

# AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Monthly Weather Review

## **EARLY ONLINE RELEASE**

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-16-0214.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Dörnbrack, A., S. Gisinger, M. Pitts, L. Poole, and M. Maturilli, 2016: Multilevel cloud structures over Svalbard. Mon. Wea. Rev. doi:10.1175/MWR-D-16-0214.1, in press.

© 2016 American Meteorological Society

### Multilevel cloud structures over Svalbard

Andreas Dörnbrack<sup>1</sup> and Sonja Gisinger Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany Michael C. Pitts NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia 23681 USA Lamont R. Poole Science Systems and Applications, Incorporated, Hampton, Virginia 23666 USA Marion Maturilli Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Telegrafenberg A43, D-14473 Potsdam Corresponding author: Andreas Dörnbrack, DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Münchener Str. 20, D – 82234 Wessling, Germany, Email: andreas. doernbrack@dlr.de

#### Abstract

The presented picture of the month is a superposition of space-borne lidar observations and high-resolution temperature fields of the ECMWF integrated forecast system (IFS). It displays complex tropospheric and stratospheric clouds in the Arctic winter 2015/16. Near the end of December 2015, the unusual northeastward propagation of warm and humid subtropical air masses as far north as 80°N lifted the tropopause by more than 3 km in 24 h and cooled the stratosphere on a large scale. A widespread formation of thick cirrus clouds near the tropopause and of synoptic-scale polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) occurred as the temperature dropped below the thresholds for the existence of cloud particles. Additionally, mountain waves were excited by the strong flow at the western edge of the ridge across Svalbard, leading to the formation of mesoscale ice PSCs. The most recent IFS cycle using a horizontal resolution of 8 km globally reproduces the large-scale and mesoscale flow features and leads to a remarkable agreement with the wave structure revealed by the space-borne observations.

#### 1 Introduction

The "picture of the month" as presented in this short contribution is not a photo of the sky spontaneously shot from a digital camera. The picture as displayed in Figure 1 is a combination of space-borne measurements by the CALIOP (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization) instrument onboard the CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations) satellite during one of several Arctic overpasses on 30 December 2015 and a high-resolution short-term forecast of a numerical weather prediction (NWP) model utilizing an unprecedented global resolution of about 8 km (for data sources, see Appendix). In our days of ceaseless swells of pictures taken everywhere and anytime on the planet, a snapshot taken from a sensor much different than a camera, taken from a perspective so much different than from Earth, and superimposed with numerical predictions reflecting the observed flow features with a remarkable realism elicits wonder and admiration.

The selected "picture of the month" displays tropospheric and stratospheric cloud structures which appeared simultaneously during a remarkable meteorological situation in the high Arctic near Svalbard on 30 December 2015. The resulting spectacular and uncommon appearance of diverse cloud types and structures at different layers reaching up to 28 km altitude was documented by the CALIOP measurements of total attenuated backscatter at 532 nm (Fig. 1a). The CALIOP observations show an extensive, elongated structure of cirrus clouds within the upper troposphere up to an altitude of about 13 km. The cirrus clouds are also nicely seen in the infrared satellite image at this time (Fig. 2). Slightly more than 6 km above this cirrus deck, CALIOP detected a nearly 8 km deep layer of synoptic-scale polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) embedded in an extended cold layer with temperatures less than 191 K. Within this layer, vertically tilted and horizontally separated patterns of enhanced attenuated backscatter are collocated with cold stratospheric temperature values less than 185 K (Fig. 1b). They are

reminiscent of mountain-wave induced PSCs (e.g. Maturilli and Dörnbrack, 2006, Fig. 9). This first, qualitative interpretation is supported by the very structured ice PSCs occurring in a region both above and downstream of Svalbard's mountains and above the underlying clouds near the tropopause as mentioned above. As shown later, the satellite trace as sketched in Figs. 3 and 6 was nearly parallel to the stratospheric winds at this time and the mountain-wave induced temperature anomalies are tilted into the ambient wind.

The spectacular display of PSCs<sup>1</sup> has fascinated people for a long time and they have been observed scientifically since the 1880s (e.g. Backhouse, 1885, Geelmuyden, 1885, Mohn, 1893, Stanford and Davies, 1974). A first step to explain the nature of these clouds was the exact determination of their height range between 20 and 30 km by Störmer (1929, 1931). During the recent thirty years, PSCs were systematically monitored because chemical reactions on cloud particles play a major part in the depletion of the ozone layer (Solomon, 1999). The reactions are very efficient at low temperatures due to the increase in particle surface area and in heterogeneous reaction rates (Peter, 1997). The large interannual variability of the Arctic polar vortex and of the polar cap minimum temperatures attained during northern hemispheric winters (Fig. 3) regularly sparks off the scientific interest about the possible formation of PSCs and the consequential ozone depletion in spring. For an overview of the evolution of the recent winter 2015/2016, see the excellent review by Manney and Lawrence (2016).

PSCs form in a variety of ways. First of all, the ambient stratospheric temperatures must fall below a certain threshold where liquid or solid particles can form. Here, different freezing processes (homogeneous and/or heterogeneous freezing) determine the composition of the PSC particles. Generally, one differentiates between ice PSCs, which can exist at  $T < T_{FROST}$ , solid nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) PSCs, which can exist at  $T < T_{NAT}$  ( $\approx T_{FROST} + 7$  K), and liquid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These clouds are often called mother-of-pearl clouds because of their magnificent display of spectral colors.

supercooled ternary solution (STS; HNO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) PSCs, which can exist at  $T \lesssim T_{FROST} + 3$  K. Since  $T_{FROST}$  depends on atmospheric humidity it varies with altitude ( $T_{FROST} \approx 188$  K at 50 hPa and  $T_{FROST} \approx 185$  K at 30 hPa). PSCs can be observed in-situ by instruments flying on balloons (e.g. Rosen et al., 1992) or by in-situ airborne sensors (e.g. Dye et al., 1996). The high altitudes and vertical extent of PSCs favor remote-sensing systems such as lidars operated on ground (e.g. di Liberto et al., 2014) or on aircraft (e.g. Browell et al, 1990), or satellite solar occultation PSC measurements (e.g. Strawa et al, 2002). Most of the recent studies on PSCs focused on the composition and sizes of the particles (e.g. Reichardt et al., 2015) and chemical processes occurring at their surfaces that yield ozone-destructive, reactive chlorine species (e.g. Solomon, 1999).

Numerical modelling of PSCs has always been a challenging task as chemical and dynamical aspects of their formation and existence must be combined (e.g., Reichardt et al., 2004). Their formation is primarily influenced by large-scale processes such as the radiative cooling inside the Arctic polar vortex. Moreover, it is known that both synoptic-scale as well as mesoscale weather systems influence the formation of PSCs and the associated chemical reactions (Teitelbaum and Sadourny, 1998, Carslaw et al., 1998). Simulation of mesoscale mountain waves especially posed a challenge, and special methods such as linear wave prediction models and mesoscale forecast models were used in the past to predict their local formation (e.g., Dörnbrack et al., 1998, Eckermann et al., 2006). In this day and age, global operational NWP models use spatial resolutions which hardly could be attained by limited area models several years ago. For example, the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) currently runs its operational predictions of the Integrated Forecast System (IFS) cycle 41r2 at 8 km globally (Hólm, et al, 2016, Malardel and Wedi, 2016). Here, it will be shown that the conditions under which the observed mesoscale ice PSCs formed can be resolved by the IFS.

#### 2. Weather Situation and Gravity Wave Characteristics

#### Cold Arctic Polar Vortex

The composite "picture of the month" was taken in a period when the temperatures inside the Arctic stratospheric vortex were unusually cold (Fig. 3). In November/December 2015, the Arctic vortex was minimally disturbed by upward propagating planetary waves (Matthias et al., 2016) and the polar cap minimum temperature T<sub>MIN</sub> between 65°N and 90°N dropped well below the climatological mean. The red T<sub>MIN</sub>-line in Fig. 3 reveals that the threshold of T<sub>NAT</sub> at 50 hPa was already reached at the beginning of December 2015, and T<sub>MIN</sub> dropped below T<sub>FROST</sub> at the end of 2015. Apparently, the minimum temperatures falling below T<sub>FROST</sub> at the end of the year constitute a new record. In its further evolution, the Arctic polar vortex remained cold, stable and coherent until end of February 2016 (Manney and Lawrence, 2016). The final warming already occurred at the beginning of March 2016. Such an early collapse of the cold polar vortex is in accordance with the findings of the climatological study of Hu et al. (2014). In such a cold stratospheric environment, the period of temperatures below T<sub>FROST</sub> and T<sub>NAT</sub> at 50 hPa lasted more than one month and three months, respectively. CALIPSO observations until the end of January 2016 confirm the widespread occurrence of PSCs in the Arctic.

#### Tropospheric Flow Conditions

Near the end of December 2015, the tropospheric flow over the Northern Atlantic was characterized by an anticyclonic Rossby wave breaking event. Figure 4 illustrates the late stages of this event by means of the height and wind at the 2 PVU surface from the ECMWF operational analyses valid on 29 December 2015 at 18 UTC and twelve hours later on 30 December 2015 at 06 UTC, respectively. A ridge with tropopause heights of up to 13 km extended north to latitudes

above 80°N. Between this ridge with high surface pressure (maximum at about 1038 hPa) over Eastern Europe and a surface pressure low over Greenland (minimum at about 968 hPa), a strong south-westerly low-level flow extended over the entire northern Atlantic and the Norwegian Sea towards Svalbard (Fig. 5 a, b). East of Greenland, warm and moist air was advected northwards as shown by the increased values of the equivalent potential temperature (Fig. 5 c, d). Further south near Iceland, a storm with a core pressure of 930 hPa on 30 December 2015 at 06 UTC propagated north. This weather situation led to a combination of two processes relevant for the cloud structures as shown in Fig. 1. First, the increase of the tropopause height associated with the anticyclonic Rossby wave breaking led to a cooling of the air masses in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) due to adiabatic expansion. Secondly, the strong troposphere-deep pressure gradient between the upstream Greenland/Iceland cyclone complex and the downstream Scandinavian ridge forced a strong flow across the mountains of Svalbard which reached a maximum at 30 December 2015 at 00 UTC with horizontal winds larger 25 m s<sup>-1</sup> at 700 hPa (Fig. 5 e, f).

A series of five radiosonde ascents from Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (79°N, 12°E) covering the period from 27 to 31 December 2015 (Fig. 6) illustrates the previous findings from the IFS analyses. First of all, the warming of the troposphere by about 15 K due to the warm-air advection can be clearly seen in Fig. 6a. During the same period, the tropopause rose and sharpened dramatically. Indeed, the vertical temperature profiles of 29 and 30 December 2015 at 12 UTC are more typical for a mid-latitude station than for an Arctic location.

#### Large-scale cooling

An analysis of radiosonde soundings from Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard reveals a drop of the mean temperature between 10 and 13 km by about 9 K in three days reaching 200 K on 29

December (see Table 1). This strong cooling associated with the tropopause ascent led to the formation of the observed cirrus clouds at these levels. Not only the temperature in the vicinity of the tropopause dropped, but the mean stratospheric temperature between 20 and 25 km altitude also decreased by about 4 K due to the lifting of the atmosphere above the ridge (Table 1). In this way, the mean temperatures near 30 hPa dropped below 185 K, the ice existence temperature  $T_{FROST}$ . However, increased backscatter values indicative of ice PSCs appear primarily at and leeward of the wave crests as shown in Figure 1a. The adiabatic cooling by ascending air parcels led to a local temperature decrease and to ice nucleation which require temperatures  $T \lesssim T_{FROST} - 4$  K. Thus, we conclude that the stratospheric ice clouds as seen by CALIOP were generated due to mountain-wave induced temperature anomalies.

#### Mountain-wave induced cooling

As documented in Table 1, the magnitude of stratospheric temperature fluctuations  $\Delta T^{SP}$  measured in the layer from 20 to 25 km increased from values of around 5 K on 26 December 2015 to values up to 12 K on 29 and 30 December 2015. These fluctuations are represented by the wave-like temperature perturbations as shown in Fig. 1b. There, the areas of increased backscatter nearly coincide with localized regions of T <  $T_{FROST}$ . It must be noted that the CALIPSO satellite trace was nearly aligned with the stratospheric winds as indicated by the orientation of the contour lines of the geopotential height in Fig. 7. Therefore, the ice PSCs as well as the simulated mountain waves are tilted into the ambient stratospheric wind which is oriented from west to east in Fig. 1. The tilting into the ambient wind is characteristic for upward propagating mountain waves (Nappo, 2002).

Figure 7 depicts horizontal cross-sections of the stratospheric wave structure at 10 hPa and 30 hPa by means of the vertical velocity and the absolute temperature from IFS analyses. A

sequence of northwest-southeast oriented updraft-downdraft couplets extends from Svalbard towards the northeast. Altogether, there are four stratospheric cold anomalies associated with the adiabatic cooling in the mountain waves at 10 hPa (Fig. 7 a, c). Their positions clearly correspond to the areas of T < 185 K and the CALIOP PSC observations as presented in Fig. 1b. At the lower level of 30 hPa (Fig. 7 b, d), similarly oriented structures exist whereby the cold areas are slightly shifted to the northeast in accordance with the tilt of the observed PSCs (Fig. 1).

#### Gravity Wave Characteristics

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

Mountain waves are generated and propagate into the stratosphere if there is a major flow across the topography (low-level forcing), the tropospheric and stratospheric winds are large enough to avoid the formation of wave-induced critical levels, and there is no significant turning of the wind with altitude (e.g., Fritts et al. 2016). All these requirements were satisfied in the Svalbard region for the period from 28 to 30 December 2015. The horizontal wind in the lower troposphere (averaged from 2 to 5 km altitude) increased gradually from about 5 m s<sup>-1</sup> to about 30 m s<sup>-1</sup> in the period from 25 to 31 December 2015 as shown by the radiosonde data (Figure 8a). Also near the tropopause level, the averaged wind in the UTLS increased markedly after 28 December up to values of 60 m/s and turned from westerly to southwesterly as the ridge propagated over Svalbard (Table 1). Altogether, the directional shear between tropospheric and stratospheric winds weakened in this period (Fig. 6c). The combination of strong winds in the lower troposphere followed by increased mid- to upper tropospheric winds and the presence of wind of about 25 m s<sup>-1</sup> in altitudes above (15 to 25 km) created a favorable flow situation for mountain wave excitation and vertical propagation to higher altitudes. Indeed, both the temperature and wind profiles from the radiosonde soundings reveal wavelike structures in the stratosphere (Fig. 6) which were analyzed to estimate the kinetic and potential energies of the gravity waves as well as their intrinsic frequency and horizontal wavelength from the radiosonde soundings.

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

For this purpose, a polynomial fit was applied to calculate background profiles of the horizontal wind components and the potential temperature from the radiosonde profiles between 15 and 25 km altitude. The perturbations calculated as difference between the background profiles and the actual radiosonde profiles were treated as signatures of internal gravity waves from which the kinetic and potential energies are determined according to Murphy et al. (2014). Figure 8b shows a peak in the stratospheric kinetic and potential energy densities at 30 December 2015. This enhancement as well as the localized wave appearance over and in the lee of Svalbard (Fig. 7) indicate that the waves are generated by the flow over the mountains. Stokes analysis of the velocity perturbations (Eckermann and Vincent, 1989) of the 30 December radio sounding revealed the intrinsic frequency  $\Omega$  of the dominant gravity wave mode being 6.7 f, where f is the Coriolis parameter. Assuming that the dominant gravity wave mode is a stationary mountain wave its horizontal wavelength can be calculated using the relationship between  $\Omega$ , horizontal wavenumber k and the background wind U for stationary waves  $\Omega = -k$  U, i.e. (Nappo, 2002). Having a background wind of 25 to 35 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 8a) the determined horizontal wavelength is approximately 180 ... 250 km. The effect of the Coriolis force alters the dispersion relationship of non-rotating hydrostatic gravity waves and allows a slantwise, e.g. horizontal and vertical, propagation (Gill 1980, Chapter 8). This explains the multiple mountain-wave induced temperature anomalies separated by about 180 km (Fig. 1b and Fig. 7d). Another example of a similar stratospheric cloud structure above Scandinavia and a more detailed discussion can be found in Dörnbrack et al. (2002).

The effect of mesoscale temperature fluctuations on the polar cap minimum temperatures  $T_{MIN}$  at 50 hPa is illustrated by the new IFS cycle 41r2. Figure 3 contains two  $T_{MIN}$  curves for a period of 3 months when the new IFS cycle was not yet operational. The red line depicts the former IFS cycle 41r1 with 16 km horizontal resolution and the shorter black line is  $T_{MIN}$  of the new, updated operational cycle 41r2 with 8 km horizontal resolution. After 8 March 2016, IFS cycle 41r2 became operational and continues as red line. Obviously, the higher resolution IFS run achieved much lower  $T_{MIN}$  in certain periods, especially at the end of December 2015 and at the end of January 2016 when deviations of up to 7 K occurred. These stratospheric temperature minima were primarily generated by mesoscale gravity waves at various places in the Arctic, especially over Greenland and Scandinavia as shown in Fig. 9 for December 2015. Gravity wave activity was identified by values of the horizontal divergence being larger than  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> as suggested by Dörnbrack et al. (2012) and Khaykin et al. (2015).

#### 4. Conclusions

The uniqueness of this contribution to the "picture of the month" is not only justified by the unusual meteorological situation in the Arctic in mid-winter, but also by the co-existence of tropospheric clouds, i.e. cirrus clouds attached to a 12 km high and sharp tropopause, and different types of PSCs between 18 km and 28 km altitude over a limited area poleward of 80°N. Synoptic-scale lifting was responsible for formation of the ice clouds near the tropopause and NAT or STS PSCs in the stratosphere. The total large-scale ascent was also associated with the formation of an ozone mini-hole observed by OMI<sup>2</sup> which was generally aligned with the tropospheric ridge as shown in Fig. 3, see e.g. Peters et al. (1995) for dynamical aspects of ozone mini-hole formation. Adiabatic cooling in the ascending branches of mesoscale mountain waves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://ozonewatch.gsfc.nasa.gov/Scripts/big\_image.php?date=2015-12-31&hem=N

dropped the stratospheric temperatures far below the threshold temperature for the existence of ice PSCs. The simultaneous formation of synoptic and mesoscale PSCs inside the Arctic stratospheric vortex happened during a rare meteorological situation during the Northern Hemisphere mid-winter. Moreover, it is the remarkable agreement of the simulated wave structure in the IFS short-term forecast and the space-borne observations which indicates a significant trend that the finer resolution and increasing realism of operational NWP model outputs offers a valuable quantitative source for mesoscale flow components which were hitherto not accessible globally (Bauer et al., 2015).

#### **Appendix**

Numerical weather prediction model data

Operational analyses of the integrated forecast system (IFS) of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) are used to provide meteorological data to characterize the atmospheric situation. The operational analyses and forecasts of the deterministic high-resolution (HRES) IFS cycle 41r2 have a horizontal resolution of about 8 km (T<sub>C</sub>1279) and 137 vertical levels (L137)<sup>3</sup>. The model top is located at 0.01 hPa. The enhanced horizontal resolution was achieved by changing from linear (T<sub>L</sub>) to cubic (T<sub>C</sub>) spectral truncation and introducing an octahedral reduced Gaussian grid. With the cubic spectral truncation the shortest resolved wave is represented by four rather than two grid points and the octahedral grid is globally more uniform than the previously used reduced Gaussian grid (Malardel and Wedi, 2016). In December 2015, the IFS cycle 41r2 was not yet in its operational mode but products were disseminated among the users. So, we were able to retrieve forecasts and analysis fields for the current contribution.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://software.ecmwf.int/wiki/display/FCST/Implementation+of+IFS+cycle+41r2 and</u> http://www.ecmwf.int/en/about/media-centre/news/2016/new-forecast-model-cycle-brings-highest-ever-resolution

#### CALIPSO data

The primary instrument on CALIPSO is a lidar (CALIOP, or Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization) that measures backscatter at wavelengths of 1064 nm and 532 nm, with the 532-nm signal separated into orthogonal polarization components parallel and perpendicular to the polarization plane of the outgoing laser beam. A description of CALIOP and its on-orbit performance can be found in Hunt et al. (2009), and details on calibration of the CALIOP data are provided by Powell et al. (2009). CALIOP has proven to be an excellent system for observing PSCs (Pitts et al., 2007; 2009; 2011; 2013).

#### Acknowledgements

Part of this research was funded by the German research initiative "Role of the Middle Atmosphere in Climate (ROMIC)" funded by the German Ministry of Research and Education in the project "Investigation of the life cycle of gravity waves (GW-LCYCLE)". The research of Sonja Gisinger was support by the research group "Multiscale dynamics of gravity waves (MS-GWaves)" in the project "Modification of gravity waves propagating across the tropopause". Access to the ECMWF data was possible through the special project "HALO Mission Support System". The presented radiosonde data were obtained at the AWIPEV research base at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. They are part of the Global Climate Observing Systems (GCOS) Reference Upper-Air Network (GRUAN), available via the webpage <a href="www.gruan.org">www.gruan.org</a>. The very constructive comments of three anonymous reviewers helped considerably to improve the paper.

#### 288 References

289 Backhouse, W., 1885: Iridescent clouds, *Nature*, **31**, 192 –193.

290

- Bauer P, A. Thorpe, and G. Brunet, 2015: The quiet revolution of numerical weather prediction.
- 292 *Nature*, **525**(7567): 47–55.

293

- Browell, E. V., C. F. Butler, S. Ismail, P. A. Robinette, A. F. Carter, N. S. Higdon, O. B. Toon,
- 295 M. R. Schoeberl, and A. F. Tuck, 1990: Airborne lidar observations in the wintertime Arctic
- stratosphere: polar stratospheric clouds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **17**, 385–388.

297

- 298 Carslaw, K. S., and Coauthors, 1998: Increased stratospheric ozone depletion due to mountain-
- induced atmospheric waves. *Nature*, **391**, 675–678.

300

- Dee, D., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of
- the data assimilation system. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **137**, 553–597.

303

- 304 Dörnbrack, A., M. Leutbecher, H. Volkert, and M. Wirth, 1998: Mesoscale forecasts of
- stratospheric mountain waves. *Meteor. Appl.*, **5**, 117–126.

306

- Dörnbrack, A., T. Birner, A. Fix, H. Flentje, A. Meister, H. Schmid, E. V. Browell, and M. J.
- 308 Mahoney, 2002: Evidence for inertia gravity waves forming polar stratospheric clouds over
- 309 Scandinavia. J. Geophys. Res. 107(D20), 8287, doi:10.1029/2001 JD000452.

- Dörnbrack, A., Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., Orsolini, Y. J., Nishii, K., and Nakamura, H., 2012: The
- 312 2009–2010 Arctic stratospheric winter general evolution, mountain waves and predictability of
- an operational weather forecast model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3659–3675, doi:10.5194/acp-12-
- 314 3659-2012.

- Dye, J. E., D. Baumgardner, B. W. Gandrud, K. Drdla, K. S. Barr, D. W. Fahey, L. A. DelNegro,
- A. Tabazadeh, H. H. Jonsson, J. C. Wilson, M. Loewenstein, J. R. Pdolske, and K. R. Chan,
- 318 1996: In-situ observations of an Antarctic polar stratospheric cloud: Similarities with Arctic
- observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23, 1913–1916, DOI: 10.1029/96GL01812.

320

- 321 Eckermann, S. D. and R. A. Vincent, 1989: Falling sphere observations of anisotropic gravity
- wave motions in the upper stratosphere over Australia. *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **130**, 509–532.

- Eckermann, S. D., A. Dörnbrack, H. Flentje, S. B. Vosper, M. J. Mahoney, T. P. Bui, and K. S.
- 325 Carslaw, 2006: Mountain Wave-Induced Polar Stratospheric Cloud Forecasts for Aircraft
- 326 Science Flights during SOLVE/THESEO 2000. Wea. Forecasting, 21, 42–68. doi:
- 327 10.1175/WAF901.1
- Fritts, D. C., R. B. Smith, M. J. Taylor, J. D. Doyle, S. D. Eckermann, A. Dörnbrack, M. Rapp,
- B. P. Williams, P.-D. Pautet, K. Bossert, N. R. Criddle, C. A. Reynolds, P. A. Reinecke, M.
- Uddstrom, M. J. Revell, R. Turner, B. Kaifler, J. S. Wagner, T. Mixa, C. G. Kruse, A. D. Nugent,
- 331 C. D. Watson, S. Gisinger, S. M. Smith, R. S. Lieberman, B. Laughman, J. J. Moore, W. O.
- Brown, J. A. Haggerty, A. Rockwell, G. J. Stossmeister, S. F. Williams, G. Hernandez, D. J.
- Murphy, A. R. Klekociuk, I. M. Reid, and J. Ma, 2016: The Deep Propagating Gravity Wave
- 334 Experiment (DEEPWAVE): An Airborne and Ground-Based Exploration of Gravity Wave

- Propagation and Effects from their Sources throughout the Lower and Middle Atmosphere, *Bull.*
- 336 Am. Meteorol. Soc., **97**, 425–453 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00269.1.

Geelmuyden, H, 1885: Iridescent clouds, *Nature* **31**, 264.

339

340 Gill, A. E., 1982: Atmosphere-Ocean Dynamics, Academic Press, 662 pp.

341

- Hólm, E., R. Forbes, S. Lang, L. Magnusson, and S. Malardel, 2016: New model cycle brings
- 343 higher resolution, ECMWF Newsletter, Spring 2016, 14-19.
- 344 <a href="http://www.ecmwf.int/sites/default/files/elibrary/2016/16299-newsletter-no147-spring-2016.pdf">http://www.ecmwf.int/sites/default/files/elibrary/2016/16299-newsletter-no147-spring-2016.pdf</a>

345

346

- Hu, J., R. Ren, and H. Xu, 2014: Occurrence of winter stratospheric sudden warming events and
- the seasonal timing of spring stratospheric final warming, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 2319–2334, doi:
- 349 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0349.1.

350

- Khaykin, S. M., A. Hauchecorne, N. Mzé, and P. Keckhut, 2015: Seasonal variation of gravity
- wave activity at midlatitudes from 7 years of COSMIC GPS and Rayleigh lidar temperature
- observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 1251–1258, doi:10.1002/2014GL062891.

354

- Di Liberto, L., F. Cairo, F. Fierli, G. Di Donfrancesco, M. Viterbini, T. Deshler, and M. Snels,
- 356 2014: Observation of Polar Stratospheric Clouds Over McMurdo (77.85°S, 166.67°E) (2006-
- 357 2010). *J. Geophys. Res.*, **119**, 5528–5541.

- Liu, Z., M. A. Vaughan, D. M. Winker, C. A. Hostetler, L. R. Poole, D. Hlavka, W. Hart, and M.
- 360 McGill, 2004: Use of probability distribution functions for discriminating between cloud and
- aerosol in lidar backscatter data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D15202, doi:10.1029/2004JD004732.

- Malardel, S. and N. P. Wedi, 2016: How does subgrid-scale parametrization influence nonlinear
- 364 spectral energy fluxes in global NWP models?, J. Geophys. Res., 121,
- 365 doi:10.1002/2015JD023970.

366

- Manney, G. L. and Z. D. Lawrence, 2016: The major stratospheric final warming in 2016:
- 368 Dispersal of vortex air and termination of Arctic chemical ozone loss, Atmos. Chem. Phys.
- 369 *Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-633, in review.

370

- Matthias, V., A. Dörnbrack, and G. Stober, 2016: The extraordinarily strong and cold polar
- vortex in the early northern winter 2015/16. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, under review.

373

- Maturilli, M. and A. Dörnbrack, 2006: Polar stratospheric ice cloud above Spitsbergen, J.
- 375 *Geophys. Res.* **111**, D18, D18210, doi:10.1029/2005JD006967.

376

377 Mohn, H., 1893: Irisirende Wolken, *Meteorol. Z.*, **11**, 81–97.

378

- Murphy, D. J., S. P. Alexander, A. R. Klekociuk, P. T. Love, and R. A. Vincent, 2014:
- Radiosonde observations of gravity waves in the lower stratosphere over Davis, Antarctica. J.
- 381 *Geophys. Res.*, **119**, 11973–11996, doi:10.1002/2014JD022448.

- Nappo, C. J., 2012: An Introduction to Atmospheric Gravity Waves, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Academic Press,
- 384 400 pp.

- Peter, T. 1997: Microphysics and heterogeneous chemistry of polar stratospheric clouds. *Annu.*
- 387 Rev. Phys. Chem., 48, 785–822.

388

- Peters, D., J. Egger, and G. Entzian, 1995: Dynamical aspects of ozone mini-hole formation.
- 390 *Meteor. Atmos. Phys.*, **55**, 205–214.

391

- Pitts, M. C., L. W. Thomason, L. R. Poole, and D. M. Winker, 2007: Characterization of polar
- 393 stratospheric clouds with spaceborne lidar: CALIPSO and the 2006 Antarctic season. Atmos.
- 394 *Chem. Phys.*, **7**, 5207–5228.

395

- Pitts, M. C., L. R. Poole, and L. W. Thomason, 2009: CALIPSO polar stratospheric cloud obser-
- vations: second-generation detection algorithm and composition discrimination. *Atmos. Chem.*
- 398 *Phys.*, **9**, 7577–7589.

399

- 400 Pitts, M. C., L. R. Poole, A. Dörnbrack, and L. W. Thomason, 2011: The 2009-2010 Arctic Polar
- 401 Stratospheric Cloud Season: A CALIPSO Perspective. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 2161–2177.

402

- Reichardt, J., A. Dörnbrack, S. Reichardt, P. Yang, and T. J. McGee, 2004: Mountain wave PSC
- 404 dynamics and microphysics from ground-based lidar measurements and meteorological
- 405 modeling. Atmos. Chem. Phys., **4**, 1149–1165

- Reichardt, J., S. Reichardt, C. Hostetler, P. Lucker, T. McGee, L. Twigg, A. Dörnbrack, M.
- Schoeberl, and P. Yang, 2015: Mother-of-pearl cloud particle size and composition from aircraft-
- based photography of coloration and lidar measurements. *Appl. Opt.*, **54**, B140–B153.

- Rosen, J. M., N. T. Kjome, V. U. Khattatov, V. V. Rudakov, and V. A. Yushkov, 1992:
- Observations of ozone and polar stratospheric clouds at Heiss Island during winter 1988–1989. J.
- 413 *Geophys. Res.*, **97**, 8099–8104, doi:10.1029/91JD02524.

414

- 415 Solomon, S., 1999: Stratospheric ozone depletion: a review of concepts and history. Rev.
- 416 *Geophys.* **37**, 275–316.

417

- Stanford, J. L. and J. S. Davies, 1974: A century of stratospheric cloud reports: 1870–1972. Bull.
- 419 Am. Meteorol. Soc., **55**, 213–219.

420

421 Störmer, C. 1929: Remarkable clouds at high altitudes. *Nature*, **123**, 940–941.

422

- 423 Störmer, C. 1931: Höhe und Farbverteilung der Perlmutterwolken. Geofysiske Publikasjoner IX,
- 424 3–25.

425

- 426 Strawa, A. W., K. Drdla, M. Fromm, R. F. Pueschel, K. W. Hoppel, E. V. Browell, P. Hamill,
- and D. P. Dempsey, 2002: Discriminating Types Ia and Ib polar stratospheric clouds in POAM
- 428 satellite data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**, 8291, doi:10.1029/2001JD000458.

- 430 Teitelbaum, H. and R. Sadourny, 1998: The role of planetary waves in the formation of polar
- 431 stratospheric clouds. *Tellus A*, **50**, 302–312.


#### **Tables**

Date	$T^{TP} / K$	$V_H^{TP}/m s^{-1}$	$\alpha^{TP}  /  K$	T <sup>SP</sup> / K	$\Delta T^{SP} / K$
26 Dec 2015	209.3	8.7	254	188.8	4.7
27 Dec 2015	209.6	27.7	296	187.4	6.5
28 Dec 2015	205.9	23.3	268	187.3	8.4
29 Dec 2015	200.0	23.6	240	184.4	12.0
30 Dec 2015	204.7	36.8	241	185.4	10.1
31 Dec 2ß15	204.1	59.8	199	183.9	6.8


**Table 1:** Mean quantities in the UTLS averaged from 10 to 13 km altitude: absolute temperature  $T^{TP}$ , horizontal wind  $V_H^{TP}$ , and wind direction  $\alpha^{TP}$  from the radiosonde soundings in Ny-Ålesund. The mean stratospheric temperature  $T^{SP}$  is averaged from 20 to 25 km altitude and  $\Delta T^{SP}$  is the difference between the measured maximum and minimum T in this layer.

- 439 Figures
- Figure 1: Composite of 532 nm total attenuated backscatter (10<sup>-3</sup> km<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>, color shaded) from CALIOP
- and ECMWF potential temperature (top, K, solid black lines) and absolute temperature (bottom, K, thin
- black lines every 5 K and thick black lines at 185 K and 191 K) valid on 30 December 2015 at 04 UTC (+
- 443 4 h lead time from the 00 UTC HRES IFS forecast of cycle 41r2.
- Figure 2: Thermal infra-red image (NOAA 19, channel 4: 10.3-11.3μm) on 30 December 2015 0440 UTC
- with the first third of the path of the CALIOP measurements (Fig. 1) as black arrow. Image provided by
- NERC Satellite Receiving Station, Dundee University, Scotland (<a href="http://www.sat.dundee.ac.uk">http://www.sat.dundee.ac.uk</a>).
- 447 Figure 3: 6 hourly ECMWF reanalyses interim (ERA-Interim, Dee et al., 2011) data retrieved at a
- horizontal resolution of 1°: Minimum temperature T<sub>MIN</sub> (K) between 65°N to 90°N at the 50 hPa pressure
- surface. Thick black line denotes the mean values of  $T_{MIN}$  averaged from 1979 2015, the shaded areas
- encompass the minimum and maximum values of  $T_{MIN}$  attained at every date between 1979 and 2015.
- The red line marks the evolution of T<sub>MIN</sub> from operational analyses of the IFS cycle 41r1 until 8 March
- 452 2016. The thin black line indicates T<sub>MIN</sub> from the IFS cycle 41r2 in the pre-operational phase 1 December
- 453 2015 until 8 March 2016 retrieved at a resolution of 0.125°. After 8 March 2016, the black line continues
- as red curve of the operational IFS cycle 41r2.
- Figure 4: Height of the dynamical tropopause (km, color shaded) and horizontal wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs) at the
- 456 2 PVU surface on 29 December 2015 18 UTC (a) and 30 December 2015 06 UTC (b). The path of the
- 457 CALIPSO overpass is plotted as solid black line, the location of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard is marked by a red
- 458 dot.
- 459 **Figure 5:** Tropospheric charts valid on 29 December 2015 18 UTC (left column) and 30 December 2015
- 460 06 UTC (right column). (a,b): Mean sea level pressure (hPa, contour lines), (c,d): Equivalent potential
- 461 temperature (K, color shaded) at 850 hPa, and (e,f): horizontal wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, color shaded) and geopotential
- height (m, contour lines) at 700 hPa.


463 Figure 6: Vertical profiles of absolute temperature (a), horizontal wind (b), and wind direction (c) from radiosonde launches in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard on 27 December (black), 28 December (blue), 29 December 464 465 (thin red), 30 December (thick red), and 31 December (green) 2015 12 UTC, respectively. Figure 7: Vertical velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>, color shading, top row), absolute temperature (K, color shading, bottom 466 467 row), and geopotential height (m, black contour lines) from the IFS cycle 41r2 at 10 hPa (a, c) and at 30 468 hPa (b,d) valid on 30 December 2015 06 UTC. The path of the CALIPSO overpass is plotted as solid black line, the location of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard is marked by a red dot. 469 470 Figure 8: (a) Vertically averaged horizontal wind in the lower troposphere (2 to 5 km, red crosses), the 471 tropopause region (8 to 15 km, blue crosses), and in the stratosphere (15 to 25 km, black crosses). (b) 472 Stratospheric gravity wave kinetic and potential energies (red and blue crosses, respectively) determined from the radiosonde soundings of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard in an altitude range of 15 to 25 km. 473 474 Figure 9: Locations of the polar cap minimum temperatures T<sub>MIN</sub> at 30 hPa (a) and 50 hPa (b) from 6 hourly ECMWF IFS cycle 41r2 analyses for December 2015. The red dots mark T<sub>MIN</sub>-values where the 475 absolute value of the horizontal divergence is larger than 2·10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The large symbol is the location of 476 477 T<sub>MIN</sub> on 30 December 2015 at 06 UTC.




**Figure 1**: Composite of 532 nm total attenuated backscatter (10<sup>-3</sup> km<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>, color shaded) from CALIOP and ECMWF potential temperature (top, K, solid black lines) and absolute temperature (bottom, K, thin black lines every 5 K and thick black lines at 185 K and 191 K) valid on 30 December 2015 at 04 UTC (+4 h lead time from the 00 UTC HRES IFS forecast of cycle 41r2.




**Figure 2**: Thermal infra-red image (NOAA 19, channel 4: 10.3-11.3μm) on 30 December 2015 0440 UTC with the first third of the path of the CALIOP measurements (Fig. 1) as black arrow. Image provided by NERC Satellite Receiving Station, Dundee University, Scotland (<a href="http://www.sat.dundee.ac.uk">http://www.sat.dundee.ac.uk</a>).




**Figure 3**: 6 hourly ECMWF reanalyses interim (ERA-Interim, Dee et al., 2011) data retrieved at a horizontal resolution of 1°: Minimum temperature  $T_{MIN}$  (K) between 65°N to 90°N at the 50 hPa pressure surface. Thick black line denotes the mean values of  $T_{MIN}$  averaged from 1979 – 2015, the shaded areas encompass the minimum and maximum values of  $T_{MIN}$  attained at every date between 1979 and 2015. The red line marks the evolution of  $T_{MIN}$  from operational analyses of the IFS cycle 41r1 until 8 March 2016. The thin black line indicates  $T_{MIN}$  from the IFS cycle 41r2 in the pre-operational phase 1 December 2015 until 8 March 2016 retrieved at a resolution of 0.125°. After 8 March 2016, the black line continues as red curve of the operational IFS cycle 41r2.




**Figure 4:** Height of the dynamical tropopause (km, color shaded) and horizontal wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, barbs) at the 2 PVU surface on 29 December 2015 18 UTC (a) and 30 December 2015 06 UTC (b). The path of the CALIPSO overpass is plotted as solid black line, the location of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard is marked by a red dot.




**Figure 5:** Tropospheric charts valid on 29 December 2015 18 UTC (left column) and 30 December 2015 06 UTC (right column). (a,b): Mean sea level pressure (hPa, contour lines), (c,d): Equivalent potential temperature (K, color shaded) at 850 hPa, and (e,f): horizontal wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>, color shaded) and geopotential height (m, contour lines) at 700 hPa.




**Figure 6:** Vertical profiles of absolute temperature (a), horizontal wind (b), and wind direction (c) from radiosonde launches in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard on 27 December (black), 28 December (blue), 29 December (thin red), 30 December (thick red), and 31 December (green) 2015 12 UTC, respectively.



**Figure 7:** Vertical velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>, color shading, top row), absolute temperature (K, color shading, bottom row), and geopotential height (m, black contour lines) from the IFS cycle 41r2 at 10 hPa (a, c) and at 30 hPa (b,d) valid on 30 December 2015 06 UTC. The path of the CALIPSO overpass is plotted as solid black line, the location of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard is marked by a red dot.



**Figure 8**: (a) Vertically averaged horizontal wind in the lower troposphere (2 to 5 km, red crosses), the tropopause region (8 to 15 km, blue crosses), and in the stratosphere (15 to 25 km, black crosses). (b) Stratospheric gravity wave kinetic and potential energies (red and blue crosses, respectively) determined from the radiosonde soundings of Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard in an altitude range of 15 to 25 km.



**Figure 9:** Locations of the polar cap minimum temperatures  $T_{MIN}$  at 30 hPa (a) and 50 hPa (b) from 6 hourly ECMWF IFS cycle 41r2 analyses for December 2015. The red dots mark  $T_{MIN}$ -values where the absolute value of the horizontal divergence is larger than  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. The large symbol is the location of  $T_{MIN}$  on 30 December 2015 at 06 UTC.