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Summary: Surface winds and energy fluxes from measurements of stations on the ice sheet and in the tundra area near Kangerlussuaq, West Greenland, are presented for the period of the experiment KABEG (Katabatic wind and boundary layer front experiment around Greenland) in April/May 1997. For almost all days a nighttime development of the katabatic wind is found over the ice sheet causing a peak to peak amplitude of up to 5 m/s for the wind speed anomaly. A variational approach is used to compute turbulent fluxes from profiles in the surface layer over the ice sheet and over the tundra area. The fluxes over the tundra area are validated using direct eddy-correlation flux measurements. Profile-derived sensible heat fluxes show the tendency to overestimate the absolute flux values for stable conditions over the tundra area. Nighttime fluxes over the tundra are also found to be associated with intermittent turbulence and instationarity. In contrast, katabatic wind conditions over the ice sheet are associated with continuous turbulence and quasi-stationary profiles. The energy loss by the net radiation over the ice sheet is compensated to a large extent by the turbulent flux of sensible heat only during strong wind conditions. Mean roughness lengths for neutral conditions show values of 2.3 cm and 1.1 x 10⁻² m for the vegetated tundra area and the ice surface, respectively.


INTRODUCTION

The ice sheet of Greenland covers an area of 1.75 x 10⁶ km², and the air/snow energy and momentum exchange at its surface represents a key factor for the near-surface climate. A stable stratification over the ice slopes leads to the development of the katabatic wind system. The Greenland ice margin generally reveals a topographic gradient exceeding 1 % over large areas (Fig. 1), and associated katabatic winds are observed with speeds up to gale force (PUTNINS 1970, RASMUSSEN 1989). Air/snow interaction processes are enhanced during katabatic wind situations, and therefore the knowledge of katabatic flow characteristics and associated air/snow exchange processes are important for questions of the mass balance of the ice sheet. For example, OHMURA et al. (1996) found for climate simulations with doubled CO₂ that the main change in the snow accumulation (precipitation minus evaporation) of the Greenland ice sheet would occur in form of an increased surface flux of latent heat, and that a change in the surface energy balance components would lead to a drastically increased ablation.

During the last ten years, two major efforts for the investigation of the surface energy balance and the boundary layer over Greenland were made. The first major effort was the Greenland Ice Margin Experiment (GIMEX, OERLEMANS & VUGTS 1993) in combination with the ETI Greenland Expedition (1990-1993). Direct eddy-correlation flux measurements were made at various heights up to 30 m over the ice sheet (FORRER & ROTACH 1997, FORRER 1999, MEESTERS et al. 1997). The second major effort was the experiment KABEG (Katabatic wind and boundary layer front experiment around Greenland) in April/May 1997 in the area of southern Greenland (HEINEMANN 1998, 1999). For the first time, aircraft-based direct turbulence measurements were performed in the whole boundary layer over the ice sheet. In addition, surface stations were installed at five positions in the tundra and over the ice sheet during KABEG, in order to determine surface energy balance components and a horizontal profile of the wind field structure.

First results of KABEG are presented in HEINEMANN (1999). Vertical profiles flown by the aircraft showed boundary layer heights over the ice slope between 70 and 200 m, and low-level jets (LLJs) with wind speeds of up to 25 m/s. Studies of the boundary layer dynamics yielded the result that the katabatic force is the main driving mechanism for the flow regime over the ice sheet. Mesoscale model simulations for katabatic winds during KABEG and comparisons with aircraft and surface data (KABEG and AWS measurements of the PARCA program, STEFFEN et al. 1996) have been performed by KLEIN et al. (2001a,b).

The main goal of the present study is to present results of the KABEG surface stations, particularly the evaluation of surface fluxes near the ice margin. The paper is structured as follows: A first section describes the experimental area, the stations and evaluation methods. Details of the variational method are given in Appendices I and II. In the result section, two case studies are presented with strong and weak synoptic forcing,
respectively, followed by statistics covering the whole KABEG period. Summary and conclusions are given in the last Section.

EXPERIMENT SETUP, DATA AND METHODS

Experimental area and data

The base of the KABEG experiment was Kangerlussuaq (former Søndre Stromfjord, West Greenland, Fig. 1) at a distance of about 20 km from the glaciers of the inland ice sheet. During the experiment, surface stations were installed at five positions (Fig. 2). The tundra area of West Greenland is a hilly terrain with tundra vegetation. Even the high-resolution topography data of EKHOLM (1996) with 2.2 km resolution shown in Figure 2 is not able to resolve all relevant topographic details. The tundra area is characterized by big fjords, small lakes, and numerous small hills and valleys. Thus the aerodynamic roughness is largely increased over the tundra area, while it is very small for the ice sheet. The KABEG period (12 April to 15 May 1997) was characterized by a snow covered tundra area and frozen soil during the first two weeks. In contrast, a transition to almost summertime conditions took place at the beginning of May, and 2 m-temperature maxima near Kangerlussuaq were up to +15 °C (HEINEMANN 1999). However, non-melting conditions were still present for the major part of the ice sheet (maximum air temperatures above 0 °C were measured over the ice sheet at station A3 only on four days and never at A4; see Fig. 2 for locations).
In the fjord valley near Kangerlussuaq (at position S in the Sandflugtdalen, 40 m ASL; see Fig. 2) a station with eddy-correlation turbulent heat flux and momentum measurements (3D sonic anemometer/thermometer at 3.3 m, Metek USA-1, data sampled at 20 Hz) was installed. About 200 hours of eddy-correlation data were collected on 16 days during the period 15 April to 14 May 1997. At the same position (Fig. 3a) surface layer profiles of air temperature, humidity and wind speed were measured at five levels (electrically ventilated PT100 (MIUB) and cup anemometers (Vector Instr.) at 0.5, 0.8, 1.1, 1.7 and 2.6 m), wind direction at 3.2 m (wind vane, Vector Instr.), net radiation at 1.4 m (net pyrradiometer, Thies), air pressure (piezoresistive pressure sensor, Honeywell), and the soil temperature profile (using PT100 (MIUB) at depths of -0.02, -0.05, -0.1, -0.2, -0.5 m). The measurements allow to determine the surface energy balance components. Station S was built up in order to represent the flow characteristics and the energy balance in a large fjord valley of the tundra area. The tundra vegetation at S in the fjord valley had a height of about 0.5 m (see Fig. 3a). The determination of turbulent surface fluxes from the profile measurements (see result section) is limited by the spatial inhomogeneities of the surface characteristics. Besides the influences of the profile mast and the mast of the eddy-correlation measurements on the profiles, the closeness of the valley mountains and a (frozen) river restricted the usable wind directions for the evaluation of profile measurements. A sufficient fetch and undisturbed conditions were only present for three sectors of the wind direction (30-140°, 180-230°, 270-330°).

Along a line orientated parallel to the fall line at about 50 km north of Kangerlussuaq four stations were installed (Fig. 2): two wind recorders A1 and A2 over the tundra area close to the edge of the inland ice (at a distance of 12 km to the ice edge, 600 m ASL) and over the inland ice close to the ice edge (5 km, 760 m ASL); and two surface energy balance stations over the ice at distances of about 30 km (A3, 1200 m ASL) and 75 km (A4, 1600 m ASL) from the ice edge. A detailed description of the instrumentation is given in HEINEMANN (1999). Station A4, being furthest from the ice edge, is taken as the main energy balance station over the ice sheet in this study (Fig. 3b). The measurements comprised air temperature and wind speed using electrically ventilated PT100 (MIUB) and cup anemometers (Vector Instr.) at three levels (0.3, 0.8, 1.9 m), wind direction at 2.4 m (wind vane, Vector Instr.), net radiation at 1.4 m (net pyrradiometer, Thies), snow temperatures using PT100 (MIUB) at five depths (-0.2, -0.25, -0.3, -0.4, -0.7 m) and air pressure (piezoresistive pressure sensor, Honeywell). Snow accumulation over the ice sheet was about 0.2 m over the KABEG period. Energy for the ventilation and the instruments was supplied by solar panels, and data were recorded as 15 min means.

In addition to the KABEG stations, data from an automatic weather station on the ice sheet operated by the University of Utrecht (IMAU) is available in the KABEG area (marked as U2 in Fig. 2 at 67.0764°N/49.3714°W, 1015 m ASL).
Data evaluation

Turbulence measurements

The 20 Hz turbulence measurements of the 3D wind vector and the temperature at station S in the fjord valley are used to obtain direct measurements of turbulent fluxes of momentum and sensible heat applying the eddy-covariance (EC) technique. A software package developed at the MIUB by Svensson (1997) was applied, which includes the rotation of the coordinate system in the mean wind direction, detrending, a check of the turbulence stationarity and integral length scales, computation of turbulent fluxes and similarity functions for

Profile measurements

The well-known Bowen ratio energy balance method (Bowen 1926) for the determination of turbulent energy fluxes cannot be applied for two reasons. Firstly, the gradients of the specific

Fig. 3: (a, top) Surface station S (profile measurements) in the tundra area near Kangerlussuaq in May 1997. The booms of the anemometers are orientated approximately parallel to the valley axis (inland ice to the right).
(b, bottom) Surface station A4 on the ice sheet in April 1997 (details see text).

(b, unten) Station A4 auf dem Eisschild im April 1997 (Details s. Text).
humidity cannot be measured accurately enough with the available instrumentation for very low temperatures. Secondly, the determination of the soil heat flux in the tundra area was impossible because of melting and freezing of the soil, and because of the fact that the soil temperature sensors had not always good contact to the soil during the first phase of KABEG, when the soil was completely frozen. The snow heat flux at the energy balance stations over the ice sheet was computed by the heat storage method (see e.g. HEINEMANN & ROSE 1990), but the snow accumulation of about 0.2 m at the end of April 1997 caused large errors by using this method.

An alternative method was chosen based on the Monin-Obukhov (MO) similarity theory (MOST) using a variational approach. The method used follows the way outlined by Xu and Qui (1997), a detailed description is given in Appendix I. A similar variational approach was used recently by Ma and Dagupaty (2000), who added the transfer coefficient for heat (CH) as an additional constraint to the cost function shown in Eq. (I-1), in order to derive roughness lengths of heat and momentum.

Details about the method for the evaluation of the KABEG data are given in Appendix II. The cost function (J in (I-1)) is a measure of the closeness of the observed profiles to those of the MO similarity theory, and the absolute value of the gradient of the cost function (h in (I-4)) is a measure of the quality of the variational method in finding the minimum. Thus both values are taken as a quality control for the flux determination.

RESULTS

Case of strong synoptic forcing (22 April 1997)

The KABEG period represents springtime insolation conditions, and hence a pronounced daily cycle in the radiative forcing is present for small cloud coverage. The wind field near the ice margin is driven by local circulations caused by temperature contrasts between the boundary layer over the tundra area and the ice sheet, by katabatic forcing resulting from the cooling of the boundary layer over the ice sheet, and by synoptic forcing. Strong synoptic pressure gradients were present over the KABEG area during 21 and 22 April, leading to a period of strong winds over the ice sheet and well-developed low-level jets (HEINEMANN 1999). Numerical simulations by Kleink et al. (2001a) show that a synoptic-scale low approaches the KABEG area during 21 April. This is also reflected by the AWS data in the KABEG area (not shown). A strong increase in wind speed occurs during the afternoon of 21 April being associated with an increase of the pressure differences between A4, U2 and S (see Fig. 2 for locations). In contrast to the situation of weak synoptic forcing shown below, winds decrease during the morning hours of 22 April, but are still relatively strong (around 10 m/s).

Figure 4a shows the horizontal structure of the katabatic wind from all AWS of the measurement line for 22 April 1997 (time in local solar time, LST). A1-A4 are indicated as stations 1-4. Since the topography gradient is in west-east direction (Fig. 2), an easterly wind is equivalent to a downslope wind. The downslope increase of the nighttime katabatic wind speed from A4 to A2 agrees with the increasing topography gradient (0.7 % at A4, 1.1 % at A3, and 2 % at A2), and highest wind speeds over the ice sheet are found at A2. Also at A1 high winds are measured during the first hours of this day. While the wind direction is relatively homogeneous over the ice sheet, a distinct change in wind direction can be seen between A2 and A1. Station A1 was located in the tundra area at a distance of 12 km from the ice edge and on the top of a small hill. Apart from the channeling of the katabatic flow in the large fjord valleys like Sondre Stromfjord and Nordre Stromfjord (Fig. 2), the extent of the katabatic flow over the tundra area was found to be about 10 km by aircraft measurements in a similar case study of strong synoptic forcing for 13 May 1997 by HEINEMANN (1999). Therefore, the wind regime at A1 is directly influenced by the downslope wind from the ice sheet under these conditions. The katabatic wind system does not establish again during the evening, which is a result of cloudiness prohibiting the cooling of the boundary layer (see below).

The situation in the fjord valley at station S near Kangerlussuaq for the period 00 UTC 22 April to 06 UTC 23 April is depicted in Figure 5a. The net radiation (Qo) is negative during the night and reaches maximum values of about 500 W m² during daytime (note the time lag between UTC and local solar time (LST), i.e. noon is at about 15 UTC). The corresponding daily course of the temperature varies between -2 and +9 °C. During the first hours of 22 April, the wind direction is parallel to the valley axis in the sense of a downslope wind, which represents a signal of the channeling of the katabatic flow as also found in high-resolution simulations by...
Completely different conditions were observed over the inland ice (Fig. Sb). The net radiation at station A4 also reveals a clear daily cycle, but is negative in the daily mean. Maximum values are around +30 W m$^{-2}$ only. The daily course of the temperature is also pronounced. The daily temperature cycle can also be seen in the snow temperature at -0.3 m, while temperatures at -0.7 m are almost constant. The phase lag between the net radiation and the temperature is evident. Net radiation drops down to negative values during the evening, but then clouds prohibit further cooling and lead to a temperature increase and positive values for the net radiation during the first hours of 23 April. Consequently, the development of the nighttime katabatic flow is suppressed during the morning of 23 April (Fig. 4a). Wind speeds are up to 16 m/s at A2 during the morning of 22 April, and with decreasing synoptic and katabatic forcing wind speeds drop to 4 m/s at A2 and A4. Richardson numbers (computed from gradients between 1.9 and 0.3 m) are quite small (but positive) during the strong wind conditions, but the cooling phase during the afternoon is associated with relatively large values of the Richardson number. While the course of the wind speed is strongly influenced by the synoptic forcing, the katabatic signal is still present in the wind direction, which is around 120° for katabatic flow directions.

The sensible heat flux $H_s$ and the net radiation $Q_o$ for the tundra station S for 22 April is displayed in Figure 6a. $H_s$ denotes $H_s$ calculated from the variational analysis. $H_s$ com-

KLEIN et al. (2001b). Around 9 UTC (6 LST) the wind direction changes by 180° to a up-valley wind and is almost constant the rest of the day. This change in flow direction in the fjord valley is mainly caused by the synoptic driven flow above the fjord valley. Although an effect of a possible valley wind system during daytime cannot be ruled out, it turns out to be of secondary importance, since an up-valley wind was not found to be typical for cloudless situations without synoptic forcing. The winds in the fjord valley are very weak and do hardly exceed 2 m/s on 22 April.
pensates the negative $Q_o$ of about -50 W m$^{-2}$ during the night almost completely. Since the latent heat flux $E_o$ can be assumed to be very small for temperatures below 0 °C, this means that the soil heat flux $B_o$ is also small for the frozen ground with temperatures around -6 °C during nighttime. HSP has values around 200 W m$^{-2}$ during noon and compensates 30-50 % of the radiational energy input. But, as discussed in Appendix II, large errors are found for HSP during daytime. The error bars result from the differences between the measured profiles and profiles according to MO similarity. A likely reason for these large differences is the unsufficient fetch for the up-valley wind direction (Fig. 5a). The sensible heat flux calculated from the sonic measurements (HEC) is shown for comparison. While HSP and HEC agree with the errors given by the variational method during daytime, HEC is close to zero during nighttime, and HSP is much more negative. This difference is also reflected in the stability parameter $z/L$, which is calculated for heights of 2.0 and 3.3 m for the profile and eddy-correlation measurements, respectively. Both, HEC and HSP seem to be not reliable during nighttime weak wind conditions in the fjord valley on 22 April. Wind speeds are between 1 and 2 m/s only (Fig. 5a), and the turbulence data on 22 April show periods of intermittent turbulence as well as gravity waves during nighttime (not displayed). Thus, fluxes computed by the standard EC method are not reliable for these conditions. At the same time, the surface layer profiles are highly instationary during nighttime, which is a severe restriction to the application of the MO similarity. It can also be suspected that the height of the surface layer might be very low, and that the constant flux layer may be too thin for the measurement setup. On the other hand, it is not advisable to perform turbulence measurements closer to the ground, since the size of the turbulence elements should be large enough to be captured by the sonic anemometer.

Over the ice sheet (Fig. 6b), the turbulent flux of sensible heat almost compensates the radiative loss by the negative net radiation during the strong katabatic winds between 00 and 08 UTC. The cooling of the upper snow layers (Fig. 5b) leads to a negative soil heat flux during that period. The residuum (Res = $Q_o - H_o - B_o$) is very small. The sensible heat flux stays negative also for the short period of positive net radiation, but errors are quite large. After 16 UTC, $Q_o$ gets negative again, but $H_o$ is only about -20 W m$^{-2}$ under conditions of much weaker winds compared to the morning. The soil heat flux is around ±20 W m$^{-2}$, and Res has about the same values as $Q_o$ during the cooling period in the afternoon. The likely reason for this large imbalance are errors in $B_o$, since the latent heat flux can be assumed to be very small for temperatures around -20 °C. Apart from uncertainties in the snow parameters (the snow density profile was measured), the heat storage in the upper snow layer is not adequately accounted for. Unfortunately the actual snow height (or depths of the snow thermometers) was measured only three times during the KABEG period, and no radiometric surface temperature is available for the computation of $B_o$. As it is shown in other studies (e.g.

![Fig. 6: Daily course of the energy balance components for 22 April at S (part a, top) and at A4 (part b, bottom). In part a, HSP denotes the sensible heat flux computed from the profile measurements (error bars as computed by the variational method), while HEC is the the sensible heat flux computed from the eddy correlation measurements. The stability parameter $z/L$ is also shown for both methods for heights of 2 m (HSP) and 3.3 m (HEC). In part b, the residuum is defined as Res = $Q_o-H_o-B_o$.](image)
HEINEMANN & ROSE 1990), the heat storage in the upper few centimeters of the snow layer is responsible for a large fraction of $B_o$.

Case of weak synoptic forcing (26 April 1997)

The day discussed in this section lies in a period of weak synoptic pressure gradients and almost cloudless conditions. Despite of temperatures being much lower, the daily course of the net radiation and the temperature in the fjord valley (not shown) is similar to that of 22 April. The main difference is found for the course of the wind direction. In contrast to 22 April, no change in the wind direction to an up-valley wind is present. Only low wind speeds (less than 4 m s$^{-1}$) and wind directions between $0^\circ$ and $130^\circ$ are found throughout the day.

The net radiation at station A4 (Fig. 7) also reveals a clear daily cycle with positive values only for 7 hours. The daily course of the 2 m-temperature is much more pronounced than in the tundra area (temperature ranges from $-36^\circ$C to $-17^\circ$C). In contrast to 22 April, a clear forcing of the net radiation on the daily course of the wind speed is present over the ice sheet. It should be noted that not the fluxes of net radiation and sensible heat, but only their divergences cause a cooling of the boundary layer, which results in a katabatic flow. The variation in wind speed between daytime and nighttime conditions is even more pronounced at station A2 lying closer to the ice edge and in an area of a larger topography gradient compared to A4 (Fig. 2). While wind speeds at A2 and A4 are around 7 and 5 m/s during nighttime (strong negative net radiation), they decrease to about 1 m/s (A2) and 2 m/s (A4) during daytime. Accordingly, the daily course of the wind direction reflects the

![Graph showing net radiation, temperature, wind speed, and direction over time](image-url)

**Fig. 7**: As Figure Sb, but for 00 UTC 26 April to 06 UTC 27 April.

**Abb. 7**: Wie Abb. 5b, aber für 00 UTC, 26. April, bis 06 UTC, 27. April.
coupling between nighttime cooling and the development of the katabatic wind. During the cooling phase in the afternoon the wind turns from 150° to 120° at A4. Again the phase lag between the net radiation and the temperature is present, and the stabilization of the surface layer during the cooling phase is associated with relative large values of the Richardson number, which decrease afterwards with the increase of the nighttime katabatic wind.

The horizontal structure of the katabatic wind from all AWS of the measurement line for 26 April 1997 is shown in Figure 4b. A clear daily cycle can be seen for all stations on the ice sheet. Like for 22 April, the katabatic wind speed increases from A4 to A2 in agreement with the increasing topography gradient. In contrast to 22 April, the wind regime at A1 over the tundra area is not affected by the katabatic wind system. All stations over the ice sheet show only very weak winds during the afternoon. The onset of the katabatic wind occurs around 18 LST (sunset at about 20 LST), and the downslope wind system develops again over the ice sheet.

Turbulent surface fluxes of sensible heat are only shown for the ice sheet station A4, since fluxes computed for the tundra station showed large errors and eddy-correlation measurements are not available for 26 April. As shown in Appendix II, problems for the variational method for 26 April occur also for station A4, and results of good quality are obtained only between 00 and 14 UTC. Figure 8 displays $Q_o$, $H_o$, and $B_o$ at A4 for 26 April ($H_o$ is not plotted for the period with the largest errors between 15 and 19 UTC). Like for 22 April, $H_o$ compensates $Q_o$ during nighttime. Absolute values of the fluxes are much smaller than on 22 April, but $B_o$ is of about the same magnitude. Since the latent heat flux can again be assumed to be negligible for temperatures down to -36 °C, the main source of error for the surface energy balance can be seen in the heat storage in the upper snow layer, which is not measured by the experimental setup.

Statistics for the whole KABEG period (12 April - 15 May 1997)

Mean daily cycle for weak synoptic forcing

An overview over the mean daily cycle of the katabatic wind for weak synoptic forcing is displayed in Figure 9 for the period 26 April to 2 May 1997. In contrast to the simple averaging of the data of the net radiation and wind direction, anomalies of temperature and wind speed have been calculated as deviations from low-pass filtered data (using a cut-off of 24 hours). This allows to exclude the influence of trends in the latter data, but preserves the daily cycles. The mean daily courses of net radiation and temperature anomaly at A4 is very similar to the case study shown in Figure 7, but amplitudes are reduced. The mean course of the wind speed anomaly shows significant differences between A2 and A4. At A4 the wind speed anomaly is relatively small, while the peak to peak amplitude is 5 m/s at A2. The decrease of the wind at A2 starts at about 8 UTC (5 LST), and it is associated with a veering from wind directions of 120° (local fall line at A2 is 100°) to southerly wind directions during the afternoon. Nighttime wind directions at A4 are at an angle of about 45° relative to the fall line, which is typical for the fully developed katabatic wind over the homogeneous parts of the slope (KLEIN et al. 2001a), and indicate a balance between the katabatic force, Coriolis force and friction (HEINEMANN 1999).

Wind speed and direction distribution

The overall statistics of wind speed and direction distribution for the KABEG stations for the whole KABEG period are summarized in Figure 10 (data for A3 are not shown, since they are very similar to A4). For A4, a bimodal distribution is found for high winds speeds with one maximum for southerly directions (normal to the fall line, synoptic forcing) and the second for southeasterly directions (45° to the fall line, katabatic forcing). The katabatic wind peak is much more pronounced for A2, and highest wind speeds lie in the sector 90-130° (local fall line is 100°). The wind speed distributions for the tundra area are quite different. A pronounced peak around 150° can be seen for A1, which can be interpreted as synoptically supported katabatic winds extending more than 10 km over the tundra area. In contrast to the stations over the ice sheet, a secondary maximum of northerly winds is found at A1. Only low wind speeds (less than 6 m s⁻¹) were recorded throughout the KABEG period at S. The distribution of the wind direction suggests the effects of the flow channeling and/or signals of a valley wind in the Kangerlussuaq fjord valley to be present.

Energy balance components

The calculations of the sensible heat flux $H_o$ and shear velocity $u$ at A4 for the whole measurement period is shown in Figure...

Fig. 9: Mean daily courses of selected quantities (1 h averages) at stations A2 (dashed lines) and A4 (solid lines) on the inland ice for a period with weak synoptic forcing (26 April to 2 May 1997). For temperature and wind speed, the anomaly from the low-pass filtered time series is shown (see text). The wind direction of 135° (35° and 45° relative to the local fall line for A2 and A4, respectively) is shown as a thin line.

Fig. 9: Mean daily courses of selected quantities (1 h averages) at stations A2 (dashed lines) and A4 (solid lines) on the inland ice for a period with weak synoptic forcing (26 April to 2 May 1997). For temperature and wind speed, the anomaly from the low-pass filtered time series is shown (see text). The wind direction of 135° (35° and 45° relative to the local fall line for A2 and A4, respectively) is shown as a thin line.
Fig. 10: Wind direction (dd) statistics as a function of wind speed (ff) at A4, A2, A1 and S. In the upper two panels, dashed lines mark the directions parallel and normal to the fall line, dashed lines in the lower right panel mark the directions parallel to the fjord valley axis.

Abb. 10: Statistik der Windrichtung (dd) als Funktion der Windgeschwindigkeit (ff) an A4, A2, A1 und S. Im oberen Teilbild markieren gestrichelte Linien die Windrichtungen parallel und normal zur lokalen Falllinie, im unteren rechten Teilbild die Windrichtungen parallel zur Achse des Fjord-Tals.

Fig. 11: Sensible heat flux ($H_0$) and surface layer velocity scale ($u_*$) as a function of the stability parameter $z/L_*$ at A4 computed from the profile measurements for the whole measurement period. Upper panels show the errors of the variational method.

Abb. 11: Flussdichten sensibler Wärme ($H_0$) und Geschwindigkeitsskala der Prandtl-Schicht ($u_*$) als Funktion des Stabilitätsparameters $z/L_*$ an A4 berechnet aus den Profilmessungen der gesamten Messperiode. Die oberen Teilbilder zeigt die Fehler aus der variationellen Methode.
for stable stratification by profile-derived fluxes was also found by Forrer (1999) for measurements over the ice sheet. The differences between HEC and HSP are generally much larger than the errors as computed by the variational method (not shown). This means that although the profiles are represented well by the MO similarity functions given by (1-8), they are not in complete agreement with the turbulent fluxes of the underlying surface, which may be caused by violations of the assumption of MOST (i.e. advection, instationarity, radiative heating).

Roughness lengths

Roughness lengths $z_0$ have not been used for the evaluation of the energy and momentum fluxes, but are also of interest for related studies using single-level measurements or model parameterizations. The data evaluation is performed according to Heinemann (1989), i.e. the selection of neutral profiles and the computation of $z_0$ by regression. Fig. 14 shows the results for A4. Neutral $z_0$ values show a large scatter for low wind speeds (low $u_0$) and a general increase with increasing $u_0$, with agrees well with the Charnock (1955) relation:

$$z_0 = a \frac{u_0^2}{g}$$

taking $a = 0.016$. Visual observations showed that snow drift was present during strong wind situations, therefore explaining this behaviour of $z_0$. The corresponding neutral drag coefficients $C_{Dn}$ for a reference height of 10 m show values around $10^{-3}$, but again a considerable scatter. The average of all $z_0$ values is $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ m. These results agree with those over flat Antarctic ice shelf surfaces, e.g. Heinemann (1989) and König (1985).

Values for $z_0$ have also been computed for the tundra station with a vegetation height of about 0.5 m. Like for the evaluation of the turbulent fluxes, a fixed displacement height of 0.3 m was taken. The resulting $z_0$ values show very little scatter compared to A4 and are in the range of 1.5 to 3.5 cm with an average value of 2.3 cm (not shown).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Data of surface stations in the tundra area and over the ice sheet are evaluated for the KABEG period during April and May 1997. The wind measurements of the ice sheet stations show a pronounced daily cycle of the near-surface wind for almost all days indicating the nighttime development of the katabatic wind, which is found to be strongest close to the ice edge and shows a time lag of a few hours with respect to the net radiation. The daily course averaged over seven days with weak synoptic forcing yields a peak to peak amplitude of 5 m/s for the wind speed anomaly at station A2 close to the ice edge. The katabatic wind signal is most pronounced for the wind direction at all stations on the ice sheet, while the daily course and the maximum values of the wind speed are strongly influenced by the synoptic forcing. The katabatic wind dissipates quickly after crossing the ice edge for weak synoptic farcing, but a strong synoptic forcing supports the katabatic flow to extend about 10 km across the ice edge. Measurements in the Kangerlussuaq fjord valley (at a distance...
of about 20 km to the ice edge) show a weak down-valley wind during these situations.

Energy balance measurements have been evaluated for the fjord station S and for station A4 over the ice sheet (about 75 km from the ice edge). A variational approach is used to compute turbulent fluxes from profiles in the surface layer, since several reasons prohibited the application of the Bowen ratio method. The method is based on the MO similarity, whose conditions are not always fulfilled particularly for the tundra area. The comparison with eddy-correlation measurements shows that profile-derived sensible heat fluxes show the tendency to overestimate the absolute flux values for stable conditions over the tundra area. In contrast, the nighttime conditions over the ice sheet are typically associated with katabatic wind conditions, which lead to a sufficient shear generation of turbulence and quasi-stationary profiles. The energy loss by the net radiation over the ice sheet is compensated to a large extent by the turbulent flux of sensible heat during strong wind conditions. The determination of roughness lengths for neutral conditions yielded mean values of 2.3 cm and 1.1 x 10.4 m for the vegetated tundra area and the ice surface at A4, respectively. Roughness lengths over the ice sheet are in accordance with the CHARNOCK (1955) relation.

APPENDIX I
DESCRIPTION OF THE VARIATIONAL METHOD FOR THE COMPUTATION OF TURBULENT FLUXES

The variational approach follows the way outlined by Xu & Qui (1997). The general idea is the minimization of a cost function $J$ given by

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \left\{ w_u (\Delta u_k - \Delta u_k^{obs})^2 + w_\theta (\Delta \theta_k - \Delta \theta_k^{obs})^2 \right\} + w_\delta \delta^2 \quad (1-1)$$

$\Delta u_k$, $\Delta \theta_k$ and $\Delta \theta_0$ are the theoretical differences of wind speed, potential temperature and specific humidity, respectively, between the measuring heights $z_k$ and the lowest level, and $\delta$ is the imbalance of the surface energy balance. $\Delta u_k$, $\Delta \theta_k$ and $\Delta \theta_0$ are calculated using integrated MO similarity functions $\Psi$:

$$\Delta u_k = \Delta u(z_k, z_k, u_k, \theta_k, q_k, d) = \frac{u_k}{k} \left[ \ln \frac{z_k - d}{z_1 - d} - \Psi_u \left( \frac{z_k - d}{L_u} \right) + \Psi_u \left( \frac{z_1 - d}{L_u} \right) \right] \quad (1-2a)$$

$$\Delta \theta_k = \Delta \theta(z_k, z_k, u_k, \theta_k, q_k, d) = \frac{\theta_k}{k} \left[ \ln \frac{z_k - d}{z_1 - d} - \Psi_\theta \left( \frac{z_k - d}{L_\theta} \right) + \Psi_\theta \left( \frac{z_1 - d}{L_\theta} \right) \right] \quad (1-2b)$$

Accurate measurements of near-surface quantities and surface energy balance components over the Greenland ice sheet and in the tundra area are important for the validation of numerical models (e.g. Klein et al. 2001a,b) and as ground truth for measurements above the surface, such as remote sensing of the boundary layer over the ice sheet (e.g. Meesters et al. 1997) or aircraft-based measurements (e.g. Heinemann 1999). The knowledge of the processes of the air-snow exchange and their interaction with the atmospheric boundary layer, particularly the development of the katabatic wind system in the stable boundary layer, is also highly relevant for questions of climatic change (e.g. Meesters 1994). Special measurement campaigns like KABEG or GIMEX or the long-term AWS measurements of the PARCA program (Steffen et al. 1996) have yielded valuable datasets for model validations, which may result in a better estimate of the energy and mass exchange of the Greenland and the Antarctic ice sheet.
\[ \Delta q_k = \Delta q(z_1, z_k, u_0, \theta_0, q_0, d) = \frac{q_k}{k} \]

\[ \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z_k - d}{z_1 - d} \right) - \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_k - d}{L_u} \right) + \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_1 - d}{L_u} \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-2c)

The lowest measuring height is denoted by \( z_1 \), and \( \theta, q, d \) is the unknown vector of surface layer scaling parameters and the displacement height. The imbalance of the surface energy balance

\[ \delta = Q_0 - B_0 - H_0 = Q_0 - B_0 + \rho c_p u_0 \theta_0 + \rho L u_0 q_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-3)

can be added to the cost function as a boundary condition \((Q_0; \text{net radiation}, H_0; \text{turbulent flux of sensible heat}, E_0; \text{turbulent flux of latent heat}, B_0; \text{snow/soil heat flux})\). The \( w \)'s are weighting functions, which have been chosen according to the measurement errors of the respective quantities, and are used to make the cost function circumpolar, so that the applied minimization gets easier to the function's minimum. In that way every information available (first to third term in Equation (1-1) include the information given by the MO similarity theory, the fourth term includes the information of the energy balance of a surface) is used simultaneously.

The main problem for solving (1-1)-(1-2) is the adequate variation of the unknown quantities for finding the minimum of the cost function. This is done iteratively using a BFGS (Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno) numerical method belonging to the class of Oren-Luenberger methods \((\text{OREN & LUENBERGER 1974, ÜBERHUBER 1995}).\) An overview over these 'Quasi-Newton' methods is given in DENNIS and MORE (1977). The minimization requires the knowledge of the Hesse matrix \( H \):

\[ H = \sum \left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-4)

The minimum of \( h \) is found during the iteration process, starting with an initial guess of \( u_0 = u_0, \theta_0 = 0, \) and \( \Delta x = 1 \). The displacement height is set to a constant value for our data, the iteration vector is therefore reduced to three dimensions. By using this variational method, the search direction for a new value of \( \Delta x \) at the iteration step \( n \) is calculated from \( H \) and the gradient of \( h \):

\[ \Delta x = (u_0, q_0, \theta_0) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-5)

In order to avoid the explicit calculation of \( H \), the following recursion formula is used for an approximation of \( H \) for the iteration step \((n+1)\):

\[ \tilde{p} = x^{n+1} - \bar{x}^n, \tilde{r} = g^{n+1} - \bar{g}^n \]

\[ H^{n+1} = H^n + \left( 1 + \frac{\tilde{r}^T H^n \tilde{r}}{\tilde{p}^T \tilde{r}} \right) H^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-7)

The main advantage of this method is the fast convergence. In general, the minimum of \( h \) is found in \( M \) iterations (with \( M \) being the number of parameters on which the cost function depends), if \( h \) is a quadratic function and the minimization described in (1-6) is exact \((\text{NOCEDAL 1980, DENNIS & MORÉ 1977}).\)

The MO similarity functions are taken from KAIMAL & FINNIGAN (1994) as:

\[ \varphi_m = \left( 1 - \frac{z - d}{L_u} \right)^{1/4} \]  \hspace{1cm} and \[ \varphi_q = \varphi_q = \varphi_m^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-8)

\[ \varphi_m = \varphi_h = \varphi_q = \left( 1 + 5 \frac{z - d}{L_u} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} for \( 0 \leq \frac{z - d}{L_u} \leq 1 \)

As shown in Section 3, z/L values over the ice sheet were in the range of -0.5 to +0.2 with about 95% of the values being inside the interval ±0.1, i.e. near-neutral conditions were present. For the tundra area, weak winds were prevailing and \( (z-d)/L, \) values between -0.8 and +0.4 were found.

\[ \Delta x = \bar{x}^n - \bar{x}^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (1-6)

using the minimization method of NOCEDAL (1980).
the quality of the variational method in finding the minimum. The limit for reasonable convergence is set at 5.0 for $h$. Analogously, the agreement with MO can be regarded as good/reasonable, if the cost function itself has values lower than 0.1/0.5.

The variational analysis was applied to the measurements at A4 and S. Figures 15 and 16 show results for the strong wind case of 22 April 1997 (see result section). Since no humidity profile was measured, only the surface layer scaling parameters $u_*$ and $T_*$ are used for the minimization. In addition, the value of the cost function $J$ and its gradient are shown. For the strong wind during the first half of 22 April at A4, relative high values for $u_*$ and $T_*$ are calculated. The error bars depict the standard deviations between the measured profile and profile according to MO similarity for wind and temperature, respectively. While these errors as well as the total cost function are very small until 8 UTC, an increase of these quantities is observed during daytime. This is mainly caused by larger deviations for the temperature profile. Although the temperature sensors were electrically ventilated, some influences of the radiation error during conditions of high insolation seem to be present. After 18 UTC errors and the cost function decrease again, but now the gradient of the cost function indicates that the convergence for finding the minimum is worse than before. But overall, the variational method yields very satisfying results for the evaluation of the profile measurements at A4.

The situation is quite different for the tundra station S (Fig. 16). While the errors for $T_*$ are relatively small in general, $u_*$ shows large uncertainties (deviations from the theoretical profiles). Although the surface roughness of the tundra area is much higher compared to the ice sheet, $u_*$ values are much smaller because of the low wind speeds in the fjord valley. The minimization method works well for almost all profiles, but the values for the cost function exceed the acceptable limits for many profiles.

In contrast to the overall good quality of the variational method at A4 for 22 April, the evaluation for the case with weak synoptic forcing on 26 April (see result section) shows some problems for this method (Fig. 17). While the results are of good quality between 00 and 14 UTC, relatively large values of $J$ and severe problems for finding the minimum can be detected during the rest of the day, particularly during the cooling phase during the afternoon associated with wind speeds around 2 m/s (see result section).
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S 22 April 1997

Fig. 16: As Figure 15, but for 22 April at S.
Abb. 16: Wie Abb. 15, aber für den 22. April an S.

Fig. 17: As Figure 15, but for 26 April at A4.
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