A reconstruction of atmospheric carbon dioxide and its stable carbon isotopic composition from the penultimate glacial maximum to the last glacial inception
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Abstract. The reconstruction of the stable carbon isotope evolution in atmospheric CO\(_2\) (\(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\)), as archived in Antarctic ice cores, bears the potential to disentangle the contributions of the different carbon cycle fluxes causing past CO\(_2\) variations. Here we present a new record of \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) before, during and after the Marine Isotope Stage 5.5 (155 000 to 105 000 yr BP). The dataset is archived on the data repository PANGAEA\(^{\circledR}\) (www.pangea.de) under doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.817041. The record was derived with a well established sublimation method using ice from the EPICA Dome C (EDC) and the Talos Dome ice cores in East Antarctica. We find a 0.4 ‰ shift to heavier values between the mean \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) level in the Penultimate (\(\sim 140 000\) yr BP) and Last Glacial Maximum (\(\sim 22 000\) yr BP), which can be explained by either (i) changes in the isotopic composition or (ii) intensity of the carbon input fluxes to the combined ocean/atmosphere carbon reservoir or (iii) by long-term peat buildup. Our isotopic data suggest that the carbon cycle evolution along Termination II and the subsequent interglacial was controlled by essentially the same processes as during the last 24 000 yr, but with different phasing and magnitudes. Furthermore, a 5000 yr lag in the CO\(_2\) decline relative to EDC temperatures is confirmed during the glacial inception at the end of MIS5.5 (120 000 yr BP). Based on our isotopic data this lag can be explained by terrestrial carbon release and carbonate compensation.

1 Introduction

Various processes are known to influence changes in the carbon distribution and its isotopic signature between the ocean, the atmosphere, terrestrial and marine organic carbon, reactive sediments and the lithosphere. Multiple processes operate simultaneously, and interact with each other non-linearly (Köhler et al., 2005; Brovkin et al., 2007; Sigman et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2010; Tschumi et al., 2011), allowing for a wide range of possible scenarios to explain observed natural changes in atmospheric CO\(_2\). Furthermore, Goodwin et al. (2011) point out that the way in which different climate proxies combine is crucial for accurate past carbon cycle reconstructions. Thus, an unequivocal interpretation of past variations in the global carbon cycle is difficult, but not impossible, if proxy data provide adequate and sufficient constraints. The stable carbon isotope signal of atmospheric CO\(_2\) (\(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\)) provides a valuable tool to constrain processes affecting the global carbon cycle. Scrutinizing the potential processes and their contributions to the observed CO\(_2\) variations, using long-term \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) data sets in conjunction with other proxies like (\(\delta^{13}C_{\text{ocean}}\)) help disentangle the complex simultaneous changes of processes affecting the carbon cycle in the past. Antarctic ice cores allow for such \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) reconstructions, however, the small changes in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) demand very high-precision measurements. Furthermore, due to the slow gas enclosure process, atmospheric changes are low-pass filtered in polar ice and fast changes in CO\(_2\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) are damped (Joos and Spahni, 2008;
Köhler et al., 2006, 2010, 2011), where the magnitude of damping is anti-correlated to the temperature and accumulation rate at the particular ice core site. Hence, a measurement precision better than 0.1 ‰ is crucial for $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data, to provide useful constraints for global carbon cycle variability. Together with the small sample size available in polar ice cores, this represents a formidable analytical challenge. Apart from the requirement for high precision $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data, long-term coverage becomes crucial, since the balance of silicate and CaCO$_3$ weathering on land and of the preservation and dissolution response of CaCO$_3$, opal and organic carbon in the deep ocean influence the CO$_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ dynamics over many thousand years (Elsig et al., 2009; Broecker and Clark, 2003). Since the time constants of these processes are comparable to the pacing of the major climate perturbations acting on orbital scales, neither the ocean’s alkalinity budget nor the $\delta^{13}C$ signature of the reservoirs reach a steady state. Previously published $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data focused mainly on time periods of distinct changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ including glacial terminations (Lourantou et al., 2010a, b; Schmitt et al., 2012; Smith et al., 1999) or the late rise of the CO$_2$ concentrations in the course of the Holocene (Indermühle et al., 1999; Elsig et al., 2009). Here, we present a new $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ record fulfilling the requirements in precision and covering the full Penultimate Glacial Maximum (PGM), the penultimate glacial/interglacial transition, the Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5.5, and the following glacial inception to an age of about 105 000 yr BP. Our record consists of measurements from two Antarctic ice cores, the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica Dome C (EDC) and the Talos Dome ice core. The discussion of these data focuses on four main issues: (1) the critical evaluation of rapid changes in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ as found by Lourantou et al. (2010b) at the end of the penultimate glacial/interglacial transition; (2) the similarities and differences of this work to the previously documented glacial transition from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to the Holocene (Schmitt et al., 2012); (3) the 5000 yr lag of the CO$_2$ decline with respect to decreasing Antarctic temperature during the glacial inception at the end of MIS5.5; and (4) long-term changes of atmospheric $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Ice core details

The data presented here were obtained from the EDC (74°39’ S, 124°10’ E, elevation 3240 m) and Talos Dome (72°49’ S, 159°11’ E, elevation 2315 m) ice cores. EDC samples were taken from depths between 1454 to 1887 m corresponding to the time interval between 104 600 and 154 500 yr BP on the EDC3 gas age scale (Parrenin et al., 2007; Loulergue et al., 2007). In total we measured EDC samples at 70 depth intervals, where 44 are single measurements and 26 represent the mean of 2 to 5 replicates from vertically adjacent samples. As the samples are only 5 to 10 cm apart, they have essentially the same gas composition due to the gas enclosure characteristics, however, the impurity content of the ice phase might be different for those vertical replicates. To improve the robustness of the results and to increase overall temporal resolution, 13 depth intervals from the Talos Dome ice core were analysed, where 6 data points represent single measurements and 7 data points represent replicates. The Talos Dome samples cover a depth range between 1404 and 1444 m or a time interval from 126 000 to 154 000 yr BP on the TALDICE-1a gas age scale (where TALDICE-1a is synchronized to the EDC3 age scale via methane synchronization, Schüpbach et al., 2011). At depths where replicate measurements were performed, the error bars in Fig. 1 represent the one $\sigma$ standard deviation, while the mean reproducibility of the respective core was used for single measurements. Here, the mean reproducibility is defined as the average of the one $\sigma$ standard deviation values of depths where replicate measurements were performed. The mean temporal resolution of our combined EDC and Talos Dome $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ record is approximately 600 yr.

2.2 Method details

To release the air entrapped in Antarctic ice core samples, we used a sublimation extraction and an off-line coupled GC-IRMS system described in detail in Schmitt et al. (2011). The CO$_2$ mixing ratio was deduced by measuring the ratio of the air volume released during the sublimation, and the CO$_2$ signal from the mass spectrometer. The mean standard deviation of the CO$_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ for the Talos Dome samples measured in this study are approximately 1 ppm and 0.04 ‰, respectively. The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ precision of our method for Talos Dome is more than a factor of 2 better than other methodologies (Leuenberger et al., 1992; Smith et al., 1999; Lourantou et al., 2010a) and similar as previously measured data on the same ice core with the same method during Termination I (Schmitt et al., 2012). Reproducibility of CO$_2$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ of EDC ice are 3 ppm and 0.08 ‰, which is 1 ppm and 0.02 ‰ less precise than measurements on shallow bubble ice in the same ice core using the same method (Elsig et al., 2009; Schmitt et al., 2011, 2012). The origin of the higher variability in the deeper EDC ice is unknown but cannot be explained by methodological reasons as standard gas measurements were of usual precisions of 0.06 ‰ or better. The higher air content in the Talos Dome ice core with respect to EDC caused by the lower elevation of the drill site can also not account quantitatively for this difference. A possible change in impurity concentration could potentially add small contributions from in situ production of CO$_2$, which can not be ruled out completely in Antarctic ice cores (Ahn et al., 2012). Note also that at depths below 1200 m in the EDC and 1100 m in the Talos Dome ice core, most air is stored in clathrates (Lüthi et al., 2010). For dry extraction methods this could potentially affect the measurement results. However,
Fig. 1. $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ measured in air trapped in ice from Dome C and Talos Dome, Antarctica over the time interval 155 000–105 000 yr BP: in (A), $\delta^{13}C_{\text{C}}$ (red circles) measurements on Dome C (EDC3 age scale) and on the Talos Dome (blue diamonds) ice cores (EDC3 synchronized TALDICE-1a age scale) are shown (this study). Previous data by Lourantou et al. (2010b) are presented with yellow, upward pointing triangles. On a second ordinate, the $\delta D$ values from the EDC ice core (black line) are plotted as proxy for Antarctic temperature evolution (Jouzel et al., 2007). In (B) the associated $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data for EDC (red circles) and for Talos Dome (blue diamonds) are shown. The error bars represent the one σ standard deviation of replicate measurements. Where only one sample was measured the mean reproducibility is given as error estimate. For our EDC and Talos Dome data a Monte Carlo Average (MCA) (solid black line) was calculated as described by Schmitt et al. (2012). The one σ standard deviation of the MCA is highlighted by the dark grey shaded area, whereas the light grey band pictures the two σ uncertainty. Previously published $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ measurements from the EDC ice core (Lourantou et al., 2010b) are shown in yellow upward pointing triangles. $\delta^{13}C_{\text{C}}$, $\delta D$, and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ are subdivided into six time periods ranging from I to VI (see main text for further details).
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Fig. 1. $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ measured in air trapped in ice from Dome C and Talos Dome, Antarctica over the time interval 155 000–105 000 yr BP: in (A), $\delta^{13}C_{\text{C}}$ (red circles) measurements on Dome C (EDC3 age scale) and on the Talos Dome (blue diamonds) ice cores (EDC3 synchronized TALDICE-1a age scale) are shown (this study). Previous data by Lourantou et al. (2010b) are presented with yellow, upward pointing triangles. On a second ordinate, the $\delta D$ values from the EDC ice core (black line) are plotted as proxy for Antarctic temperature evolution (Jouzel et al., 2007). In (B) the associated $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data for EDC (red circles) and for Talos Dome (blue diamonds) are shown. The error bars represent the one σ standard deviation of replicate measurements. Where only one sample was measured the mean reproducibility is given as error estimate. For our EDC and Talos Dome data a Monte Carlo Average (MCA) (solid black line) was calculated as described by Schmitt et al. (2012). The one σ standard deviation of the MCA is highlighted by the dark grey shaded area, whereas the light grey band pictures the two σ uncertainty. Previously published $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ measurements from the EDC ice core (Lourantou et al., 2010b) are shown in yellow upward pointing triangles. $\delta^{13}C_{\text{C}}$, $\delta D$, and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ are subdivided into six time periods ranging from I to VI (see main text for further details).

Since we use sublimation with 100% extraction efficiency, the storage in bubbles or clathrates should have no effect on our analysis. Gas fractionation during the formation of clathrates in the ice, as observed for different gas species, may potentially also result in $\delta^{13}C$ variations on scales of centimetres as reported for $O_2/N_2$ ratios (Kawamura et al., 2007) and $CO_2$ (Bereiter et al., 2009; Lüthi et al., 2010), i.e. of equal scale as the length of our $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ samples. Similar to the variations in $CO_2$ concentrations observed in EDC ice, potential $\delta^{13}C$ variations due to clathrate fractionation may not be completely smoothed out by diffusion in cold EDC ice within the depth interval 200 m below the bubble clathrate transition zone (BCTZ). On the other hand small-scale $CO_2$ variations disappear quite rapidly below the BCTZ at Talos Dome (Lüthi et al., 2010) and, thus, also a more efficient diffusional equilibration of potential $\delta^{13}C$ variations is to be expected in this core. We also compared our data with published data for Termination II by Lourantou et al. (2010b), which were also measured on the EDC ice core. A LGM value of $-6.56\% e$ measured by Lourantou et al. (2010a) was about 0.16% lower than our sublimation measurements with a mean $\delta^{13}C$ value of $-6.40\% e$ (Schmitt et al., 2012). For this time interval, this offset between the Schmitt et al. (2012) and the Lourantou et al. (2010a) EDC bubble ice data was systematic and was attributed to any method specific systematic fractionation. In contrast, our comparatively constant $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ level of $-6.8 \pm 0.06\% e$ for the PGM derived on this clathrated ice appears to be in agreement with the PGM level of $-6.8 \pm 0.1\% e$ from Lourantou et al. (2010b) (Fig. 1b) without invoking a systematic offset. However, given the combined scatter of the Lourantou et al. (2010b) and our data for the PGM time interval, an analytical offset on the order of 0.1% might still be hidden in the measurement error.

2.3 Gravitational correction

During the enclosure process in the ice, the air undergoes fractionation due to gravitational settling in the firm column, which is only dependent on the mass difference between $^{12}C$ and $^{13}C$. The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data are corrected for this gravitational fractionation using the stable isotope ratio of $N_2$ ($\delta^{15}N$). Since $N_2$ has a much longer atmospheric lifetime than the time intervals considered here, $\delta^{15}N$ changes only reflect the change in gravitational fractionation in the firm column (Dreyfus, 2008). Note that due to thermo-diffusion effects in the firm column $\delta^{15}N$ can also be affected by temperature changes, which however is of negligible importance for the EDC and Talos Dome sites, where temperature changes are reported to be slow (Jouzel et al., 2007; Stenni et al., 2011). Since $\delta^{15}N$ data are not available for all EDC depth intervals, we used a linear regression between the measured water isotopes of the ice and measured $\delta^{15}N$. For the EDC ice core the correlation coefficient between $\delta D$ and $\delta^{15}N$ is $R^2 = 0.88$. The correction for gravitational fractionation in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ on ice from EDC is between $-0.35$ and $-0.55\% e$, while Talos Dome samples are corrected by $-0.35$ to $-0.42\% e$ (Landais et al., 2013). The error introduced by the $\delta^{15}N$ measurement itself is negligible because $\delta^{15}N$ can be measured with precisions of 0.01% e, which is much smaller than the reproducibility of $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$. However, the linear regression between $\delta D$ and $\delta^{15}N$ introduces an error between 0.04 and 0.05% e at interglacial and glacial climate conditions, respectively. This additional uncertainty is affecting the overall values of our data systematically, thus the absolute accuracy of the complete data set.
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2.4 Monte Carlo cubic spline average

Following the mathematical procedure as described in detail in Schmitt et al. (2012), a Monte Carlo cubic spline Average (MCA) was calculated to extract the robust $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ trend information. We calculated 4000 cubic splines for the measured data. The cut-off frequency (Enting, 1987) of the individual splines was chosen to roughly eliminate periods below 375 yr. Choosing a different number would result in MCAs with more or less wiggles. The cut-off of 375 yr accounts for the magnitude of the signal damping in the EDC ice core at that time (described in detail in Schmitt et al., 2012). Due to the gas enclosure process, shorter periods than 375 yr cannot be resolved in measurements. Thus, with choosing 375 yr as cut-off, we retain the maximum temporal resolution possible. Note however that the true atmospheric evolution is not represented by the spline itself; it provides just a guide to the eye. The true centennial atmospheric evolution follows a temporal evolution that stays within the error range of the MCA. Furthermore, a bootstrapping method was performed to detect possible outliers in the data set as described in Schmitt et al. (2012). However, based on our bootstrapping outlier criterion (Schmitt et al., 2012), not a single point of our sublimation measurements was incompatible with the long-term trend, underlining the high quality of our $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ data obtained with the sublimation method.

3 Results

In Fig. 1a we present our new CO$_2$ record between 155 000 and 105 000 yr BP from the EDC and Talos Dome ice cores. The stable carbon isotopic composition $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ is shown in Fig. 1b. Both the CO$_2$ concentration and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ are compared to the EDC data of Lourantou et al. (2010b). The $\delta D$ record (a proxy for local temperature) from the EDC ice core (Jouzel et al., 2007) is shown on a second ordinate in Fig. 1a to illustrate leads and lags between atmospheric CO$_2$ and temperature. Note, however, that the uncertainty in the ice age/gas age difference ($\Delta$age) must be taken into consideration: for instance, $\Delta$age is 4200 and 2000 yr (Parrenin et al., 2007) at the PGM and the MIS5.5, respectively, and relative errors in $\Delta$age are about 20% (Buiron et al., 2011). The data can be divided into six time periods of distinct characteristics for CO$_2$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ and $\delta D$. The first interval I (the end of the penultimate glacial), from 155 000 to 140 000 yr BP is characterised by fairly constant atmospheric values around 195 ppm CO$_2$ and $-6.8\%e$ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$, which is about $0.4\%e$ lower than during the LGM (Schmitt et al., 2012) (Fig. 2). At 140 000 yr BP our new CO$_2$ and the EDC $\delta D$ data show minima of 193 ppm and $-440\%e$, respectively. Interval II (the penultimate glacial/interglacial transition from 140 000 to 128 500 yr BP) is characterised by rises in $\delta D$ and CO$_2$. Starting at the PGM, CO$_2$ gradually increases to peak values of 286 ppm at 128 500 yr BP. The Talos Dome data show an 8 ppm higher maximum than EDC at that time. The maximum in CO$_2$ at the onset of MIS5.5 lags behind the $\delta D$ maximum by 500 to 1500 yr, which is on the same order of magnitude than the uncertainty of about 400 yr in $\Delta$age at 128 500 yr BP (Parrenin et al., 2007, Buiron et al., 2011). No significant difference in the more slowly changing $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ evolution is seen between the two ice cores. According to our new data a maximum atmospheric $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ decrease of about $0.2\%e$, to $-7.0\%e$ is observed between the PGM and 131 000 yr BP. Note that the temporal resolution is rather low in this time interval, which compromises the robustness of the magnitude of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ drop. At 131 000 yr BP $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{atm}}$ starts to rise from $-7.0$ to $-6.7\%e$ and continues to do so until 128 500 yr BP. To illustrate differences in the CO$_2$ records across Termination II, data from Lourantou et al. (2010b), Petit et al. (1999) and from this study are plotted in Fig. 3. Before 128 500 yr BP our new CO$_2$ data agree well with the data published in Petit et al. (1999), Fischer et al. (1999), and Lourantou et al. (2010b). However, between 128 000 to 126 000 yr BP our data suggest very constant CO$_2$ concentrations of about 275 ppm, whereas Petit et al. (1999) and Lourantou et al. (2010b) report CO$_2$ fluctuations between 265 and 280 ppm. Small overall offsets between CO$_2$ records from clathrate ice of the same ice cores along the same time intervals are most probably due to the specific air extraction techniques used in different studies. Gas extraction based on mechanical destruction of the ice matrix as used by Petit et al. (1999) and Lourantou et al. (2010b) suffers from incomplete and incongruent extraction as release of CO$_2$ from decomposing air clathrates is slower than for other gases such as O$_2$ and N$_2$ (Lüthi et al., 2010). Moreover, larger clathrates may be depleted in CO$_2$ compared to smaller clathrates but are preferentially released during dry extraction (Bereiter et al., 2012). In contrast, sublimation of the ice sample, as used in our study, ensures a 100% effective extraction of the air and, therefore, an unbiased CO$_2$ reconstruction. In the case of the CO$_2$ mismatch of 8 ppm around 128 000 yr BP of our measurements derived for Talos Dome and EDC (Fig. 3), both records were measured with the sublimation technique. Hence, other potential processes have to be considered to explain this difference: (i) dating inconsistencies between the records; (ii) in situ production of CO$_2$; (iii) differences in gravitational enrichment; and (iv) a different damping of fast CO$_2$ changes connected to the different gas enclosure characteristics. Schüpbach et al. (2011) improved the dating of the deep Talos Dome ice core section using a high-resolution methane record between 112 000 to 55 000 yr BP. Yet, no improvements to the TALDICE-1 age scale (Buiron et al., 2011) were achieved for times older than 112 000 yr BP. Applying an age scale compression of the TALDICE-1 dating (process i) between 129 000 and 126 000 yr BP, the broad shape of the early CO$_2$ peak from the Talos Dome matches the timing of the peak reconstructed from the EDC ice core, but can not explain the higher CO$_2$ concentrations. In situ production of CO$_2$ (process ii) in the Talos Dome ice core is unlikely to
Fig. 2. Comparison of carbon cycle changes around Termination I and II: the top panels show from top to bottom: CO$_2$ (blue circles, Monnin et al., 2001) and $\delta D$ (black line, Jouzel et al., 2007), the $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ MCA (red line, Schmitt et al., 2012) as well as a SST-corrected version (black line) according to a global SST reconstruction, which is based on the sea-ice-free surface ocean only (light blue line) (Köhler and Fischer, 2006), CaCO$_3$ weight percent content of sediment cores ODP1089 (red upward pointing triangles) and ODP1090 (magenta downward pointing triangles) (Hodell et al., 2001) as well as the dust flux reconstructed from the EDC ice core (grey line) (Lambert et al., 2012) for the last 24,000 yr. Ice core data are given on the EDC3/TALDICE-1a age scale, while marine CaCO$_3$ reconstructions are given on their individual age scales. In the lower panels, the same species are plotted for the time between 155,000–105,000 yr BP. CO$_2$ (blue circles), the $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ MCA (red line), and SST-corrected $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ MCA (black line) are from this study. Uncertainty ranges for the $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ MCAs represent the one $\sigma$ and two $\sigma$ confidence intervals (compare Fig. 1 for further explanation). Plotted data are aligned for coinciding CO$_2$ maxima at the end of Termination I and II. The LGM, here defined as 22,000 yr BP (Clark et al., 2009), is aligned to the PGM time slice, here defined as the temperature minimum at 140,000 yr BP. Data are subdivided into the same time periods as in Fig. 1.
Fig. 3. CO$_2$ as in Fig. 1 but zoomed to 132.500 to 124.000 yr BP: CO$_2$ (red circles) measurements on EDC (EDC3 age scale) and on the Talos Dome (blue diamonds) ice cores (TALDICE-1a age scale) from this study are shown next to CO$_2$ data previously measured by Petit et al. (1999) (grey downward pointing triangles) on the Vostok ice core and the reconstructions from Lourantou et al. (2010b) (yellow, upward pointing triangles) on EDC.

account for the offset since the $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ data from both ice cores agree within their uncertainties for that time interval. A strong depletion in the measured $\delta^{13}$C would be expected (Francey et al., 1997) from CO$_2$ in situ production due to microbial activity. Only if the CO$_2$ in situ production results from inorganic, acid-carbonate chemical reactions the effect on $\delta^{13}$C could be smaller and may remain undetected in our $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ data. However, so far there is no indication of such carbonate reactions in the Talos Dome ice core. Compared to other ice cores, Talos Dome shows low dust concentrations (Delmonte et al., 2010; Schüpbach et al., 2013). Furthermore, Talos Dome replicates of same depth intervals show the best quality in $\delta^{13}$C, i.e. error bars are smaller than for example the scattered EDML data, where it is known that a very small contribution of in situ production of CO$_2$ occurs (Schneider, 2011). Hence, we do not have a hint for disturbed $\delta^{13}$C and CO$_2$ data from Talos Dome, however, we cannot completely rule out an in situ effect even smaller than for EDML. Also, differences in gravitational correction between the ice cores (process iii) cannot sufficiently explain the observed offset in CO$_2$ as the offset even increases by 1 ppm when correcting both ice cores for gravitational settling and thus enrichment of CO$_2$. Thus, a damping of relative short-lived CO$_2$ changes (process iv), which is different in the two ice cores is likely to account for the offset. Accumulation rates are higher in the Talos Dome (Stenni et al., 2011) than the EDC (Parrenin et al., 2007) ice core. Therefore fast fluctuations in atmospheric CO$_2$ are less damped in the Talos Dome than in the EDC ice core. Using recent (interglacial) bubble enclosure characteristics for EDC and Talos Dome (Joos and Spahni, 2008) a CO$_2$ variation of 20 ppm with a wavelength of 1000 yr (similar to the CO$_2$ change observed in the Talos Dome ice core at the beginning of MIS5.5) would be damped to 13 ppm in the EDC ice core in line with our observations. Note that including more CO$_2$ measurements along the respective time interval from other ice cores like Dome Fuji could help to assess the early CO$_2$ peak in MIS5.5. However, the existing data sets measured by Kawamura et al. (2007) do not help as wet and dry extraction data neither completely agree with each other nor with other data by e.g. Petit et al. (1999). Especially for MIS5.5 the wet extraction CO$_2$ data is significantly higher than the dry extracted CO$_2$ data, which resembles the Petit et al. (1999) value more closely. Accordingly, the wet extraction data do not help to assess the early CO$_2$ peak in MIS5.5. Unfortunately, there exist no dry extraction CO$_2$ data from Dome Fuji for the onset of MIS5.5 so far. Our preferred interpretation at the moment is that there may exist an anomalous stretching of the gas ice scale of the Talos Dome ice core at that point. This is also supported by a few CH$_4$ measurements available at that point (Schilt et al., 2010), which also show a wider early CH$_4$ peak at the onset of MIS5.5 compared to other ice core records. However, a final answer can only be given after high-resolution CH$_4$ and other records become available from this time interval. In summary, a combination of both an age scale problem and different damping characteristics of the analysed ice cores can explain the CO$_2$ mismatch during this time interval. At the onset of time interval III, 128.500 yr BP, CO$_2$ concentrations as well as EDC $\delta D$ slightly decline to 276 ppm and −387 ‰, respectively. From 126.000 yr BP onwards, CO$_2$ concentrations as well as $\delta D$ remain constant at about 276 ppm and −387 ‰, respectively, until the end of interval III at 120.000 yr BP. A further increase in $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ by about 0.2 ‰, to −6.55 ‰, is found within interval III from about 124.000 to 120.000 yr BP. At a closer look, the boundary between interval III and IV at 120.000 yr marks a millennial scale excursion in atmospheric CO$_2$. Interval IV starts at 120.000 yr BP and lasts until 115.000 yr BP. This interval is characterised by rather constant CO$_2$ concentrations around 276 ppm, whereas EDC temperature decreases by 3 K (Jouzel et al., 2007) as derived from the decline of $\delta D$ from −390 to −408 ‰. At the same time, atmospheric $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ drops from −6.55 by about −0.2 to −6.75 ‰. Finally at 115.000 yr BP, the beginning of interval V, CO$_2$ drops by 40 ppm in 10,000 yr to 240 ppm. During the CO$_2$ decline starting at 115.000 yr BP, $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ increases again to −6.55 ‰. Note that the Antarctic temperature decrease during interval IV leads the CO$_2$ decrease in interval V by up to 5000 yr on the EDC3 age scale as previously observed in the Vostok ice core (Fischer et al., 1999; Cuffey and Vimeux, 2001). This lag is significantly larger than the Δage uncertainty at this time. Between 108.500 to 105.000 yr BP (interval VI) our new CO$_2$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{atm}$ data cover the Antarctic Isotope Maxima (AIM) event number 24 (Capron et al., 2010). EDC temperature rises by 4 K, according to an increase of $\delta D$ from −434 to −409 ‰. At the same time, our
CO₂ data show a clear peak of 20 ppm, which is reproduced in the EDML record (Bereiter et al., 2012).

4 Discussion

4.1 Scrutinizing rapid δ¹³C atm variations previously found during Termination II

In Fig. 1 our new δ¹³C atm and CO₂ data are compared to published measurements from the same EDC ice core covering Termination II (Lourantou et al., 2010b). CO₂ measurements of both studies basically show the same picture: fairly constant CO₂ concentrations during the PGM, which strongly rose during the Termination and ended with CO₂ peak concentrations of 290 ppm at 128 500 yr BP. However, δ¹³C atm measurements from Lourantou et al. (2010b) show deviations of −0.8 to +0.2 ‰ relative to our δ¹³C atm record. The most striking difference to the MCA is observed at 128 000 yr BP. Lourantou et al. (2010b) found a sharp negative excursion in δ¹³C atm of about −0.66 ‰ in 1000 yr, which is in concert with the early CO₂ peak at 128 500 yr BP. This excursion in their data set is represented by three data points at 128 500, 128 200 and 127 900 yr BP with δ¹³C atm values of −7.25, −7.4 and −7.55 ‰, and CO₂ concentrations of 290, 290 and 287 ppm, respectively. At 127 700 yr BP the stable carbon isotopic signal rises again to −6.8 ‰ in δ¹³C atm and 277 ppm in CO₂ within only 200 yr. While we also find the pronounced CO₂ maximum at the onset of MIS5.5, the strong drop in δ¹³C atm is not visible in our data. We performed high-resolution sampling on both, the EDC and Talos Dome ice cores, around the time interval of this large δ¹³C atm excursion, but no trace of such a drop could be found (Fig. 1b). Such a fast change in δ¹³C atm and the connected changes in the carbon cycle have not been found in any other pre-industrial time interval previously studied for δ¹³C atm.

We discuss in the following whether such an anomaly in δ¹³C atm can potentially be explained by natural carbon cycle fluctuations. To put such high anomalies into perspective to variations potentially observed in the carbon cycle it is useful to consider the human perturbation of the carbon cycle of the recent past. The unprecedented anthropogenic CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel burning and land use, which amount to more than 500 Gt C in the last 250 yr (Boden et al., 2011; Houghton, 2010), has led to a rise of more than 100 ppm in atmospheric CO₂ (Etheridge et al., 1996; Keeling et al., 2009) and a δ¹³C atm decrease of −1.9 ‰ from pre-industrial conditions of −6.3 to −8.2 ‰ in 2010 AD (Francey et al., 1999; Keeling et al., 2010). Thus, a 0.7 ‰ drop in 200 yr during Termination II accompanied by a CO₂ increase of not more than 20 ppm represents an extraordinary perturbation. Moreover, the true atmospheric signal in CO₂ and δ¹³C atm was even larger than the signal recorded in the ice core. The amplitude of a CO₂ peak recorded in the ice core depends on the age distribution during gas enclosure and the rate of CO₂ emissions. Accumulation rates in Antarctica during MIS5.5 were higher than at present day (Parrenin et al., 2007), therefore the gas age distribution during gas enclosure was narrower at that time than for recent times. For the Holocene, the mean width of this age distribution was calculated to be about 200 yr (Joos and Spahni, 2008). In the EDC ice core this leads to a damping to about 40% of the true atmospheric δ¹³C atm variations for periodicities of 600 yr (Köhler et al., 2010). Thus, neglecting any effect of uncertain emission rates we estimate that the true atmospheric signal underlying the data of Lourantou et al. (2010b) around 128 000 yr BP would have been as much as −1.6 ‰. If such a negative anomaly in δ¹³C atm represents a true climatic signal, one has to assume drastic environmental changes such as a sea level change during Termination II leading to a rapid sea level rise of 20–40 m within 1000 yr and a release of carbon formerly stored on coastal areas (Siddall et al., 2006; Kopp et al., 2009). A similarflooding hypothesis has recently been proposed to explain the rapid jump in CO₂ around 14 600 yr BP during meltwater pulse 1A (Köhler et al., 2011). Such a scenario would release terrestrial carbon with light ¹³C values in line with a large negative anomaly in δ¹³C atm. However, a box modelling approach (Köhler et al., 2011), shows that a true atmospheric anomaly in δ¹³C atm of −0.87, −1.26 or −1.62 ‰, caused by the injection of 200, 300 or 400 Gt C within 100 yr would also lead to an atmospheric CO₂ rise of 47, 73 and 99 ppm, respectively, which is not seen in our record. The recovery in δ¹³C atm between 127 900 and 127 700 yr BP from −7.55 to −6.8 ‰, i.e. 0.75 ‰ in 200 yr, implies even higher rates of change, and is even more difficult to explain on the basis of natural carbon cycle fluctuations. To explain the dramatic excursion in the Lourantou et al. (2010b) data, we must rather consider (i) unidentified analytical problems (e.g. contamination) or (ii) fractionation due to an incomplete gas release during the dry extraction. In summary, we conclude that rapid variations in δ¹³C atm within a few centuries appear to be unlikely to have occurred at any time in our record in line with the rather slow evolution of CO₂ concentration in the same time interval.

4.2 Comparison of sequence of events around Termination II and Termination I

From our new δ¹³C atm data we calculate a Monte Carlo average (MCA) using the method described in Schmitt et al. (2012) to illustrate the significant millennial changes in δ¹³C atm. The MCAs for the last 24 000 yr and for 155 000–105 000 yr BP are shown in Fig. 2. To compare Termination II with Termination I, the x axes are aligned, such that the first CO₂ maxima at the end of Termination I and II match. Thus, the LGM time slice, corresponding to 22 000 yr BP (Clark et al., 2009), coincides with the PGM at 140 000 yr BP. Also illustrated in the same figure is the atmospheric CO₂ and EDC δD evolution (Jouzel et al., 2007) within the same time frames. Within the uncertainty, δ¹³C atm...
is roughly constant around $-6.8\%e$ during the PGM between 155 000 and 140 000 yr BP. This is consistent with the carbon cycle being in dynamic equilibrium during both the LGM (Schmitt et al., 2012) and the PGM, however the average PGM $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ value is $0.4\%e$ lower than for the LGM (see Sect. 4.4 for further discussion of this difference). The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ evolution is characterised by a sharp synchronous decline at the start of the CO$_2$ rise in Termination I (Fig. 2). From 17 000 to 16 000 yr BP a strong $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ decrease to $-6.7\%e$ is observed, which is accompanied by rising CO$_2$ concentrations, warmer Antarctic temperatures and a strong drop in aeolian dust deposition in the Southern Ocean region. Note that dust fluxes are higher during the LGM than at the onset of the CO$_2$ rise (Fig. 2). During Termination II the stable carbon isotope signature decreases by about $0.2\%e$ from about 136 000 to 130 000 yr BP. As temporal resolution as well as precision of the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ data are comparably low in that time interval it is hard to tell when exactly $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ starts to drop and how large the drop is. Note that also the MCA spline, which takes the single point uncertainty and the density of points into account, shows a $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ decline, although with a large uncertainty. However, we can say that the major increase in CO$_2$ (and $\delta$) starts at 136 000 yr BP, while the first strong decrease in aeolian dust deposition (Fig. 2) occurs already at 138 000 yr BP (Lambert et al., 2012). Also it becomes clear that the overall magnitudes of the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ decline at the start of Termination I and II are slightly different: after the LGM $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ drops by about $0.3\%e$, whereas along Termination II a maximum decline of $0.2\%e$ is found. Interestingly, the terminations are also characterised by generally enhanced preservation of CaCO$_3$ as reconstructed from the sediment cores ODP1089 and ODP1090, drilled in the Cape Basin, located in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean (Hodell et al., 2001) (Fig. 2). ODP1089 was drilled at 4600 m depth, recording the Pacific Basin style CaCO$_3$ development (Farrell and Prell, 1989, Hodell et al., 2011). This region is exclusively flushed with low alkalinity water originating from Circumpolar Deep Water (Hodell et al., 2001, 2003; Rickaby et al., 2010). The Atlantic Basin style is represented by ODP1090 drilled at a depth of 3700 m, where northern sourced waters of high alkalinity control the preservation history (Hodell et al., 2001, 2003; Rickaby et al., 2010). Hence, ODP1090 is mainly influenced by changes in ocean circulation strength and stratification of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW), whereas preservation and dissolution events characteristic for the deep Indo-Pacific Ocean are recorded in ODP1089. The CaCO$_3$ preservation histories of ODP1089 and ODP1090 are also consistent with carbonate ion reconstructions from Broecker et al. (1999) and Barker and Elderfield (2002). Nevertheless, trends are only qualitatively covered, as changes in weight percent do not linearly correlate with the sedimentation rate. According to Francois et al. (1997), Spero and Lea (2002), Barker et al. (2004), Anderson et al. (2009), Tschumi et al. (2011) and Schmitt et al. (2012), a strengthening of the Southern Ocean mixing, transporting isotopically light carbon from the deep ocean to the surface, was most likely responsible for the decreasing $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ at the start of the deglaciation in accordance with the CO$_2$ and CaCO$_3$ preservation increases. Most likely, this carbon had been previously accumulated in the deep ocean due to decreased ventilation of Antarctic Bottom Waters during glacial times. Also a decrease in iron fertilisation of the surface productivity in the Southern Ocean synchronous to the decline in aeolian dust deposition at the onset of the termination may have contributed to the early $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ decrease, however model experiments show that the change in the biological pump due to increasing iron limitation at that time can only explain about half of the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ decline (Köhler et al., 2005, 2010) observed in our $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ record during Termination I. Note also in Fig. 2 that CO$_2$ increases significantly only after dust fluxes have already declined from the glacial maximum values to about $4-6 \text{mg m}^{-2}\text{yr}^{-1}$ at the start of the termination. The CaCO$_3$ preservation histories of the Atlantic and the Pacific Oceans show opposing behaviour during interglacials and the glacial inception but the same trend during glacialis and the deglaciations. Increasing carbonate ion concentration in the deep ocean due to ocean reorganization within a glacial terminal may have triggered a deepening of the carbonate compensation depth by about 700 m (Farrell and Prell, 1989; Broecker et al., 1993), which allows for enhanced CaCO$_3$ preservation in the Atlantic and the Pacific Oceans. A strengthening of deep ocean ventilation during the termination is also supported by deep sea $\delta^{13}C$ reconstructions of benthic sediment cores (Oliver et al., 2010). Those show increasing deep ocean $\delta^{13}C$ values throughout the LGM and Termination I, indicating a decrease of the $\delta^{13}C$ surface to deep ocean gradient (Oliver et al., 2005, 2010) observed in our $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$ record during Termination I. Note also in Fig. 2 that CO$_2$ increases significantly only after dust fluxes have already declined from the glacial maximum values to about $4-6 \text{mg m}^{-2}\text{yr}^{-1}$ at the start of the deglaciation in accordance with the preservation increased already 6000 yr before the deglaciation starts. In fact the preservation records (Atlantic and Pacific) are already half way up from their minimum values when the deglaciation starts, pointing to internal processes of the alkalinity budget of the ocean rather than circulation to be responsible for this long-term trend in the preservation history. Note also that the preservation state in the deep ocean was already on a higher level during the PGM than for the LGM, while at the end of Termination II the magnitude of preservation was the same as after Termination I. Additionally, deep ocean $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ (Oliver et al., 2010) started to rise at least 10 000 yr before the PGM, while $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ in the deep ocean decreased for 10 000 yr before the LGM. As shown by transient simulations (Menviel et al., 2012) over the past 130 000 yr with a 3-D dynamic ocean model, a multitude of physical and biogeochemical processes influences the spatio-temporal evolution of carbonate preservation, $\delta^{13}C$ of dissolved inorganic carbon, atmospheric CO$_2$, $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$, and other proxies on millennial and even longer timescales. This is a consequence of
ocean–sediment interactions and the ∼100 000 yr timescales associated with the weathering-burial cycle of organic carbon, and calcium carbonate. A fully consistent interpretation of the proxy records over Termination I and II would thus require knowledge of the previous evolution of the Earth System. The major δ13C values increases in Fig. 2 starting at around 130 000 and 12 000 yr BP, respectively, can be largely explained by the regrowth of the terrestrial biosphere (Köhler et al., 2005; Köhler and Fischer, 2006; Elsig et al., 2009; Kleinen et al., 2010; Menviel and Joos, 2011) as well as warming SST, where a SST change of +1 K induces a δ13C fractionation by about +0.1‰ (Zhang et al., 1995). The δ13C signal induced from terrestrial carbon build-up is a mixture of a signal composed of C4 (δ13C ∼−10 to −14‰) and C3 (δ13C ∼−22 to −30‰) plant type origin (O’Leary, 1981, Ehleringer et al., 1993). As CO2 and temperature increase during glacial terminations, many terrestrial ecosystems store more carbon on land. Additionally, large areas once covered by ice sheets transform to boreal forests and peat lands with high carbon stocks. This net gain in terrestrial carbon is assumed to be partially reduced by carbon release due to shrinking permafrost extent (Zimov et al., 2009). The CO2 evolution at the end of the terminations is similar in that the maximum values are reached already at the very beginning of the interglacial. We attribute the early CO2 maximum mainly to the opposing effects of the ongoing process of carbonate compensation in response to the net glacial/interglacial transfer of carbon from the ocean/atmosphere system to the terrestrial biosphere, as well as the continuing regrowth of the terrestrial biosphere during the early interglacial (Fischer et al., 1999, Indermühle et al., 1999). Note however that CO2 variations result from a subtle balance of forcings and processes acting on different timescales and partly in opposite direction as well as from memory effects associated with changes occurring during the termination (Menviel and Joos, 2011). Different interglacial periods with different forcing histories are thus expected to yield different CO2 and δ13C evolutions as documented by our measurements. In this respect, Termination I is special since it is characterised by the intermittent cooling during the YD event, which was also accompanied by an intermittent decline in terrestrial carbon storage (Köhler et al., 2005). This anomaly in terrestrial carbon storage during Termination I would also lead to a delay of the carbonate compensation signal in CO2 in the Holocene compared to MIS5.5. Accordingly, we attribute the increasing CO2 trend later in the Holocene and the lack of such a trend during MIS5.5 to the effect of carbonate compensation in response to the occurrence of the YD cold anomaly during Termination I. Both during Termination I and the subsequent early Holocene on the one side and Termination II plus the early MIS5.5 on the other side, δ13C values rises in total by about 0.4‰. Afterwards rather stable δ13C values are attained both in the later Holocene and in MIS5.5 until around 126 000 yr BP. After 126 000 yr BP a further rise by 0.2‰ takes place which lasts until 120 000 yr BP. The latter could be at least partly explained by warmer sea surface temperatures at that time, which changes the fractionation during air/sea gas exchange, resulting in higher atmospheric δ13C values. Although in the EDC δD record no warming is detected in the Southern Ocean region at that time, equatorial Mg/Ca-based SST change (Visser et al., 2003) and Northern Hemisphere temperature reconstructions based on a model-based deconvolution of benthic δ18O (Bintanja et al., 2005) reveal a local temperature increase of 1 to 1.5 K around 123 000 yr BP. A rise in temperature at 126 000 yr BP could also trigger further terrestrial growth, which enriches δ13C even more. As CO2 concentrations reveal a constant level of 276 ppm at that time, such a terrestrial build-up would have to be counterbalanced by other processes such as carbonate compensation. In the middle panels of Fig. 2 first-order SST-corrected evolutions in δ13C are indicated as well. Corrections are applied with respect to the LGM and GPM δ13C values, respectively. δ13C corrections are derived from approximated SST evolutions (shown on a second ordinate) which represent the area-weighted mean from the individual changes in the Equatorial Pacific, Equatorial Atlantic, Southern Ocean, North Pacific and North Atlantic Ocean boxes (Köhler and Fischer, 2006). The SST corrected δ13C evolution along MIS5.5 supports the theory of an additional terrestrial build-up until 123 000 yr BP, as a net δ13C rise of about 0.1 to 0.2‰ remains after correcting for SST changes. Note that the marine records used for this correction are given on their individual age scales, which are different from the EDC3/TALDICE-1a ages scale used for our ice core records. This could lead to erroneous δ13C changes in the SST-corrected version on a millennial timescale. Absolute magnitudes and evolutions in our SST corrected δ13C record highly depend on past temperature reconstructions, which suffer from regional differences and potential dating inconsistencies, as well as model specific assumptions and calculation limitations. Accordingly, the temperature correction is shown only to illustrate the physical effect of changing SST on δ13C and we refrain from quantitatively interpreting the millennial variations in the SST-corrected δ13C evolution in Fig. 2. In summary, CO2 and δ13C data are consistent with the same processes having been active during Termination II as Termination I, but with different strengths, timings and starting conditions. This sequence of events is comprised of (i) the up-welling of isotopically light water from the deep ocean starting at the onset of the termination accompanied by a decline in aeolian Fe fertilization; (ii) the increase in SST leading to higher CO2 and δ13C; (iii) the regrowth of terrestrial biosphere starting in the second half of the transition, which ceases only a few thousand years into the following interglacial; (iv) the long-term effect of carbonate compensation. To explain the differences in the temporal evolution of carbon cycle changes during both Terminations and the subsequent interglacials the different temperature evolution during the terminations (such as the lack of a Younger Dryas cold
spell in Termination II) have to be considered. Furthermore, other processes, such as changes in marine productivity or in the re-mineralisation depth of organic matter, nutrient release from shelves, shallow-water carbonate compensation or changes in ocean salinity, have likely contributed to the overall evolutions of atmospheric CO\(_2\) and \(\delta^{13}C\). A quantitative attribution of carbon cycle changes during Termination I and II cannot be achieved from our data only, however, our new \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) record provides crucial data constraints for future carbon cycle model experiments.

### 4.3 Lag of the CO\(_2\) decrease behind the temperature drop during the last glacial inception

At 120,000 yr BP, Antarctic temperature begins to decline in Fig. 2 (Jouzel et al., 2007), whereas atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration remains at a constant value of 276 ppm for another 5000 yr. One may expect that the net uptake of CO\(_2\) by the ocean should follow Antarctic temperature based on the relatively high correlation between these two parameter over the past 800,000 yr (Fischer et al., 2010). Given the near constancy of atmospheric CO\(_2\) during the early inception, individual processes that increase or lower atmospheric CO\(_2\) must balance each other. Fischer et al. (1999) attributed the delayed response of CO\(_2\) mainly to the release of terrestrial carbon into the atmosphere, which counterbalances a transfer of CO\(_2\) to the deep ocean. In contrast, Hodell et al. (2001) attributed the continuously high CO\(_2\) levels to a delayed release of carbon from the ocean due to carbonate compensation induced from terrestrial biosphere build-up and ocean reorganization during Termination II and the early MIS5.5. The main difference between the two theories is that a release from biomass requires a net change in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\), while the carbon isotopic signature of CO\(_2\) released by carbonate compensation hardly affects atmospheric \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\), as the released carbon originates from ocean DIC with \(\delta^{13}C\) between +2 and +3\(^\circ\), which is similar to surface waters in equilibrium with \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\). Note however that besides these two other processes, e.g., changes in ocean circulation or marine productivity act simultaneously and that a quantitative understanding demands a complete carbon cycle consideration. Our new data show \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) to be declining by 0.2\(^\circ\)c between 120,000 and 115,000 yr BP, thus taken at face value supporting the biosphere hypothesis. However, as SST decreases in parallel the solubility pump drags down CO\(_2\) and also induces an atmospheric fractionation to \(^{13}C\) depleted values; \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) is reduced by 0.1\(^\circ\)c as mean SST cools by 1 K (Mook, 1986, Zhang et al., 1995), hence a 0.2\(^\circ\)c decrease in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) as observed in our record would require a mean SST cooling by 2 K at that time. MARGO Project Members (2011) reported a global mean SST increase of only 1.9 ± 1.8 K, from the LGM to the Holocene; thus, it is unlikely that the 0.2\(^\circ\)c drop in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) can be completely attributed to the much more subtle cooling between 120,000 and 115,000 yr BP. Moreover, the solubility effect would imply a reduction in atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentrations as well, which is not observed. Assuming that the entire \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) effect is explained by the solubility effect, the carbon transfer from the atmosphere to the surface ocean has to be compensated by some other process as atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentrations remain unchanged. This could be due to carbon release from the terrestrial biosphere, which would add to the \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) decrease, thus, would relax the required SST cooling. In summary, three mechanisms have to be considered: Colder SST draws down both atmospheric CO\(_2\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\). As the terrestrial biosphere expanded during Termination II, it is reasonable to assume that the terrestrial carbon storage shrinks to some degree when temperatures decrease at the glacial inception. This release of terrestrial carbon induces further \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) lowering. In total the reduction of CO\(_2\) due to the solubility effect has to be balanced by the release of terrestrial carbon into the atmosphere and/or carbon release from carbonate compensation while at the same time closing the \(\delta^{13}C\) budget. At the moment we cannot quantitatively decipher how much each of the processes discussed above contributed. A precise and ice core synchronized global SST reconstruction for this time period will be essential to better constrain the SST effect on \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\). Also global, higher resolution \(\delta^{13}C\) compilations for the deep and surface ocean over this time period could help to answer this question as a release of terrestrial carbon leads to a net decrease in \(\delta^{13}C\) in the ocean/atmosphere system.

### 4.4 Understanding the 0.4\(^\circ\)c offset in \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) between the PGM and LGM

\(\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}\) during the LGM was on average about 0.4\(^\circ\)c heavier than during the PGM. At the same time an enrichment of 0.2 to 0.4\(^\circ\)c for the mean ocean \(\delta^{13}C\)DIC change is recorded in many marine sediment cores (e.g. Hoogakker et al., 2007; Oliver et al., 2010). The change of the combined atmosphere/ocean system to \(^{13}C\) enriched values suggests an overall shift of the isotopic signature of the combined ocean/atmosphere carbon stock. An overall \(\delta^{13}C\) shift of this reservoir has to be induced by fluctuations in the carbon fluxes entering and leaving the ocean/atmosphere system. In contrast, such an overall shift cannot be explained by ocean ventilation changes, which would enrich the surface ocean and deplete the deep ocean in \(\delta^{13}C\)DIC. To discuss an overall long-term \(\delta^{13}C\) drift of the ocean/atmosphere system at orbital timescales the carbon fluxes into and out of the ocean/atmosphere system have to be considered. The main ingoing carbon fluxes are volcanocic CO\(_2\) emission at subduction zones and mid ocean ridges, (0.07–0.08 GtC yr\(^{-1}\), Sano and Williams, 1996), carbonate weathering on the continents (0.1 to 0.2 GtC yr\(^{-1}\), Archer et al., 1998; Zeebe and Westbroek, 2003) and mineralisation of old sedimentary organic carbon on continents and shelves (0.06–0.12 GtC yr\(^{-1}\), Zeebe and Caldeira, 2008). The main outgoing carbon fluxes are burial of carbonates in marine sediments and coral reefs as
well as burial of organic matter on the ocean floor and on
the continents. An important example of such partially buried
carbon reservoirs on continents is the organic carbon stored
in the deep permafrost and yedoma in high northern lati-
tudes. The estimated amount of organic carbon in this region
is large (1700 Gt C, Tarnocai et al., 2009), yet it is unclear
when and at what rates this carbon was accumulated in the
past and under what circumstances this carbon becomes rem-
ineralised and reintroduced into the ocean/atmosphere reser-
voir. Since all these carbon fluxes are small compared to the
reservoir size of the ocean/atmosphere system, the mean res-
idence time of carbon in the ocean/atmosphere system is
estimated to be between 100,000 and 150,000 yr. In other
words, after one glacial cycle 50–70% of the carbon is re-
placed by carbon. On the one hand, this effect is able to pro-
duce a drift in the mean $\delta^{13}C$ of the atmosphere/ocean sys-
tem, on the other hand an imprinted $\delta^{13}C$ signal (for instance
due to a growth in the terrestrial biosphere carbon storage)
becomes gradually lost on these timescales. A long-term
$\delta^{13}C$ drift of the ocean/atmosphere system can result either
from a change in these fluxes or in their isotopic $\delta^{13}C$ sig-
nature or a combination of both. In the following, we want
to discuss alternatives to explain the observed 0.4‰ offset in
$\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ between the PGM and LGM: scenario (1) invokes
differences in carbon fluxes and the corresponding isotopic
signatures by carbonate weathering and sedimentation at the
ocean floor; scenario (2) invokes changes in volcanic out-
gassing of CO$_2$; and scenario (3) a net shift in the terres-
trial carbon storage between the PGM and LGM (Louran-
tou et al., 2010b). Zeebe and Caldeira (2008) examined the
effect of a long-term imbalance in carbon input and out-
put fluxes on atmospheric CO$_2$. They studied the hypothe-
sis that carbon input into the ocean/atmosphere system by
volcanism and net organic matter oxidation is balanced by
silicate rock weathering and subsequent carbonate mineral
burial. They argue that the mean decrease in atmospheric
CO$_2$ of 22 ppm during the last 650,000 yr is due to an im-
balance between carbon addition and removal with a net flux
removing carbon from the ocean/atmosphere system. Inter-
polating over the last 150,000 yr, this might account for the
5 to 10 ppm concentration decline between the PGM and
LGM. Stable carbon isotope signatures were not yet consid-
ered in the study by Zeebe and Caldeira (2008). Carbonate
weathering causes a carbon flux entering the atmospher-
ecarbonate reservoir of about 0.1 to 0.2 Gt C yr$^{-1}$ possibly affect-
ing $\delta^{13}C$. Note that even if carbon fluxes are exactly balanced
a change in the isotopic signature of the ocean/atmosphere
system is possible, if ingoing and outgoing carbon fluxes
do not carry the same isotopic signature. For example, the
source could change as different rocks are weathered and
with changing sources the carbon isotopic composition of
the carbonate could also be altered (scenario (1)). Sedimen-
tary carbonate rocks that are subject to continental weath-
ering carry carbon isotopic signatures between 2 and 3‰
(Rubinson and Clayton, 1969, Emrich et al., 1970, Romanek
et al., 1992), which would allow for a long-term trend in
$\delta^{13}C_{atm}$. Unfortunately, there is not sufficient observational
evidence available to reconstruct a change in the $\delta^{13}C$ sig-
nature of the carbonate weathering. The second scenario
(2) concentrates on the volcanic carbon release. According
to Sano and Williams (1996) volcanic outgassing of CO$_2$
releases about 0.07 to 0.08 Gt yr$^{-1}$. These inputs are as-
sumed to be compensated by sedimentary carbonate burial
in the ocean over timescales of thousands of years, which is
controlled by the adaptation of the carbonate compensation
depth in the ocean in response to changing riverine carbonate
and alkalinity fluxes. Changing the ratio of the volcanic car-
bon flux via subduction along the continental crust relative to
the release from mid-ocean-ridge basalt may shift the mean
$\delta^{13}C$ signature of the ocean–atmosphere stock. Carbon
released from mid-ocean-ridge regions ($\delta^{13}C \sim -6.5\%$)
shows $^{13}C$ depleted CO$_2$ values relative to subduction areas
by $-1$ to $-2\%$ (Sano and Williams, 1996). Alternatively,
a net global reduction of the volcanic carbon release results in
enrichment of the mean $\delta^{13}C$ input signal as the isotopic sig-
nature of the riverine input becomes more important. Hence,
the PGM to LGM offset could also be induced by changed
volcanic emissions. Roth and Joos (2012) found an upper
limit of deglacial $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ changes of $-0.13$ to $+0.12\%$ in-
duced by enhanced volcanic carbon outgassing. However, on
timescales of a complete glacial cycle continuing changes in
emission rates or isotopic signature of volcanic carbon
fluxes might alter $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$ more strongly and induce long-
term drifts. Note however that continuous changes in emis-
sion rate require other processes balancing CO$_2$ concentra-
tions. In the third scenario (3) a larger terrestrial carbon pool
at the LGM compared to the PGM could cause a net shift in
$\delta^{13}C$. This could be due to a net change in the mid- and
low latitude biomass or, more likely, by carbon locked away in
permafrost soils. Studies on northern permafrost regions con-
cerning amount and age of stored organic carbon suggested
permafrost soil pool sizes of up to 1700 Gt C (Tarnocai et al.,
2009), with 88% being perennially frozen. Permafrost in the
central Yukon region in Canada revealed ages older than
740,000 yr BP (Froese et al., 2008), showing that a long-term
accumulation is possible. Also Reyes et al. (2010) claimed
the persistence of deep discontinuous permafrost during the
last 200,000 yr. Such organic carbon pools represent a possi-
bility to remove carbon from the ocean–atmosphere system
on orbital timescales. A simple mass balance approach is per-
formed in Eqs. (1) and (2) to quantify the necessary amount
of carbon that has to be removed from the ocean–atmosphere
stock by organic carbon in permafrost to alter $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$
by $\Delta \delta_{atm} = 0.4\%$ as observed in our study and mean ocean
$\delta^{13}C$ by $\Delta \delta_{DIC} = 0.2\%$ to 0.4% (Oliver et al., 2010). Note
that we allow different values for $\Delta \delta_{atm}$ and $\Delta \delta_{DIC}$
as internal ocean–atmosphere changes can potentially alter the
$\delta^{13}C$ difference between the ocean and atmosphere reservoirs.
Changes of total organic carbon, rain ratio and marine production are not considered in the following, essentially assuming that these processes acted in a comparable way both during the last and the penultimate glacial. Furthermore, the isotopic signature of formed biomass \( \Delta C_{\text{terr}} \) is assumed to remain constant at \( \delta_{\text{terr}} = -28 \text{%} \), representative of the C3 plant metabolism in high latitudes (Novak et al., 1999; Dioumaeva et al., 2003). Hence this calculation represents a minimum flux scenario for a terrestrial carbon source of distinct isotopic signature. To quantify the atmospheric carbon reservoir during the PGM \( C_{\text{atm}}^{\text{PGM}} \), as well as the change between PGM and LGM \( \Delta C_{\text{atm}} \), atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration is converted to GtC. One ppm corresponds to 2.123 Gt C. The size of the LGM ocean carbon stock \( C_{\text{ocean}}^{	ext{LGM}} \) is set to 39 400 Gt C (Köhler et al., 2005). Our new \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}} \) data show stable carbon isotope values of \( -28 \text{%} \) at the PGM. The ocean value is set to \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}} = -0.5 \text{%} \) (Oliver et al., 2010). Using this mass balance approach we can quantify the amount of carbon required to explain a 0.4 \text{%} offset. However, in this mass balance scenario we assume an instantaneous shift from the PGM to the LGM time window. In reality the time intervals are about 120000 yr apart. Accordingly, part of the carbon anomaly will be removed over time by the continuous replacement of carbon in the biosphere/ocean/atmosphere system by the carbonate weathering and volcanic carbon input flux on the one hand and sediment burial on the other. Summing up these fluxes, between 0.274 to 0.344 Gt C enter and leave the ocean–atmosphere system during one year and the complete ocean–atmosphere carbon pool is recycled once in less than 150000 yr. Thus, after 120000 yr only a small fraction of the \( \delta^{13}C \) anomaly is preserved. Approximations using the Bern3D climate model of intermediate complexity lead to a preservation of 25 \% of any \( \delta^{13}C \) anomaly after 100000 yr (Schneiter, 2011). For a maximum estimate we assume that this isotopic attenuation process is switched off, i.e. 100 \% of the anomaly are preserved. Results of the mass balance above, which account for the isotopic attenuation by the sedimentary turnover of carbon, are shown in Fig. 4. The green curve in the centre is calculated for changes in oceanic \( \Delta \delta_{\text{ocean}} = 0.3 \text{%} \), whereas the black envelopes result from \( \Delta \delta_{\text{ocean}} = 0.2 \text{%} \) and 0.4 \text{%}, respectively. The errors for the isotopic value of the deep ocean \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{ocean}} \) and the ocean carbon storage \( C_{\text{ocean}}^{\text{PGM}} \) are negligible compared to the unknown rate of signal attenuation. In the case of 25 \% signal preservation, 1000 to 2000 Gt C have to be transferred from the ocean–atmosphere system to the terrestrial carbon pool to explain the 0.4 \text{%} offset in \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}} \) between LGM and PGM as shown in Fig. 4. Such a range may still be realistic in the framework of the permafrost reconstructions by Froese et al. (2008), Tarnocai et al. (2009) and Reyes et al. (2010). In contrast, if we assume a change in biomass in low and middle latitudes with a typical \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{terr}} \) signature of \(-22 \text{%} \) for the LGM (Francois et al., 1998), thus significantly heavier than carbon locked in permafrost, the total amount of additional stored terrestrial carbon required to explain the \( \delta^{13}C \) anomaly in the PGM for 25 \% preservation increases to more than 2000 Gt C (not shown). This is on the same order as the total carbon stored in living biomass and soils today and is approximately a factor of 4 larger than the net change in the terrestrial carbon storage from the LGM to the Holocene. Accordingly, such an increase in non-permafrost terrestrial carbon is most likely too large and unlikely to be able to account for the \( \delta^{13}C \) anomaly. Hence, permafrost represent the most likely candidate to lock away such terrestrial carbon on timescales of 100000 yr and more. From our new data we also conclude that the major part of such a possible increase in terrestrial carbon storage did not occur during MIS5.5 as \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}} \) still was 0.2 \text{%} lower at 100000 yr BP compared to the LGM (0.4 \text{%} in the SST corrected records). Hence, the major part of this biomass accumulation must have occurred after MIS5.5 but before the LGM. Note that changes in the amount of carbon stored in the methane hydrate reservoirs may represent a further possibility to explain the difference between the two periods. To assess this potential influence, the overall methane hydrate reservoir size is an important point. Current estimates are 500 to 2500 Gt C (Milkov, 2004). Redoing the same mass balance
calculation as done above at an assumed signal preservation of 50 % but an isotopic signature of −60 ‰ (representative for methane hydrates) instead of −28 ‰ reveals a necessary hydrate buildup (or release reduction) of about 500 GtC to account for the 0.4 ‰ shift between PGM and LGM. In the frame of the estimates by Milkov (2004), this number would require that 20–100 % of the carbon stored in hydrates would have been built up during the last 100 000 yr, which appears to be unrealistically large. However, we acknowledge that using older, larger estimates of the hydrate reservoir would make this scenario more likely. Moreover, Fehn et al. (2000) report that today’s methane hydrates were formed millions of years before the time interval discussed in this paper. This would be at odds with relatively young hydrate accumulated during the last 100 000 yr. As sea level and temperature conditions during the PGM and the LGM were not too different (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Jouzel et al., 2007), it also appears unlikely that there was a major change between these two time intervals in the stability conditions for marine hydrates and, thus, their reservoir size. Hence, methane hydrates represent a further possibility to account for the measured δ13C offset, and our data per se cannot rule out such a scenario, however, in the bigger picture of hydrate amount and age, we regard a major influence on the PGM/LGM difference in δ13C atm as rather unlikely. In summary, our new data confirm the PGM to LGM shift as reported in Lourantou et al. (2010b), but show a larger magnitude. Based on current knowledge, it is not possible to exclude one of the three explanation approaches of (1) changes in carbon isotope fluxes of carbonate weathering and sedimentation at the sea floor, (2) varying contributions from volcanic outgassing or (3) peat and permafrost carbon build-up that would be considered till present days. In contrast, the required net growth of terrestrial biomass outside of permafrost regions is probably too large to explain the offset and also a respective change in the methane hydrate reservoir from the PGM to the LGM is hard to envisage.

5 Conclusions

Using ice core measurements of atmospheric δ13C atm, we are able to better constrain the possible pathways of the global carbon cycle evolution in the past. First-order interpretation of our new data for the penultimate glacial/interglacial transition shows that the same processes influence the global carbon cycle at that time as already reported for the last 24 000 yr, however with different magnitude, timing and starting conditions. The early MIS5.5 is dominated by a build-up of land biomass and increased preservation of calcium carbonate in the ocean. In this respect it is interesting to note that the different temporal evolution of CO2 in the Holocene and in MIS5.5 does not require an extraordinary anthropogenic source of carbon into the atmosphere (Ruddiman et al., 2003), but can be explained by the different temporal evolution of the carbon cycle during the preceding deglaciation. The unique Younger Dryas climate event during Termination I, which has no comparable counterpart during Termination II, together with the long-term memory of the carbon cycle through carbonate compensation have to be considered to explain the increasing CO2 levels during the Holocene (Elsig et al., 2009), while such an increase is not observed during MIS5.5. The appearance of a minimum in δ13C atm during the late MIS5.5, likely induced by a terrestrial carbon release and colder SST, can explain stable CO2 levels for many thousand years, when Antarctic temperatures already declined. However, the amount of carbon released from the biosphere at that time cannot be quantified with certainty, since carbonate compensation may have also contributed to CO2 emissions into the atmosphere. A reason for an observed offset between the mean values in δ13C atm of the current and last interglacials cannot unambiguously be assigned. A build-up of permafrost from 1000 to 2000 GtC with a C3 plant isotopic signature is one possible scenario to explain the observed shifts between LGM and PGM in atmospheric CO2 and δ13C atm, while changes in terrestrial carbon stocks other than in permafrost are unlikely to account for the observed δ13C change. Furthermore, a temporal change in the isotopic ratio of carbonate weathering between the end of the previous interglacial and the last glacial maximum or fluctuations in the contributions from volcanic input fluxes may provide alternative explanations. A future continuous record of δ13C changes over the entire last glacial cycle connecting the MIS5.5 with the LGM will be key to better understand these long-term changes in carbon (isotope) imbalances. Moreover, reliable reconstructions of global changes in δ13C DIC will provide another powerful constraint on carbon cycle changes over these timescales (Goodwin et al., 2011). Furthermore extensive three dimensional dynamical ocean modelling on a regional and global scale, including all relevant carbon cycle processes and dynamic input/output fluxes of carbonate and silicate weathering, volcanic emission and CaCO3 fluxes into the sediments, are crucial as carbon cycle fluxes are not decoupled from each other.
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