Growth, toxin production, active oxygen species and catalase activity of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Cyanophyceae) exposed to temperature stress
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**A B S T R A C T**

Microcystis are known for their potential ability to synthesize toxins, mainly microcystins (MCs). In order to evaluate the effects of temperature on chlorophyll a (Chl a), growth, physiological responses and toxin production of a native *Microcystis aeruginosa*, we exposed the cells to low (23°C) and high (29°C) temperature in addition to a 26°C control treatment. Exponential growth rate was significantly higher at 29°C compared to 23°C and control, reaching 0.43, 0.32 and 0.33 day−1 respectively. In addition, there was a delay of the start of exponential growth at 23°C. However, the intracellular concentration of Chl a decreased significantly due to temperature change. A significant increase in intracellular ROS was observed in coincidence with the activation of enzymatic antioxidant catalase (CAT) during the first two days of exposure to 23° and 29°C in comparison to the control experiment, decreasing thereafter to nearly initial values. Five MCs were determined by LC-MS/MS analysis. In the experiments, the highest MC concentration, 205 fg [Leu1] MC-LR·cell−1 expressed as MC-LR equivalent was measured in the beginning of the experiment and subsequently declined to 160 fg·cell−1 on day 2 and 70 fg·cell−1 on day 4 in cells exposed to 29°C. The same trend was observed for all other MCs except for the least abundant MC-LR which showed a continuous increase during exposure time. Our results suggest a high ability of *M. aeruginosa* to perceive ROS and to rapidly initiate antioxidant defenses with a differential response on MC production.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Due to increase in agricultural and industrial activities, nutrient influx into freshwater ecosystems has increased (Carpenter et al., 1998). Cyanobacteria blooms are associated with eutrophic waters as a consequence of decreased phytoplankton diversity (Oliver and Gand, 2000). Different environmental factors favor the predominance of cyanobacteria, such as high temperatures, irradiance conditions (with optimum values between spring and autumn), ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, high pH (6.5 to 8.5), low rate of filtration by zooplankton and the ability to form gas vesicles (Martin, 2000; Paerl and Huisman, 2008).

Blooms of toxic cyanobacteria are a global public health and environmental concern. Among cyanotoxins, microcystins (MCs) are considered to be one of the most dangerous groups, which are known to be potent hepatotoxins (Dawson, 1998) and tumor promoters (Zegura et al., 2003). It is believed that MCs are released from cyanobacteria after cell lysis, whereas only negligible amounts of toxins are apparently released from healthy cells. Over 70 structural analogues of MC have been identified up to date, but only a few occur frequently at high concentrations (Codd et al., 1999; Qi et al., 2015). Minor structural changes may have major effects on uptake, organ distribution and excretion of these toxins (Dietrich and Hoeger, 2005). Recently, Qi et al. (2015) have shown seven new MC variants in the same strain of *Microcystis aeruginosa* used for the present study, which has been previously reported as a [D-Leu1]MC-LR producer (Rosso et al., 2014). MC variants within one cyanobacterial strain may range up to 11 (Krüger et al., 2010). In addition, different temperatures can also be correlated with different MC variants produced (Rapala et al., 1997). Cellular MC production has been indirectly linked to environmental factors influencing cyanobacterial growth rates (Orr and Jones, 1998), which can account for a 3–4 fold variation in total MC concentration (Kurmayer et al., 2002, Kurmayer and Kutzenberger, 2003). In addition, the action mechanisms and the ecophysiological role of toxins remain unclear (Singh et al., 2001; Pfugmacher, 2002; Sedmak and Elersek, 2006; Yoshida et al., 2007). For this reason, the understanding of the...
environmental factors associated with MC production, is necessary to predict toxic events in nature (Hotto et al., 2008). Increasing fossil fuel emissions and deforestation over the past 250 years have increased atmospheric CO2 concentrations at an unprecedented pace and caused a rise of global average temperatures (Raven et al., 2005). In this century, global temperatures are expected to increase about additional 2–5 °C (Houghton et al., 2001). Harmful cyanobacteria such as Microcystis have been found to have an optimal temperature for growth and photosynthesis at 25 °C or above (Reynolds, 2006; Paerl and Huisman, 2008). Furthermore, the cellular toxin content of multiple genera of cyanobacteria increases to a maximum temperature above 30 °C (Rapala et al., 1997).

Temperature increase stimulates the metabolic rate of the plankton (Zinke et al., 2007). The activation of metabolism implies an increase in oxygen consumption and can lead to an oxidative stress condition in cells. However, the metabolic and physiological responses to increased temperature of reactive oxygen species (ROS) of toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria to increasing water temperature has in poorly been explored. When the production of activated oxygen species is higher compared with the quenching activity of antioxidants, the results will be an oxidative damage (Halliwell, 2006). It has been shown that ROS are responsible for the degradation of Chl a and the decrease in the activity of photosystem II (PSII) in phytoplankton photosynthetic antenna (Saison et al., 2010). In addition, cause inhibition of cyanobacterial growth (Dziallas and Grossart, 2011). The formation of ROS is prevented by an antioxidant system: low molecular mass antioxidants (ascorbic acid, glutathione, and tocopherols) and ROS-interacting enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidases and catalases (CAT) (Laffi et al. 2009).

Due to the inherent instability and reactivity of most ROS and their very low steady-state levels, their analysis is a much more difficult task than the determination of concentration of antioxidants and the activities of antioxidant enzymes (Jakubowski and Bartosz, 2000).

Therefore, we hypothesized that temperature, like most environmental stresses cited in the literature (e.g., high light, UV-B, drought, salinity, and heavy metals). induced the production of ROS in Microcystis aeruginosa causing oxidative damage and different MC variants production. The purpose of this study was to elucidate the effects of different temperatures on biomass, ROS and MC production as well as enzymatic antioxidant (CAT) activity on Microcystis aeruginosa in culture conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental set-up

The experiments were performed with the Microcystis aeruginosa strain CAAT 2005–3, isolated from the drainage canal of the sewage town of Pila (35°59′49″S – 58°08′11″W), Buenos Aires province, Argentina (Rosso et al., 2014). Two independent set of experiments were conducted and for each treatment temperature in both experiments, all the parameters was evaluated by independent triplicates.

The unialgal cultures were grown in liquid BG-11 (Rippka et al., 1979) at 26 °C, without the addition of such culture medium for the study. For experiments, we used cells from cultures during the exponential growth phase. Three treatments were applied in both experiments: 23 °C (“low temperature”), 26 °C (“control”) and 29 °C (“high temperature”). The cells were exposed to a controlled environment growth chamber (Model Standard Infors Multitron) with Shaker equipment (90 rpm) using an independent incubator for each treatment. In order to add cool light photosynthetically active radiance (PAR) a set of 2 fluorescent tubes (Philips TL-DI 8 W/54) were fixed at 20 cm above each of the triplicate 2 L Erlenmeyers, with an initial and final (at the end of the experiments) culture medium volume of 1.17 and 1 L, respectively. The average irradiance in each incubator was 30 µmol m–2 s–1 (monitored daily with an IL spectroradiometer) under 14:10 h light:dark photocycle.

In order to normalize the values of Chl a, cells count, dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) and CAT from both experiments, each exposure time point was divided by the initial concentrations of the culture used in the corresponding experiment.

2.2. Sampling procedure for biomass and stress parameters

Water samples were collected every experimental time at 9:00 AM. Such experimental time was exposure day 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 days. Culture aliquots for counts were put into 4.5 mL vials, fixed with acidic Lugol (1% final concentration) and kept in dark at 10 °C until analysis. Samples for 2–7– dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) oxidation rate, for in vivo ROS detection (30 mL) and CAT (30 mL) were filtered through a GF/F fiber glass filter and measured in vivo those destined to measure ROS (see above) and kept at −20 °C until analysis those destined to CAT.

2.3. Chl a analyses and cell counts

Pre-filtered samples (GF/F filters) for Chl a (20 mL) were extracted in 4 mL aceton 90% (Jeffrey and Humphrey, 1975). Spectrophotometric readings of the extracts (24 h later) were used for the calculation of Chl a concentration, after calibration with standard Chl a with a PG spectro-photometer P11. For the identification and enumeration of cyanobacteria’s, cells were analyzed with a phase contrast Olympus inverted microscope according to the procedures described by Villafláhe and Reid (1995) using a Sedwick-Rafter counting chamber. It was determined the ratio between Chl a and total cells (Chl a content per cell: quota Q(Chl-a)).

2.4. Growth measurements

Specific growth rate (µ, day−1) was calculated separately for each replicate by exponential regression of cell density over time for a defined period of exponential growth (Tillmann et al., 2009).

2.5. DCFH-DA oxidation rate

The membrane-permeable non-fluorescent DCFH-DA oxidation has been used for detecting several ROS in biological media (McDowell et al., 2013). Cellular esterases hydrolyze the probe to the non-fluorescent 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein (H2DCF). In the presence of ROS and cellular peroxidases, H2DCF is transformed to the highly fluorescent 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF). Cellular accumulation of reactive species was determined by measuring the oxidation of DCFH-DA in vivo. After the filtration of 6 mL of exposure culture through glass fiber filter, algae cells were incubated in the dark for 30 min in 2 mL of 40 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.0) in the presence of 5 µM DCFH-DA at 27 °C (Malanga et al., 2001). Fluorescence in the cells suspension was monitored in a microplate reader (Beckman coulter DTX 880, Multimode Detectors) with excitation (λex) at 498 nm and emission (λem) at 525 nm. In all cases parallel blank controls were included.

2.6. Catalase activity

CAT activity was evaluated by the decomposition rate of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) at 240 nm at 25 °C (Beutler, 1982). One unit of CAT was defined as the amount of enzyme catalyzing the elimination of 1 mM H2O2 per minute.

2.7. Toxin HPLC/MS analysis

The first set of experiments (thereafter will be named “analysis 1” where cells were exposed to 23, 26 and 29 °C) was analyzed by HPLC
MS. Determining only one principal component of MC toxins which was [Leu1]MC-LR. The instrument was a single quadrupole system (Shimadzu LCMS-2020).

In order to amplify the MC information and evaluate differences in the toxin profiles between control and 29 °C, the second set of experiments (thereafter will be named “analysis 2”) was performed. In this experiment, we conducted a more specific study thorough determination of MC variants by LC-MS/MS (4000 Q Trap, AB-SCIEIX, Darmstadt, Germany).

The LC equipment included a solvent reservoir, in-line degasser (G1379A), binary pump (G1311A), refrigerated autosampler (G1329A/G1330B), and temperature-controlled column oven (G1316A).

For both analyses, cell pellets from 5 mL filtration cultures were extracted with 500 μL methanol by ultrasonication (Sonoplus HD 2070, Bandelin, Berlin, Germany; 70sek/70 cycles/10% power). Extracts were then centrifuged (Eppendorf 5415 R, Hamburg, Germany) at 16,100 × g for 10 min. Each supernatant was transferred to a 0.45-μm pore-size spin-filter (Millipore Ultrafree, Eschborn, Germany) and centrifuged for 30 s at 800 × g. For LC-MS/MS analysis the resulting filtrate was transferred into an LC autosampler vial.

2.7.1. Single ion monitoring (SIM) measurements (analysis 1)

Milli-Q grade water, formic acid (96%, HPLC quality, Tedola, USA) and acetonitrile (LC-MS grade, Tedola, USA) were used.

Separation of MCs (50 μL sample injection volume) was performed by reverse-phase chromatography on a C18 phase. The analytical column (150 × 4.6 mm) was packed with 5 μm (Thermo) and maintained at 25 °C. The flow rate was 1 mL min⁻¹ with a flow division before the entrance to the ESI (0.2 mL min⁻¹ to the ESI-MS). The gradient elution was performed with two eluents, where eluent A was water and B was acetonitrile, both containing 12.7 mM formic acid. Initial conditions were 30% B, followed by a linear gradient to 70% B in 12 min, 3 min isocratic elution with 70% B, then a linear gradient to 30% B in 5 min (total run time: 20 min).

2.7.2. Single reaction monitoring (SRM) measurements (analysis 2)

Water was deionized and purified (Milli-Q, Millipore, Eschborn, Germany) to 18 MΩ cm⁻¹ or better quality. Formic acid (90%, p.a.), acetic acid (p.a.) and ammonium format (p.a.) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The solvents, methanol and acetonitrile, were high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

Separation of MCs (5 μL sample injection volume) was performed by reverse-phase chromatography on a C18 phase. The analytical column (150 × 3 mm) was packed with 3 μm Luna 100 Å (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) and maintained at 20 °C. The flow rate was 0.2 mL min⁻¹ and gradient elution was performed with two eluents, where eluent A was water and B was methanol/water (95:5 v/v), both containing 2.0 mM ammonium format and 50 mM formic acid. Initial conditions were 100% B, followed by a linear gradient to 50% B in 1 min, isocratic elution min with 50% B until 5 min then a linear gradient to 90% B until 15 min followed by isocratic elution with 90% B until 20 min and returning to initial conditions until 21 min followed by 9 min column equilibration with 0% B (total run time: 30 min).

For the samples evaluated with quadrupole equipment and mass profiles were determined in one period min with curtain gas: 15 psi, CAD: medium, ion spray voltage: 5500 V, temperature: 550 °C, nebulizer gas: 50 psi, auxiliary gas: 60 psi, interface heater: off, declustering potential: 45 V, entrance potential: 10 V, exit potential: 12 V, collision energy: 50 V. SRM experiments were carried out in positive ion mode by selecting the transitions m/z 498 → 135 (MC-LR), 512 → 135 ([Leu1,Asp3]-MC-LR), 519 > 135 ([Leu1]MC-LR, 526 > 135 ([Leu1,Glul[(OCH3)]2]MC-LR) and 536 > 135 ([ImD2]-MC-LR). Samples were measured against an external standard solution of MC-LR (certified reference material (CRM) programme of the IMB-NRC, Halifax, Canada) and expressed as MC-LR equivalents.

2.8. Statistical analyses

Repeated measurements ANOVA (RMANOVA) were performed (Statistica, version 9) to determine the significance of the differences observed in Chl a, cells biomass, QChl-a, DCF-DA and CAT values during experiment at different temperatures. Normality was verified using a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, whereas the sphericity assumption that concerns variance homogeneity was checked using Mauchley’s test. The main factors considered in the analysis were the number of days of exposure and the type of treatment. Tukey test was additionally performed to determine the differences between treatments. When interaction was significant or the assumptions of sphericity were not satisfied, a one factor ANOVA was performed evaluating the effect of treatment at different days of exposure (Scheiner, 2001). To analyze the significance of the differences observed between Chl a and Cells biomass as a function of exposure time for the three treatments, a Pearson correlation coefficient analysis was applied.

3. Results

3.1. M. aeruginosa biomass and abundance

The initial average concentration of Chl a was 1950 and 900 μg Chl a L⁻¹ for experiment 1 and 2 respectively. Chl a concentrations increased during the first 2 days in all temperature treatments, being significantly higher at 29 °C compared to 23 °C and control during day 1 of the experiment (P < 0.01; Fig. 1). Subsequently, the Chl a values gradually declined until the end of the experiment, being significantly higher at 29 °C during day 4 in comparison to control and 23 °C treatment. At 23 °C Chl a values were lowest during day 3 and 4, being significantly lower compared to control treatment during day 4 (P < 0.05). All Chl a concentrations reached lowest average values on day 7, the lowest in the 29 °C treatment (P > 0.05, Fig. 1).

The initial average concentration of cells was 1100 and 880 × 10³ cells mL⁻¹ for experiment 1 and 2 respectively. Total cyanobacterial cell numbers started to increase from day 1 of exposure. At such time, the biomass was significantly higher in 29 °C (P < 0.01) compared to 23 °C and control, which did not show significant differences between them (P > 0.05; Fig. 2). Maximum cell numbers were reached on day 3 in control and 29 °C treatment, being significantly higher at 29 °C compared to 23 °C and control (P < 0.01). In addition at day 4 the cell number of cultures exposed to 23 °C, were significantly higher.

![Fig. 1. Time course of Chl a (normalized to initial values) during temperature exposure. Each point represents the mean ± standard deviation of the mean (N = 36 and 24 independent replicates for total experiment 1 and 2 respectively) Different letters correspond to significant differences between treatments for the same day. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different from each other values (P > 0.05) (Tukey Test).](image-url)
compared with those exposed to 26 °C and 29 °C (P < 0.01). Therefore, cyanobacteria exposed to 23 °C continued growing until day 4 reaching the maximum biomass values observed from cultures exposed to 29 °C at the end of the exponential growth phase (day 3, Fig. 2). For days 4 and 7, the cyanobacterial biomass at 23 °C and in control decreased without any significant differences between them (P > 0.05) but being significantly lower compared to cells exposed to 29 °C on day 4 (P < 0.01). For the last exposure day the cell numbers did not show any significant differences between temperatures (P > 0.05, Fig. 2). The onset of exponential growth started during the first experimental day and did not show differences between treatments. RMANOVA analyses for total cell abundance showed a significant statistical interaction between treatments and exposure time (P > 0.05). Despite the fact that there were no significant differences between the specific growth rates of cells exposed to 23 °C and in control (0.31 and 0.33 day⁻¹ respectively, P > 0.05), the specific growth rates were significantly higher in cells exposed to 29 °C (0.43 day⁻¹) compared to 23 °C and control (P < 0.01). Chl a and cell density did not show statistically significant linear relationships between the three treatments (r = 0.55; 0.35 and 0.56 for 23, 26 and 29 °C respectively). The quota QChl-a increased during the first 2 days in all treatments. It was significantly lower for the first day in the control compared to 23 °C and 29 °C (P < 0.01), which did not show any significant differences between them (P > 0.05, Fig. 3). At 2 days there were no significant differences of QChl-a between temperatures treatments (P > 0.05). During the following days, QChl-a decreased until the end of the experiment, being higher in control than in the temperature treatments (P < 0.05 for days 3 and 7 and P = 0.01 for day 4). For days 4 and 7 the QChl-a in the 23 °C treatment did not show significant differences to those from 29 °C treatment (P > 0.05, Fig. 3).

3.2. ROS and catalase

The DCF-DA oxidation rate (initial average values 0.25 UA h⁻¹ cells⁻¹) showed similar values throughout the experiment when cyanobacteria were exposed to control temperature (26 °C), being slightly higher during the first exposure day (P > 0.05) (Fig. 4). However, cells in the high temperature (29 ºC) treatment showed a significant increase of ROS (P < 0.01, Fig. 4) compared to control in low temperature conditions. In contrast, after 2 days DCF-DA values were significantly higher in control than at 29 ºC (P < 0.01, Fig. 4). During the 4th exposure day, DCF-DA in cells exposed to 29 ºC showed a new significant decrease (P < 0.01) compared with control and 23 ºC (P > 0.05, Fig. 4).

Regarding to catalase activity (initial average values 5 × 10⁻¹⁶ pmol cells⁻¹), it was not observed any significant difference in cells exposed to control temperature during all the experiment (P > 0.05, Fig. 5). However, it was observed a slight increase in cells after 2 days of exposure in coincidence with the decrease in ROS at such time (Fig. 4). The maximum activity values were reached after 1 day exposure in cells exposed to 29 ºC, being higher compared to the exposure to 23 ºC and control (P < 0.01). During day 1, catalase activity was higher in 23 ºC than in control (P < 0.01). After 2 days, catalase activity at 29 ºC remained with a tendency to stay high compared to cells exposed to control temperature (P > 0.05, Fig. 5), in coincidence with the decline of ROS at 29 ºC (Fig. 4). On the 3rd day, the catalase activity was higher at 23 ºC compared to control and 29 ºC (P < 0.05). On day 4 a further increase was observed in the catalase activity from cells exposed to 29 ºC (P < 0.01) compared to 23 ºC and control (P > 0.05, Fig. 5) in coincidence with the decrease of ROS at high temperature.
3.3. Toxins

3.3.1. MC toxin profile characterization

The samples evaluated in positive ion mode with a triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer showed five of the ten MCs which had previously been observed by Qi et al. (2015) using a more sensitive ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) mass spectrometer. These five MCs were: MC-LR, [Leu1]MC-LR, [Leu1,Asp3]MC-LR, [Leu1,Glu(OCH3)6]MC-LR and [M(O)1]MC-LR (Fig. 6).

3.3.2. Intracellular MC concentration

The most abundant MC was [Leu1] MC-LR. It was expressed as toxin per cell (quota Q[Leu1]MC-LR) with levels of 380 and 202 fg cell\(^{-1}\) (expressed as MC-LR equivalent) in the beginning of the analysis 1 and 2, respectively. In the subsequent days, the Q[Leu1]MC-LR decreased significantly in both experiments. During the second set of experiments the MC variation at 23 °C was not evaluated considering that the principal temperature impacts on biomass, ROS and CAT activity was observed at 29 °C. For the analysis 1, the Q[Leu1]MC-LR for cells exposed to 29 °C were significantly lower (P<0.01) compared to those observed at 23 °C and control during exposure days 1 and 3, which didn’t show significant differences between them (P>0.05). During days 4 and 7 there was no significant differences between treatments, despite the fact that the toxin cell content at 23 and 29 °C were of low concentration compared with control (Fig. 7). For analysis 2, there was no significant differences between day 2 and 4 for Q[Leu1]MC-LR in the control. However, at 29 °C the Q[Leu1]MC-LR on day 4 was significantly lower (P<0.01) compared to the previous days (Table 1). The same trend was observed for the other MCs. For Q[Leu1,Glu(OCH3)6]MC-LR and Q[M(O)1]MC-LR at 29 °C on day 4, a significant decrease was observed, despite an increase of both toxins at 29 °C compared to control on day 2. Q[Leu1,Asp3]MC-LR

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>26 °C</th>
<th>29 °C</th>
<th>Significance level (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC-LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>25.6 ± 5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>10.5 ± 4.6</td>
<td>43.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>7.78 ± 6</td>
<td>12.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Leu1, Asp3]MC-LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>17.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Leu1]MC-LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>202 ± 9.6</td>
<td>207 ± 31</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>143 ± 7</td>
<td>162 ± 19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>163 ± 10</td>
<td>75 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Leu1,Glu(OCH3)6]MC-LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[M(O)1]MC-LR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
exposed to 29 °C, was only significantly lower than control on day 4 (Table 1). In contrast, QMC-LR showed the opposite trend, namely a significant increase from an initial value of 0.3 fg.cell\(^{-1}\) at day 0 to 12.9 fg.cell\(^{-1}\) at day 4 at 29 °C (Table 1). The QMC-LR relative to total MC was significantly higher at day 4 (\(P < 0.01\)) when cells were exposed to 29 °C (Fig. 8).

4. Discussion

The growth of cells, in all treatments of our experiment, was a typical example of batch culture growth. However, the rapid decline of cells could be due to the high initial cells inculums (average initial concentration 9 × 10\(^5\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)) and the consequent rapid nutrient consumption. High cell densities were necessary in order to overcome the detection limit of oxidative stress analysis with a daily minimum sampling avoiding the experimental edge effect. Thus only 10% of the experimental culture volume was used during the overall experiment. Cyanobacterial blooms have increased in frequency in last decades. Warmer temperatures and eutrophication are often proposed as principal factors which promote these events (Chorus and Bartram, 1999; Pael and Huisman, 2008). In the current century, global temperatures are expected to increase an additional 2–5 °C (Houghton et al., 2001). In this study, the relative change of biomass (both in terms of Chl \(a\).mL\(^{-1}\) and cells.mL\(^{-1}\)) and the change in QChl\(_a\) were affected by changes of temperature in \(M.\ aeruginosa\). It was evident from our data that during the initial days of exposure the cyanobacteria were adjusting to new conditions of low temperature (23 °C). Li et al. (2014) reported a significant decrease in specific growth rate of \(M.\ aeruginosa\) when was incubated at 20 °C in comparison with the incubation at 25 °C. This probably means that cells have to invest a significant amount of energy in the synthesis of antioxidant enzymes (will be discussed later) and repair mechanisms at the expenses of biosynthesis functionality (Häubner et al., 2014). DCF can be oxidized by various ROS, including superoxide anion radical, hydroxyl radical, peroxyl, alkoxyl, hydroperoxyl and peroxynitrite which are products of normal metabolism (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007). Intracellular production of ROS does not necessarily imply cellular toxicity, but oxidative stress will occur when ROS formation exceeds the antioxidant defense capability or disrupts redox signaling, affecting cell functionality (Häußner et al., 2014). DCBH can be oxidized by various ROS including H\(_2\)O\(_2\), organic hydroperoxides, nitric oxide and peroxynitrite (Gomes et al., 2005). In our experiment, an increase of DCBH oxidation was only evident for the first day in the 29 °C treatment. ROS in high concentrations can be extremely harmful to cell constituents (Valavanidis et al., 2006). Oxidative stress has been linked to a number of cellular toxic processes, including damages to proteins, membrane lipid peroxidation, enzyme inactivation and DNA breakage (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007). The fact that the cellular ROS concentrations decreased significantly during the subsequent days in relation to control, could be related to consumption of antioxidants (Mittler, 2002). H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is the most stable ROS and to avoid damage by H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in cyanobacteria, they have evolved various enzymes that are able to detoxify this compound. Two of these are catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX). Catalases are one of the most-studied enzymes that exclusively dismutate H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Chelikani et al., 2004). In our experiment CAT activity increased for cells exposed to 29 °C until day 4, except for...
the 3rd day in which no differences were found in comparison to control. This observation could explain the significant decrease in ROS and avoiding DNA damage and subsequent increased growth rate, observed at 29 °C. The same trend was observed at 23 °C where CAT activity increased on days 1 and 3. Such results could explain the decreased ROS concentration to values that were not significantly different to the control treatment. However, a lower activity of CAT compared to cells exposed to 29 °C could have negative effects on DNA integrity which could be traduced in an increased lag period for the first exposure days. Oxidative damage to DNA by ROS is involved in the decrease of growth and survival (Jordan 1996; Franklin et al. 1997). Bifidobacteria are sensitive to oxidative stress and prevent the damage to DNA by CAT (Zuo et al., 2014). Even though DNA is not the direct target of H2O2 and O2−, in contrast to OH−, they are considered as potential mutagens because they can engender the release of the Fenton-active ferrous iron, thus leading to the production of OH− which can cause lesions on DNA (reviewed by Imlay, 2003). The overproduction of ROS and the resultant depletion of antioxidants lead oxidative stress, whereas this situation could be reversed gradually, probably because of the induction of antioxidant synthesis and antioxidant enzyme activation (Boldt and Scandalios, 1997; Foyer et al. 1994; Halliwell and Gutteridge 1999). In addition to the protective role of CAT mentioned previously, in our study, other enzymes that were not measured, such as peroxidases (Sundaram and Soumya, 2011), could be additionally involved in scavenging H2O2. We cannot determine if the increased resistance to ROS was due to other photoprotective mechanisms or repair processes which might be induced concomitantly together with increased CAT activity. The highly efficient DNA repair systems in cyanobacteria (He et al. 2002), allow these most ancient organisms to survive several environmental stresses during evolution and adapt to these stressing conditions (Ehling-Schulz and Scherer, 1999).

The actual effect of temperature on metabolic rate is complicated by species-specific or ecotype-specific temperature ranges and optima for growth, as demonstrated in ectotypes of Prochlororococcus (Zinser et al. 2007). However, despite the apparent DNA protection by increased CAT activity, Chl a was much more vulnerable to the presence of ROS as discussed previously in relation to Qin,ha observed.

Van der Westhuizen and Elff (1985) determined that temperature has the most pronounced effect on toxicity of M. aeruginosa. In our study we observed a significant decrease of cellular [Leu]1MC-LR in to the 29 °C treatment compared to 23 °C and control starting after 24 h of exposure and until the end of the exponential growth of the cultures. The same results were observed for the 2 order low cellular concentration of: Qin,Leu,ASP1,MC-LR, Qin,Leu,Glu,OCHE1,MC-LR and Q,M,MC1,MC-LR reaching the addition of all this 4 MCs around 16 fg cells−1 in control treatments. Dziallas and Grossart (2011) hypothesize that microcystins function as radical scavengers. Recently, Zilliges et al. (2011) proposed that microcystin can bind to some phycobilins and thus, protects them from degradation by reactive oxygen species. The apparent loss of MC is likely the consequence of a specific and covalent binding of the toxin to various proteins (Meissner et al., 2013, 2014). This binding is strongly enhanced under high light conditions suggesting an important intracellular function of MC during acclimation of Microcystis to oxidative stress conditions (Zilliges et al., 2011). Jüttner and Lüthi (2008) showed that toxin bind to antennae proteins, while Vela et al. (2008) reported the association of MC with a set of proteins in vitro and in vivo. Under high light and oxidative stress conditions, non-covalent interaction is then strengthened by covalent interactions of cysteines and the N-methylhydroxyalanine position of MC. As more evidence supporting the role of MC related to oxidative stress, several studies showed an increased sensitivity of MC-deficient mutants under high light and oxidative stress conditions (Zilliges et al., 2011; Meissner et al., 2015; Makower et al., 2015). In addition, Briand et al. (2008) observed a decrease in MC cell quota in the late exponential growth phase. In the light of the findings of our study, this decrease could be related to an increase in MC binding to proteins in senescent cultures that are accumulating ROS. Results from Dziallas and Grossart (2011) showed that MCs weaken the detrimental effect of H2O2 on M. aeruginosa and that toxin production is temperature dependent. More over, these authors demonstrated that warmer environments are often characterized by more radicals with a higher potential for cell damage (e.g., due to higher diffusibility), and proposed a function of cyanobacterial toxins as radical scavengers being relevant for cyanobacterial growth at elevated temperatures.

Amé and Wunderlin (2005) showed that for natural samples of Microcystis, the percentage of MC-LR:MC-RR was higher at higher temperatures (28 °C) compared to that at lower temperatures (20 °C). This is in contrast to a study by Rapala et al. (1997) that found lower MC-LR concentration associated to lower temperatures (<25 °C) while higher MC-RR concentration were correlated to higher temperatures (>25 °C) for *Anabaena* strains. In our case, different temperatures induce a significant change in the MC profile showing an increasing proportion of MC-LR at 29 °C over total MCs after 4 days exposure (Fig. 8). From such comparison, we may predict that MC-LR from different cyanobacterial species could have different responses to a temperature increase. Genome sequencing and characterization of the MC synthetase gene clusters in Microcystis species has elucidated the roles of various mcy genes in the MC biosynthesis pathway (Nishizawa et al., 2000). Our results, as well as those from Qi et al. (2015) showed that an isolated strain of *M. aeruginosa* may produce different structural variants of MCs. It can be explained by the multispecificity of single domains of the MC biosynthesis complex. In particular, the first module of mcy B can incorporate a variety of different amino acids at the variable X position within the MC structure (Christiansen et al., 2003). At this variable position, [Leu]1MC-LR contains leucine, whereas MC-LR contains alanine (one of the smaller amino acids). Our results show that the composition of MC variants may change with increased temperature. The cell quota of 4 of the 5 MC variants determined decreased (see above), whereas the Q,MC-LR increased starting after 24 h of exposure. In order to try explain such findings, we could hypothesize that a conformational change in the substrate-binding place of the first module of the mcy B enzyme could lead to a change in the substrate specificity of the module. A plausible alternative explanation could be that increasing temperature conditions induce changes in the composition of available amino acids, resulting in a shift in MC synthesis from [Leu]1MC-LR to MC-LR. Further research is needed to confirm this possibility. Mowe et al. (2015) for tropical Microcystis strains, found that high temperatures (30, 33, and 36 °C) may lead to a greater dominance of a more toxic variant (MC-LR) in *M. ichthyoblabe*. However, in contrast with our temperate strain, they didn't find any significant increasing of MC-LR for *M. aeruginosa* comparing 27, 30, 33 and 36 °C but with a coincident decrease in MC quota with increasing temperature.

### 5. Conclusions

During present investigation we found a significant increase in ROS induced by a temperature shift from control (26 °C) to 23 °C and 29 °C, respectively. However, the antioxidant CAT enzymes were more active under stress as improved scavenging activity with a decrease in ROS concentration and a subsequent increased growth at high temperature. Interestingly, the *M. aeruginosa* isolate from a temperate environment, was able to cope with the potential damage caused by an increase in oxidant species due to a temperature change between 23 and 29 °C. The formation of OH− can be significantly inhibited by CAT, indicating that H2O2 is also generated in the system and acts as a potential source of OH− with the potential damage to DNA. Such results could explain the delay of the start of exponential growth at low temperature and, as well as the significant decreased Chl a concentration due to temperature change.

Our findings support the hypothesis that *M. aeruginosa* may have a greater competitive advantage over other species at higher mean water temperatures such as 29 °C. The mean temperature of the Río
de la Plata (Argentina) and its adjacent region during the warm period (November–March) is around 25 °C (Guerrero et al., 2010). M. aeruginosa was monitored in the area (Giannuzzi et al., 2012) and MCs were detected in drinking water (Echenique et al., 2014). From our results we may predict that increasing temperatures up to 29 °C (projected higher mean water temperature under climate change) could produce a decreased total MC cell quota in M. aeruginosa but leading to higher proportions of the more toxic MC-LR variant (Kotak et al., 1995). Therefore, continued climatic warming could lead to a dominance of M. aeruginosa populations including blooms that contain a greater percentage of cells with high concentrations of MC-LR. Moreover, because MCs are chemically very stable and do not readily undergo proteolytic or hydrolytic attack (Yu et al., 2009), these toxins can finally end up in human food through the food chain or drinking water, and the chronic impact of accumulated MCs on human health cannot be ignored (Chen et al., 2009).
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