Iron partitioning during LOHAFEX: Copepod grazing as a major driver for iron recycling in the Southern Ocean


ABSTRACT

The LOHAFEX iron fertilization experiment was conducted for 39 days in the closed core of a cyclonic mesoscale eddy located along the Antarctic Polar Front in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. Mixed layer (ML) waters were characterized by high nitrate (~20 μM), low dissolved iron (DFe ~0.2 nM) and low silicate concentrations (~1 μM) restricting diatom growth. Upon initial fertilization, chlorophyll-a doubled during the first two weeks and stabilized thereafter, despite a second fertilization on day 21, due to an increase in grazing pressure. Biomass at the different trophic levels was mostly comprised of small autotrophic flagellates, the large copepod Calanus simillimus and the amphipod Themisto gaudichaudii. The downward flux of particulate material comprised mainly copepod fecal pellets that were remineralized in the upper 150 m of the water column with no significant deeper export.

DFe concentrations in the upper 200 m were not significantly affected by the two fertilizations but after day 14 showed a greater variability (ranging from 0.3 to 1.3 nM) without a clear vertical pattern. Particulate iron concentrations (measured after 2 months at pH 1.4) decreased with time and showed a vertical pattern that indicated an important non-biogenic component at the bottom of the mixed layer. Upon initial fertilization, chlorophyll-a doubled during the first two weeks and stabilized thereafter, despite a second fertilization on day 21, due to an increase in grazing pressure. Biomass at the different trophic levels was mostly comprised of small autotrophic flagellates, the large copepod Calanus simillimus and the amphipod Themisto gaudichaudii. The downward flux of particulate material comprised mainly copepod fecal pellets that were remineralized in the upper 150 m of the water column with no significant deeper export.

DFe concentrations in the upper 200 m were not significantly affected by the two fertilizations but after day 14 showed a greater variability (ranging from 0.3 to 1.3 nM) without a clear vertical pattern. Particulate iron concentrations (measured after 2 months at pH 1.4) decreased with time and showed a vertical pattern that indicated an important non-biogenic component at the bottom of the mixed layer. In order to assess the contribution of copepod grazing to iron cycling we used two different approaches: first, we measured for the first time in a field experiment copepod fecal pellet concentrations in the water column together with the iron content per pellet, and second, we devised a novel analytical scheme based on a two-step leaching protocol to estimate the contribution of copepod fecal pellets to particulate iron in the water column. Analysis of the iron content of isolated fecal pellets from C. simillimus showed that after the second fertilization, the iron content per fecal pellet was ~5 fold higher if the copepod had been captured in fertilized waters. We defined a new fraction termed leachable iron (pH 2.0) in 48 h (LFe48h) that, for the conditions during LOHAFEX, was shown to be an excellent proxy for the concentration of iron contained in copepod fecal pellets. We observed that, as a result of the second fertilization, iron accumulated in copepod fecal pellets and remained high at one third of the total iron stock in the upper 80 m.

We hypothesize that our observations are due to a combination of two biological processes. First, phagotrophy of iron colloids freshly formed after the second fertilization by the predominant flagellate community resulted in higher Fe:C ratios per cell that, via grazing, lead to iron enrichment in copepod fecal pellets in fertilized waters. Second, copepod coprophagy could explain the rapid recycling of particulate iron in the upper
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1. Introduction

Thirteen ocean iron fertilization experiments (OIF) (including the current study) have all demonstrated that iron is a limiting nutrient for primary production in all high nutrient, low chlorophyll (HNLC) areas, corroborating the first tenet of the iron hypothesis (Martin et al., 1990). OIF experiments have increased our understanding of the role of iron in biogeochemical processes in HNLC areas and have also shed new light on the importance of other factors such as temperature, local physical dynamics, co-limitation by silicic acid, depth of the mixed layer, ligand concentration, the response of grazers and the influence of dominant diatom species as modulators of carbon and silicon cycles (Assmy et al.,

Boy et al., 2013; Boyd et al., 2007; Boye et al., 2005; de Baar et al., 2005; Smetacek et al., 2012; Smetacek and Naqvi, 2008; Tauza et al., 2007).

Previous OIF experiments showed that, immediately following ferti-

We present data on the concentration and partitioning of iron in the upper 200 m of the water column measured during the LOHAFEX ex-
pertiment. The role of grazing on controlling phytoplankton biomass during natural blooms and OIF experiments is well documented (Landry et al.,

Smetacek and Naqvi, 2010). In order to contain and limit patch dilution LOHAFEX was conducted in the closed core of a cyclonic mesoscale eddy found north of the Ant-

arctic Polar Front (48°S, 15°W) selected based on altimetry analysis (Fig. 1A). This sector of the Southern Ocean receives more natural iron than other parts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and Chl-a concentrations are moderate (0.3 – 0.6 mg Chl-a m⁻²) (Sokolov and Rintoul, 2007). Recent studies have reported that higher iron levels in this region are mainly sustained by the ACC, which receives and transports high DFe concentrations eastwards (De Jong et al.,

and from upwelling of fertilized waters due to mesoscale frontal dy-
namics (Meskhidze et al., 2007).

LOHAFEX started on 27 January 2009 when a quantity of 10 tons of iron sulfate heptahydrate corresponding to 2 tons of Fe(II), previously dissolved in acidified seawater (HCl, pH = 2) and labeled with SF₆, was released in a spiral pattern in the center of the selected eddy covering a surface area of ~300 km² that was sampled over 39 days (Fig. 1A). As the mixed layer depth was ~50 m at the time of fertilization (Martin et al., 2013), instant mixing would have resulted in an initial DFe concentration of ~2.4 nM throughout the patch (an increase of the DFe standing stock of ~120 μmol Fe m⁻²).
On day 21 of the experiment, after an observed decrease of the surface Chl-a concentration, another 10 tons of ferrous sulfate were released along longitudinal transects across the patch while the circulation moved the patch within the eddy. LOHAFEX is the largest and longest OIF experiment conducted to date.

### 1.2. Overall LOHAFEX features

A detailed account of the sampling methodology of ancillary parameters, preliminary data obtained onboard, and an overview of the physical evolution of the fertilized patch, can be found elsewhere (Martin et al., 2013; Smetacek and Naqvi, 2010). Prior to the first iron fertilization, the vertical distribution of Chl-a to a depth of 60 m was nearly homogeneous at a concentration of 0.4–0.5 mg Chl-a m\(^{-3}\); below 60 m the concentration diminished rapidly and was below detection limits at 100 m (Fig. S1). The concentrations of nutrients in the ML were \(\sim 20 \mu M\) for NO\(_3\), \(\sim 1.2 \mu M\) for PO\(_4^{3-}\), and \(< 1 \mu M\) for silicate (Smetacek and Naqvi, 2010). Low silicate concentrations led to an ecological community different from what was anticipated, thus making it necessary to redefine priorities and analytical procedures as the experiment progressed.

The effect of the iron fertilization was manifested by: i) a doubling of the Chl-a standing stock (from 38 to 84 mg Chl-a m\(^{-2}\)) by day 18 (Figs. 1B and S2); ii) an increase of the photosynthetic quantum efficiency (Fv/Fm) ratio from an initial \(\sim 0.33\) to 0.4–0.5 for the rest of the experiment, indicative of relief from iron limitation (Martin et al., 2013).

Despite dilution and patch fragmentation during the last week, the Chl-a stock decreased only moderately to 64 mg m\(^{-2}\) by the end of the experiment. Chl-a stocks varied also at the OUT stations (Fig. S2), and reached values of 47 (station 199, day 35) and 57 mg Chl-a m\(^{-2}\) (station 160, day 23). A general minor accumulation of Chl-a south of the Polar Front, including the unfertilized waters of the eddy during LOHAFEX, was apparent from satellite images obtained 18 days into the experiment (Figs. S2 and S3). This natural dynamic was important since many biological and chemical variables, including DFe in surface waters, showed similar values at IN and OUT stations during the five weeks the experiment lasted. For instance, there was a similar increase of the grazing pressure and bacterioplankton numbers, both in fertilized and unfertilized waters (Martin et al., 2013; Thiele et al., 2012). The second fertilization had no significant effect on any of the biological or chemical parameters monitored.

The fertilized patch rotated clockwise inside the eddy core and maintained its coherence until day 32 (Fig. 1A). Thereafter the shear effect of a nearby anticyclone scattered the patch in filaments. Modeling, supported by satellite images and Fv/Fm measurements, suggested that the “hot spot” of the patch was diluted by about 50% after day 20 and by about 80% by day 39 (Martin et al., 2013).

Three major results from the LOHAFEX experiment, relevant for the interpretation of iron partition data, can be highlighted. First, the plankton composition - prokaryotes, protists (phyto- and protozooplankton) and metazooplankton - remained surprisingly stable throughout the experiment, without significant differences between fertilized waters and OUT stations (Mazzocchi et al., 2009; Thiele et al., 2012; Thiele et al., 2014). Given the low silicate concentration, diatoms accounted for only a small and approximately constant fraction (< 5% of autotrophic plankton biomass) (Thiele et al., 2014). Nanoflagellates (Phaeocystis-like species) and coccolith cells (< 10 μm) comprised over 50% of the phytoplankton biomass. The community comprised of bacterial and archaeal plankton was remarkably constant with similar numbers found at IN and OUT stations (Thiele et al., 2012). The zooplankton biomass was dominated by the large copepod Calanus similimus (~30,000 individuals m\(^{-2}\)) with a diurnal migration down to
voltammetry (AdCSV) was used onboard with the initial objective of consumption continued but Chl-a concentrations levelled off day 24 (station 162) that we labeled the growth phase at IN stations, and 3 casts collected at OUT stations (Table 1). Iron copepods; we refer to this last stage as the dilution phase).

By combining the evolution of biological and chemical parameters presented above we can divide the time evolution of the LOHAFEX experiment into three periods: 1) an initial phase during the first 12 days (up to station 137) that we labeled the growth phase; this was characterized by a steady increase of Chl-a concentrations and consumption of nutrients (NO3−, PO43−); 2) a second phase from day 13 to day 24 (station 162) that we labeled the grazing phase; where nitrate consumption continued but Chl-a concentrations levelled off due to the grazing pressure exerted by large copepods; and 3) the last two weeks (days 25–37) of the experiment that were characterized (despite patch dilution) by a moderate decrease of the Chl-a concentration, stable nutrient concentrations, and relaxation of the grazing pressure by large copepods; we refer to this last stage as the dilution phase.

2. Methods

2.1. Iron sampling

Samples for iron measurements were obtained from one hydrocast the day before the first iron fertilization, followed by 13 casts collected at IN stations, and 3 casts collected at OUT stations (Table 1). Iron profiles were produced for the upper 200 m (dots in Fig. 2), although time restrictions and/or problems with bottle collection resulted in fewer shallower profiles. Since the fertilized patch did not remain static and its horizontal distribution was not homogeneous, sampling the center of the fertilized patch was challenging; therefore, concentrations presented in this paper might not represent maximum concentrations in the patch.

Seawater for trace metal analysis was collected in 8 Teflon-coated 5 L Go-Flo bottles mounted in an epoxy-coated aluminum frame (all from General Oceanics) and deployed on a Kevlar hydrowire. The bottle closure system was pressure triggered. After recovery, the bottles were immediately moved to an overpressurized particle-free plastic closure system was pressure triggered. After recovery, the bottles were 5 L Go-Flo bottles mounted in an epoxy-coated aluminum frame (all the patch.

Secondly, the initial buildup of autotrophic and mixotrophic biomass characterized by a steady increase of Chl-a concentrations and concentration during the analysis of Milli-Q water, and was found to be 0.09 nM. Analysis of SAFE reference seawater (Johnson et al., 2007) gave concentrations of 0.86 nM for sample D2 (consensus

2.2. Iron analysis

Ultrapure water was supplied by an Elix/Milli-Q apparatus (Millipore). Hydrochloric acid (Ultrapur, Merck) and ammonia (UltraTrace, Sigma) were of the maximum commercially available purity. Iron standards were prepared by dilution of an atomic absorption spectrometry standard solution (BDH) in Milli-Q water acidified to pH8.5 = 2.0 (HCl = 0.01 M).

Two different analytical techniques were used to measure iron concentrations during LOHAFEX. Adsorptive Cathodic Stripping Voltammetry (AdCSV) was used onboard with the initial objective of contributing to the tracking of the patch. Back in the home lab, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) measurements were carried out.

The electrochemical analyzer consisted of a 663 VA stand (Metrohm AG) with a hanging mercury drop electrode (HMDE), a glassy carbon counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, controlled by a µAutolab voltammetter (Eco Chemie B.V.). The VA stand was insulated from ship rolling movements and vibrations by fixing it to a PVC platform that was suspended by an elastic rope from a second PVC platform; this second platform was firmly fixed to the work bench of a particle-free laminar hood placed in the bubble. Samples collected in 250 mL LDPE bottles were immediately acidified at pH 2.0 (HCl ~ 0.012 M), and 3 × 10 mL aliquots of the sample were transferred immediately to 30 mL LDPE bottles (Nalgene) where they were allowed to equilibrate for ~24 h in the presence of 30 µL 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN, Merck). The concentration of the Fe-DHN complex was determined by AdCSV (Laglera et al., 2013) immediately after neutralization to pH ~ 8.6 ± 0.3 by the addition of a 50% ammonium solution (the precise volume used depended on the aging of the ammonia solution) and 500 µL of a combined solution of piperazine-\(N,N′\)-bis-(2-hydroxypropanesulfonic) acid (POPSO, Sigma-Aldrich) and potassium bromate (AnalaR, BDH). Contamination from iron in the combined solution was successfully removed by double equilibration for 24 h with a MnO2 suspension subsequently discarded by gravity filtration (0.2 µm). Analyses were calibrated with internal iron additions. The accuracy of our AdCSV method was recently reassessed by comparison with analysis of UV digested samples and with the measurements generated by preconcentration in an oxine column, with flow injection analysis by chemiluminescence (Laglera et al., 2013). Iron contamination from all reagents was determined by tripling their individual concentration during the analysis of Milli-Q water, and was found to be 0.09 nM. Analysis of SAFE reference seawater (Johnson et al., 2007) gave concentrations of 0.86 nM for sample D2 (consensus

Table 1
Summary of LOHAFEX stations sampled for the analysis of iron concentrations with corresponding mixed layer depth (MLD). Station 137 was intended to sample patch waters but our data indicates that it was located instead at the edge of the fertilized patch. The right hand column indicates the parameters related to iron partitioning and speciation. DFe: dissolved iron, LFe48h: iron leached after 48 h at pH 2.0, TLFe: total leachable iron (see text for more details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Days after 1st fertiliz.</th>
<th>Days after 2nd fertiliz.</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>MLD (m)</th>
<th>Fe parameters sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>– 1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Initial conditions</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>DFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>N-S transect, N edge</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>N-S transect, N edge</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>N-S transect, N edge</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>DFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>EDGE</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>DFe, LFe48h, TLFe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
value 0.93 ± 0.02 nM) and 0.093 nM for sample S (consensus value 0.093 ± 0.008 nM). Due to time restrictions, duplicate and triplicate analyses were sometimes not measured when the analyses of the first aliquot gave a consistent profile (data shown without standard deviations in Table S1).

Iron concentrations after the cruise were determined by ICP-MS (PerkinElmer ELAN DRC-e) after pre-concentration using APDC/DDDC organic extraction (Tovar-Sánchez, 2012). Samples (500 mL) were acidified in the home lab with ultrapure HCl (30%) to a pH of 1.4 (HCl ~ 0.023 M) and stored for ~2 months prior to analysis. The accuracy of the analysis was established using two SeaWater Reference Materials for Trace Elements (NASS-5 and CASS-4 CRM-CNRC, 3.71 nM and 12.77 nM respectively) with recoveries of 98% and 92% respectively. Extraction blanks were checked at regular intervals and prepared by extracting ultrapure water using exactly the same reagents and conditions as described for the samples. Blank signals were typically < 20% of the signals obtained from the analysis of the less concentrated cruise samples.

Because of time and analytical constraints, measurements on board were exclusively devoted to the analysis of unfiltered samples and isolated copepod fecal pellets after day 24 (see below). The DFe data over the whole length of the experiment was therefore obtained from a mix of on board AdCSV measurements up to day 24 (13 stations), and ICP-MS measurements in the home lab for samples from day 27 onwards (4 stations). The two methods gave consistent results with good agreement (Fig. S4). Both data sets were highly correlated (n = 15; Pearson correlation: r = 0.802, p < 0.001) and a two-tailed t-test of data pairs did not show any differences between the methods (mean difference = −0.0026 ± 0.210 nM, p = 0.963).

### 2.3. Iron fractions defined in this study

As DFe profiles showed no prominent features or trends during the first week, we concluded that the study of iron cycling required the analysis of unfiltered samples. We collected unfiltered samples from S stations that were analyzed by ICP-MS analyses (similar to DFe: acidification with HCl to pH ~ 1.4 for 2 months), in order to assess iron stocks well after both fertilizations took place (Table 1). We labeled this fraction “total leachable iron” (TLFe). It is representative of total iron in particles except for very refractory phases such as aluminosilicates and other compact crystalline structures (Powell et al., 1995; Raiswell et al., 1994) and large zooplankton (e.g.: Calanus copepods) that can escape being captured with Go-Flo bottles. In some previous works, this fraction has been labeled as “total dissolvable iron” (TDFe).

A novelty of this work is the use of an approach based on a two-step acid leaching treatment to define an additional third fraction: the iron leached in 48 h (LFe₄⁸⁻) defined as the concentration of iron measured in unfiltered samples by voltammetry (Laglera et al., 2013) after acidification for 48 h at a pH of 2.0 (HCl ~ 0.012). Even though this treatment is definitely not sufficient to recover most of particulate iron (Lannuzel et al., 2011; Spokes and Jickells, 1996), this procedure was selected after observations that this protocol was strong enough to recover the iron contained in C. similimnus fecal pellets (see Section 3.3). The voltammetric method does not require particle removal by filtration or other physical processes to assess the concentration of particulate iron leached into the dissolved phase since particles do not interact with the working electrode. We prepared 10 mL triplicates from the original 250 mL sample bottle in order to assess the analytical precision. However, depending on time constraints and the consistency of the vertical profiles obtained after analysis of the first aliquot, some duplicates and triplicates were not processed (same criterion as that used for DFe data).

According to this analytical scheme we define the following particulate fractions (all denoted by an initial P):

1. **PLFe₄⁸⁻** represents the Fe leached from particles > 0.2 μm in 48 h at pH 2.0.

2. **PTLFe** = TLFe − LFe₄⁸⁻

3. **TLFe** = PTLFe + PLFe₄⁸⁻ + DFe

The overall fractionation scheme and the nature of the particles that were targeted by each protocol are presented in Table 2. Section 4.2 provides bibliographic and empirical evidence that justify the assignments of the different types of Fe in oceanic particles to the different fractions shown in Table 2. We want to stress that adsorbed iron on particles is not included in the PTLFe fraction since it would have been desorbed by the LFe₄⁸⁻ treatment and included in PLFe₄⁸⁻.

All iron concentration values are presented in Table S1. No sample data have been discarded and dubious concentration values are underlined in Table S1.

### Table 2

**Description of the analytical procedure followed to determine the different operationally-defined Fe fractions presented in this work (see text for more details).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyzed fraction</th>
<th>Filtration</th>
<th>Acidification protocol</th>
<th>Analytical method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFe</td>
<td>0.2 μm</td>
<td>24 h; pH 2.0 (2 months)</td>
<td>AdCSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFe₄⁸⁻</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>48 h; pH 2.0 (2 months)</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLFe</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>pH ~ 1.4</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Derived fraction</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Targeted contributors</th>
<th>Possible untargeted contributors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLFe₄⁸⁻</td>
<td>LFe₄⁸⁻ − DFe</td>
<td>Copepod fecal pellets</td>
<td>Adsorbed Fe, amorphous Fe oxhydroxides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTLFe</td>
<td>TLFe − LFe₄⁸⁻</td>
<td>Biotic pool</td>
<td>Airborne or glacial dust, aged Fe oxhydroxides</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Complementary onboard experiments to characterize the contribution of phytoplankton and fecal pellets to LFe$_{48h}$

We used aliquots of non-axenic clonal phytoplankton cultures onboard and the acid leaching methods described in Section 2.3 to test iron leaching from three typical bloom forming species of the Southern Ocean: Phaeocystis cf. antarctica, Fragilariopsis kerguelensis and Pseudo-nitzschia lineola. In particular P. antarctica is representative of the flagellate community that was predominant in the experiment. A 50 mL volume of cell culture (in f/2 culture medium (Guillard and Ryther, 1962)) was filtered (0.45 μm pore size PVA filters) and rinsed with 3 × 100 mL filtered seawater to remove traces of the FeCl$_3$ and EDTA added to the culture medium (initially equimolar at 1.2 × 10$^{-5}$ M) that could interfere with the AdCSV measurement. Filters were moved immediately to a clean 30 mL LDPE container containing 20 mL of filtered seawater. Cells were resuspended by gently shaking the container for 2 min. Next the filter was discarded and 3 × 500 μL of the suspension was transferred to 3 × 500 mL LDPE containers (dilution 21 fold) each containing 10 mL of 0.22 μm filtered seawater ([Fe] = 0.32 nM). The concentration of iron leached from the cells was measured following the acidification protocol described above for LFe$_{48h}$. The remaining cell suspensions (17.5 mL each) were stored for analysis of the TLFe fraction by ICP-MS back on land. The possibility of carry over of Fe or EDTA from the culture media was tested by immediate analysis of 10 mL 0.22 μm filtered seawater spiked with 500 μL of cell suspensions in the presence of 30 μM DHN. These analyses resulted in iron concentrations close to the background concentration.

We also isolated the contribution to particulate iron by C. simillimus fecal pellets after the second fertilization. We used suspensions of freshly collected C. simillimus fecal pellets at six stations (160, 182 and 199 representing OUT stations and 170, 192 and 204 from IN stations). Copepods were collected from the upper 100 m layer with a WP2 vertical net equipped with a plastic 10 L non-filtering cod end. Immediately after sampling, C. simillimus individuals (mainly copepodites V and IV) were sorted and transferred to a clean Petri dish filled with clean filtered seawater. Fecal pellets were collected from the Petri dish with a Pasteur pipette and washed by two transfers in clean Petri dishes filled with the same clean filtered seawater. Finally, groups of 15

![Fig. 3. Vertical distribution of the different iron fractions (DFe, LFe$_{48h}$ and TLFe) measured in the upper water column during the LOHAFEX experiment. The dashed red lines indicate the MLD (details in (Martin et al., 2013)). Note different scale for the TLFe concentrations at Station 139, day 14. Day 12 sampling started in fertilized waters, however, it is probable that the ship drifted from fertilized waters during its completion. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
to 50 pellets were transferred to 30 mL LDPE bottles filled with 10 mL clean filtered seawater. All bottles received the LFe$_{48\ h}$ treatment before analysis onboard. Seven bottles containing fecal pellets from copepods captured at station 204 were stored to receive the TLFe treatment for comparison. All pellet iron concentrations are presented in Tables S2 and S3. The results from OUT station 182 are not reported because the zooplankton species collected at this station was not representative of the LOHAFEX community (large red copepods that did not belong to the genus Calanus). We processed and analyzed 31 samples (for a total of 1066 pellets) from copepods collected in fertilized waters, and 16 samples (693 pellets) from copepods collected in non-fertilized waters (Table S2). This sampling protocol allowed us to collect fecal pellets without contamination (blanks after manipulation are shown in Table S2) but at the cost of losing vertical resolution.

The kinetics of iron leaching at pH 2.0 were determined by repeated analysis of 10 mL aliquots extracted from 500 mL of filtered (0.2 μm) seawater and 70 C. similimus fecal pellets (collected at station 204). The analysis was repeated approximately every 20 min until a stable concentration was obtained. The bottle was swirled every few minutes to homogenize its contents and pellets were allowed to settle for 2 min before the extraction of a new aliquot from the upper 2 cm of the bottle. Results are discussed in Section 3.3.

2.5. Fecal pellet sampling for microscopic counting

Fecal pellet concentrations and identifications were obtained from microscopy counts of samples collected with a CTD Rosette sampler at several discrete depths in the upper 500 m of the water column. For that purpose the entire contents of one Niskin bottle (12 L) was gently poured through gauze of 20 μm mesh size. The retained particles were concentrated to a final volume of 50 mL and fixed with formaldehyde at a final concentration of 2%. For the calculation of the contribution of fecal pellets to particulate iron we used data only from those stations sampled after the second fertilization: IN stations on days 24, 32 and 36 and OUT station 199 (day 35).

3. Results

3.1. Dissolved iron during LOHAFEX

The temporal evolution of DFe in the fertilized patch is shown in Fig. 2. The vertical profiles obtained at the most illustrative stations (except days 2 to 4) are plotted in Fig. 3. Prior to fertilization, DFe was low (0.21 ± 0.05 nM) and showed no trend with depth down to 300 m. CLE-CSV analyses (van den Berg, 2006) of filtered samples in the presence of dihydroxynaphthalene showed that naturally occurring organic ligands were found in excess of DFe in all but two of the samples with ligand to DFe ratios in the range 0.7 to 19 nmol ligand (nmol DFe)$^{-1}$ (average 6.4 ± 3.4 nmol ligand (nmol DFe)$^{-1}$, data not published).

During the first 12 days after fertilization (growth phase), DFe concentrations were constant down to 200 m (without any gradient across the ML) with values around 0.3 nM. This is surprising since our iron infusions were equivalent to an increment of 2.4 nM throughout the ML. Vertical profiles during the grazing period (days 14 to 34) showed higher DFe concentrations near surface with an exponential decrease with depth in the upper 40 m of the water column (average DFe concentrations in the 0.5–0.7 nM range with values up to 1.5 nM at some stations). Again, this increment was not related to the second fertilization since high surface concentrations were found before day 21. During the dilution phase (day 25 onwards) vertical profiles became less consistent with high concentrations scattered down to 100 m (average of ~0.6 nM with some values up to 0.9 nM).

The DFe distribution at the OUT stations was expected to remain close to pre-fertilization conditions, however, DFe vertical profiles showed variability similar to what was observed in fertilized waters. DFe concentrations on day 16 were around 0.3 nM except for the upper 30 m of the water column. The higher concentration at 20 m was flagged since we found a higher concentration in the unfertilized sample. Samples from days 23 and 35 showed a high degree of patchiness down to 150 m, with two flagged values at 50 m and 70 m depth, respectively, on day 23. The flagged data will not be considered for further discussion.

3.2. Particulate iron during LOHAFEX

Vertical profiles of TLFe showed that particulate iron by far exceeded DFe (Fig. 3). TLFe concentrations during the grazing phase before the second fertilization were between 2 and 12 nM and 2.5–5 nM at days 14 and 18, respectively. In the last days of the experiment, TLFe concentrations decreased to values between 1.7 and 6.8 nM and 0.8–2.3 nM, on days 34 and 37, respectively. All TLFe profiles showed a well-defined bulge at 50–100 m with a maximum at, or slightly below, the ML with concentrations several times higher than those found at 200 m (Fig. 3). This accumulation reached a maximum of 12 nM at 100 m on day 14 before the second fertilization and was less pronounced towards the end of the experiment. At OUT station 199 (day 36, Fig. 3) a featureless TLFe profile was obtained with concentrations in the range 2.2–3.8 nM.

3.3. Acidification experiments with C. similimus fecal pellets and phytoplankton cells

Iron leaching at pH 2.0 from C. similimus fecal pellets increased for 10–15 h before leveling off at a concentration equivalent to a release of ~0.039 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ (Fig. S5). In order to estimate the percentage of iron recovered from fecal pellets with the LFe$_{48\ h}$ treatment, fecal pellets from C. similimus collected on the same cast (day 37/station 204) were analyzed using both the LFe$_{48\ h}$ and the TLFe protocols (results in Tables S2 and S3). The concentrations for LFe$_{48\ h}$ (measured 9 suspensions containing 20 to 40 pellets each) and TLFe (measured in 7 suspensions containing 20 to 40 pellets each) were similar (0.036 ± 0.012 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ and 0.038 ± 0.007 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$, respectively). The iron contained in fecal pellets released by C. similimus captured after the second fertilization (all data in Table S2) was found to be significantly higher (Mann-Whitney test, p < 0.001) at 3 IN stations (days 24, 34 and 37) compared to that found at 2 OUT stations (days 23 and 36). Average iron concentrations were 0.041 ± 0.019 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ (n = 31 samples containing 1066 pellets) at the IN stations and 0.006 ± 0.009 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ at the OUT stations (n = 15 samples containing 693 pellets).

Comparison of the results obtained from the use of the two leaching protocols described above in cell suspensions of P. antarctica, F. kerguelensis, and P. lineola showed that iron recovery after 48 h at pH 2.0 was always a small fraction of the concentration recovered after 2 months at pH of 1.4. The LFe$_{48\ h}$ treatment recovered 2.7 ± 1.0, 4.3 ± 0.6 and 5.7 ± 2.5 nM Fe (n = 3 each) for P. antarctica, F. kerguelensis and P. lineola respectively, whereas the TLFe treatment retrieved 1.31, 1.54 and 1.44 μM Fe (n = 3 each) respectively. LFe$_{48\ h}$/TLFe ratios were 4.1, 5.6 and 7.6% for IN stations and 0.006 ± 0.009 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ at the OUT stations.

Comparison of the results obtained from the use of the two leaching protocols described above in cell suspensions of P. antarctica, F. kerguelensis, and P. lineola showed that iron recovery after 48 h at pH 2.0 was always a small fraction of the concentration recovered after 2 months at pH of 1.4. The LFe$_{48\ h}$ treatment recovered 2.7 ± 1.0, 4.3 ± 0.6 and 5.7 ± 2.5 nM Fe (n = 3 each) for P. antarctica, F. kerguelensis and P. lineola respectively, whereas the TLFe treatment retrieved 1.31, 1.54 and 1.44 μM Fe (n = 3 each) respectively. LFe$_{48\ h}$/TLFe ratios were 4.1, 5.6 and 7.6% for IN stations and 0.006 ± 0.009 nmol Fe pellet$^{-1}$ at the OUT stations (n = 15 samples containing 693 pellets).

3.4. LFe$_{48\ h}$ concentration during LOHAFEX

The LFe$_{48\ h}$ fraction was sampled and measured from day 12 (end of
the growth period) onwards (Fig. 3). LFe_{48 h} concentrations at IN stations before the second fertilization (days 12, 14, 18) ranged between 0.6 nM and 1.1 nM between 20 m and 70 m depth, and were only slightly lower below 70 m (0.5−0.9 nM, Figs. 3 and 4). In contrast to the evolution of the DFe and TLFFe fractions, LFe_{48 h} concentrations clearly increased after the second fertilization (days 24, 27, 34 and 36) with concentrations ranging between 1.3 nM and 2.8 nM in the upper 70 m of the water column (a significant percentage of the 2.4 nM nominal infusion). This increase was moderate below 80 m depth where concentrations ranged between 0.8 nM and 1.3 nM (with one exception of 2.8 nM on day 34 at 150 m depth). Three LFe_{48 h} concentrations are flagged in Table S2 as those concentrations were slightly higher than their respective TLFFe concentrations and may reflect contamination during subsampling.

At the OUT station on day 16 OUT, LFe_{48 h} concentrations ranged between 0.6 nM and 0.8 nM showing no trend with depth. Similar LFe_{48 h} concentrations were found at the OUT station on day 23 except for high values in the upper 30 m partially caused by higher DFe concentrations. The OUT station sampled on day 34 showed high patchiness down to 150 m depth with significantly higher concentrations (0.7 nM to 1.8 nM) that were not due to higher DFe concentrations.

3.5. Calanus simillimus Fecal pellet distribution during LOHAFEX

Microscopic counts showed that concentrations of pellets produced by large copepods showed a distinctive profile characterized by a maximum of ~20 pellets L$^{-1}$ at 40 m depth in fertilized and unfertilized waters with decreasing concentrations down to 200 m depth and constant concentrations at approximately 5 pellets L$^{-1}$ down to 500 m depth (Fig. S7) (Mazzocchi et al., 2009). Microscopic inspection revealed that in all samples, including those collected in the upper 20 m, about half of the pellets appeared degraded, suggesting that they had been partially remineralized before they could be exported (M. Iversen, data not published).

4. Discussion

4.1. DFe response to fertilizations during LOHAFEX

The iron infusions during LOHAFEX were planned to increase DFe concentrations by one order of magnitude to > 2 nM throughout the ML. However, 2 days after the initial iron fertilization only a minor increase of ~0.1 nM was observed (Figs. 2 and 3). Previous OFs have shown that loss processes (such as uptake and precipitation) are characterized by time scales short enough to return DFe concentrations to pre-fertilization conditions in a period of 2–3 days (Bowie et al., 2001; Crook et al., 2001; Gordon et al., 1998; Kinugasa et al., 2005; Nishioka et al., 2009; Wells, 2003). Due to the scale of the LOHAFEX experiment, 2 to 3 days were required to fertilize 300 km$^2$ and repositioning the ship in the center of the patch, hence the results of DFe measurements after the first fertilization were not completely unexpected.

Higher DFe concentrations were found at the surface from day 14 onwards and scattered high DFe concentrations down to 100 m deep were found from day 24 onwards. These were not created by the two fertilizations which occurred on days 0 and 21 (red lines in Fig. 2). Moreover, such high DFe concentrations were not the result of i) atmospheric inputs, since particulate iron did not show a parallel increase (Fig. 3) or ii) isopycnal diffusion, since no DFe gradients were observed at the bottom of the ML. It is therefore not possible to understand the DFe pattern without considering the major biological processes controlling carbon fluxes during LOHAFEX (see below).

4.2. Type of particles contributing to the different particulate iron fractions

PLFe_{48 h} and PTLFe concentrations can be estimated in Fig. 3 using Eqs. (1–3).

In seawater, iron dissolution kinetics of mineral particles under acidification can be modeled according to first-order kinetics where leaching rates and recoveries are a function of pH (Shi et al., 2011). Therefore, the presence of a single type of particles would translate into PLFe_{48 h} concentrations highly correlated to PTLFe concentrations with a slope that would be a function of the difference between these two treatments (time of exposure and pH). During LOHAFEX, PTLFe and PLFe_{48 h} showed very different vertical and temporal patterns and consequently they did not correlate at all (Pearson correlation of data in Table S1: r = −0.09; p = 0.62; n = 33); this supports the conclusion that each Fe fraction was formed by particles of a different nature.

The analytical performance of the two leaching protocols on cell cultures and C. simillimus fecal pellets proved that those pellets, the main component of the downward flux of POC (Martin et al., 2013), only contributed to the PLFe_{48 h} fraction whereas planktonic intracellular iron only contributed to the PTLFe fraction (according to the scheme presented in Table 2). However, there is still the uncertainty in the contribution of iron from mineral phases and freshly formed aggregates to each fraction since aging of colloidal iron in seawater makes it more refractory with respect to acidic treatments (Raiswell, 2011). Our PLFe_{48 h} profiles after the second fertilization (Figs. 3 and 4) show the same features as the vertical distribution of fecal pellet abundances determined by UVP (Martin et al., 2013), multinet hauls (González, data not published), and counts of copepod fecal pellets from large volume filtrations (Fig. S7). In all cases, higher concentrations of PLFe_{48 h} were found in the upper 80−100 m of the water column with a maximum at ~50 m (1.5 to 2.2 nM) and significantly lower values at depths below 100 m (0.3−0.5 nM). We also estimated the pellet contribution to PLFe_{48 h} concentrations after the second fertilization in the upper water column from the average vertical distribution of fecal pellets during the last week of the experiment and the averaged iron content per copepod fecal pellet at IN stations (Fig. 5). Although those samples were taken from different casts and sampling equipment, the similarity between the magnitude and shape of the profiles obtained by the two different approaches is remarkable, further supporting our hypothesis that fecal pellets excreted by C. simillimus were the main contributor to PLFe_{48 h} in fertilized waters. For unfertilized water, concentrations of PLFe_{48 h} were very low down to 200 m despite similar copepod fecal pellet concentrations to those of the fertilized waters at all depths (Fig. S8). Further support for the hypothesis that PLFe_{48 h} was a valid proxy for the estimation of the contribution of copepod fecal

![Fig. 4. Temporal evolution of particulate iron leached in 48 h at pH 2 (PLFe_{48 h} = LFe_{48 h}−DFe) in the upper water column of the fertilized patch (concentrations are given in nM). The dashed line and the white rectangles indicate the MLD. The red line indicates the second fertilization event. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
often extended well below the MLD. Conversely, concentrations of PTLFe, PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$, Chl-a and POC showed sharp gradients located at around 80 m independently of the MLD throughout the duration of the experiment (Figs. 2 and 3). Furthermore, iron per pellet concentrations were measured from copepods captured via multinet hauls from the upper 100 m, hence, we do not have information on possible variations of the iron content per pellet as a function of depth. Since our priority was to resolve the effect of biological processes in iron recycling, we used 80 m, the deeper limit of the Chl-a increase during most of the experiment, as the depth of integration for the estimation of standing stocks.

The evolution throughout the experiment of the DFe, PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ and PTLFe standing stocks in the upper 80 m of the water column and their contribution to TLFe (when determined) is listed in Table 3.

The standing stock of DFe was nearly constant at ~20–25 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ during the growth phase but it suddenly doubled to ~40–70 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ from day 14 onwards (start of the grazing period) and remained at that level for the rest of the experiment (Table S2). This increase, previous to the second fertilization, was coincident with the maximum of Chl-a concentrations. At OUT stations, where grazing by large copepods was similar, DFe standing stocks showed a similar increase to 44 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ on day 35.

The PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ standing stock was low during the week preceding the second fertilization at ~20–40 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ in fertilized waters and < 10 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ in OUT stations (Table 3, area in between diamonds and circles in Fig. 6). After day 21, the PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ standing stock increased nearly 3 fold in fertilized waters and remained high until the end of the experiment at 70–90 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ (average 81 ± 15 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$). This increase of ~50 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$, is equivalent to ~40% of the amount of iron infused (120 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$). The PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ standing stock in the OUT station sampled on day 35 was 42 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$, a substantial increase with respect to previous OUT stations but approximately half of the PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ standing stock found on day 36 in fertilized waters.

This general increase of PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$ standing stocks after day 21 can be partially explained by the concurrent increase of copepod fecal pellet standing stocks (Fig. 7). At IN stations, we counted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>TLFe</th>
<th>PTLFe</th>
<th>PLFe$^{48\text{ h}}$</th>
<th>DFe</th>
<th>Fe pellets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St 114</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 121</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 126</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 129</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 131</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 132</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 135</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 137</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 139</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 148</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 162</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 170</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 192</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 196</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 204</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUT stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 160</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St 199</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Integrated iron stocks in the different fractions during LOHAFEX

Understanding the role of biological processes in iron cycling during the experiment is impaired by the patchiness shown by the DFe and PTLFe vertical profiles. We tried to overcome this problem by calculating standing stocks (values integrated over the upper 80 m) of all iron fractions defined in this study (Table 3). The standing stock of a single specific chemical or biological species is often calculated by integrating its concentration down to the ML depth. This approach was well-suited for the study of iron cycling during other OIFs such as the SOIREE experiment since DFe and many other parameters showed strong gradients across the MLD (Bowie et al., 2001). However, during LOHAFEX, Chl-a (Fig. 1A), nutrients and POC (data not shown), TLFe (Fig. 3) and DFe (Fig. 2) showed high concentrations and features that
proximately 280 standing stocks to particulate iron stocks (Table 3). We calculated fecal *C. simillimus* the second fertilization, respectively (Fig. 7). Since we determined iron per export (Martin et al., 2013) and the stability of LFe48 hand DFe stocks after lack of impact from the second fertilization on the DFe stock, the low POC served during the experiment (Martin et al., 2013).

920 ± 380 fecal pellet m$^{-2}$ before the second fertilization (n = 3) and 1600 ± 160 fecal pellet m$^{-2}$, a 1.7 fold increase, thereafter (n = 3). Co-poop fecal pellet standing stocks at OUT stations showed a 2.2 fold increase with stocks of 800–900 and 1900 fecal pellet m$^{-2}$ before and after the second fertilization, respectively (Fig. 7). Since we determined iron per *C. simillimus* fecal pellet after the second fertilization in fertilized and unfertilized waters, we can estimate the contribution of co-poop fecal pellet standing stocks to particulate iron stocks (Table 3). We calculated fecal pellet iron standing stocks of 73, 67, 60 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ (average 67 ± 7 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$) for IN stations and 12 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ for the OUT station on day 35, respectively (Table 3). Therefore, we could estimate that 82% of the PLFe$^{48\text{h}}$ standing stock in fertilized waters was comprised of iron-rich *C. simillimus* fecal pellets. In the OUT station sampled on day 35 this percentage would be significantly lower at 26%.

We observed that the PTLFe standing stock steadily decreased as the second half of the experiment progressed from ~360 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ on day 14 to ~70–80 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ at the end of the experiment, equivalent to a shift from 4.5 to 1 nM (Table 3, Fig. 6). This decrease of approximately 280 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ was mostly due to the sinking of high concentrations of abiotic (not associated to POC) iron found at the bottom of the ML depth on days 14 and 18 (day 14 profile discussed in Section 4.2). The PTLFe loss was partially compensated by the increase in the PLFe$^{48\text{h}}$ fraction. As a result, TLFe, the overall stock, showed low variability from day 18 onwards (270 to 200 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ decrease over 19 days) which is consistent with the reduced export of POC observed during the experiment (Martin et al., 2013).

Based on the apparent stability of TLFe stocks after day 18 (n = 3), the lack of impact from the second fertilization on the DFe stock, the low POC export (Martin et al., 2013) and the stability of LFe$^{48\text{h}}$ and DFe stocks after the second fertilization (n = 4), we conclude that the dashed line in Fig. 6 represents a valid approximation of the TLFe budget during the dilution stage of the experiment (the peak reproduces approximately the 120 μmol m$^{-2}$ infusion on day 21). Thus, the gray, red and blue shaded areas in Fig. 6 show the time evolution of the DFe, PLFe$^{48\text{h}}$ stocks and an estimate of the PTLFe stock. Our data show that, despite the ongoing biological, physical and chemical processes during the last stage of the experiment, the contributions to the overall iron stock of the DFe, PLFe$^{48\text{h}}$, and PTLFe fractions were in the region of 25, 40, and 35%, respectively.

4.4. Role of primary producers on iron cycling

It is possible to produce a rough estimate of the biological contribution to PTLFe concentrations and iron cycling from our knowledge of the primary producer community and of iron quotas in flagellates during OIF experiments.

The Fe:C ratio in plankton has been studied via controlled incubations and it has been shown to be a function of many variables including the environmental availability of iron (Twining and Baines, 2013) and plankton composition, increasing with smaller cell volume (Schmidt and Hutchins, 1999). During the OIF SOIREE the average Fe:C ratio was 2.7 ± 1.4 μmol Fe (mol C)$^{-1}$ (Abraham et al., 2000; Maldonado et al., 2001) and iron quotas were higher in flagellates than in diatoms, reaching 48 μmol Fe (mol C)$^{-1}$ in flagellates upon fertilization (Twining et al., 2004). Due to their ability to ingest particles by phagotrophy, Fe:C ratios as high as 150 μmol Fe (mol C)$^{-1}$ were obtained in flagellate incubations fed exclusively with iron colloids (Maranger et al., 1998).

POC standing stocks in the upper 80 m of the water column at IN stations were in the range of 8–10 g C m$^{-2}$ (~0.7–0.9 mol C m$^{-2}$) throughout LOHAFEX. Using typical and maximum flagellate iron quotas observed in previous OIFs (40 and 150 μmol Fe (mol C)$^{-1}$ respectively) (Maranger et al., 1998; Twining et al., 2004) we can estimate the contribution of phytoplankton to the PTLFe standing stock. That contribution can be estimated at ~30–40 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ and should not have exceeded ~140 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$. PTLFe stocks determined from day 18 onwards (Table 3) were in the range of 70–210 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$, indicating that intracellular iron was probably a significant contributor to PTLFe iron stocks (at least 40% towards the end of the experiment). For comparison, in the diatom dominated SOIREE, biogenic Fe was estimated to represent only ~9% of the particulate Fe pool (Bowie et al., 2001).

We also estimated the net assimilation of iron required for the observed biomass increment (estimated by the net community production, NCP). NCP ranged from zero to 0.070 mol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (higher values during the grazing period) and averaged 0.021 mol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ throughout the experiment (Martin et al., 2013). Using the expected and upper estimates for the plankton Fe:C ratio given above, the daily accumulation of iron by plankton was highest during the grazing phase at ~3–11 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ with an average daily uptake of ~0.8–3 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$. Thus, the daily iron requirement for NCP was small but integrated throughout the period of net growth (25 continuous days with NCP > 0, Fig. 1B) ranged between 20 and 200 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$.
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hand, the feeding behavior of copepods which is characterized by in-
colloidal iron via phagotrophy (Barbeau et al., 1996). On the other
large numbers of mixotrophic our observations about iron cycling.
community and grazing by copepods, the top-down biological process
controlling carbon cycling during LOHAFEX, can help with interpreting
4.5. Flagellate phagotrophy and copepod grazing control of iron cycling

In this section we will explore how the composition of the planktonic
community and grazing by copepods, the top-down biological process
controlling carbon cycling during LOHAFEX, can help with interpreting
our observations about iron cycling.

The explanation for the evolution of iron partitioning may be due to
the large numbers of mixotrophic flagellates (mainly prymnesiophytes
such as Phaeocystis) found during LOHAFEX at IN and OUT stations
(Mazzocchi et al., 2009; Thiele et al., 2014) and to their ability to ingest
colloidal iron via phagotrophy (Barbeau et al., 1996). On the other
hand, the feeding behavior of copepods which is characterized by in-
efficient (“sloppy”) feeding and coprophagy (ingestion of fecal mate-
rial) may play important roles (see below for a detailed description of
both processes). Both processes reduce drastically the sinking rates of
POC (including fecal pellets), favoring its remineralization in shallow
waters.

Remineralization of planktonic iron by zooplankton grazing has
been described for krill (Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2007), salps (Cabanes
et al., 2017) and copepods in studies more closely related to LOHAFEX
conditions (Hutchins and Bruland, 1994; Sarthou et al., 2008; Sato
et al., 2007). Efficient biological remineralization of iron by grazers was
invoked as the possible reason behind the resilience shown by the
SOREE patch 42 days after its initialization (Bowie et al., 2001). The
longevity of the LOHAFEX bloom (many weeks), the evidence of re-
cycling processes in the upper 80 m, the low export of POC below
100–150 m and our knowledge that copepod grazing controlled phy-
toplankton biomass, all point to the rapid recycling of iron in copepod
fecal pellets within or very close to the euphotic zone in such a way that
it could be made available for primary producers.

Since copepods use their mouthparts to capture and crush their prey
before ingestion, their carbon uptake is highly inefficient (sloppy
feeding). As a consequence, as much as 50% of the carbon content in
food is immediately released as DOC and an additional ~6% is quickly
leaked as DOC from fecal pellets upon their release (Meller et al., 2003).
Sloppy feeding is thus the fastest possible way to recycle iron from
phytoplankton (and other ingested particles such as fecal pellets) into
DFe. Copepod grazing on phytoplankton such as flagellates produces
fecal pellets that sink at slower rates than those produced after ingestion
of diatoms (Feinberg and Dam, 1998). Fecal material serves as a
growth substrate for bacteria (González and Biddanda, 1996; Jacobsen
and Azam, 1984). Therefore, copepod fecal pellets after a flagellate diet
undergo shallower microbial degradation than those produced from
diatoms (Hansen et al., 1996). In addition, copepods not only prey on
smaller plankton but reprocess their own fecal material via coprophagy
(pellet ingestion), coprorhexy (pellet fragmentation) or coprochaly
(pellet peeling) (Gonzalez and Smetacek, 1994; Iversen and Poulsen,
2007; Jansen et al., 2007; Noji et al., 1991).

Incubations have shown that pellets are usually fragmented by co-
prophagy on a time scale of hours after their production, before micro-
bacteria are able to cause significant degradation (Lampitt et al., 1990).
Moreover, there is a synergistic effect; pellet fragmentation results in
smaller pellet fragments with larger surface to volume ratios facilitating
microbial remineralization in the upper layer (Feinberg and Dam,
1998).

All the processes described above and fluxes among the iron frac-
tions defined in this study, are summarized in Fig. 8. Uptake of DFe by
phytoplankton would transfer DFe to PTLFe, while phagotrophy by
flagellates could transfer PLFe48 h (as colloidal Fe oxyhydroxides) to
PTLFe. Copepod grazing results in several processes: phytoplankton
consumption transfers PTLFe from phytoplankton to zooplankton and
may also transfer PTLFe to DFe via “sloppy feeding”. Fecal pellet pro-
duction leads to an increase of the PLFe48 h compartment, while co-
prophagy leads to recycling of PLFe48 h into the DFe compartment.
Bacterial remineralization of fecal pellets transfers PLFe48 h to DFe.

Each route impacts iron remineralization in a different style. Microbial
degradation is a slow and steady process whereas sloppy
feeding on plankton or during coprophagy and coprorhexy could pro-
duce localized bursts of DFe. An increase in copepod grazing on their
own fecal products is consistent with the observed increase in DFe
stocks and the increase in scattered high DFe concentrations.

4.6. Dynamic iron partitioning and iron recycling during LOHAFEX

Despite the high fecal pellet production in the upper 100 m (Martin
et al., 2013, Gonzalez, data not published), the vertical flux of POC at
100 m was < 30% of the daily NCP and < 5% of the daily primary
production on average (Martin et al., 2013). The rapid degradation of
sinking particles (at both IN and OUT stations) indicates a fast turnover
of POC in the upper 100 m and would result in the remineralization of
its iron contents. On average throughout the experiment, and using the
Fe:C ratio expected for a flagellate bloom (40 μmol Fe (mol C)−1), we
estimate that the daily iron uptake requirement to sustain gross primary
production (0.12 mol C m−2 day−1) was ~5 μmol Fe m−2 day−1.
Using the daily grazing rate of C. simillimus copepods of ~43% of the
growth substrate, their carbon uptake is highly inefficient (sloppy
feeding). As a consequence, as much as 50% of the carbon content in
food is immediately released as DOC and an additional ~6% is quickly
leaked as DOC from fecal pellets upon their release (Meller et al., 2003).
Sloppy feeding is thus the fastest possible way to recycle iron from
phytoplankton (and other ingested particles such as fecal pellets) into
DFe. Copepod grazing on phytoplankton such as flagellates produces
fecal pellets that sink at slower rates than those produced after ingestion
of diatoms (Feinberg and Dam, 1998). Fecal material serves as a

Fig. 8. Major iron stocks and fluxes in the ML during the
LOHAFEX experiment. Boxes enclosed by continuous red
lines correspond to those concentrations determined ac-
cording to the analytical protocol used in this work. Boxes
enclosed by broken red lines indicate pools of iron that
could not be determined (swimming organisms are not
sampled by standard sampling gear). Box sizes are not to
scale. Pictures indicate the chemical species or type of or-
ganism dominating the iron pool in each specific box. Min-
or iron fluxes are not shown in the interests of clarity. Red
arrows indicate fluxes of iron between fractions. The
approximate contribution of every fraction to TLFe stocks
during the dilution period are indicated in italics enclosed
in green dashed lined boxes. (For interpretation of the refer-
exes to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to
the web version of this article.)
primary production (Martin et al., 2013) and a 50% loss as sloppy feeding (Møller et al., 2003) we estimate daily fluxes of iron among the fractions defined in this work. Accordingly, ~1 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ should have been digested and excreted as fecal pellets and another ~1 μmol Fe m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ dispersed by sloppy feeding. Unfortunately it is impossible to estimate transfers caused by coprophagy and bacterial activity. The daily transfers estimated above would represent minor daily changes in the PTLFe standing stocks but they are large enough to substantially modify the DFe and PLFe$^{48 \text{h}}$ stocks over the course of a few days.

During the second fertilization, the addition of iron in great excess of its solubility presumably led to the rapid formation of iron-rich aggregates, an undetermined percentage of which could have escaped scavenging via phagotrophy by the flagellate community. This process would explain why significantly higher PLFe$^{48 \text{h}}$ and iron per pellet concentrations were found in fertilized waters only after the second fertilization despite similar DFe and Chl-a concentrations and plankton community composition.

The above-mentioned processes can further explain the substantial accumulation of PLFe$^{48 \text{h}}$ after the second fertilization in fertilized waters (Fig. 4). Immediately after fertilization phytoplankton other than flagellates could not take up significant amounts of Fe since the cells were iron replete (high in-patch $F_{\text{C}}/F_{\text{M}}$), however, the formation of iron aggregates and DFe to PTLFe transfer rates via flagellate phagotrophy could have increased the PTLFe concentrations several fold when considering the Fe:C ratios reported for incubations of flagellates feeding directly on iron colloids (150 μmol Fe (mol C)$^{-1}$) (Maranger et al., 1998). A significant part of the iron-enriched flagellates (a significant part of the PTLFe pool) could then be rapidly transferred to the more labile PLFe$^{48 \text{h}}$ (via direct grazing or via intermediate predators such as dinoflagellates and ciliates, and defecation) and DFe pools (via sloppy feeding and pellet remineralization). This explains why the PLFe$^{48 \text{h}}$ fraction suddenly tripled on day 24 only in fertilized waters, accounting for ~40% of the TLF standing stock.

4.7. Implications for iron cycling and its study in the Southern Ocean

The silica depleted condition encountered during LOHAFEX resulted in a flagellate dominated plankton community, producing short-lived and probably slow-sinking fecal pellets (Ploug et al., 2008) and low iron export fluxes (Martin et al., 2013). Using our novel analytical scheme we have shown that high iron inputs (colloidal and/or particulate) can be partially retained in the ML over long periods (at least several weeks) via storage in and release from copepod fecal pellets (Bowie et al., 2001). Copepod grazing and pellet recycling were facilitated by the dominance of flagellates in contrast to plankton communities dominated by diatoms. Efficient conversion from lithogenic to biologically available iron has been observed during the natural fertilization occurring around the Crozet Islands during microflagellate dominated blooms (Planquette et al., 2011). This process might be important for iron availability since silicic acid is usually depleted north of 60°S in the Southern Ocean (Sarmiento et al., 2010; Ratnarajah et al., 2014; Schmidt and Atkinson, 2016; Smetsack and Nicol, 2005; Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2007). During LOHAFEX, the presence of krill and salps (and their fecal pellets) was negligible when compared to copepod numbers (M. Iversen, data not published). The combined activity of flagellates and copepods may play a key role in the efficient recycling of iron in the global ocean.

Finally, it has been pointed out that the prolonged, multi-stage life cycles of pelagic copepods, coupled with their global dominance in the biomass of plankton suggests that, from an evolutionary ecological viewpoint, they play a stabilizing role in ocean ecosystems by conditioning their environment: a form of “gardening” (Smetsack, 2008; Smetsack, 1985). The mechanisms by which copepods were involved in cycling Fe in the LOHAFEX study is entirely compatible with this “gardening” hypothesis.
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