# Mean Dynamic Ocean Topography from GOCE

A. Albertella<sup>1</sup>, R. Savcenko<sup>2</sup>, T. Janjic<sup>3</sup>, R. Rummel<sup>1</sup>, W. Bosch<sup>2</sup>, J. Schroeter<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IAPG TUM (albertella@bv.tum.de,rummel@bv.tum.de), <sup>2</sup>DGFI (savcenko@dgfi.badw.de), <sup>3</sup>AWI (Tijana.Janjic.Pfander@awi.de,*j*ens.schroeter@awi.de)



Within the Priority Research programme "Mass Transport and Mass Distribution in the Earth System" of the German Research Foundation, the objective of the project **GEOTOP-3** is the study of the Sea Surface Topography and Mass Transport of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current in a cooperation of Institut für Astronomische und Physikalische Geodäsie Technische Universität München (**TUM-IAPG**), the Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut München (**DGFI**), the Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar und Meeresforschung Bremerhaven (**AWI**) and the Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Planetare Geodäsie (**TUD-IPG**).

The absolute mean dynamic ocean topography (MDT) is determined from GOCE combined with a mean sea surface (MSS)model derived from a long time series of sea surface heights from multi-mission satellite altimetry. This implies the combination of data with fundamentally different characteristics and spatial resolution. The geoid models are global but their spatial resolution is limited to about 80km to 100km half-wavelength, while the altimetric sea surface is confined to ocean areas but has much higher spatial resolution.

These short scales features are removed by filtering in order to provide a dynamic topography field that is consistent with the geoid field.

In this study we use new MSS and GOCE gravity models and we investigate different ways to carry out the filtering of the altimetric surface.

#### **MEAN SEA SURFACE (h)**

The MSS used for the computation of MDT was calculated by averaging the measurements of altimeter data from exact repeat cycles of the missions ERS-1/2, ENVISAT, TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1 and Jason-2, acquired within the period from October 1992 to April 2010. The comparison of this MSS with different MSSs (CLS01, CLS10, DTU10) shows good agreement with RMS differences below 5cm.

### **GEOID (N)**

The high resolution geoid model TUMGOCE is used, [Yi, W.: An alternative computation of a gravity field model from GOCE; Adv Sp Res, 50, 371-384, 2012]. It is based on 18 months of GOCE data. TUMGOCE is available as spherical harmonic series up degree and order 224. The commission error at degree 180 is 2.5cm for TUMGOCE, while it is 16cm for the GRACE-only model ITG2010 and 3cm for the combined GRACE/GOCE-model GOCO2s.



## MDT = h - N

The mean dynamic topography is computed subtracting the geoid heights, h. The h and N are made geometrically consistent (same reference and tide system) and spectrally consistent by filtering. For this purpose, the MSS is extended to the land areas and then the transition from land to sea is smoothed by iteratively estimating the spherical harmonic coefficients of the MSS from the combined land-ocean data set.





#### CONCLUSIONS

From 18 months of GOCE data, oceanographic fields like mean dynamic topography and geostrophic velocities were computed with a spatial resolution that was not possible before GOCE. This is in particular of great benefit in areas with strong currents such as Agulhas or the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. Geostrophic velocities deduced from satellite data only show good agreement with geostrophic velocities derived from drifter measurements.

TIT IAPG

**DFG SPP1257 Final Colloquium** 17-19 September 2012, GFZ Potsdam

