

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00246.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Jung, T., N. Gordon, P. Bauer, D. Bromwich, M. Chevallier, J. Day, J. Dawson, F. Doblas-Reyes, C. Fairall, H. Goessling, M. Holland, J. Inoue, T. Iversen, S. Klebe, P. Lemke, M. Losch, A. Makshtas, B. Mills, P. Nurmi, D. Perovich, P. Reid, I. Renfrew, G. Smith, G. Svensson, M. Tolstykh, and Q. Yang, 2016: Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal time scales. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00246.1, in press.



1	Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal time scales
2	Thomas Jung*
3	AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany and
4	University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
5	Neil D. Gordon
6	Neil Gordon Consulting, Otaki, New Zealand
7	Peter Bauer
8	ECMWF, Reading, UK
9	David H. Bromwich
10	Ohio State University, Ohio, USA
11	Matthieu Chevallier
12	CNRM, Toulouse, France
13	Jonathan J. Day
14	Reading University, Reading, UK
1	
15	Jackie Dawson
16	- Department of Geography, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada
Y	
17	Francisco Doblas-Reyes

18	ICREA, IC3 and BSC, Barcelona, Spain
19	Christopher Fairall
20	NOAA, Boulder, USA
21	Helge F. Goessling
22	AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany
23	Marika Holland
24	NCAR, Boulder, USA
25	Jun Inoue
26	NIPR, Tachikawa, Japan
27	Trond Iversen
28	NMI, Oslo, Norway
	Stafania Klaba
29	AWI Bremerhaven Germany
30	Awi, Dremernaven, Germany
31	Peter Lemke
32	AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany
33	Martin Losch
34	AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany
35	Alexander Makshtas
36	AARI, St. Petersburg, Russia

37	Brian Mills
38	Environment Canada, Waterloo, Canada
39	Pertti Nurmi
40	FMI, Helsinki, Finland
41	Donald Perovich
42	ERDC-CRREL, Hanover, USA
43	Philip Reid
44	Bureau of Meteorology, Hobart, Australia
45	Ian A. Renfrew
46	University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
47	Gregory Smith
48	Environment Canada, Montreal, Canada
49	Gunilla Svensson
50	University of Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden
51	Mikhail Tolstykh
52	INM RAS and Hydrometcentre of Russia, Moscow, Russia
53	Qinghua Yang
54	NMEFC, Beijing, China

- ⁵⁵ *Corresponding author address: Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine
- ⁵⁶ Research, Bussestrasse 24, D-27570 Bremerhaven, Germany.
- 57 E-mail: Thomas.Jung@awi.de

ABSTRACT

The polar regions have been attracting more and more attention in recent 58 years, fuelled by the perceptible impacts of anthropogenic climate change. 59 Polar climate change provides new opportunities, such as shorter shipping 60 routes between Europe and East Asia, but also new risks such as the potential 6 for industrial accidents or emergencies in ice-covered seas. Here, it is argued 62 that environmental prediction systems for the polar regions are less developed 63 than elsewhere. There are many reasons for this situation, including the po-64 lar regions being (historically) lower priority, with less in situ observations, 65 and with numerous local physical processes that are less well-represented by 66 models. By contrasting the relative importance of different physical processes 67 in polar and lower latitudes, the need for a dedicated polar prediction effort 68 is illustrated. Research priorities are identified that will help to advance en-69 vironmental polar prediction capabilities. Examples include an improvement 70 of the polar observing system; the use of coupled atmosphere-sea ice-ocean 71 models, even for short-term prediction; and insight into polar-lower latitude 72 linkages and their role for forecasting. Given the enormity of some of the 73 challenges ahead, in a harsh and remote environment such as the polar re-74 gions, it is argued that rapid progress will only be possible with a coordinated 75 international effort. More specifically, it is proposed to hold a Year of Polar 76 Prediction (YOPP) from mid-2017 to mid-2019 in which the international re-77 search and operational forecasting community will work together with stake-78 holders in a period of intensive observing, modelling, prediction, verification, 79 user-engagement and educational activities. (Capsule Summary) It is ar-80 gued that existing polar prediction systems do not yet meet users' needs; 81 and possible ways forward in advancing prediction capacity in polar re-82 6 gions and beyond are outlined. 83

The climate of the Arctic has been changing more rapidly in recent decades than any other 84 region of this planet. The rapid rise in near-surface Arctic air temperatures, about twice as fast 85 as the global increase (Hansen et al. 2010), is called the Arctic amplification (e.g., Holland and 86 Bitz 2003). Its manifestation in terms of decrease in sea ice coverage provides opportunities, but 87 at the same time new risks are emerging. Using the Northern Sea Route, for example, ships can 88 reduce the distance of their journey between Europe and the North Pacific region by more than 89 40%. In fact, journeys through the Arctic, which are projected to become increasingly feasible as 90 climate change continues (Smith and Stephenson 2013), could provide an opportunity for cutting 91 greenhouse gas emissions. At the same time, the environmental consequences of disasters in 92 the Arctic, such as oil spills, are likely to be worse than in other regions (Emmerson and Lahn 93 2012). In order to effectively manage the opportunities and risks associated with climate change, 94 therefore, it is argued that skilful prediction systems tailored to the particularities of the polar 95 regions are needed. 96

The mounting interest in the polar regions from the general public has also become evident for 97 example from increased levels of tourism in both hemispheres (Hall and Saarinen 2010). The 98 ongoing and projected changes in polar regions and increases in economic activity also lead to 99 concerns for indigenous societies and northern communities. Traditional means of predicting en-100 vironmental conditions, for example, may become invalid in a changing climate with changing 101 predictor relationships (Holland and Stroeve 2011) and all northern communities are at an in-102 creasing risk from accidents such as oil or cargo spills associated with increased economic and 103 transportation activities. 104

Even though climate change in Antarctica is less apparent than in the Arctic, with the exception of the Antarctic Peninsula and West Antarctica, demand for skilful prediction systems is increasing there too. In the southern polar regions the main stakeholders are the logistics com-

¹⁰⁸ munity, which provides essential services to the research community such as flights to and from
¹⁰⁹ Antarctica, and tourists and research expeditions, which can encounter extremely harsh conditions
¹¹⁰ (Figure 1)(Powers et al. 2012). It is through the effective running of essential logistical activities,
¹¹¹ which in turn depend on skilful environmental predictions, that important scientific challenges
¹¹² such as issuing trusworthy projections of future global sea level rise can be addressed.

In the following we will argue that the science of polar environmental prediction is still in its infancy, and that significant progress can be achieved through a concerted international prediction effort, putting the polar regions into focus (see also Eicken 2013).

116 1. How to improve polar prediction capacity?

Firstly let us turn our attention to the questions of how well existing polar prediction capacity is developed and how progress can be ensured over the coming years. The following discussion will be centred around three research pillars, namely Service-oriented Research, Forecasting System Research and Underpinning Research (see Figure 2). A more comprehensive list of research prorities related to polar prediction is given by PPP Steering Group (2013) and PPP Steering Group (2014).

¹²³ a. Service-oriented Research

User applications While there is great merit in conducting basic scientific research to better explain fundamental atmosphere-ocean-ice-land processes, the societal value of such knowledge depends on its relevance and application to social, economic, and environmental problems and issues in polar regions. Value accrues through the provision of services, such as weather warnings and ice forecasts, to various users or actors — the individuals, businesses, communities, and agencies that are sensitive to environment-related risks or that manage its effects and consequences. Service-oriented research, rooted in the social and interdisciplinary sciences, is conducted to understand the decision-making context in which these individuals live and organizations operate, appreciating that exposure, vulnerability, and the capacity to respond to weather and ice hazards are largely driven by many interrelated non-weather factors (e.g., cultural and social practices, international demand and pricing of resource commodities, health status of residents). Such research can inform and direct the design and implementation of weather-related services to enhance their effectiveness leading to improved material outcomes (e.g., safety, mobility, productivity, etc.).

Preparatory research should include reviewing existing and planned research to better define 137 and prioritize potential benefit areas and develop a baseline of current experience, use and per-138 ception of services. While presently there is a dearth of social scientific research that explicitly 139 treats the use and value of weather information in polar regions, established programs of study 140 examining adaptation to anthropogenic climate change offer potential opportunities for collabora-141 tion on research at the temporal scale of weather-related hazards (e.g., ACIA 2004; Dawson et al. 142 2014; Lamers et al. 2011; Team and Manderson 2011). This research has identified several unique 143 pressures that contribute to the rationale for making the polar regions a target for the application 144 of improved environmental prediction science and services and point to several benefit areas — 145 ideas that are also reflected in recent work by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) 146 Executive Council Panel on Polar Observations, Research and Services (EC PORS) Task Team 147 (available from http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/www/WIGOS_6_EC_PORS/EC-PORS-3.html). 148

Among the challenges for service-oriented research is achieving the necessary balance between depth and breadth. For example, intensive community-based research involving interviews and ethnographic techniques is often required to unpack the intricacies of decision-making among residents and leaders. However, the generalizability of findings can be left unaddressed given limited resources (time as much as funding) to conduct parallel work in several communities over multiple years. Other challenges include the limited availability and accessibility to secondary social
 and economic data; facilitating actor and stakeholder participation, engagement, and partnership
 within research projects; securing the involvement and coordination of expertise across multiple
 social science and other disciplines.

(*ii*) Verification Another important aspect of service-oriented research involves forecast verifica-158 tion. Verification can provide users with information about forecast quality to guide their decision-159 making procedures, as well as useful feedback to the forecasting community to improve their own 160 systems. Traditionally, forecast verification has focused on weather variables that are of little direct 161 value for most users of weather information, such as the 500 hPa geopotential height. Increasingly 162 though, surface weather parameters like temperature at 2m height, wind speed at 10 metre height 163 and precipitation are part of standard verification. The diversity of verification measures has been 164 relatively limited with a strong emphasis on basic statistical measures like root-mean-square error 165 and correlation metrics. Standard verification has moreover mostly concentrated on mid-latitude 166 and tropical regions. Only very recently has the skill of current operational forecasting systems 167 in the polar regions been considered (Bromwich et al. 2005; Jung and Leutbecher 2007; Jung and 168 Matsueda 2014; Bauer et al. 2014). More work will be needed, especially on the verification of 169 near-surface parameters as well as snow and sea ice characteristics (especially drift and deforma-170 tion). 171

Some of the biggest challenges in forecast verification relate to the quality and quantity of observations. In fact, representative observational data are the cornerstone of all successful verification activities. Given the notorious sparseness or even complete lack of conventional observations in the polar regions (Figure 3), progress in quantifying and monitoring the skill of weather and environmental forecasts will hinge on the availability of additional observations or better usage of
satellite data.

Forecast verification against analyses (which are influenced by the model itself during the data 178 assimilation process) is common practice, because the model introduces spatial and temporal con-179 sistency to sparse data and analysis errors are usually much smaller than forecast errors in medium 180 and extended range. This approach can have short-comings in parts of the world, including the 181 polar regions, where the sparseness of high-quality observations and the difficulty of assimilating 182 satellite observations leads to a very strong influence of the models' first guess on the analysis. 183 Enhanced verification in observation space (e.g., satellite data simulators) and increasing analysis 184 quality need high priority. 185

In recent years, there has been a shift in how verification is perceived. It has been widely recognized that verification activities should focus more strongly on user relevant forecast aspects, that more advanced diagnostic verification techniques are required, and that the usefulness of verification depends on the availability of sufficient high quality observational data. These developments need to be strengthened and promoted in the coming years to advance forecast verification in polar regions.

¹⁹² b. Forecasting System Research

The elements of Forecasting System Research, namely observations, modelling, data assimilation and ensemble forecasting (Figure 2), are no different to those required at lower latitudes. What is important to point out, however, is that there are certain polar-specific aspects that need special consideration in order to enhance predictive capacity—some of these aspects will be highlighted below.

198 1) OBSERVATIONS

The polar regions are among the most sparsely observed parts of the globe by conventional 199 observing systems such as surface meteorological stations, radiosonde stations, and aircraft re-200 ports. Figure 3, which shows conventional observations of different types that were assimilated 201 by ECMWF on 15 April 2015, illustrates the situation: contrast the dense network of surface 202 stations (SYNOPs/blue dots) over Scandinavia with the sparse network over the rest of the Arc-203 tic; or compare the coarse but arguably adequate network of radiosonde stations (TEMPs/yellow 204 triangles) over Eurasia with the handful of stations over Antarctica. The polar oceans are also 205 sparsely observed by the Argo array of automated profiling floats (e.g., Roemmich and Gilson 206 2009), implying challenges in coupled model initialization. 207

The polar regions are barely sampled by geostationary satellites, but generally have a denser 208 sampling by polar-orbiting satellites, providing the potential for improvements in satellite sound-209 ing such as the IASI sounder, or sea ice thickness from CryoSat-2 (Laxon et al. 2013), SMOS 210 (Kaleschke et al. 2012; Tian-Kunze et al. 2013) and Sentinel-1 and the planned ICESat-2 (Kwok 211 2010; Kern and Spreen 2015). Using satellite-based observations of the polar surface is challeng-212 ing due to the presence of snow-covered sea ice, which makes it difficult to determine parameters 213 such as ocean surface temperature, surface winds and precipitation. Differentiating between snow 214 and ice-covered surfaces and clouds in the atmosphere has also been a long-running challenge. 215 Making better use of existing and new satellite-based observations is a must for improving fore-216 cast initialisation and verification. 217

Given that observations are key to producing accurate initial conditions and hence forecasts, relatively sparse observational coverage in polar regions may be one explanation as to why the skill of weather forecasts in polar regions is relatively low (see also Jung and Leutbecher 2007; Jung

and Matsueda 2014; Bauer et al. 2014). In addition, data assimilation systems are not adequate to 221 optimally exploit the information provided by existing observations, as will be discussed below. 222 The relative remoteness and harsh environmental conditions of the polar regions are always go-223 ing to provide a barrier to enhanced observations. With improved technology and power systems 224 the barrier is becoming more of a financial one than a logistical one: improved observations of the 225 polar regions are possible, but are they worth the cost? To answer this, Observing System Experi-226 ments (OSEs) are required (see, e.g., Boullot et al. 2014), in which specific observations are with-227 held (denied) during the data assimilation process, with a particular focus on user-requirements 228 for these regions. To carry out these experiments a sustained observing period is required with 229 significantly enhanced spatial and temporal coverage—a Year of Polar Prediction (see below). In 230 this respect, increasing the frequency of observations from existing stations and vessels (e.g., In-231 oue et al. 2013; Yamazaki et al. 2015; Inoue et al. 2015) and adding additional mobile observing 232 systems such as buoys (Inoue et al. 2009; Meredith et al. 2013) would be excellent options. In ad-233 dition, periods of intense process-focussed field campaigns are required to provide comprehensive 234 observations of processes that are known to be currently poorly represented in coupled models 235 (e.g., Holtslag et al. 2013; Pithan et al. 2014). Furthermore, increased levels of activity in polar re-236 gions suggests that additional observations from new voluntary observing platforms may become 237 available in the future. Effectively engaging with stakeholders, therefore, becomes a key element 238 for improving the polar observing system. 239

240 2) MODELLING

Numerical models of the atmosphere, ocean, sea ice, snow and land play an increasingly important role in prediction. For example, models are used to carry out short to seasonal range weather and environmental forecasts; they form an important element in every data assimilation scheme; they serve as a virtual laboratory to carry out experiments devised to understand the functioning of
the coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice-land system; and they can aid the design of future observing
systems (e.g., for satellite missions) through so-called Observing System Simulation Experiments
(OSSEs, e.g., Masutani et al. 2010).

Although numerical models have come a long way, even state-of-the-art systems show sub-248 stantial shortcomings in the representation of certain key processes. For example, skilful model 249 simulations of stable planetary boundary layers and tenuous polar clouds remain elusive (e.g., 250 Sandu et al. 2013; Bromwich et al. 2013). The shallowness of stable planetary boundary layers, 251 layering of low-level clouds, the smaller spatial scale of rotational systems (e.g., polar cyclones) 252 due to the relatively small Rossby radius of deformation along with the presence of steep topo-253 graphic features in Greenland and Antarctica all suggest that polar predictions will benefit from 254 increased horizontal and vertical resolution (Jung and Rhines 2007; Renfrew et al. 2009; Elvidge 255 et al. 2014). However, while some of the existing problems may be overcome by increased resolu-256 tion accessible via the projected availability of supercomputing resources during the coming years, 257 it is certain that the parameterizations of polar subgrid-scale processes will remain an important 258 area of research for the foreseeable future (e.g., Holtslag et al. 2013; Vihma et al. 2014). 259

It is interesting, in this context, to compare the relative importance of different atmospheric 260 processes for different regions (see Bourassa et al. 2013, for a related discussion on turbulent sur-261 face fluxes). Vertical profiles of mean initial temperature tendencies due to various dynamical and 262 physical processes obtained from 1-day forecasts with the ECMWF model are shown in Figure 263 4 for four different regions during boreal winter: the sea ice-free and sea ice-covered Arctic as 264 well as oceanic regions in the Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes and tropics. Initial temperature 265 tendencies are temporal changes in temperature arising from the governing equations solved by 266 the model directly after initializing the forecasts. Note, that the mean total initial temperature ten-267

dency should be close to zero in the absence of model drift (Rodwell and Jung 2008) if averaging 268 is done over a sufficiently large number of cases (Klinker and Sardeshmukh 1992). In the tropics, 269 for example, strong incoming solar radiation together with boundary layer turbulence leads to a 270 heating of lower atmospheric levels, while longwave radiation cools away from the surface. This 271 radiative tendency profile is largely balanced by deep convection, which contributes to effectively 272 removing instability. A similar balance can be found in oceanic regions of middle and high lati-273 tudes (Figure 4a,c). However, away from the tropics the importance of dynamical cooling (cold 274 air advection) and boundary layer heating is more pronounced. Radically different heating profiles 275 can be found during boreal winter in ice-covered parts of the Arctic Ocean (Figure 4b): In the free 276 atmosphere, dynamical heating due to the inflow of relatively warm air from lower latitudes is 277 balanced by longwave radiative cooling; in the polar boundary layer the situation is more complex 278 with vertical diffusion playing a significant role as well. The modeled tendencies are the largest in 279 the case of Arctic open ocean and the smallest values are found in the sea ice covered ocean. 280

Another interesting perspective arises when vertical profiles of the standard deviation of initial 281 temperature tendencies are considered (Figure 5). Large day-to-day changes in dynamical tem-282 perature tendencies can be found everywhere. However, it is only in the tropics that the variability 283 associated with the dynamics is matched by that linked to fast convective processes. In middle 284 and high latitudes the situation is more complex with both convection and large-scale precipitation 285 (microphysics) and to a lesser extend radiation playing a role. Again, the ice-covered Arctic Ocean 286 stands out due to the relative lack of fast processes in the free atmosphere. As models have prob-287 lems properly representing the low-level mixed-phase clouds and shallow boundary layers, there 288 are likely to be larger uncertainties in Figures 4b and 5b than for the other areas. Nevertheless, 289 the above tendency diagnostics highlight the fact that atmospheric regimes in the polar regions 290

can be quite different (ice-covered vs ice-free) and unique (ice-covered parts) as well as radically
 different to lower latitudes.

A survey of the global forecasting systems used for short-range and medium-range predic-293 tions, such as the ones that contribute to TIGGE (THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble, 294 Bougeault et al. 2010), suggests that many aspects relevant to the polar regions are still missing 295 in existing systems. For example, many centres still use atmospheric-land models; in these fore-296 casting systems sea ice is persisted throughout the forecast. Obviously these "weather" forecasting 297 systems are not tailored to provide predictive information on sea ice characteristics and their future 298 evolution. The expected increase in shipping traffic in the Arctic will require new kinds of forecast 299 products that provide information about sea ice leads, velocity and pressure; these needs can only 300 be met by incorporating dynamic-thermodynamic sea ice models into forecasting systems. Inter-301 estingly, existing sea ice models, which were developed with relatively coarse-resolution climate 302 applications in mind, start to show deformation characteristics such as leads when their horizontal 303 resolution is increased (Figure 6). It will be important to assess the realism of these features and 304 explore their predictability. Furthermore, persisting sea ice throughout the forecast may lead to 305 sizeable errors in near-surface variables such as air temperature during periods of strong advances 306 and retreats of the sea ice edge such as in autumn and spring. An example of the mean near-307 surface temperature difference for October 2011 between forecasting experiments with observed 308 and persistent sea ice field is shown in Figure 7. Evidently, mean differences of up to 4 K after 5 309 days into the forecast can be found close to the ice edge. Not including coupling between sea ice 310 and atmosphere can result in missing dynamical responses that have consequences beyond the sea 311 ice region, and not just near-surface (Bhatt et al. 2008). While it may be justified for shorter-term 312 prediction in middle latitudes to use atmosphere-only systems, the cryosphere and the ocean need 313 to be explicitly incorporated when it comes to polar prediction (see also, Smith et al. 2013). 314

Furthermore, there is cleary scope for using regional weather prediction systems in polar re-315 gions as they offer some advantages compared to global forecast models. For example, polar 316 optimized physics can be used such as for mixed phase clouds and for more comprehensive sea 317 ice specifications (Hines et al. 2015). Very large contrasts in turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent 318 heat are frequently encountered along the sea ice edges, which gives rise to characteristic meso-319 scale phenomena such as low-level jets, vigorous convection, and occasionally polar lows (e.g., 320 Kristjánsson et al. 2013), which require high spatial resolution. Coupling to models for the upper 321 ocean is potentially important since strong low-level winds can invigorate upper ocean mixing 322 and thus positive feedbacks when warm sub-surface water is brought to the surface (Linders and 323 Saetra 2010). Moreover, the use of very high spatial resolution (1 km or so) where non-hydrostatic 324 dynamics becomes important better captures the topographic forcing upon near-surface winds in 325 regions of complex terrain (e.g., Steinhoff et al. 2013). One of the better known regional polar 326 NWP efforts is the Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System (AMPS, Powers et al. 2012) that tele-327 scopes from a 30-km grid covering the Southern Ocean to a 1.1 km nested grid focused on the 328 rugged terrain near Ross Island to support terminal airport forecasts for aircraft coming from New 329 Zealand. 330

331 3) DATA ASSIMILATION

In numerical weather prediction, data assimilation systems are used to produce the initial conditions for forecasts. These so-called analyses are based on the numerical model (also used for forecasting, and observations) with an optimization algorithm that combines the two such that a physically plausible estimate is derived that matches the model prediction and observations within their respective error margins (Kalnay 2003). The quality of the analysis is of fundamental importance for forecast skill since forecasting on the time scales considered here is, to a large extent, an initial condition problem. Generally, the sensitivity of forecasts to the analysis changes between
short, medium and extended range from smaller-scale and fast processes (e.g., turbulence, clouds,
convection) to larger-scale and slow processes (e.g., planetary waves, ocean, snow and sea ice
dynamics).

³⁴² Modern global weather forecasting employs data assimilation systems which use time integra-³⁴³tions of the three-dimensional model at 15–25 km resolution and 50–100 vertical levels ($O(10^9)$ ³⁴⁴grid cells) together with $O(10^7)$ observations resulting in very large numerical optimization prob-³⁴⁵lems (e.g., Rabier et al. 2000; Kalnay 2003). Ensemble analysis systems (e.g., Houtekamer and ³⁴⁶Mitchell 1998) aim at additionally specifying the uncertainty of the analysis that is required for ³⁴⁷deriving the above mentioned model error margins but also serve as initializations for ensemble ³⁴⁸forecasts.

Over polar areas, shortcomings in all three main data assimilation components (models, ob-349 servations and assimilation algorithms) contribute to sub-optimal state estimates (e.g., Jung and 350 Leutbecher 2007; Bauer et al. 2014) leading to a detrimental impact on forecast skill across all 351 time scales. In the atmosphere in which boundary layer processes and atmosphere-surface inter-352 action — particularly with variable sea-ice coverage — are shallow and dominant, the small scale 353 of cyclonic systems (e.g. polar lows) and the interaction of the flow with extremely steep orogra-354 phy are currently not well resolved in global models (and observations), and even less so in data 355 assimilation systems (Tilinina et al. 2014). Observations are sparse and mostly lacking over sea 356 ice and the Antarctic continent. Satellite data are more difficult to interpret due to, for example, 357 little radiative contrast between the surface and atmosphere. The specification of model and ob-358 servation uncertainty, required to balance the contributions from observations and model in the 359 analysis, is complex because other processes dominate the error budget and spatial error structures 360 are different from those at lower latitudes. 361

It will be important to address model improvement, observations and data assimilation methods together. In doing so, polar-specific aspects such as the atmosphere-sea ice-ocean interaction and spatial resolution, enhanced surface-based observational networks and satellite data exploitation, assimilation methods more optimally tuned to high-latitude conditions and coupled atmosphereocean-sea ice data assimilation at regional and global scales need to be emphasised

367 4) ENSEMBLE FORECASTING

Ensemble forecasting is an approach to quantify uncertainty of weather or climate forecasts 368 (e.g., Leutbecher and Palmer 2008). The main challenge when designing ensemble prediction 369 systems (EPSs) lies in the proper representation of initial conditions (and their errors) and of 370 model uncertainty to obtain reliable estimates of prediction error and forecast probabilities. Most 371 operational EPSs employ optimal perturbations to represent initial condition uncertainty. Here, 372 optimality refers to perturbations that are designed to ensure their growth, and hence the increase 373 of the ensemble spread, throughout the early stages of the forecasts. In the atmospheric mid-374 latitudes, baroclinic instability dominates the early stage of forecast error growth (e.g., Buizza and 375 Palmer 1995; Toth and Kalnay 1993); in the tropical atmosphere, on the other hand, convective 376 instability plays the dominant role (e.g., Buizza et al. 1999; Toth and Kalnay 1993). Although it 377 can be anticipated that baroclinic instability has some role to play in the polar regions, research 378 needs to be carried out to identify other more polar-specific sources of perturbation growth—for 379 the atmosphere as well as for other components of the polar climate system such as the ocean and 380 the sea ice. 381

Given the limitations of existing models in representing some of the key processes in the polar regions, it will be imperative to properly represent model inaccuracy in operational ensemble forecasts from hourly to seasonal time scales and beyond. Different approaches have been suggested

including multi-model ensembles and stochastic parameterizations (e.g., Palmer et al. 2005). Most 385 of the existing schemes were developed with non-polar regions in mind, so that it will be impor-386 tant to assess their performance in polar regions taking into account polar-specific aspects, such 387 as the absence of convection in ice-covered regions and the need to describe uncertainty for cou-388 pled processes at the interface between atmosphere and land/snow/sea ice. Furthermore, given 389 that routine weather forecasts are likely to be carried out with coupled models by the end of this 390 decade, as they are already used for sub-seasonal and seasonal forecasting, the representation of 391 model uncertainty in sea ice, ocean, land surface, and land-based hydrology will also need to be 392 addressed (see, e.g., Juricke et al. 2014, for first steps in this direction). 393

In short, it can be argued that with a few exceptions (e.g., Aspelien et al. 2011; Kristiansen et al. 2011) existing work on operational EPSs has focussed on non-polar regions. Because of this, relatively little is known about the quality of ensemble forecasts, including the associated probability forecasts, in polar regions. In fact, a lot of progress in the provision of environmental information can be made by raising awareness of the importance of polar ensemble forecasting, by improving polar-specific aspects in EPSs (e.g., the presence of sea ice) and by applying existing ensemble verification techniques to the polar regions.

401 c. Underpinning Research

402 1) PREDICTABILITY AND DIAGNOSTICS

(i) Predictability Predictability research is primarily concerned with the mechanisms that potentially influence forecast skill at different time scales. The predictability of a system is determined
by its instabilities and nonlinearities, and by the structure of the imperfections (analysis and model
error) in the system (e.g., Palmer et al. 2005). Due to its relative persistence or stability, sea ice
anomalies are usually considered a potential source of predictability, especially on sub-seasonal

and seasonal time scales (Chevallier and Salas-Mélia 2012; Tietsche et al. 2014; Day et al. 2014). 408 In fact, predictability of Arctic sea ice has attracted considerable attraction in recent years, espe-409 cially when it comes to predicting sea ice extent anomalies in late summer. Interestingly, there is 410 a large gap between potential predictability estimates of late summer Arctic sea ice extent (e.g., 411 Guemas et al. 2014; Juricke et al. 2014), which provide a relatively optimistic view, and actual 412 skill which is rather modest (Wang et al. 2013; Stroeve et al. 2014). This highlights the fact that 413 the potential of seasonal to interannual sea ice prediction has not been fully exploited yet and/or 414 potential predictability estimates are overly optimistic due to insufficient representation of the un-415 derlying initial and model uncertainties (see, Day et al. 2014, for pointing out the importance of 416 sea ice thickness initialization). 417

Perhaps because of these shortcomings, statistical forecasts of Arctic sea ice cover currently per-418 form just as well as those performed with dynamical models (Stroeve et al. 2014). This is reminis-419 cent of the case of ENSO forecasting, where even after years of development dynamical models are 420 only marginally more skilful than statistical models at seasonal timescales (Barnston et al. 2012). 421 However, climate change in the Arctic is happening more rapidly than any other region on Earth 422 and there is evidence that these changes could fundamentally affect predictor-predictand relation-423 ships in the region, making it difficult to both train and trust such models (Holland and Stroeve 424 2011). It is therefore imperative for seasonal polar prediction that coupled models improve. 425

The presence of sea ice, land ice and snow in the polar regions in conjunction with midtropospheric inflows of relatively warm air from the mid-latitudes (Figure 4) leads, at times, to the development of shallow and stably stratified planetary boundary layers (PBLs) in the interior of the Arctic and Antarctic during wintertime (Holtslag et al. 2013). The resulting decoupling of the boundary layer from the free atmosphere may have implications for the predictability of the system. On the other hand, extreme temperature contrasts across the ice edge can lead to very

unstable PBLs and to turbulent surface heat fluxes in excess of 1000 Wm^{-2} over the adjacent 432 open ocean regions (Papritz et al. 2015). Depending on the dynamical conditions associated with 433 the free tropospheric outflowing air masses, very strong, hurricane-like vortices with diameters 434 typically of a few hundred of kilometres, may develop within a period of a few hours, under the 435 influence of sensible and latent heating from the open ocean (e.g., Rasmussen and Turner 2003; 436 Kristjánsson et al. 2013). These polar lows are responsible for some of the most dangerous weather 437 in the Arctic, due to strong winds, heavy snow fall, and icing on ships and installations. Further-438 more, their predictability is highly variable (while some polar lows are very well forecasted, some 439 still come "out of the blue"), because of the fast development over areas with sparse observations, 440 and their small scales. It is also likely that some aspects of model formulations in terms of spatial 441 resolution and parameterized processes are inadequate. Finally, the regions where polar lows strike 442 may change as the Arctic sea ice continues to decline. It is to be expected that the regional vul-443 nerability to polar lows will be even much higher due to these changes, as necessary preparedness 444 may be neglected over areas such as the Kara and Laptev Seas. 445

From the above discussion, it can be argued that our existing knowledge on predictability, which is primarily obtained from studies in lower latitudes, is not easily transferable due to particular characteristics of the polar regions. Predictability research that focuses on polar regions is therefore urgently needed.

(*ii*) *Diagnostics* Forecast error diagnosis is a means to identifying possible weaknesses in the
 different components of operational forecasting systems. Proper diagnosis, therefore, can help to
 prioritize research activities in relation to their relative importance.

⁴⁵³ Substantial progress could be achieved by employing diagnostic methods that have been success-⁴⁵⁴ fully used in lower latitudes (see Rodwell and Jung 2010, for a more comprehensive discussion). It would be desirable, for example, to identify situations where existing prediction systems have
 difficulties; backtracking of forecast busts (unusually large forecast errors) throughout the forecast
 would be one promising approach (Rodwell et al. 2013).

Another promising way forward would be to employ initial tendency diagnostics in polar regions using output from data assimilation systems. By evaluating the initial drift of the model in an NWP context it will be possible to identify possible model weaknesses that result in systematic model error (Rodwell and Palmer 2007; Rodwell and Jung 2008).

462 2) GLOBAL LINKAGES

Teleconnections between the polar regions and lower latitudes have attracted considerable atten-463 tion in recent years. In particular, the possible influence of "Arctic Amplification" on the frequency 464 of occurrence of high-impact events over the Northern Hemisphere has been a matter of inten-465 sive discussion and controversy (Cohen et al. 2014; Barnes and Screen 2015; Jung et al. 2015). 466 Compared to tropical-extratropical interactions, for which a vast body of literature is available, 467 relatively little is known about the dynamics of polar-lower latitude linkages, especially for the 468 atmosphere. In fact, it could be argued that at present we are at a pre-consensus state (Cohen 469 et al. 2014), not unlike where ENSO research was in the 1970s and early 1980s (Overland et al. 470 2015; Jung et al. 2015). In order to further our understanding of polar-lower latitude linkages— 471 from their source regions, via atmospheric teleconnections to the places where related changes in 472 weather and climate impact society—it will be important that experts on polar atmospheric pro-473 cesses (i.e., the polar research community) join forces with atmospheric dynamicists traditionally 474 working more on middle latitude phenomena. 475

It could be argued that further insight could be gained by studying polar-lower latitude linkages also from a prediction perspective. In fact, while teleconnection patterns are well studied

phenomena, there is little quantitative knowledge about their role in transferring forecast skill (or 478 uncertainty) from the polar regions into the mid-latitudes and vice versa. Given the relatively poor 479 observational coverage in polar regions (Figure 3), for example, it seems plausible that enhanced 480 observational capacity in polar regions would lead to improved mid-latitude predictions, if polar-481 lower latitude linkages were sufficiently strong. In fact, recent research indicates that better Arctic 482 predictions will lead to better medium-range and sub-seasonal forecasts in Northern Hemisphere 483 middle latitudes, especially over Eurasia and North America (Jung et al. 2014; Hines et al. 2015). 484 Secondly, by considering the interplay between polar and non-polar regions from a prediction per-485 spective on time scales from daily to seasonal, polar-lower-latitude linkages involving relatively 486 fast atmospheric processes could actually be verified. The underlying premise is that the atmo-487 spheric processes involved are actually the same across a wide range of time scales (see Palmer 488 et al. 2008, for a more detailed discussion). 489

In short, it is expected that research on global linkages will enhance our understanding of the role of the polar regions in the global climate system, both in terms of the underlying dynamics and in terms of predictability on time scales from days to seasons and beyond.

2. International cooperation

In order to advance predictive capacity in polar regions, a strong element of coordination will be required. In the following, we introduce two (related) initiatives that provide an international framework through which collaboration between natural and social scientists, operational prediction centres and stakeholders from different nations can be effectively facilitated.

498 a. Polar Prediction Project (PPP)

The growing need for reliable polar prediction capabilities has been recognized by the WMO 499 when its World Weather Research Programme (WWRP) established the Polar Prediction Project 500 (PPP), as one of three legacy activities of THORPEX. The aim of PPP, a ten-year endeavour 501 (2013–2022), is to Promote cooperative international research enabling development of improved 502 weather and environmental prediction services for the polar regions, on time scales from hours to 503 seasonal. In order to achieve its goals, PPP enhances international and interdisciplinary collab-504 oration through the development of strong linkages with related initiatives; strengthens linkages 505 between academia, research institutions and operational forecasting centres; promotes interactions 506 and communication between research and stakeholders; and fosters education and outreach. 507

Flagship research activities of PPP include (i) advancing sea ice prediction, (ii) understanding polar-lower latitude linkages along with their role in weather and climate prediction and (iii) the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP)—an intensive observational and modelling period planned for mid-2017 to mid-2019 (see below for details).

⁵¹² PPP is supported through the International Coordination Office (ICO) for Polar Prediction, ⁵¹³ which is hosted by the Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Re-⁵¹⁴ search, in Germany, and informs about, promotes, and coordinates PPP related activities. Further ⁵¹⁵ details, including the PPP Implementation Plan (PPP Steering Group 2013), are available from the ⁵¹⁶ ICO's website: *http://polarprediction.net*.

⁵¹⁷ b. Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP)

One particularly important international initiative is the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP). YOPP is a key element of PPP and provides an extended period of coordinated intensive observational and modelling activities, in order to improve prediction capabilities for the Arctic, the Antarctic, and beyond, on a wide range of time scales from hours to seasons, supporting improved weather and climate services, including the Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS). This concerted effort will be augmented by research into forecast-stakeholder interaction, verification, and a strong educational component. Being focussed on polar prediction rather than a very broad range of activities, YOPP is quite different from the IPY (the International Polar Year 2007–2008). Prediction of sea ice and other key variables such as visibility, wind, and precipitation will be central to YOPP.

Extra observations will be crucial to YOPP in order to test an augmented polar observing system, generate the knowledge necessary to improve the representation of key polar processes in models, and provide ground-truthing that is so important to exploit the full potential of the space-borne satellite network. YOPP will also encourage research, development and employment of innovative systems.

Following the success of the virtual field campaign during the Year of Tropical Convection (YOTC, Moncrieff et al. 2012), YOPP will also have a strong virtual component through support from the numerical modelling community, encompassing high-resolution model simulations that include important polar-specific aspects. Operational model runs will cover time scales from hours to seasons, with a particular focus on sea ice, since for polar regions sea ice is both a critically important environmental variable to be predicted, and a strong modulator of other weather-related predictands across a wide range of time scales.

Output from operational models, including specific additional diagnostics, and dedicated numerical experiments during YOPP will be archived and made available for researchers to better understand strengths and short-comings of existing prediction systems. The new archive will be valuable in itself, even without the planned additional observations that will be assimilated into models. It will certainly help improve process understanding at a detailed level.

Regarding the data strategy, YOPP will take into account lessons learnt from the International 545 Polar Year (IPY). This includes developing a YOPP data portal that builds on the experience of the 546 Global Cryosphere Watch (GCW), including the use of consistent meta data and pointers to other 547 online locations where data can be retrieved. A small number of data centers willing to archive 548 YOPP data (and to support the process) and able to provide digital object identifiers (DOIs) will 549 be identified. Data sets must be open access and, where observations are suited for real-time oper-550 ational use, submission through the Global Telecommunication System (GTS)/WMO Information 551 System (WIS) should be mandatory. Special attention will be given to WMO standards including 552 the Binary Universal Form for the Representation of meteorological data (BUFR). Finally, all data 553 sets should be published in data journals such as Earth System Science Data (ESSD), and a YOPP 554 special issue in ESSD is desirable. 555

YOPP will also explore largely uncharted territory in the area of polar forecast verification; it 556 will contribute to our understanding of the value of improved polar prediction capabilities; and 557 it will help to educate the next generation of scientists. YOPP will be carried out in three stages 558 (Fig. 8): the ongoing YOPP Preparation Phase which started in 2013, the YOPP Phase from mid-559 2017 to mid-2019, and the YOPP Consolidation Phase from mid-2019 to 2023. A more detailed 560 description is available from the YOPP Implementation Plan (PPP Steering Group 2014) and in a 561 meeting report from a high-level planning event — the YOPP Summit — that was held at WMO 562 headquarters from 13-15 July 2015 (Goessling et al. 2015) 563

564 **3. Discussion**

Given the increasing interest in polar regions, it has been argued that existing prediction capacity there needs to be urgently enhanced to effectively manage the risks and opportunties associated with growing human activities and to support local communities in a rapidly changing climate. Research areas with specific activities that have been identified here will need particular attention
 from the international community of scientists, operational prediction centres and stakeholders to
 ensure timely progress.

While the focus of the discussion in this paper has been primarily on environmental prediction 571 on daily to seasonal time scales, it is important to point out that by moving polar prediction into 572 the focus of the international community, much needed progress in many areas of climate research 573 and prediction can also be anticipated. In fact, we would argue that the polar regions are ide-574 ally suited to a seamless prediction approach (Palmer et al. 2008; Brunet et al. 2010). Firstly, 575 there is no clear distinction between the weather and climate research community in polar re-576 gions, with the latter, for example, providing substantial contributions to developing and running 577 the observing system. Secondly, coupled models and coupled data assimilation systems will need 578 to be used, even for short-term predictions traditionally addressed by atmosphere-only systems. 579 While clearly challenging, eventually using coupled models in short-term predictions will provide 580 a unique opportunity for diagnosing the origins of model error and hence improving climate mod-581 els and climate projections. Furthermore, the high resolution needed for short-term predictions 582 will allow new insights into the climate relevance of small-scale features such as leads in sea ice 583 or orographic jets. 584

⁵⁸⁵ Coupled data assimilation systems will also be important for optimizing the observing system in ⁵⁸⁶ polar regions. In the past, much emphasis has been put on climate monitoring. With the increasing ⁵⁸⁷ demand for predictive information, more is asked of the polar observing system; and well-tested ⁵⁸⁸ coupled data assimilation systems provide a good opportunity to redesign the polar observing ⁵⁸⁹ system to meet the different competing demands in a cost effective manner. The work will also ⁵⁸⁰ pave the way for improved reanalysis of the polar regions.

⁵⁹¹ In summary, the growing demand for polar predictive capacity along with a community ready to ⁵⁹² take on the challenge through international collaboration, means that significant future advances ⁵⁹³ can be expected that go well beyond the polar regions and time scales considered in this paper.

Acknowledgments. Mohamed Dahoui from ECMWF is acknowledged for providing Fig. 3.
Soumia Serrar contributed to producing Figs. 4 and 5. Gunnar Spreen and Dimitris Menemenlis
provided the original confirguration for the 4-km simulations with the MITgcm that formed the
basis for Fig. 6. Contributions to the PPP Trust Fund are acknowledged as well as AWI's financial
contribution to hosting the ICO.

599 **References**

- ACIA, 2004: Impacts of a warming Arctic—Arctic climate impact assessment. pp. 144. ISBN
 0521617782. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, December 2004.
- Aspelien, T., T. Iversen, J. Bremnes, and I.-L. Frogner, 2011: Short-range probabilistic forecasts
 from the Norwegian limited-area EPS: long-term validation and a polar low study. *Tellus*, 63A,
 564–584.
- Barnes, E., and J. Screen, 2015: The impact of Arctic warming on the midlatitude jet-stream: Can
 it? Has it? Will it? WIREs Clim. Change, doi:10.1002/wcc.337.

Barnston, A., M. Tippett, M. L'Heureux, S. Li, and D. DeWitt, 2012: Skill of real-time seasonal
enso model predictions during 2002–11: Is our capability increasing? *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*,
93, 631–651.

⁶¹⁰ Bauer, P., L. Magnusson, J.-N. Thepaut, and T. M. Hamill, 2014: Aspects of ECMWF model ⁶¹¹ performance in polar areas. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, doi: 10.1002/qj.2449.

⁶¹² Bhatt, U. S., M. A. Alexander, C. Deser, J. E. Walsh, J. S. Miller, M. S. Timlin, J. Scott, and R. A.
 ⁶¹³ Tomas, 2008: The atmospheric response to realistic reduced summer arctic sea ice anomalies.
 ⁶¹⁴ Arctic Sea Ice Decline: Observations, Projections, Mechanisms, and Implications, 91–110.

Bougeault, P., and Coauthors, 2010: The THORPEX interactive grand global ensemble. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **91 (8)**, 1059–1072.

⁶¹⁷ Boullot, N., F. Rabier, R. Langland, R. Gelaro, C. Cardinali, V. Guidard, P. Bauer, and A. Do-⁶¹⁸ erenbecher, 2014: Observation impact over the southern polar area during the Concordiasi field

campaign. Quart. J. R. Met. Soc., doi: 10.1002/qj.2353.

- Bourassa, M. A., and Coauthors, 2013: High-latitude ocean and sea ice surface fluxes: Challenges
 for climate research. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94 (3), 403–423.
- Bromwich, D., F. Otieno, K. Hines, K. Manning, and E. Shilo, 2013: Comprehensive evaluation
 of polar weather research and forecasting model performance in the Antarctic. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 118, 274–292.
- Bromwich, D. H., A. J. Monaghan, K. W. Manning, and J. G. Powers, 2005: Real-time forecasting

for the Antarctic: An evaluation of the Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System (AMPS). *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **133** (3), 579–603.

- ⁶²⁸ Brunet, G., and Coauthors, 2010: Collaboration of the weather and climate communities to ad-⁶²⁹ vance subseasonal-to-seasonal prediction. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **91**, 1397–1406.
- ⁶³⁰ Buizza, R., M. Miller, and T. N. Palmer, 1999: Stochastic representation of model uncertainities
 ⁶³¹ in the ECWMF Ensemble Prediction System. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **125**, 2887–2908.
- ⁶³² Buizza, R., and T. Palmer, 1995: The singular-vector structure of the atmospheric global circula-⁶³³ tion. J. Atmos. Sci., **52**, 1434–1456.

- ⁶³⁴ Chevallier, M., and D. Salas-Mélia, 2012: The role of sea ice thickness distribution in the Arctic
 ⁶³⁵ sea ice potential predictability: A diagnostic approach with a coupled GCM. *J. Climate*, 25 (8),
 ⁶³⁶ 3025–3038.
- ⁶³⁷ Cohen, J., and Coauthors, 2014: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather. ⁶³⁸ *Nature Geosci.*, **7**, 627–637.
- ⁶³⁹ Dawson, J., M. Johnston, and E. Stewart, 2014: Governance of Arctic expedition cruise ships in a ⁶⁴⁰ time of rapid environmental and economic change. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, **89**, 88–99.
- ⁶⁴¹ Day, J., E. Hawkins, and S. Tietsche, 2014: Will Arctic sea ice thickness initialization improve ⁶⁴² seasonal forecast skill? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41** (**21**), 7566–7575.
- Eicken, H., 2013: Ocean science: Arctic sea ice needs better forecasts. *Nature*, 497, 431–433,
 doi:10.1038/497431a.
- Elvidge, A., I. Renfrew, J. King, A. Orr, T. Lachlan-Cope, M. Weeks, and S. Gray, 2014:
 Foehn jets over the Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, 141, 698–713,
 doi:10.1002/qj.2382.
- Emmerson, C., and G. Lahn, 2012: Arctic opening: Opportunity and risk in the high north. Lloyd's
 report.
- Goessling, H., and Coauthors, 2015: Paving the way for the Year of Polar Prediction. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00270.1.
- ⁶⁵² Guemas, V., and Coauthors, 2014: A review on Arctic sea-ice predictability and prediction on ⁶⁵³ seasonal to decadal time-scales. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, doi: 10.1002/qj.2401.
- Hall, M., and J. Saarinen, 2010: Polar tourism: Definitions and dimensions. *Scand. J. of Hospital- ity and Tourism*, **10**, 448–467.

- ⁶⁵⁶ Hansen, J., R. Ruedy, M. Sato, and K. Lo, 2010: Global surface temperature change. *Rev. Geo- phys.*, **48** (4), RG4004, doi:10.1029/2010RG000 345.
- ⁶⁵⁸ Hines, K., D. Bromwich, L. Bai, C. Bitz, J. Powers, and K. Manning, 2015: Sea ice enhancements
 ⁶⁵⁹ to Polar WRF. *Monthly Weather Review*, 143, 2363–2385.
- Holland, M., and C. Bitz, 2003: Polar amplification of climate change in coupled models. *Clim. Dyn.*, **21**, 221–232.
- Holland, M., and J. Stroeve, 2011: Changing seasonal sea ice predictor relationships in a changing
 Arctic climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L18 501, doi:10.1029/2011GL049 303.
- Holtslag, A., and Coauthors, 2013: Stable atmospheric boundary layers and diurnal cycles: Challenges for weather and climate models. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 94, 1691–1706.
- Houtekamer, P., and H. Mitchell, 1998: Data assimilation using an ensemble kalman filter tech niqu. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **126**, 796–811.
- Inoue, J., T. Enomoto, and M. Hori, 2013: The impact of radiosonde data over the ice-free Arctic
- Ocean on the atmospheric circulation in the Northern Hemisphere. *Geophy. Res. Lett.*, 40, 864–
 869, doi:10.1002/grl.50207.
- Inoue, J., T. Enomoto, T. Miyoshi, and S. Yamane, 2009: Impact of observations from arctic drifting buoys on the reanalysis of surface fields. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L08 501,
 doi:10.1029/2009GL037 380.
- Inoue, J., A. Yamazaki, J. Ono, K. Dethloff, M. Maturilli, R. Neuber, P. Edwards, and H. Yamaguchi, 2015: Additional Arctic observations improve weather and sea-ice forecasts for the
 Northern Sea Route. *Sci. Rep.*, 5, 16868; doi: 10.1038/srep16868.

- ⁶⁷⁷ Jung, T., M. Kasper, T. Semmler, and S. Serrar, 2014: Arctic influence on subseasonal midlatitude ⁶⁷⁸ prediction. *Geophy. Res. Lett.*, **41** (**10**), 3676–3680, doi: 10.1002/2014GL059 961.
- Jung, T., and M. Leutbecher, 2007: Performance of the ECMWF forecasting system in the Arctic during winter. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, **133 (626)**, 1327–1340.
- ⁶⁸¹ Jung, T., and M. Matsueda, 2014: Verification of global numerical weather forecasting systems in ⁶⁸² polar regions using TIGGE data. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, doi: 10.1002/qj.2437.
- Jung, T., and P. B. Rhines, 2007: Greenland's pressure drag and the Atlantic storm track. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**, 4004–4030.
- Jung, T., and Coauthors, 2015: Polar-lower latitude linkages and their role in weather and climate prediction. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, doi: 10.1175/BAMS–D–15–00121.1.
- ⁶⁸⁷ Juricke, S., H. Goessling, and T. Jung, 2014: Potential sea ice predictability and the ⁶⁸⁸ role of stochastic sea ice strength perturbations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41**, 8396–8403, ⁶⁸⁹ doi:10.1002/2014GL062081.
- Kaleschke, L., X. Tian-Kunze, N. Maass, M. Mäkynen, and M. Drusch, 2012: Sea ice thickness
 retrieval from SMOS brightness temperatures during the Arctic freeze-up period. *Geophy. Res. Lett.*, 39, L05 501, doi:10.1029/2012GL050916.
- Kalnay, E., 2003: Atmospheric Modelling, Data Assimilation and Predictability. Cambridge Uni versity Press.
- Kern, S., and G. Spreen, 2015: Uncertainties in Antarctic sea-ice thickness retrieval from ICESat.
 Ann. Glaciology, 56, 107–119.
- Klinker, E., and P. D. Sardeshmukh, 1992: The diagnosis of mechanical dissipation in the atmo-
- sphere from large-scale balance requirements. J. Atmos. Sci., 49, 608–627.

- Kristiansen, J., S. Sorland, T. Iversen, D. Bjorge, and M. Koltzow, 2011: High-resolution en semble prediction of a polar low development. *Tellus*, 63, 585–604, doi: 10.1111/j.1600–
 0870.2010.00498.x.
- Kristjánsson, J., and Coauthors, 2013: The Norwegian IPY-THORPEX: Polar lows and Arctic
 fronts during the 2008 Andøya campaign. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **92**, 1443–1466.
- ⁷⁰⁴ Kwok, R., 2010: Satellite remote sensing of sea-ice thickness and kinematics: a review. *Journal* ⁷⁰⁵ of Glaciology, 56, 1129–1140.
- ⁷⁰⁶ Lamers, M., E. Eijgelaar, and B. Amelung, 2011: Last chance tourism in antarctica-cruising
- ⁷⁰⁷ for change? Last-Chance Tourism: Adapting Tourism Opportunities in a Changing World,
- H. Lemelin, J. Dawson, and E. Stewart, Eds., London: Routledge, 25–41.
- Laxon, S., and Coauthors, 2013: CryoSat-2 estimates of Arctic sea ice thickness and volume.
 Geophy. Res. Lett., 40, 732–737, doi:10.1002/grl.50193.
- Leutbecher, M., and T. Palmer, 2008: Ensemble forecasting. J. Comp. Phys, 227, 3515–3539.
- Linders, T., and Ø. Saetra, 2010: Can CAPE maintain polar lows? J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 2559–2571.
- ⁷¹³ Masutani, M., and Coauthors, 2010: Observing system simulation experiments at
 ⁷¹⁴ the National Centers for Environmental Prediction. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D07 101,
 ⁷¹⁵ doi:10.1029/2009JD012 528.
- Meredith, M., O. Schofield, L. Newman, E. Urban, and M. Sparrow, 2013: The vision for a southern ocean observing system. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 5, 303–313.

- ⁷¹⁹ Moncrieff, M., D. Waliser, M. Miller, M. Shapiro, G. Asrar, and J. Caughey, 2012: Multiscale
 ⁷²⁰ convective organization and the YOTC virtual global field campaign. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*,
 ⁷²¹ 93, 1171–1187.
- Nguyen, A., R. Kwok, and D. Menemenlis, 2012: Source and pathway of the Western Arctic
 upper halocline in a data-constrained coupled ocean and sea ice model. *J. Phys. Ocean.*, 42 (5),
 802–823.
- ⁷²⁵ Overland, J., J. Francis, R. Hall, E. Hanna, S.-J. Kim, and T. Vihma, 2015: The melt-⁷²⁶ ing Arctic and mid-latitude weather patterns: Are they connected? *J. Climate*, doi: ⁷²⁷ http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI–D–14–00 822.1.
- Palmer, T., F. Doblas-Reyes, A. Weisheimer, and M. Rodwell, 2008: Toward seamless prediction:
 Calibration of climate change projections using seasonal forecasts. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 89, 459–470.
- Palmer, T. N., G. J. Shutts, R. Hagedorn, F. Doblas-Reyes, T. Jung, and M. Leutbecher, 2005:
 Representing model uncertainty in weather and climate prediction. *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*,
 33, 163–193.
- Papritz, L., S. Pfahl, H. Sodemann, and H. Wernli, 2015: A climatology of cold air outbreaks and
- their impact on air–sea heat fluxes in the high-latitude South Pacific. J. Climate, 28, 342–364.
- Pithan, F., B. Medeiros, and T. Mauritsen, 2014: Mixed-phase clouds cause climate model biases
 in Arctic wintertime temperature inversions. *Clim. Dyn.*, 43, 289–303.
- Powers, J., K. Manning, D. Bromwich, J. Cassano, and A. Cayette, 2012: A decade of Antarctic
 science support through AMPS. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc*, **93**, 1699–1712.

740	PPP Steering Group, 2013: WWRP Polar Prediction Project Implementation Plan. WMO Report:
741	WWRP/PPP No. 2 - 2013, 61ff. Available from http://polarprediction.net.
742	PPP Steering Group, 2014: WWRP Polar Prediction Project Implementation Plan for the Year
743	of Polar Prediction (YOPP). WMO Report: WWRP/PPP No. 3 - 2014, 53 ff. Available from
744	http://polarprediction.net.
745	Rabier, F., H. Järvinen, E. Klinker, JF. Mahfouf, and A. Simmons, 2000: The ECMWF opera-
746	tional implementation of four-dimensional variational assimilation. I: Experimental results with
747	simplified physics. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 126, 1143-1170.
748	Rasmussen, E. A., and J. Turner, 2003: Polar Lows. Cambridge University Press.
749	Renfrew, I., G. Petersen, D. Sproson, G. Moore, H. Adiwidjaja, S. Zhang, and R. North, 2009: A
750	comparison of aircraft-based surface-layer observations over Denmark Strait and the Irminger
751	Sea with meteorological analyses and QuikSCAT winds. Quart. J. R. Met. Soc., 135, 2046-
752	2066.
753	Rodwell, M., and T. Jung, 2010: Diagnostics at ECMWF. ECMWF Seminar Proceeding on "Diag-
754	nosis of Forecasting and Data Assimilation Systems, 7–9 September 2009", ECMWF, Shinfield
755	Park, Reading RG2 9AX, UK, 77–94.
756	Rodwell, M., and Coauthors, 2013: Characteristics of occasional poor medium-range weather
757	forecasts for Europe. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 94, 1393-1405.
758	Rodwell, M. J., and T. Jung, 2008: Understanding the local and global impacts of model physics
759	changes: An aerosol example. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 134 (635), 1479–1497.

Rodwell, M. J., and T. N. Palmer, 2007: Using numerical weather prediction to assess climate 760 models. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133 (622 A), 129-146. 761

- Roemmich, D., and J. Gilson, 2009: The 2004–2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. *Progress in Oceanography*,
 82 (2), 81–100.
- Sandu, I., A. Beljaars, P. Bechtold, T. Mauritsen, and G. Balsamo, 2013: Why is it so difficult to
 represent stably stratified conditions in numerical weather prediction (NWP) models? *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 5 (2), 117–133.
- Smith, G., F. Roy, and B. Brasnett, 2013: Evaluation of an operational ice-ocean analysis
 and forecasting system for the Gulf of St Lawrence. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, 139, 419–433,
 doi:10.1002/qj.1982.
- Smith, L., and S. Stephenson, 2013: New Trans-Arctic shipping routes navigable by midcentury. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, E1191–E1195, doi:
 10.1073/pnas.1214212110.
- Steinhoff, D., D. Bromwich, and A. Monahan, 2013: Dynamics of the foehn dynamics of the foehn mechanism in the McMurdo Dry Valleys of Antarctica from Polar WRF. *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, **139**, 1615–1631.
- Stroeve, J., L. Hamilton, C. Bitz, and E. Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, 2014: Predicting September
 sea ice: Ensemble skill of the SEARCH Sea Ice Outlook 2008-2013. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41,
 doi: 10.1002/2014GL059 388.
- Team, V., and L. Manderson, 2011: Social and public health effects of climate change in the '40
 South'. *WIREs Clim. Change*, 2, 902–918.

- Tian-Kunze, X., L. Kaleschke, N. Maaß, M. Mäkynen, N. Serra, M. Drusch, and T. Krumpen,
 2013: SMOS derived sea ice thickness: algorithm baseline, product specifications and initial
 verification. *Cryosphere Discussion*, **7** (6), 5735–5792.
- Tietsche, S., and Coauthors, 2014: Seasonal to interannual arctic sea ice predictability in current
 global climate models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 1035–1043.
- Tilinina, N., S. Gulev, and D. Bromwich, 2014: New view of Arctic cyclone activity from the
 Arctic system reanalysis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 1766–1772.
- Toth, Z., and E. Kalnay, 1993: Ensemble forecasting at NMC: The generation of perturbations.
 Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 74, 2317–2330.
- ⁷⁹¹ Vihma, T., and Coauthors, 2014: Advances in understanding and parameterization of small-scale
 ⁷⁹² physical processes in the marine Arctic climate system: a review. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14,
 ⁷⁹³ 9404–9450.
- ⁷⁹⁴ Wang, W., M. Chen, and A. Kumar, 2013: Seasonal prediction of Arctic Sea Ice Extent from a
 ⁷⁹⁵ Coupled Dynamical Forecast System. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **141**, 1375–1394, doi: 10.1175/MWR–
 ⁷⁹⁶ D–12–00057.1.
- Yamazaki, A., J. Inoue, K. Dethloff, M. Maturilli, and G. König-Langlo, 2015: Impact of ra diosonde observations on forecasting summertime arctic cyclone formation. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 120, 3249–3273, doi: 10.1002/2014JD022925.

LIST OF FIGURES

801 802 803 804	Fig. 1.	Research icebreaker <i>Polarstern</i> on a nocturnal ice station during its winter expedition to Antarctica in 2013. The harsh environmental conditions of the polar regions pose substantial logistical challenges, which call for a concerted international effort to ensure scientific progress. (Photo courtesy of S. Hendricks, AWI)		39
805 806	Fig. 2.	Research areas that will need to be addressed to advance polar predictive capacity (adapted from PPP Steering Group 2013).	Z	40
807 808 809	Fig. 3.	Conventional observations that were assimilated by the operational forecasting system at ECMWF on 15 April 2015. Different colours are used for different observation types (see legend).	۷	41
810 811 812 813 814 815 816	Fig. 4.	Vertical profiles of mean 1-day initial tendencies of temperature $(K day^{-1})$ averaged over different regions: (a) sea ice-free Arctic ocean, (b) sea ice-covered Arctic ocean, (c) North- ern Hemisphere mid-latitude oceans and (d) tropical oceans. Tendencies from the dominant dynamics (black) and physical processes are shown (radiation in blue), vertical diffusion in green, convection in red and large-scale precipation in yellow. Results are based on weather forecasts during boreal winter with the ECMWF model started every 6 hours during the period December through February from 1979 to 2013.	2	42
817	Fig. 5.	Same as Figure 4, except for the standard deviation of daily initial temperature tendencies	Z	43
818 819 820	Fig. 6.	Sea ice thickness (m) on 30 March 2001 as simulated by the MITgcm (sea ice-ocean model forced with reanalysis data) at a horizontal resolution of about 4 km. The simulation is very similar to the one described in Nguyen et al. (2012).	۷	14
821 822 823	Fig. 7.	Mean 2-m temperature difference (in <i>K</i>) between hindcast experiments using observed and persisted sea-ice and sea surface temperature for October 2011: (a) day-2 (b) day-5, (c) day-7 and (b) day-10 forecasts with the ECMWF forecasting system $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	۷	45
824 825	Fig. 8.	Three stages of the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP), including main activities (adapted from PPP Steering Group 2014).	۷	46



FIG. 1. Research icebreaker *Polarstern* on a nocturnal ice station during its winter expedition to Antarctica in 2013. The harsh environmental conditions of the polar regions pose substantial logistical challenges, which call for a concerted international effort to ensure scientific progress. (Photo courtesy of S. Hendricks, AWI)



FIG. 2. Research areas that will need to be addressed to advance polar predictive capacity (adapted from PPP Steering Group 2013) .





FIG. 3. Conventional observations that were assimilated by the operational forecasting system at ECMWF on 15 April 2015. Different colours are used for different observation types (see legend).



FIG. 4. Vertical profiles of mean 1-day initial tendencies of temperature $(K day^{-1})$ averaged over different regions: (a) sea ice-free Arctic ocean, (b) sea ice-covered Arctic ocean, (c) Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude oceans and (d) tropical oceans. Tendencies from the dominant dynamics (black) and physical processes are shown (radiation in blue), vertical diffusion in green, convection in red and large-scale precipation in yellow. Results are based on weather forecasts during boreal winter with the ECMWF model started every 6 hours during the period December through February from 1979 to 2013.



FIG. 5. Same as Figure 4, except for the standard deviation of daily initial temperature tendencies.



FIG. 6. Sea ice thickness (m) on 30 March 2001 as simulated by the MITgcm (sea ice-ocean model forced with reanalysis data) at a horizontal resolution of about 4 km. The simulation is very similar to the one described in Nguyen et al. (2012).



FIG. 7. Mean 2-m temperature difference (in *K*) between hindcast experiments using observed and persisted sea-ice and sea surface temperature for October 2011: (a) day-2 (b) day-5, (c) day-7 and (b) day-10 forecasts with the ECMWF forecasting system



FIG. 8. Three stages of the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP), including main activities (adapted from PPP Steering Group 2014).