Pb-210 sediment chronology: Focused on supported lead*

D. Pittauerová, B. Hettwig and H.W. Fischer

Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, 28334 Bremen, Germany

Abstract. A widely applied method of supported lead estimation in sediments using gamma spectrometric ²²⁶Ra determination via ²²²Rn short lived daughter products relies on radioactive equilibrium between ²²⁶Ra and ²²²Rn being established after sealing the samples. Advantages and disadvantages of methods of ²²⁶Ra estimation in sediments, using either ²²⁶Ra daughter products or direct estimation by the 186.2 keV gammaemissions are discussed. An equilibrium experiment was performed using test samples and in one case radioactive equilibrium was not reached. On theoretical sediment profiles it is shown how systematic errors in supported ²¹⁰Pb estimation can lead to wrong interpretations of ²¹⁰Pb_{xs} profiles and therefore affect ²¹⁰Pb derived chronologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

²¹⁰Pb sediment chronology is a frequently used tool for dating recent lacustrine and marine sediment cores or peat bog accumulations [1]. Its range is approximately the last 100–150 years, which is the period of the most significant anthropogenic effects to the environment. ²¹⁰Pb (half-life 22.3 years) in sediments consists of two components:

- supported ²¹⁰Pb, which is present due to autigenic material of the sediment and
- \circ unsupported (or excess) ²¹⁰Pb, which originates from the atmospheric deposition.

Excess ²¹⁰Pb is then determined by subtracting supported activity from the total activity and used for estimation of accumulation rates and age models. Measurement techniques of total signal of ²¹⁰Pb include either alpha spectroscopy via ²¹⁰Po with assumption of radioactive equilibrium between ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb, or gamma spectroscopy. Both approaches have certain advantages and disadvantages [2]. In the authors' laboratory, gamma spectroscopy is used. That allows simultaneous estimation of other natural and artificial gamma emitters within a single spectrum and the analysis is non-destructive.

2. SUPPORTED ²¹⁰PB ESTIMATION

For supported ²¹⁰Pb, which is usually assumed to be in radioactive equilibrium with its parent nuclide ²²⁶Ra (half-life 1600 yr), analytical options were suggested by Moser [3]. The simplest method, sometimes used in combination with alpha spectroscopic determination of ²¹⁰Pb, is to use the lowest constant activity of a sediment profile (samples with an age greater than 100 years). This method assumes a constant activity of ²²⁶Ra over the entire sediment profile, which is not always the case. It is also possible to measure Ra by alpha counting or liquid scintillation after radiochemical separation, or gamma spectroscopy [3, 4].

^{*}A paper of a similar content was presented at the International Symposium on Isotopes in Hydrology, Marine Ecosystems, and Climate Change Studies held on 27 March - 1 April 2011 in Monaco as: Pittauerová D., Hettwig B. and Fischer H.W.: Supported lead in Pb-210 chronology.

RADIOPROTECTION

2.1 Using ²²⁶Ra daughter products

Gamma spectroscopy of daughter products of ²²⁶Ra, namely short lived ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi (further on named "daughter products"), is a widely used method for ²²⁶Ra determination. Here we have to deal mainly with difficulties related to ²²²Rn diffusion and recoil emanations from the sample, leading to systematic underestimation of ²²⁶Ra concentration [5]. In the study of Stoulos et al. [6] Rn gas leaking from the sample container, its accumulation in the void upper part of the container and attachment of Rn decay products onto its inner surface, resulting in another geometry, and self-absorption from that of the calibration sample, was resolved by adding active charcoal to the sample. However, this would change properties of the samples, which contradicts one major advantage of gamma spectroscopy for sediment chronology being a non-destructive method. An optional solution is using Rn proof steel containers [6], which would lead to decline in sensitivity in detection of low energy gamma radiation of ²¹⁰Pb. A common practice in many gamma spectroscopic laboratories is sealing samples using gas-tight foil and waiting for at least 21 days to allow ingrowth of daughter products.

2.2 Direct estimation

²²⁶Ra can also be directly estimated by its gamma line at 186.2 keV, but interference with the most prominent line of ²³⁵U 185.7 keV comes into account. A method for correction of the ²³⁵U and ²²⁶Ra interference using the 63.3 keV line of ²³⁴Th as a proxy for ²³⁸U was proposed by Dowdall et al. [7]. In this case constant natural ratio to ²³⁵U is assumed. When using the 92.6 keV doublet of ²³⁴Th, precautions must be taken due to its potential interference with $K_{\alpha 1}$ line from ²³²Th. Contribution of ²³⁵U in the 186 keV peak can also be determined using another less intensive ²³⁵U line at 143.8 keV [8], if detectable.

2.3 Possible ²²⁶Ra underestimation

Motivation of this study was the participation of the laboratory in a laboratory intercomparison. It resulted in recognition of the possibility of underestimating ²²⁶Ra values. It indicated that the existing laboratory practice of estimation of ²²⁶Ra via daughter products using plastic sample dishes sealed in assumed gas-proof metallic foil, was possibly a weak point. As a countermeasure, the laboratory adopted new thicker metallized plastic foil-bags for sealing samples and performed an experiment using soldered steel containers.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Test samples

The test sample, denominated RV VII-2006, was a soil material used for the 7th Intercomparison of environmental samples organized by the German Federal office for Radiation Protection (BfS) [5]. It was taken from an area in federal state of Thuringia with naturally increased ²²⁶Ra levels. The test material had been grounded into a fine powder, so that 98.8 % of the fraction had a particle size less than 315 μ m. A cylindrical plastic dish with diameter of 70 mm and height of 20 mm was filled with the test sample. It was then sealed in a metallized plastic foil, considered to be gas-tight. Another experiment was performed using a steel container with diameter of 101.5 mm and height of 31.5 mm in which the test sample was soldered.

3.2 Gamma spectroscopy

The samples were measured by low-level low-background gamma spectroscopy using a coaxial HPGe detector Canberra Industries (51% rel. efficiency) housed in a 10 cm Pb shielding with Cu, Cd and

S278

ICRER 2011

plastic lining. The spectra were analyzed by Canberra Genie 2000 software. Efficiency calibration was performed using the Monte Carlo based LabSOCS calibration tool [9], which takes into account not only sample to detector geometry, but also sample density and composition, as well as measurement container properties.

4. EXPERIMENTAL, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Equilibrium test

Gamma spectra of the BfS test samples sealed in 2 upper mentioned ways were recorded repeatedly during approximately 8 weeks (16 ²²²Rn half-lives) following their sealing in order to observe the process of radioactive equilibrium establishing. The daughter product ²¹⁴Pb was measured via its 242.0, 295.2 keV and 351.9 keV gamma lines. ²²⁶Ra activities were determined using the gamma line 186.2 keV after subtraction of the ²³⁵U contribution, for which 3 methods were applied:

- (1) using the ²³⁵U line at 143.8 keV (after interference correction from contributing ²²³Ra, determined by the 144.2, 154.2, 269.5, 323.9 and 338.3 keV lines),
- (2) using 63.3 keV and 92.6 keV line of ²³⁴Th and 1001.0 keV line of ^{234m}Pa for estimation of ²³⁸U with activities derived from the natural ²³⁸U/²³⁵U activity ratio of 21.7.

These both methods applied on the metallized plastic foil sealed sample lead to very consistent results with no statistical difference (two sample t-test (34) = 0.46, p = 0.65) (Fig. 1a).

(3) Finally, a combination of methods (1) and (2) was used, applying all 4 mentioned lines for ²³⁵U contribution estimation, which resulted in reduction of ²²⁶Ra uncertainty by 43%.

While the mean value of ²²⁶Ra measured by all 3 methods was close to the reported reference value, the equilibrium value of ²¹⁴Pb in the metallized plastic foil sealed sample reached only 88% that of ²²⁶Ra. The mechanism of this phenomenon, which has not been explained within this study yet, is speculated to be Rn diffusion through the foil. The very fine material used for the test is prone to show a high emanation rate. Efficiency problems with daughter product estimation can be excluded, because both daughter products ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi gave consistent results when estimated by lines of wide energy span (between 242 and 1764 keV). In the parallel experiment using soldered steel container the radioactive equilibrium was reached (Fig. 1b).

4.2 Effects of supported ²¹⁰Pb underestimation on excess ²¹⁰Pb sediment depth profiles

On 2 theoretical models it is illustrated how systematic underestimation of $^{210}Pb_{sup}$ can affect the resulting sedimentation rate estimation in $^{210}Pb_{xs}$ sediment chronology. The simple example (Profile A) (Fig. 2a) presents a theoretical non-compacted and non-mixed sediment profile with a constant sedimentation rate of $0.25 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$. The sampling interval is 1 cm and the depth of the profile is 30 cm. $^{210}Pb_{sup}$ being constant 25% of the total ^{210}Pb initial activity (top of the profile) is subjected to underestimation by 12%. The value of 25% for ratio between supported lead and total initial ^{210}Pb was selected as a "typical value", although based on our experience, it can vary strongly according to local environment, often between 5–50%. Underestimation of supported lead in this example leads to a constant shift of $^{210}Pb_{xs}$ to greater values.

Another example (Profile B) shows the upper described model modified by adding a random scatter (using normally distributed random number generator) to 210 Pb_{tot} and 210 Pb_{sup} data and error bars added (Fig. 2b).

On a real dataset (with scatter caused by counting statistics and other natural causes) excess ²¹⁰Pb overestimation can remain unobserved, and could also be attributed to not reaching the "dating horizon". It can possibly be detected by careful examination and finding regular patterns in the residuals plot (after



Figure 1. Establishing of radioactive equilibrium in test sample RV VII-2006 within the time interval of approximately 8 weeks for (a) a plastic dish sealed in a metallized plastic foil and (b) a soldered steel container. In the first case, the 214 Pb values reached only 88% of that of parent 226 Ra, which was determined by 2 different methods (1) and (2) listed in chapter 4.1. In the steel container the radioactive equilibrium was reached. The dashed line indicates the reference value. The error bars for all data are 1 standard deviation (they include counting statistics and calibration of the measuring device).

fitting) (Fig. 2a), but this effect is not obvious in naturally scattered datasets (Fig. 2b). The described effect will be strongest in environments with higher proportion of supported ²¹⁰Pb. Systematic error in ²¹⁰Pb_{sup} estimation can therefore have consequences for ²¹⁰Pb_{xs} derived chronologies leading to systematic underestimation of ages.

4.3 Possible consequences of supported ²¹⁰Pb underestimation for chronology

Two most commonly used chronology models were applied to the upper described 2 theoretical profiles A and B: Constant initial concentration (CIC) model [10] and Constant rate of supply (CRS) model [11].

In the first case (CIC model), $^{210}Pb_{xs}$ profiles were fitted by a simple 2 parameter exponential function using least square algorithm. Based on resulting parameters, sedimentation rates were calculated. The resulting sedimentation rates are $0.284 \pm 0.004 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$ for Profile A and $0.280 \pm 0.026 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$ for Profile B. Sedimentation rates were thus overestimated by over 13%.

For comparison, ${}^{210}Pb_{xs}$ profiles were used for calculation of ages according to CRS chronology model, which in case of non-scattered Profile A led to even more serious age underestimation than CIC model. Graphical depth/age relationship for both CIC and CRS models for Profiles A and B are presented in Fig. 3.

ICRER 2011



Figure 2. Theoretical ²¹⁰Pb sediment depth profiles described in chapter 4.2.: (a) a simple profile (Profile A) and (b) a profile with a random scatter (Profile B). For both profiles, in the left figures, ²¹⁰Pb_{tot} and both correct and systematically underestimated ²¹⁰Pb_{sup} are depicted. In the central figures, the correct and overestimated ²¹⁰Pb_{sup}, (calculated as ²¹⁰Pb_{tot}-²¹⁰Pb_{sup}), together with simple 2 parameter exponential fits, using least square algorithm, are plotted. In the right figures, residuals to the fits are shown.



Figure 3. Chronologies derived from the 2 theoretical profiles: (a) Profile A and (b) scattered Profile B. For both cases chronologies were derived by both CIC and CRS models using both correct and overestimated $^{210}Pb_{xs}$ profiles.

RADIOPROTECTION

4.4 Discussion

A method for testing and correcting possible underestimation of 210 Pb_{sup} in sediment profiles measured by gamma-spectroscopy is currently being developed. In case of supported lead underestimation caused by partial Rn escape from the measurement containers, corrections can be employed.

5. CONCLUSIONS

It was shown that although samples for ²²⁶Ra analysis are carefully sealed in presumably gas tight foils, especially in very fine grained samples the radioactive equilibrium required for ²²⁶Ra estimation via daughter products might not be reached.

This can theoretically have consequences also for supported ²¹⁰Pb estimation via the ²²⁶Ra daughter products. A systematic underestimation of ²¹⁰Pb_{sup} can result in systematic underestimation in ²¹⁰Pb_{xs} derived ages, as it was presented on theoretical examples.

References

- Appleby P.G., in: Last, W.M., Smol, J.P. (Eds.), Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments. Volume 1: Basin Analysis, Coring, and Chronological Techniques (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001), pp. 171–203.
- [2] Zaborska A., Carroll J., Papucci C. and Pempkowiak J., J. Environ. Radioactiv. 93 (2007) 38-50.
- [3] Moser R.N., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Ch. 173 (1993) 283–292.
- [4] Villa M., Moreno H.P. and Manjón G., Radiat. Meas. 39 (2005) 543 550.
- [5] Schkade U.K., Arnold D., Döring J., Hartmann M. and Wershofen H., Gammaspectromectric determination of specific activity of natural Radionuclides in environmental samples. 7th Intercomparison "Soil 2006". (Tech. Rep. BfS-SCHR-41/07, German Federal Office for Radiation Protection, Berlin, Germany, 2007) (In German).
- [6] Stoulos S., Manolopoulou M. and Papastefanou C., Appl. Radiat. Isotopes 60 (2004) 49–54.
- [7] Dowdall M., Selnaes Ø. G., Gwynn J.P. and Davids C., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Ch. 261 (2004) 513–521.
- [8] Justo J., Evangelista H. and Paschoa A.S., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Ch. 269 (2006) 733-737.
- [9] Bronson F.L., J. Radioanal. Nucl. Ch. 255 (2003) 137–141.
- [10] Robbins J.A., in: Nriago J.P. (Ed.), The biogeochemistry of lead (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978).
- [11] Appleby P.G. and Oldfield F, Catena 5 (1978) 1–8.

S282