

#### The impact of the snow cover on sea-ice thickness products, retrieved by Ku band radar altimeters

**Robert Ricker**<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Hendricks Donald K. Perovich<sup>2</sup>, Veit Helm



ALFRED-WEGENER-INSTITUT HELMHOLTZ-ZENTRUM FÜR POLAR-UND MEERESFORSCHUNG



;1	,
n	1

<sup>1</sup> Alfred Wegener Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, USA





### Motivation

CryoSat-2 along-track measurements are averaged within 1 month on a 25 x 25 km EASE2 grid. Time series from 2011-2015 reveal strong inter-annual variations:



#### November



Sea ice thickness (m)









### Motivation

CryoSat-2 along-track measurements are averaged within 1 month on a 25 x 25 km EASE2 grid. Time series from 2011-2015 reveal strong inter-annual variations:





Sea ice thickness (m)









## Motivation

CryoSat-2 along-track measurements are averaged within 1 month on a 25 x 25 km EASE2 grid. Time series from 2011-2015 reveal strong inter-annual variations:



periods

November



Why we are interested in this: Our Content of Cont

Sea ice thickness (m)

3

2



#### Hypothesis: The snow cover significantly affects the CS-2 freeboard retrieval by either snow backscatter or signal absorption in warmer

# Accurate sea-ice thickness and volume estimates on a global scale







Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice freeboard, the height of the ice surface above the water level







ASSOCIATION

- Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice freeboard, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming hydrostatic equilibrium







ASSOCIATION

- Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice **freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic** equilibrium
- Snow depth adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:







ASSOCIATION

- Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice freeboard, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic** equilibrium
- Snow depth adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion





0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

- Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice **freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming hydrostatic equilibrium
- Snow depth adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion
  - recent studies show that a thick snow cover can cause a significant sea-ice thickness bias due to **snow volume** backscatter

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Satellite altimeters sense the sea-ice **freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming hydrostatic equilibrium
- **Snow depth** adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion
  - recent studies show that a thick snow cover can cause a significant sea-ice thickness bias due to **snow volume** backscatter

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

# The impact of snow on the waveform

CryoSat-2 validation lines on fastice in McMurdo Sound (Antarctica):

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Price et al. (2015): Evaluation of CryoSat-2 derived sea ice freeboard over fast-ice in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica.

6800

D

8 0 000 0 000

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Different power thresholds applied on two stacked

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

# An observational approach with buoy data

- Differences in gridded CryoSat-2 Arctic modal freeboard between November 2013 and March 2013 retrievals
- We apply three different retracker thresholds: 40 %, 50 % and 80 %

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Ricker et al. (2015)**: Impact of snow accumulation on CryoSat-2 range retrievals over Arctic sea ice: an observational approach with buoy data.

40 %

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

• 2012G-A • 2012G-B **November - March 2013** 

50 %

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

• 2012J

80 %

![](_page_11_Figure_11.jpeg)

• 2012H • 2012L • 2013F • 2013H

CryoSat-2 freeboard anomaly (m)

![](_page_11_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_18.jpeg)

#### Methods

- CryoSat-2 measurements are collected within a **50 km** radius (red circle) around a considered buoy position (red dot)
- A log-normal function is fitted to the CryoSat-2 freeboard distribution to retrieve the modal sea ice freeboard

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

### CryoSat-2 and coincident buoy records

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

# CryoSat-2 and coincident buoy records

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

Snow freeboard Ice freeboard Event period

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

CS-2 freeboard 40 % CS-2 freeboard 40 % CS-2 freeboard 40 %

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### Summary and Conclusion

• During the snow accumulation periods we only find negative trends for the IMB ice freeboard while the IMB snow freeboard trends are always positive

Simultaneously we observe only positive trends for coincident CryoSat-2 radar freeboard estimates

Assuming that the anomaly results from snow volume scattering only, the mean bias over multiyear ice in 2013 is 1.4 m

Ice dynamics in the vicinity of the buoy locations can interfere with these quantifications

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)