereas the Schirmacheroase has experienced far more erosional denudation. This is indicative of a somewhat later exhumation of the central mountain ranges. As has been stated earlier, this corresponds well with the interpretation of the apatite fission-track data. These predict that cooling of the crust follows the N-S retreat of the bulge of maximum elevation with the more aggressive erosional forces seaward of the main escarpment crest causing continued backcutting of the escarpment front. The present day morphology of CDML also indicates that the evolution of the passive margin is still an active process.
5.9 A comparison: western and central Dronning Maud Land

During the Pan-African event both regions have experienced intense magmatism, metamorphism and deformation resetting the conventional isotopic systems (e.g. K-Ar, Ar/Ar) (cf. Jacobs, 1991; Henjes-Kunst and Markl, 1998). In CDML the post-Pan-African cooling of the basement is largely constrained by the titanite and zircon fission-track systems, which indicate a slow post-orogenic cooling through the Paleozoic. Although no titanite and zircon fission-track data are available for western Dronning Maud Land, the peneplain underlying the Permo-Triassic Beacon Supergroup sequences proves that the basement there has cooled to surface temperatures in the Paleozoic. It is thus concluded that basement of CDML cropping out at present corresponds to a lower crustal level than that exposed in western Dronning Maud Land.

The apatite fission-track data from CDML and western Dronning Maud Land point towards a similar Mesozoic thermal history, although the mechanisms inducing the observed cooling vary. For both regions the apatite fission-track data indicate a cooling phase in the Early Jurassic related to the impingement of a mantle plume beneath the lithosphere of Gondwana. In western Dronning Maud Land the effusion of basaltic lavas during the initial fragmentation of Gondwana led to the burial of the basement and the overlying sedimentary sequence of the Beacon Supergroup with reheating the basement to 100-120°C (cf. Jacobs et al., 1992, 1996; Jacobs and Lisker, submitted). In CDML the cooling is thought as being caused by mantle plume-related surface uplift and denudation. It is likely that the Early Jurassic cooling in western Dronning Maud Land reflects a stronger thermal influence of the mantle plume on the continental separation than it is the case for CDML. This is in good agreement with the reconstruction of White and McKenzie (1989). CDML probably has occupied a peripheral position in the mantle plume-affected region, whereas western Dronning Maud Land was located closer to its centre. Accelerated cooling at ~100 Ma in western Dronning Maud Land was due to the rapid erosion of the basaltic lava pile that persisted in the continental interior for ~80 Ma. The mechanism that triggered cooling at ~90 Ma in CDML is interpreted as being purely denudational occurring as a reaction to accelerated shelf subsidence and coupled inland isostatic upward movement. The apatite fission-track data as well as the high-elevation-margin morphology of CDML suggest a typical passive margin evolution for this section of East Antarctica (see chapter 2). Western Dronning Maud Land, in contrast, underwent a more complicated evolution that included rifting and shearing of the margin in the Jurassic followed by extension of the continental margin to a width of ~350 km in the Cretaceous (Jacobs and Lisker, submitted). In the Cretaceous western Dronning Maud
Land was still located in a region where major plate-tectonic rearrangements affected East Antarctica such as the opening of the Weddell Sea in the Early Cretaceous (cf. Livermore and Woolett, 1993; DiVenere et al., 1994; DiVenere and Kent, 1995). They have potentially influenced the development of the continental margin of western Dronning Maud Land to a far greater extent than in CDML, which attained a more isolated position within the dispersing Gondwana fragments.
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Appendix

I. Tables of fission-track sample details and analytical data

Table I.1 – I.111 The geographic coordinates give the approximate position of the samples, because no GPS determinations were possible during the sample collection. Grains = number of grains counted; \( N_s, N_i \) = number of spontaneous and induced tracks, \( N_d \) = number of tracks counted in glass dosimeter; \( \rho_s, \rho_i \) = density of spontaneous and induced tracks, \( \rho_d \) = density of tracks counted in age standard (see Tab. 1.1). \( U \) = Uranium content of the sample; FTA \( \pm 1\sigma \) = Fission-track age \( \pm 1\sigma\)-error, \( \chi^2 \) = see section 3.5; MTL \( \pm 1\sigma \) = Mean track length \( \pm 1\sigma\)-error, Std. Dev. = Standard deviation of the mean track length, \( n \) = number of track lengths measured. For fission-track dating the \( \xi \)-approach by Hurford and Green (1983) was applied (see section 3.5). The \( \xi \)-values (weighted mean values) employed for dating of titanites were 177 \( \pm 3 \) (\( \pm 1\sigma \)) (\( \rho_d = 0.38 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN2 dosimeter) and 132 \( \pm 3 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.87 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN2). For zircon fission-track dating a \( \xi \)-value of 153 \( \pm 3 \) (CN2) was used. The \( \xi \)-values applied to dating of the apatite samples which were determined separately for each irradiation, were 277 \( \pm 8 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.04 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5), 383 \( \pm 5 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.08 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5), 399 \( \pm 4 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.04 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5), 286 \( \pm 4 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.22 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5), 398 \( \pm 4 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.03 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5), 362 \( \pm 4 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.15 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5) and 320 \( \pm 4 \) (\( \rho_d = 1.13 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\); CN5). A gradient in the neutron fluence was observed in the irradiated samples for which \( \xi \)-values of 277 \( \pm 8 \) and 362 \( \pm 4 \) were attained. The \( \rho_c \)-values of these samples were thus determined individually. The range in \( \xi \)-values might be indicative, for instance, of an incoherent thermalisation of the reactor.
Tab. 1.1 Titanite sample details and fission-track analytical data (for legend see p. I Appendix).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Latitude S'/Longitude E</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Grains</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;F&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;U&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>U [ppm]</th>
<th>FTA ± 1σ [Ma]</th>
<th>Z&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM 699</td>
<td>71°57'07&quot;'00</td>
<td>M.-H.-Gebirge</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>(9.80)</td>
<td>(0.72)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>431 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP 601</td>
<td>71°57'07&quot;'00</td>
<td>Sigurdsvodene</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>(6.79)</td>
<td>(0.49)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>445 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1700</td>
<td>71°50'01&quot;'10</td>
<td>Drygalskiberge</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>(10.46)</td>
<td>(0.63)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>531 ± 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM 651</td>
<td>71°51'09&quot;'53</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>(8.52)</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>351 ± 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1754</td>
<td>71°48'01&quot;'30</td>
<td>Dallmannberge</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>(17.39)</td>
<td>(1.60)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>316 ± 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1797</td>
<td>71°47'09&quot;'23</td>
<td>Dallmannberge</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>Augengneiss</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>(6.38)</td>
<td>(2.48)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>345 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1760</td>
<td>71°59'01&quot;'50</td>
<td>Gjersdalsberge</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>(8.38)</td>
<td>(2.35)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>428 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM 945</td>
<td>71°42'11&quot;'45</td>
<td>Humboldt Gebirge</td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td>(3.57)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>422 ± 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1815</td>
<td>71°44'12&quot;'09</td>
<td>Zwieselhöhe</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>(5.86)</td>
<td>(2.12)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>334 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1817</td>
<td>71°44'12&quot;'07</td>
<td>Zwieselhöhe</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>(3.44)</td>
<td>(1.42)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>293 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1822</td>
<td>71°42'12&quot;'10</td>
<td>Zwieselhöhe</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>(7.56)</td>
<td>(0.65)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>375 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1826</td>
<td>71°42'12&quot;'10</td>
<td>Zwieselhöhe</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>(5.63)</td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>487 ± 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1931</td>
<td>71°33'12&quot;'14</td>
<td>Petermannketten</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>Granodiorite-dyke</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>(6.28)</td>
<td>(2.21)</td>
<td>4238</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>343 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Latitude N</td>
<td>Longitude E</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation [m]</td>
<td>Rock-type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>U [ppm]</td>
<td>FTA ± 1σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1940</td>
<td>71°11'41&quot;50'55&quot;</td>
<td>Oddenskjer</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>Biotite-Fluorite</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>4202</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>398 ± 43</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1955</td>
<td>71°11'38&quot;33&quot;</td>
<td>Untersee</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4224</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>490 ± 92</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1976</td>
<td>71°00'12&quot;01&quot;</td>
<td>Starheimfird</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4241</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>443 ± 158</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM 1034</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14&quot;</td>
<td>Schimacheroase</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>451 ± 55</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tab. I.II Zircon sample details and fission-track analytical data (for legend see p. I Appendix).

| Sample No. | Latitude S/ Longitude E | Locality | Elevation (m) | Rock type | Grains | $N_d$ ($\mu$) | $N_i$ ($\mu$) | $N_f$ ($\mu$) | U (ppm) | PTA ± 1σ | Z
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J 1640</td>
<td>71°47′9″38″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>309 ± 26</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1655</td>
<td>71°51′9″46″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>Granitic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>364 ± 47</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1717</td>
<td>71°57′9″42″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>253 ± 24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1719</td>
<td>71°52′9″42″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>299 ± 28</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1721</td>
<td>71°52′9″42″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1815</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>284 ± 24</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1723</td>
<td>71°49′9″40″</td>
<td>Conradgebirge</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>302 ± 33</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1868</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>240 ± 23</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1870</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>268 ± 27</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1871</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>256 ± 25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1873</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>269 ± 37</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1874</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>237 ± 31</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1877</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>281 ± 28</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1879</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>290 ± 35</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1880</td>
<td>71°21′12″35″</td>
<td>Schnellgebirge</td>
<td>1765</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>295 ± 25</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zircon)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Latitude S/ Longitude E</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Grains</th>
<th>Nw</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>N3</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>FTA ± 1σ</th>
<th>MTL ± 1σ</th>
<th>Std. Dev. a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J 1742</td>
<td>71°44'/7°06'</td>
<td>M.-H.-Geb. 1410</td>
<td>Granitic</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>1665</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>169 ± 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1743</td>
<td>71°50'/7°00'</td>
<td>M.-H.-Geb. 1630</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>210 ± 12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1730</td>
<td>71°21'/7°37'</td>
<td>Sigurdsv. 1035</td>
<td>Felsic</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>190 ± 11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1731</td>
<td>71°21'/7°37'</td>
<td>Sigurdsv. 1155</td>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>166 ± 10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1732</td>
<td>71°21'/7°37'</td>
<td>Sigurdsv. 1105</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>229 ± 18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP 40-1</td>
<td>71°21'/7°37'</td>
<td>Sigurdsv. 1100</td>
<td>Felsic</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>4291</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>156 ± 7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1700</td>
<td>71°50'/8°10'</td>
<td>Drygalskib. 1745</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>255 ± 11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1768</td>
<td>71°58'/8°27'</td>
<td>Drygalskib. 2145</td>
<td>Micritic</td>
<td>metacristalline</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>285 ± 18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1769</td>
<td>71°58'/8°27'</td>
<td>Drygalskib. 2105</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>237 ± 12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1581</td>
<td>72°02'/8°57'</td>
<td>Holtedahl. 2765</td>
<td>Micritic</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>181 ± 12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1582</td>
<td>72°02'/8°57'</td>
<td>Holtedahl. 2680</td>
<td>Micritic</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>186 ± 12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1583</td>
<td>72°05'/8°45'</td>
<td>Holtedahl. 1710</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>173 ± 10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Latitude S</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Rock Type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>a-Fra.</td>
<td>a-K</td>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1673</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1674</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1675</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1676</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1677</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1678</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1679</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1680</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1681</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1682</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1683</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1684</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1685</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1686</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1687</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1688</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1689</td>
<td>71°19'50&quot;N</td>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apatite sample details and fission-track analytical data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Latitude/Longit.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Grains</th>
<th>N$_{a}$</th>
<th>N$_{p}$</th>
<th>N$_{g}$</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>FTA ± 1σ</th>
<th>Z$^2$</th>
<th>MTL ± 1σ</th>
<th>Std. Dev. n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J 1699</td>
<td>71°51'9&quot;48&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td>orthogneiss</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>213 ± 17</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>12 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>(0.99)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1719</td>
<td>71°52'9&quot;42&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>185 ± 11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.51)</td>
<td>(1.09)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1720</td>
<td>71°52'9&quot;42&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>2965</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>153 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.75)</td>
<td>(3.30)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1721</td>
<td>71°52'9&quot;42&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>2960</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>1237</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>180 ± 9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.74)</td>
<td>(1.36)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1723</td>
<td>71°49'9&quot;40&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3074</td>
<td>2821</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>221 ± 7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.08)</td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1736</td>
<td>71°59'9&quot;41&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>2605</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>292 ± 10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.55)</td>
<td>(2.30)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1745</td>
<td>71°51'9&quot;48&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>Pegmatite</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>195 ± 7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.59)</td>
<td>(2.70)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1746</td>
<td>71°51'9&quot;48&quot;</td>
<td>Conradgeb.</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>3897</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>202 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.27)</td>
<td>(1.27)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1661</td>
<td>71°44'9&quot;17&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Granit-</td>
<td>dyke</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>210 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.73)</td>
<td>(1.67)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1671</td>
<td>71°46'9&quot;32&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Metasolv.</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>188 ± 20</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.45)</td>
<td>(1.96)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1672</td>
<td>71°46'9&quot;32&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Tonalite</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>177 ± 15</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.63)</td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1754</td>
<td>71°46'9&quot;30&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>199 ± 19</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1785</td>
<td>71°44'10&quot;20&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>177 ± 9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.74)</td>
<td>(0.85)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1786</td>
<td>71°44'9&quot;20&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>2581</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>169 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.69)</td>
<td>(0.72)</td>
<td>(1.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1787</td>
<td>71°46'10&quot;23&quot;</td>
<td>Dallmannb.</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>183 ± 10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.76)</td>
<td>(0.82)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Latitude S°</td>
<td>Longitude E°</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation [m]</td>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>N₁</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>N₀</td>
<td>U (ppm)</td>
<td>FTA ± 1σ (Ma)</td>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>MTL ± 1σ [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1760</td>
<td>71°59'/10°52'</td>
<td>Gjeruldsen</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>203 ± 14</td>
<td>(2.17)</td>
<td>(1.09)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1761</td>
<td>71°59'/10°50'</td>
<td>Gjeruldsen</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>235 ± 11</td>
<td>(1.86)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1762</td>
<td>71°59'/10°50'</td>
<td>Gjeruldsen</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2405</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>3561</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>262 ± 12</td>
<td>(1.50)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1763</td>
<td>71°58'/10°47'</td>
<td>Gjeruldsen</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>Diortite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>245 ± 12</td>
<td>(1.80)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1766</td>
<td>71°58'/10°47'</td>
<td>Gjeruldsen</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>247 ± 16</td>
<td>(1.49)</td>
<td>(1.09)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1790</td>
<td>71°40'/11°30'</td>
<td>Humboldt- Geb.</td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>200 ± 9</td>
<td>(1.88)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1812</td>
<td>71°44'/12°09'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2965</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>3017</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>102 ± 7</td>
<td>(1.45)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1813</td>
<td>71°44'/12°09'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2935</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>3687</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>205 ± 8</td>
<td>(1.77)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1815</td>
<td>71°44'/12°09'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>3817</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>187 ± 12</td>
<td>(3.35)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1816</td>
<td>71°44'/12°09'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>330 ± 25</td>
<td>(1.49)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1817</td>
<td>71°44'/12°07'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>3807</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>193 ± 10</td>
<td>(1.68)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1818</td>
<td>71°44'/12°06'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>1616</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>193 ± 8</td>
<td>(3.05)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1819</td>
<td>71°42'/12°10'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2284</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>3867</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>170 ± 6</td>
<td>(1.93)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1821</td>
<td>71°42'/12°10'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Charnockite</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>251 ± 16</td>
<td>(1.22)</td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1822</td>
<td>71°42'/12°10'</td>
<td>Zweiseh.</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>344 ± 20</td>
<td>(1.33)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Latitude S/Longitude E</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation [m]</td>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>$N_1$</td>
<td>$N_2$</td>
<td>$N_3$</td>
<td>U [ppm]</td>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>MTL ± 1σ [%]</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1823</td>
<td>71°42'12''10''</td>
<td>Zwieselh.</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>186 ± 16</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.62)</td>
<td>(4.21)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1923</td>
<td>71°58'13''27''</td>
<td>Weyrechb.</td>
<td>2365</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>315 ± 18</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14 ± 0.2</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.82)</td>
<td>(1.16)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1924</td>
<td>72°03'13''13''</td>
<td>Weyrechb.</td>
<td>2685</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>274 ± 14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13 ± 0.3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.99)</td>
<td>(1.47)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1987</td>
<td>71°27'11''57''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>Granulite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>2378</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>142 ± 6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.60)</td>
<td>(3.39)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1988</td>
<td>71°26'12''43''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>113 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
<td>(1.87)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1987</td>
<td>71°26'12''43''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>Granito-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2111</td>
<td>2845</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>150 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13 ± 0.3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dyke</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.34)</td>
<td>(4.59)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1938</td>
<td>71°35'12''30''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>108 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(0.66)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1951</td>
<td>71°34'12''09''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Augen-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>146 ± 6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.80)</td>
<td>(0.99)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1930</td>
<td>71°31'12''12''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>Leucosome</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>156 ± 7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.48)</td>
<td>(0.63)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1931</td>
<td>71°33'12''14''</td>
<td>Patermannk.</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>Granodiorite</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103 ± 4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dyke</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.42)</td>
<td>(0.48)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1968</td>
<td>71°21'12''35''</td>
<td>Schneidegeb.</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>Syntite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2459</td>
<td>4506</td>
<td>3817</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>109 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.70)</td>
<td>(6.78)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1969</td>
<td>71°21'12''35''</td>
<td>Schneidegeb.</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>Syntite</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>1171</td>
<td>3897</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>140 ± 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.55)</td>
<td>(3.80)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1872</td>
<td>71°21'12''35''</td>
<td>Schneidegeb.</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>Syntite</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>158 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.43)</td>
<td>(3.18)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1874</td>
<td>71°21'12''35''</td>
<td>Schneidegeb.</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>Syntite</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2999</td>
<td>3865</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>141 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.64)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1875</td>
<td>71°21'12''35''</td>
<td>Schneidegeb.</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>Syntite</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>4341</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>180 ± 12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apalite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.53)</td>
<td>(0.61)</td>
<td>(1.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Latitude N</td>
<td>Longitude E</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation [m]</td>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>N4</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>PTA ± 1σ</td>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>MTL ± 1σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1876</td>
<td>71°21′12″35′ Schnidegeb.</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>2901</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>148 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.18)</td>
<td>(4.38)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1877</td>
<td>71°21′12″35′ Schnidegeb.</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>2954</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>128 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.84)</td>
<td>(6.08)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1878</td>
<td>71°21′12″35′ Schnidegeb.</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>135 ± 17</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.43)</td>
<td>(7.22)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1879</td>
<td>71°21′12″35′ Schnidegeb.</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>Syenite</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>3325</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>124 ± 4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.22)</td>
<td>(5.31)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1542</td>
<td>71°21′12″37′ Schnidegeb.</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Amphibolite</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>174 ± 10</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.40)</td>
<td>(0.47)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1405</td>
<td>71°14′12″45′ Oddsmjørn</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>Biotite-Fluorite</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>2361</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>105 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.57)</td>
<td>(3.07)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1689</td>
<td>71°24′13″21′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2309</td>
<td>2428</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>133 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.45)</td>
<td>(2.58)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1890</td>
<td>71°24′13″21′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>246 ± 13</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.57)</td>
<td>(2.15)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1891</td>
<td>71°24′13″21′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2715</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>224 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5.11)</td>
<td>(4.64)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1893</td>
<td>71°24′13″19′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2440</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>2917</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>180 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.45)</td>
<td>(2.77)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1894</td>
<td>71°24′13″19′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2365</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>211 ± 11</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.44)</td>
<td>(1.39)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1895</td>
<td>71°24′13″19′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2232</td>
<td>2447</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>184 ± 6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.98)</td>
<td>(1.52)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1896</td>
<td>71°24′13″17′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>235 ± 13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.95)</td>
<td>(0.82)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1897</td>
<td>71°24′13″17′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2175</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2192</td>
<td>2702</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>165 ± 6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.87)</td>
<td>(2.30)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1898</td>
<td>71°24′13″20′ Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>217 ± 13</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.29)</td>
<td>(1.23)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Apatite sample details and fission-track analytical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Latitude S/</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Grains</th>
<th>N_p</th>
<th>N_p [x10^6 cm^-2]</th>
<th>N_p [x10^6 cm^-2]</th>
<th>U [ppm]</th>
<th>FTA ± 1o</th>
<th>Z²</th>
<th>MTL ± 1o</th>
<th>Std. Dev. n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J 1900</td>
<td>71° 24' 13&quot; 17'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2080</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>(1.66)</td>
<td>(1.66)</td>
<td>3017</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>183 ± 8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1901</td>
<td>71° 24' 13&quot; 17'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>(2.68)</td>
<td>(2.73)</td>
<td>3997</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>197 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1902</td>
<td>71° 24' 13&quot; 17'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Gabbronite</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>(0.52)</td>
<td>(0.56)</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>191 ± 11</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1903</td>
<td>71° 24' 13&quot; 17'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2255</td>
<td>(2.89)</td>
<td>(3.58)</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>164 ± 6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1904</td>
<td>71° 25' 13&quot; 16'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1765</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>(0.85)</td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>164 ± 9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1905</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 16'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1705</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>(2.56)</td>
<td>(2.74)</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>186 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1906</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 16'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2662</td>
<td>(2.12)</td>
<td>(2.59)</td>
<td>3891</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>167 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1907</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 15'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>Gabbronite</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>(0.73)</td>
<td>(0.70)</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>209 ± 13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1909</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 15'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>(2.99)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>163 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1910</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 15'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>(1.84)</td>
<td>(2.29)</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>164 ± 7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1911</td>
<td>71° 23' 13&quot; 15'</td>
<td>Gruber-Geb.</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>(1.80)</td>
<td>(2.30)</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>159 ± 7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1955</td>
<td>71° 21' 13&quot; 27'</td>
<td>Untarsee</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>(0.25)</td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>138 ± 10</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1956</td>
<td>71° 21' 13&quot; 27'</td>
<td>Untarsee</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2159</td>
<td>(2.44)</td>
<td>(2.56)</td>
<td>3887</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>168 ± 7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1958</td>
<td>71° 19' 13&quot; 30'</td>
<td>Untarsee</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>(0.67)</td>
<td>(1.90)</td>
<td>3917</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>95 ± 3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1973b</td>
<td>71° 00' 12&quot; 01'</td>
<td>Starheimpld</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>Diotite</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>4066</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>139 ± 14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Apatite)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table contains data for apatite samples, including latitude, longitude, elevation, rock type, grain number, U concentration, fission-track analysis, and standard deviation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No. (Mineral)</th>
<th>Latitude S/ Longitude E</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Grains</th>
<th>$N_a$</th>
<th>$N_a$</th>
<th>$N_a$</th>
<th>$U$</th>
<th>FTA $\pm 1\sigma$ [Ma]</th>
<th>$X^2$</th>
<th>MTL $\pm 1\sigma$ [um]</th>
<th>Stat. Dev. [um]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J 1974 (Apatite)</td>
<td>71°07'12&quot;01'</td>
<td>Starheimland</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>Granodiorite</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>(0.46)</td>
<td>(0.50)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>183 ± 11</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1975 (Apatite)</td>
<td>71°07'12&quot;01'</td>
<td>Starheimland</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>(0.55)</td>
<td>(0.69)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>161 ± 10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1976 (Apatite)</td>
<td>71°00'12&quot;01'</td>
<td>Starheimland</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>Diorite</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>(0.52)</td>
<td>(0.62)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>169 ± 12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J 1984 (Apatite)</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14'</td>
<td>Schirmacher</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Augenclast</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>(0.96)</td>
<td>(1.60)</td>
<td>(1.03)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>117 ± 5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2/1 (Apatite)</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14'</td>
<td>Schirmacher</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>(0.97)</td>
<td>(2.05)</td>
<td>(1.23)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>83 ± 3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK3/1 (Apatite)</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14'</td>
<td>Schirmacher</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1861</td>
<td>(1.20)</td>
<td>(2.44)</td>
<td>(1.22)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>85 ± 3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK4/1 (Apatite)</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14'</td>
<td>Schirmacher</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>(1.17)</td>
<td>(2.32)</td>
<td>(1.22)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>87 ± 3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK7/1 (Apatite)</td>
<td>70°46'11&quot;14'</td>
<td>Schirmacher</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>(2.36)</td>
<td>(4.75)</td>
<td>(1.22)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>86 ± 3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031-1 GKG</td>
<td>69°58'8&quot;98'</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-450</td>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>(2.09)</td>
<td>(0.59)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>138 ± 8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2057/1 GKG</td>
<td>69°57'11&quot;48'</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-210</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1613</td>
<td>(1.68)</td>
<td>(2.32)</td>
<td>(1.12)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>146 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2057/2 GKG</td>
<td>69°57'11&quot;48'</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-210</td>
<td>Anorthosite</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>(2.67)</td>
<td>(4.14)</td>
<td>(1.12)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>170 ± 9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034-3 GKG</td>
<td>69°57'6&quot;15&quot;</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-734</td>
<td>Gabbro</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>(0.46)</td>
<td>(1.15)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>139 ± 11</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample No. (Mineral)</td>
<td>Latitude S/ Longitude E</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Elevation (m)</td>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>N4</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>FTA ± 1σ</td>
<td>MTU ± 1σ</td>
<td>Sd. Dev. ± 1σ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2 AGT (Apatite)</td>
<td>63°58'67''906'</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-311</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>5001</td>
<td>4257</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>78 ± 4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2058-1 GKG (Apatite)</td>
<td>69°58'12''11''</td>
<td>Dropstone</td>
<td>-155</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>4192</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>167 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apatite sample details and fission-track analytical data.
II. Radialplots, FTA and track length histograms

Fig. 1 Fission-track data of (A) titanite, (B) zircon and (C) apatite samples. The single grain age distributions are shown as radialplots and histograms, the mean track length distributions for the apatite samples are also depicted as frequency distributions. The radialplot (cf. Galbraith, 1989) allows to illustrate single grain age distributions with respect to their individual precision which is represented by the x-axis (here: error in age).

The 2σ-error on the FTA is reported by y-axis and the FTA can be read off from the circular scale by extrapolating a line through (x,y) and the zero-value of the y-axis.

Together with the histograms, the FTA ± 1σ and the χ²-result of the sample as well as the mean track length ± standard deviation are shown.
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