

A new parameterization of coastal drag to simulate landfast ice in deep marginal seas in the Arctic

Yuqing Liu¹, Martin Losch¹, Nils Hutter^{1,2}, Longjiang Mu³

¹Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar-und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany

²Cooperative Institute for Climate, Ocean and Ecosystem Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

³Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China

Key Points:

- In a new landfast ice parameterization, static friction describes the lateral drag between sea ice and the coast.
- The new parameterization improves landfast ice simulation in the Arctic, especially in the Kara Sea.
- The results suggest that multiple mechanisms are at work to create and maintain landfast ice in marginal seas.

Corresponding author: Yuqing Liu, yuqing.liu@awi.de

Abstract

Landfast ice is nearly immobile sea ice attached to the coast. Landfast ice inhibits atmosphere-ocean fluxes of heat, moisture, and momentum, leads to offshore flaw polynyas, and stores fresh river water in wintertime. Despite these important roles in coastal environments, landfast ice is not well simulated in current sea ice models, because landfast ice dynamics differ from the pack ice in the interior Arctic and require explicit parameterization. The dynamical mechanisms for landfast ice formation are linked to the local geography. Grounded ice ridges act as anchor points in shallow water. Coastlines and offshore island chains may also be pinning points between which arches of landfast ice can form in deep water. The grounding mechanism for landfast ice in shallow marginal seas has been successfully parameterized using bathymetry information, but this grounding scheme fails in deep regions. We describe a new landfast ice parameterization that uses lateral drag as a function of sea ice thickness, drift velocity, and local coastline length. The simulated landfast ice in a 36 km pan-Arctic sea ice-ocean simulation is compared to observations from satellite data and the effect of the new lateral drag parameterization is evaluated. The combination of the established grounding scheme for shallow water and the new lateral drag parameterization for deep water leads to an improved and realistic landfast ice distribution in most marginal seas in the Arctic. These results suggest that multiple mechanisms are at work to create and maintain landfast ice in marginal seas.

Plain Language Summary

Landfast ice is sea ice that is attached to the coast and nearly stationary. In the Arctic, the stable landfast ice cover along the coasts of the marginal seas serves local communities for traveling and hunting, and provides habitat for Arctic wildlife. Two main processes lead to landfast ice: grounding of ice in shallow water, and anchoring to pinning points such as islands in the deep water. However, sea ice and ocean models to study the Arctic climate typically do not predict the distribution of landfast ice very well. Here, we present a new approach to representing the pinning effect of coastlines and islands. In our improved model, sea ice tends to stick to the coast and is more similar to observed landfast ice. We conclude that the new method will improve future projections of landfast ice in the Arctic that may prove useful for Arctic communities and wildlife management.

1 Introduction

Landfast ice is defined as “sea ice that stays fast along the coast where it is attached to the shore, to an ice wall, to an ice front, or over shoals, or between grounded icebergs.” (World Meteorological Organization, 1970). Landfast ice is a common phenomenon in polar winter. It forms in the autumn as onshore winds thicken and consolidate the ice along the shore until it breaks up in spring. The extent of landfast ice in the Arctic varies with water depth and slope of the continental shelf (Yu et al., 2014; Kwok, 2018). Anchored pressure ridges ground coastal sea ice in shallow water all along the coast of Alaska and the Laptev Sea. Landfast ice can also be formed in deep marginal regions by lateral propagation of internal stresses from contact points with the coastline, as seen in the Kara Sea (Li et al., 2020). Furthermore, landfast ice can also be landlocked ice that is confined in the narrow channels of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Melling, 2002; Howell et al., 2016). In Antarctica, where the bathymetric features are very different from the Arctic, landfast ice can be found in 400–500 meter deep water, pinned by grounded icebergs (Massom et al., 2001; Fraser et al., 2012, 2020).

Landfast ice is an important player in Arctic and Antarctic coastal environments. It forms a stable cover that decreases the transfer of heat, moisture, and momentum between the atmosphere and the ocean (Johnson et al., 2012; Lemieux et al., 2016). As a consequence, ocean mixing is generally reduced underneath a landfast ice cover. The stable cover also limits further ice growth and hence reduces salt rejection (Eicken et al., 2005). Landfast ice closes coastal polynyas and, instead, results in offshore flaw polynyas or flaw leads (the openings between the landfast ice and pack ice) with consequences for the thermocline circulation in the Arctic (Itkin et al., 2015). In Antarctica, landfast ice connects the Antarctic ice sheet and the ocean, stabilizes ice shelves, delays ice-berg calving, and affects the ice sheet mass balance (Massom & Stammerjohn, 2010; Massom et al., 2018; Greene et al., 2018). Landfast ice is also important for coastal communities in the Arctic. As a seasonal land extension, landfast ice can be a habitat for polar animals and serves as a platform for hunting, fishing, and scientific observation (Kooyman & Ponganis, 2014). The distribution of landfast ice is also important for polar navigation and offshore exploration (Hughes et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2020). A model without landfast ice (parameterized or resolved) will also have difficulties in simulating the processes related to landfast ice, and for example will have polynyas in the wrong place (Itkin

78 et al., 2015). Finally, landfast ice is likely a sensitive indicator of climate change (Mahoney
79 et al., 2007).

80 The life cycle of landfast ice is primarily determined by the thermodynamic pro-
81 cesses due to the limited horizontal movement of landfast ice (Flato & Brown, 1996; Se-
82 lyuzhenok et al., 2015). The combined effects of dynamical movement and thermody-
83 namic melting, however, lead to landfast ice break-up (Leppäranta, 2013; Selyuzhenok
84 et al., 2015; Zhai et al., 2021). Here we focus on the dynamics of landfast ice for two rea-
85 sons. First, the main challenges of modeling landfast ice are maintaining stability dur-
86 ing the landfast ice season under continuous dynamical forcing from the surface winds
87 and ocean currents and the timing of the break-up of landfast ice; i.e., initiating the break-
88 down of the stability at the right time towards the end of the season with the same pa-
89 rameterized dynamics. Second, even small horizontal movement of sea ice leads to a con-
90 siderable lateral drag because the contact area between sea ice and the coastlines or is-
91 lands is large. Small changes of this drag are expected to contribute to the break-up of
92 landfast ice.

93 Most large-scale sea ice models underestimate the extent of landfast ice (Lemieux
94 et al., 2018). Several attempts have been made to improve the simulation of landfast ice
95 in these models. Beatty and Holland (2010) added isotropic tensile strength to a viscous-
96 plastic sea ice model (Hibler, 1979) to simulate landfast ice. Itkin et al. (2015) simulated
97 landfast ice by adding tensile strength to the sea ice rheology in regions shallower than
98 25 m, and found that landfast ice affected the stability of the halocline in the Arctic. Olason
99 (2016) was able to simulate landfast ice in the Kara Sea by increasing the maximum sea
100 ice viscosity, a parameter that regularizes the momentum equation of sea ice, but left
101 the appropriate value of maximum viscosity an open question. Olason (2016) also reported
102 that the landfast ice in the Kara Sea was primarily supported by static arching, which
103 was consistent with observations suggesting that a chain of offshore islands provides an-
104 chor points for the landfast ice in the Kara Sea (Divine et al., 2005). Lemieux et al. (2015)
105 parameterized grounding of ice keels by a basal drag term as a function of topography
106 and sea ice thickness to enhance the representation of landfast ice in shallow water. This
107 grounding scheme is also called basal drag parameterization. Lemieux et al. (2016) used
108 a combination of this basal drag parameterization and increased tensile strength to en-
109 hance the simulation of landfast ice extent in deep water, but the simulated landfast ice
110 seasons for the Kara Sea were still too short compared to the satellite data. Note that

111 adding tensile strength or changing sea ice strength modifies the sea ice rheology in the
112 entire Arctic. Furthermore, the basal drag parameterization systematically underesti-
113 mates the landfast ice extent in the deep water where ice ridge keels cannot reach the
114 bottom.

115 In this study, we parameterize the effects of partly unresolved coastlines and islands
116 as obstacles to sea ice motion by a lateral drag term in the sea ice momentum equation,
117 with the goal of improved landfast ice representation in the Arctic. We test different ap-
118 proaches to explore the best representation of the lateral drag. As in previous studies
119 (Lemieux et al., 2015, 2016; Olason, 2016), we focus on the Arctic marginal seas, in par-
120 ticular the Kara Sea. The landlocked landfast ice in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago
121 is governed by different dynamics and requires different parameterizations (Lemieux et
122 al., 2018), and is not addressed in the present study.

123 The paper is organized as follows: the model configuration and experiment setup
124 are described in Section 2, the lateral drag parameterization is shown in Section 3, the
125 model results are presented in Section 4, and the discussion and summary are given in
126 Section 5 and Section 6, respectively.

127 **2 Data and model simulations**

128 **2.1 Satellite observations**

129 We used landfast ice records of satellite data from the National Ice Center (NIC)
130 Arctic Sea Ice Charts and Climatologies (U. S. National Ice Center, 2006, updated 2009).
131 The data are available as one week (January 1972 through June 2001) and two week av-
132 erages (July 2001 through December 2007) on a 25 km Equal-Area Scalable Earth Grid.
133 The sea ice concentration (SIC) ranges from 0% to 100% with landfast ice flagged. NIC
134 charts are produced by manual analysis of in situ, air reconnaissance and remote sens-
135 ing data, and model output. We chose the biweekly data set and the period from 2001
136 to 2007 for a straightforward comparison to previous landfast ice modeling results that
137 use the same data set (Lemieux et al., 2015, 2016).

138 **2.2 Model simulations**

139 All simulations in this paper are based on a regional Arctic configuration of the Mas-
140 sachusetts Institute of Technology general circulation model (MITgcm, Marshall et al.,

141 1997; MITgcm Group, 2009) with a grid resolution of 36 km, similar to the configura-
 142 tion of Ungermann and Losch (2018). This configuration applies zero-layer thermody-
 143 namics and viscous-plastic dynamics with the solver introduced by Zhang and Hibler (1997).
 144 The model is forced by six-hourly atmospheric fields from the European Centre for Medium-
 145 Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim data (Dee et al., 2011). The hydrog-
 146 raphy is initialized with temperature and salinity fields from the Polar Science Center
 147 Hydrographic Climatology 3.0 (PHC-3.0, Steele et al., 2001). Details of the sea ice model
 148 can be found in Losch et al. (2010) or the online documentation (<https://mitgcm.org>).

149 The model solves the two-dimensional sea ice momentum equation:

$$150 \quad m \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -m f \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_a + \boldsymbol{\tau}_o + \boldsymbol{\tau}_b + \boldsymbol{\tau}_l - mg \nabla H + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

151 where $m = \rho_i h$ is sea ice mass per grid cell area and h is the grid-cell averaged
 152 mean ice thickness, i.e., the actual ice thickness of the ice within the grid cell weighted
 153 by the sea ice concentration A : $h = h_{\text{actual}} A$. f is the Coriolis parameter, \mathbf{k} is the ver-
 154 tical unit vector, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_a$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_o$ are ice-atmosphere and ice-ocean interfacial stresses, g is the
 155 gravitational acceleration, ∇H is the gradient of the sea surface height, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the (ver-
 156 tically integrated) stress tensor. Nonlinear momentum advection is neglected. The hor-
 157 izontal ice velocity $\mathbf{u} = u\mathbf{i} + v\mathbf{j}$ advects the sea ice thickness h and sea ice concentra-
 158 tion A (Losch et al., 2010). Following Lemieux et al. (2015), the basal drag term $\boldsymbol{\tau}_b$ is
 159 zero when the ice thickness h is smaller than a critical mean thickness $h_c = A h_w / k_1$
 160 where h_w is the water depth. For thicknesses larger than h_c , the basal drag is given by
 161 $\boldsymbol{\tau}_b = k_2 \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|\mathbf{u}| + u_0} (h - h_c) e^{-C_b(1-A)}$. Here, $C_b = 20$ as for the equivalent formulation of
 162 the ice strength (Lemieux et al., 2015), $|\mathbf{u}| = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$, and u_0 is a small velocity pa-
 163 rameter to avoid divisions by zero. k_1 and k_2 are the tuning parameters of the ground-
 164 ing scheme. $\boldsymbol{\tau}_l$ is a new lateral drag term described in the next section.

165 Two characteristics distinguish landfast ice from drift ice: it is attached to the coast,
 166 and it moves very little (Zhai et al., 2021; Mahoney et al., 2007, 2014). We classify sea
 167 ice as landfast ice when the biweekly average sea ice drift velocity is below a critical value
 168 of $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (Lemieux et al., 2015). This corresponds to a displacement of approx-
 169 imately 600 meters in two weeks. In addition, landfast ice is assumed to be compact with
 170 a SIC larger than 95% to exclude accidentally immobile ice far away from the landfast
 171 ice region.

172 3 Lateral drag parameterization

173 3.1 Boundary condition

174 The lateral boundary conditions have a profound influence on the lateral friction
 175 at the boundaries (Adcroft & Marshall, 1998). Generally, the lateral boundary condi-
 176 tions for velocity are either no-slip or free-slip, or a mix of both. The no-slip boundary
 177 condition assumes that the fluid in direct contact with the boundary has the same ve-
 178 locity as this boundary (Rapp, 2017). Therefore, the tangent flow is zero on the bound-
 179 ary. For a C-grid with staggered velocities, this can be implemented using “ghost points”
 180 outside the domain. For example, for the tangential component u of the velocity along
 181 a boundary b in the x-direction between grid indices j and $j + 1$ we have:

$$182 \quad u \Big|_b \approx \frac{u_j + u_{j+1}}{2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow u_{j+1} = -u_j. \quad (2)$$

183 A slip boundary condition assumes a discontinuity in the velocity function (i.e., a rel-
 184 ative movement between the fluid and the boundary). For the free-slip boundary condi-
 185 tion the tangent shear vanishes on the boundary and the tangent flows remain finite
 186 (Rapp, 2017):

$$187 \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_b \approx \frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\Delta y} = 0 \Leftrightarrow u_{j+1} = u_j. \quad (3)$$

188 In the following, we use a simple finite difference discretization model to illustrate the
 189 lateral friction on the boundary. Note that MITgcm implements a finite volume discretiza-
 190 tion, which would complicate the discussion unnecessarily. We assume a constant vis-
 191 cosity coefficient ν and constant grid spacing Δy for the lateral friction term in the y -
 192 direction. The lateral friction term (viscosity) along the boundary is a function of the
 193 tangential velocity u :

$$194 \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_y \nu \partial_y u &= \partial_y (\nu \partial_y u) \\ &= \frac{(\nu \partial_y u)|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - (\nu \partial_y u)|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left(\nu \frac{u_{j+1} - u_j}{\Delta y} - \nu \frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{\Delta y} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

195 For the no-slip boundary condition Equation (2), the lateral friction term becomes:

$$196 \quad \partial_y \nu \partial_y u = -\frac{\nu(u_j - u_{j-1})}{(\Delta y)^2} - \frac{2\nu u_j}{(\Delta y)^2}. \quad (5)$$

197 For the free-slip boundary condition Equation (3), the lateral friction term is:

$$198 \quad \partial_y \nu \partial_y u = \frac{-\nu(u_j - u_{j-1})}{(\Delta y)^2}. \quad (6)$$

199 Typically, sea ice models use a no-slip boundary condition to parameterize any unresolved
 200 frictional boundary layers. Comparing Equation (5) to Equation (6), the difference be-
 201 tween the no-slip and free-slip boundary conditions is $-\frac{2\nu u_j}{(\Delta y)^2}$. The key idea of our new
 202 parameterization is to replace this term, which in viscous plastic models is a complicated,
 203 nonlinear function of ice pressure and ice drift velocities, with an explicit lateral drag.
 204 Plausibly, the lateral drag term is a function of the sea ice thickness (or mass), the drift
 205 velocity and the shape (i.e., resistance) of the coastline, expressed as a form factor. In
 206 its most general form, it can be written as:

$$207 \quad \tau_l = m F \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u}), \quad (7)$$

208 where F is the form factor and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ is a function of the sea ice drift velocity \mathbf{u} . The
 209 form factor F depends locally on the length of the coastline and is described in detail
 210 in Section 3.2. Different types of $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ are discussed in Section 3.3.

211 **3.2 Form factor**

212 The form factor F is determined by the relative location of the ocean and the land
 213 within a grid cell. The model topography is interpolated from the International Bathy-
 214 metric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) topography data (Jakobsson et al., 2012) to
 215 a 4.5 km grid and then coarse-grained to a 36 km grid. The grid is regarded as an ocean/land
 216 point if ocean/land covers more than half of the model grid (Figure 1a). Here, we dis-
 217 cuss two types of form factors in the lateral drag parameterization. The first, F_1 , is de-
 218 termined by the coastline resolved by the model grid, and the second, F_2 , uses a higher
 219 subgrid resolution coastline. As the lateral drag affects only velocities parallel to the coast-
 220 line, the form factor is considered separately in the x- and y-directions. The lateral drag
 221 of one grid cell in the x-direction is affected by the coastline in the y-direction.

222 The coefficient for u -component of the stress, F_1^u , is zero when the two neighbor-
 223 ing model grid cells in the y -direction are both ocean points. F_1^u is one when one of the
 224 neighboring cells in the y -direction is a land point. F_1^u is two when both of the neigh-
 225 boring grid cells are land points. The coefficient for the v -component, F_1^v , is determined

226 analogously. The definition for this simple form factor is summarized in Equation (8):

$$227 \quad F_1^{v/u} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{in x/y direction no land point} \\ 1, & \text{in x/y direction only one land point} \\ 2, & \text{in x/y direction two land points} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

228 The second form factor F_2 involves additional sub-grid scale information provided
 229 by a high-resolution coastline data set. We use the 10 m coastline data from Natural Earth
 230 10 m Physical Vectors (<https://www.naturalearthdata.com>). We project the 10 m coast-
 231 line on the x- and y-direction within each grid cell, integrate projected natural coastline
 232 length, and normalize it by the model grid length. The normalized integrals of the 10 m
 233 coastline within one grid cell $f_2^u(i, j)$ and $f_2^v(i, j)$ are defined as:

$$234 \quad f_2^u(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N |l_n \cos \theta_n|}{\Delta x_{i,j}} \quad (9)$$

$$235 \quad f_2^v(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N |l_n \sin \theta_n|}{\Delta y_{i,j}}. \quad (10)$$

236 where $f_2^u(i, j)$ and $f_2^v(i, j)$ are projections of the 10 m coastline in the x- and y-direction
 237 normalized by the grid length. l_n is the length of the n th segment of 10 m coastline within
 238 one grid cell, θ_n is the angle between the n th 10 m coastline segment and x-axis of the
 239 model grid, $\Delta x_{i,j}$, $\Delta y_{i,j}$ are the model grid spacings in the x- and y-direction, and N
 240 is the number of 10 m coastline points within one model grid cell.

241 The form factors $F_2^u(i, j)$, $F_2^v(i, j)$ for $u_{i,j}$, $v_{i,j}$ are determined by f_2^u , f_2^v (Figure 1a):

$$242 \quad F_2^u(i, j) = \frac{f_2^u(i, j) + f_2^u(i, j + 1)}{2} \quad (11)$$

$$243 \quad F_2^v(i, j) = \frac{f_2^v(i, j) + f_2^v(i + 1, j)}{2}. \quad (12)$$

244 Figures 1b and 1c illustrate the two different form factors for the x-direction in the
 245 Kara Sea. Based on the high resolution coastline data, form factor F_2 is generally larger
 246 than F_1 . Geographic features that are unresolved by our 36 km model grid, such as the
 247 Franz-Josef-Land archipelago, also lead to non-zero contributions to F_2 , so that these
 248 features can exert lateral drag.

249 3.3 Function $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$

250 $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ is a function of sea ice velocity. Here we test two different forms. The first
 251 form is a quadratic function $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u}) = C_q |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u}$ similar to the ocean stress $\boldsymbol{\tau}_o$ and atmo-
 252 sphere stress $\boldsymbol{\tau}_a$. The coefficient C_q has the units m^{-1} . The quadratic function $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u})$




Figure 1. Definition for form factors and two form factors in x-direction in the Kara Sea.

(a) Schematic illustration of form factors. The blue line represents the subgrid scale coastline.

The grid pattern represents the ocean in the model, and the hashed green area is the land in the 36 km model. $f_2^u(i,j)$ and $f_2^v(i,j)$ are the projections of the subgrid scale coastline in the x- and y-direction normalized by the grid length at the grid (i,j) . The point $u_{i,j}$ in the orange box is influenced by the two adjacent points and $F_2^u(i,j)$ is calculated via Equation 11. The point $v_{i,j}$ in the red box is influenced by the two surrounding points and $F_2^v(i,j)$ is defined in Equation 12.

(b) and (c): The two form factors in the x-direction in the Kara Sea. Form factor F_1^u assumes values of 0, 1, and 2. The values of F_2^u are continuous.




Figure 2. Quadratic and static $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ function in the lateral drag parameterization. The red line is the quadratic function $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u})$ with $C_q = 1 \text{ m}^{-1}$, and the black line indicates the static function of lateral drag with $C_s = 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. $m = \rho_i h$ is chosen as 910 kg m^{-2} corresponding to 1 m of ice. For the static function, the lateral drag increases quickly with sea ice drift below $u_* = 0.01 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (approximately where quadratic and static functions coincide for the chosen parameters) and remains almost constant above. In contrast, $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u})$ increases quadratically with velocity.

253 increases with increasing ice velocity (Figure 2). The second form $\mathbf{K}_2(\mathbf{u}) = C_s \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}| + u_0} \mathbf{u}$
 254 is a static friction form similar to the basal drag of Lemieux et al. (2015) with a small
 255 velocity $u_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-1}$. The coefficient C_s has the units m s^{-2} . The static func-
 256 tion $\mathbf{K}_2(\mathbf{u})$ provides constant lateral drag when sea ice drift velocity exceeds the small
 257 velocity $u_* = 0.01 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (Figure 2).

258 The lateral drag parameterization is mainly governed by the function $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$. To es-
 259 timate the order of magnitude of lateral drag coefficients, we assume that the lateral drag
 260 term has the same order of magnitude as the wind stress term. The order of magnitude
 261 of typical wind stress in the Arctic is 0.1 N m^{-2} (Lemieux et al., 2015; Timmermans &
 262 Marshall, 2020). To reach a similar magnitude with the wind stress for the lateral drag
 263 term, we use a lateral drag coefficient $C_q = 1 \text{ m}^{-1}$ in the quadratic function $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u})$, and

264 $C_s = 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ for the static function $\mathbf{K}_2(\mathbf{u})$. With this choice of coefficients the dif-
 265 ferent formulations give similar drag for ice velocities of 0.01 m s^{-1} (Figure 2).

266 Combining different form factors F and velocity function $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$, we get four for-
 267 mulations of the lateral drag terms:

$$\tau_{l1} = m F_1 C_q |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u} \quad (13a)$$

$$\tau_{l2} = m F_2 C_q |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u} \quad (13b)$$

$$\tau_{l3} = m F_1 C_s \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|\mathbf{u}| + u_0} \quad (13c)$$

$$\tau_{l4} = m F_2 C_s \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|\mathbf{u}| + u_0} \quad (13d)$$

268

269 4 Results

270 In this section, we compare experiments with different parameterizations to the satel-
 271 lite data of the National Ice Center (NIC) Arctic Sea Ice Charts and Climatologies (U.
 272 S. National Ice Center, 2006, updated 2009). To better distinguish the different model
 273 simulations, we use the abbreviations for different model simulations provided in Table 1.
 274 We first compare four lateral drag formulas, and estimate the sensitivity of the lateral
 275 drag coefficient. Next we compare the lateral and basal drag parameterization. Finally,
 276 we evaluate the time series of landfast ice extent in four marginal Arctic seas (Kara, Laptev,
 277 East Siberian, Beaufort) with satellite observations and assess the large-scale features
 278 in the model simulations with the new parameterization. We explicitly exclude landfast
 279 ice estimates in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, as the dynamics there are different and
 280 the model generally overestimates the landfast ice cover (Lemieux et al., 2018).

281 4.1 Landfast ice frequency with different lateral drag formulas

282 The main aim is to improve the landfast ice representation, in particular in the Kara
 283 Sea, because there the water is deeper than in the other marginal seas so that landfast
 284 ice cannot form simply due to grounding ice keels. We used the landfast ice frequency
 285 in the Kara Sea from January to May in 2001–2007 to compare the four different lat-
 286 eral drag implementations shown in Equation (13). The landfast ice frequency is the fre-
 287 quency of occurrence of landfast ice for January to May in the years 2001–2007. For a

Table 1. The abbreviations of model simulations in this paper.

Abbreviation	Model simulations
CTRL	standard 36 km model control run, no landfast ice parameterization
LD	36 km model with lateral drag parameterization
BD	36 km model with basal drag parameterization
LD + BD	36 km model with both lateral and basal drag parameterization

particular grid cell, a value of 1 means that in each record in the months January to May the ice satisfied the criterion for landfast ice (mean drift < 600 m in 2 weeks) while, a value of 0 means that there was never any landfast ice in this grid cell.

Using the same form factor, the model run with the static function $\mathbf{K}_2(\mathbf{u})$ simulates larger landfast ice frequency in the Kara Sea, which is more consistent with the observations, than that with the quadratic function $\mathbf{K}_1(\mathbf{u})$ (compare Figure 3a with 3c and Figure 3b with 3d). With the same $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{u})$ function, model simulations with form factor F_2 increases the landfast ice frequency in the Kara Sea compared to simulations with form factor F_1 (compare Figure 3a with 3b and Figure 3c with 3d). This supports the notion that landfast ice in the Kara Sea is mainly supported by sea ice arching as the offshore islands (Severnaya Zemlya archipelago) prevent ice drift and lead to landfast ice formation over the deep regions. The high-resolution coastline underlying the form factor F_2 takes the offshore island chain into account, which leads to higher lateral drag on sea ice.

4.2 Tuning lateral drag parameters

In this section, we test the effects of lateral drag coefficients on simulating landfast ice in the lateral drag parameterization with the static function and form factor F_2 . We use timeseries of total landfast ice extent to evaluate different model simulations. The root mean square difference (RMSD) and the mean difference (MD) of landfast ice extent between the model simulations and NIC data are used as metrics. We ran simulations with lateral static drag coefficients, C_s , ranging from 10^{-4} m s^{-2} to 10^{-3} m s^{-2} . We only show simulations with coefficients $1, 2, 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ in Table 2 because these three simulations are closest to observations. We also studied the landfast ice extent in




Figure 3. Landfast ice frequency from January to May in 2001–2007 in the Kara Sea with different lateral drag formulations. (a) Quadratic function with simple coast factor F_1 and $C_q = 1 \text{ m}^{-1}$. (b) Quadratic function with normalized coastline length F_2 and $C_q = 1 \text{ m}^{-1}$. (c) Static function with simple coast factor F_1 and $C_s = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. (d) Static function with normalized coastline length F_2 and $C_s = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. The colorbar is the landfast ice frequency, the darker the more often there is landfast ice.

311 2001–2007 in the Kara Sea in the LD simulations (with lateral drag parameterization)
 312 with different lateral drag coefficients (see Figure 4) compared to the CTRL simulation
 313 (without any landfast ice parameterizations) and NIC data. The CTRL simulation sys-
 314 tematically underestimates landfast ice in the Kara Sea while still capturing the annual
 315 and some of the interannual variability (Figure 4). The interannual variability of land-
 316 fast ice in LD simulations is generally more consistent with observations.

317 With different lateral drag coefficients the RMSD of landfast ice extent in the Kara
 318 Sea does not change much. The LD simulation with lateral drag coefficient $C_s = 2 \times$
 319 10^{-4} m s^{-2} has the smallest RMSD ($5.44 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$, about 55% of the RMS of the NIC
 320 time series, Table 2). Note that the RMSD in LD simulation with $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$
 321 is not small because of the landfast ice extent outliers in the year 2002 and 2006 in the
 322 Kara Sea (see Figure 4). In contrast, the mean differences distinguish LD simulations
 323 with different lateral drag coefficients. The LD simulation with a lateral drag coefficient
 324 of $C_s = 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ underestimates landfast ice in the Kara Sea ($3.27 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ less
 325 than the observation, about 41% of the mean of the NIC time series), whereas $C_s = 3 \times$
 326 10^{-4} m s^{-2} leads to an overestimation of landfast ice in the Kara Sea ($1.41 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$
 327 larger than the observation, about 18% of the NIC average). The best agreement with
 328 the NIC data, with a mean difference of $-0.60 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ (about 8% of the NIC aver-
 329 age) in the Kara Sea, is found with $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ (Table 2).

330 The large RMSD and mean differences in the Laptev Sea and the East Siberian Sea
 331 show that the lateral drag parameterization underestimates landfast ice in these two re-
 332 gions. Because these two regions are exposed to open ocean with no arching from island
 333 chains, lateral drag cannot support landfast ice. Instead, the grounding scheme is the
 334 primary mechanism to stabilize landfast ice in the Laptev Sea and the East Siberian Sea
 335 (Lemieux et al., 2015). However, in the focus of our study, the Kara Sea, the lateral drag
 336 parameterization plays a more important role. Consequently, we use lateral drag coef-
 337 ficient $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ for the further analysis of this paper.

338 **4.3 Comparing effects of lateral and basal drag parameterization on land-** 339 **fast ice extent**

340 We studied the spatial distribution of landfast ice in the Arctic for different com-
 341 binations of parameterizations for lateral and basal drag (Figure 5). The tuning param-

Table 2. Landfast ice extent statistics of model simulations with different lateral drag coefficients C_s (in 10^{-4} m s^{-2}) with respect to observations in 2001–2007. MD is the mean difference, RMS is the root mean square, and RMSD is the root mean square difference (in 10^4 km^2).

C_s (in 10^{-4} m s^{-2})	1		2		3		NIC	
	RMSD	MD	RMSD	MD	RMSD	MD	RMS	Mean
Kara Sea	5.64	-3.27	5.44	-0.60	6.64	1.41	9.96	7.93
Laptev Sea	8.95	-6.51	7.68	-5.12	6.92	-4.15	12.70	9.98
East Siberian Sea	10.90	-7.42	10.10	-6.71	9.55	-6.16	13.90	10.0
Beaufort Sea	1.68	-0.61	1.84	-0.14	1.96	0.13	1.93	1.37




Figure 4. Landfast ice extent in Kara Sea in 2001–2007. Orange, green, and blue lines are the LD experiment with $C_s = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ and $C_s = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, respectively. The black line is the NIC data, and the black dashed line is the CTRL simulation. The numbers show the mean differences of landfast ice extent in four regions between LDs and observation for the years 2001–2007.

eters of the grounding scheme depend on resolution. From experiments with the grounding scheme for $k_1 = 6, 7, 8, 10$ and $k_2 = 5, 10, 15 \text{ N m}^{-3}$ (summarized in Table A1 in the appendix) we find that, consistent with Lemieux et al. (2015), the set $k_1 = 8, k_2 = 15 \text{ N m}^{-3}$ provides best agreement to the satellite data in the Laptev Sea in our configuration (RMSD= $4.55 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and MD = $-1.06 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$), but overestimated landfast ice extent in the East Siberian Sea (RMSD= $7.32 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and MD = $3.44 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$) and the Beaufort Sea (RMSD= $1.70 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and MD = $0.18 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$). Still we use this parameter combination to compare to previous results. Note that the basal drag parameterization underestimates the landfast ice extent in the Kara Sea (RMSD= $4.95 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and MD = $-2.91 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$), which is also consistent with Lemieux et al. (2015).

Because landfast ice formation mechanisms are related to topography and geography, we use the Kara Sea (deep region) as the reference region to study the lateral drag parameterization and the Laptev Sea (shallow region) as the reference region for the basal drag parameterization. The Kara Sea is different from the Laptev Sea in topography and water depth, so that the parameterized mechanisms that lead to landfast ice are different and most likely complementary. Therefore, we refrain from retuning all three parameters k_1, k_2 , and C_s in the combination run LD+BD (with lateral and basal drag parameterization), but use the parameter values found in the runs LD and BD simulations (with basal drag parameterization).

The lateral drag parameterization improves the representation of landfast ice in the Kara Sea. With only the basal drag parameterization (BD), the landfast ice extent in the Kara Sea is strongly underestimated compared to observations (with a mean difference of $-2.91 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$, about 37% of the NIC average). The LD simulation reduces this difference to $-0.60 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ (Table 3) and the distribution of relative frequency in the Kara Sea also improves compared to the BD simulation (Figure 5). In the LD + BD simulation, the mean landfast ice extent in the Kara Sea is larger than in the observation by $0.88 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ (about 11% of the NIC average, Table 3). The Severnaya Zemlya archipelago in the Kara Sea provides anchor points and exerts lateral friction such that more sea ice attaches to the coast. Since the LD simulation contains additional coastline information, there is also some landfast ice in the LD simulation near Franz-Josef-Land archipelago ($\approx 81^\circ\text{N}, 55^\circ\text{E}$), an archipelago that is unresolved by the model grid. The larger RMSD ($5.44 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$) can be explained by the short outliers in 2002 and 2006 (see Figure 6b, and Section 5) when the LD simulation overestimates landfast ice




Figure 5. Landfast ice frequency for January to May in 2001–2007 in the Arctic. (a) LD with lateral drag coefficient $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. (b) BD with basal drag parameters $k_1 = 8, k_2 = 15 \text{ N m}^{-3}$. The solid and dashed isolines represent the 25 m and the 60 m depth contours. (c) LD + BD with lateral drag coefficient $C_s = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m s}^{-2}, k_1 = 8, k_2 = 15 \text{ N m}^{-3}$. (d) NIC data. BS: Beaufort Sea, ESIB: East Siberian Sea, LS: Laptev Sea, KS: Kara Sea.




Figure 6. Time series of landfast ice extent (10^6 km^2) in four regions: (a) the Beaufort Sea; (b) the Kara Sea; (c) the Laptev Sea; and (d) the East Siberian Sea. NIC: observations, LD: lateral drag run, BD: basal drag run, LD + BD: run with both lateral and basal drag parameterization.

375 in the Western Kara Sea near Novaya Zemlya. Note that the two peaks in the LD sim-
 376 ulation two weeks before March 24, 2002 and April 16, 2006 also appear in the model
 377 simulations with grounding scheme (see Figure 6b and Lemieux et al. (2015), their Fig-
 378 ure 6b). The BD simulation underestimates the landfast ice extent in the Kara Sea, but
 379 improves it near the Yenisey Gulf compared to the LD simulation (Figure 5b), because
 380 the scheme successfully parameterizes the grounding pressure ridges in this shallow re-
 381 gion (McClelland et al., 2012; Harms, 2004).

Table 3. Landfast ice statistics of different model simulations with respect to observations in 2001–2007 (in 10^4 km^2). RMSD: root mean square difference, MD: mean difference, LD: lateral drag run, BD: basal drag run, LD + BD: run with both lateral and basal drag parameterization.

Regions	LD		BD		LD + BD	
	RMSD	MD	RMSD	MD	RMSD	MD
Kara Sea	5.44	-0.60	4.95	-2.91	5.61	0.88
Laptev Sea	7.68	-5.12	4.55	-1.06	4.64	-0.05
East Siberian Sea	10.10	-6.71	7.32	3.44	7.63	3.82
Beaufort Sea	1.84	-0.14	1.70	0.18	2.05	0.49

382 The basal drag parameterization increases the landfast ice formation in the Laptev
383 Sea (see also Lemieux et al., 2015, 2016). The mean landfast ice extent in the Laptev
384 Sea in the LD + BD simulation is on average $0.05 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ smaller than the observa-
385 tion (about 0.5% of the mean of the NIC time series, Table 3). Combining the lateral
386 and basal drag parameterizations reduces the mean differences compared to lateral drag
387 or basal drag parameterization alone in the Laptev Sea. Landfast ice ridges reach the
388 bottom in the Laptev Sea to sustain sea ice attached to the coast. Coastlines also pro-
389 vide anchor points for landfast ice when ice floes drift onshore.

390 In the East Siberian and Beaufort seas, the additional effect of the lateral drag pa-
391 rameterization leads to an overestimation of landfast ice extent so that the mean differ-
392 ences of landfast ice extent in LD + BD simulation in the East Siberian Sea and the Beau-
393 fort Sea ($3.82 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ and $0.49 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$) are slightly larger than that in the simu-
394 lation only using basal drag (BD) parameterization. On average, the combination of lat-
395 eral and basal drag improves the landfast ice simulations in all Arctic marginal seas.

396 **4.4 Comparison of large scale features between CTRL and LD simula-** 397 **tion**

398 In this section we examine the sea ice concentration (SIC) and sea ice thickness (SIT)
399 in the model simulations with lateral drag parameterization in April 2001–2007. Com-
400 pared to the CTRL simulation, SIC in the LD simulation differs in the marginal ice zone
401 (MIZ), while SIT differences are very small. In landfast ice regions, SIT is slightly thin-

ner and everywhere else it is slightly thicker (<10 cm) than in the CTRL simulation (not shown). As expected, the lateral drag parameterization does not directly influence regions far away from the coast.

In the following we use ice thickness data from the Panarctic Ice Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System (PIOMAS, Schweiger et al., 2011; Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) as a rough reference for our simulations to evaluate the effect of the new parameterization on the net ice volume in the Arctic. The PIOMAS volume timeseries has a mean annual cycle of $21.2 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$ and an RMS of $19.3 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$. The RMSD between the time series of Arctic sea ice volume in 2001–2007 between PIOMAS and our LD simulation (Figure 7) is $5.44 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$ (about 28% of the RMS of the sea ice volume in PIOMAS). The RMSD between the LD simulation and our CTRL simulation is more than a factor of 10 smaller: $0.47 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^3$ (about 2% of the RMS of the sea ice volume in PIOMAS). PIOMAS uses a special teardrop rheology that allows biaxial tensile stress (Zhang & Rothrock, 2005). In this sense, it implicitly allows landfast ice similar to the tensile strength approach with an elliptical yield curve in Lemieux et al. (2016). PIOMAS does not use any other explicit parameterization scheme for landfast ice (J. Zhang, personal communications), but our results suggest that the difference in Arctic-wide mean thickness of such a scheme would be small. The LD (and LD+BD) simulation leads to a very similar sea ice volume and extent compared to the CTRL simulation. The RMSD of sea ice extent between LD simulations and estimates of the Arctic Data archive System (ADS) Quasi-real-time polar environment observation monitor (Yabuki et al., 2011) is $1.35 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$. The RMSD of sea ice extent between the LD simulation and the CTRL simulation is $0.06 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$. Generally, the lateral drag parameterization slightly decreases the mean ice volume by 1.9% compared to the CTRL simulation, mainly through thinner ice in the landfast ice areas, but otherwise has little effect on the large scale properties of the solution.

5 Discussion

The results presented in Section 4 demonstrate that the mechanism for landfast ice formation largely depends on geography. Grounding of ice keels is the dominant mechanism to form landfast ice in regions shallower than a critical depth. In contrast, lateral drag is more important in regions exceeding the critical water depth, where island chains provide pinning points for sea ice arches. However, the lateral drag parameterization cannot replace, but can only augment the grounding scheme because by itself it produces




Figure 7. Time series of sea ice volume and sea ice extent over the arctic in 2001–2007. The reference data for sea ice volume and sea ice extent is from PIOMAS and ADS, respectively.

434 too little landfast ice in the shallow regions; i.e., the Laptev Sea and the East Siberian
435 Sea where there are no islands to act as anchor points. Both physical processes should
436 be parameterized concurrently to simulate landfast ice in the entire Arctic.

437 The lateral drag parameterization improves the landfast ice simulation in the Kara
438 Sea, but it overestimates landfast ice in the Western Kara Sea in March 2002 and April
439 2006. We investigated one-week averaged wind velocity and sea ice thickness before March
440 24, 2002 and April 16, 2006 to explore potential reasons for the overestimation of land-
441 fast ice. Two time periods for the same date in 2005 and 2007 were also picked for com-
442 parison. Here we provide two hypotheses to explain this phenomenon. One of the hy-
443 potheses is related to the wind direction leading to the anomalous landfast ice. When
444 the wind blows perpendicular to Novaya Zemlya, there is excessive landfast ice in the
445 Western Kara Sea. Sea ice piles up in the Western Kara Sea, attaches to the coast, and
446 becomes landfast ice (see Figure 8a, 8b). However, when the wind blows parallel to the
447 coast, there is no landfast ice in the Western Kara Sea (Figure 8d). The second hypoth-
448 esis is a combination of local wind patterns and landfast ice diagnostics artifacts. Dur-
449 ing the observed periods of high landfast ice in the Western Kara Sea in 2002 and 2006,
450 there were anticyclonic wind patterns around the Kara Sea, which may have led to Ek-
451 man convergence, where the ice is not moved away but “pushed together” in convergence
452 (Figure 8b). As a consequence, the immobile sea ice is falsely diagnosed as landfast ice.
453 These processes may also lead to the higher temporal variability in landfast ice compared
454 to observations (see Figure 6). A similar process reduces sea ice speed, albeit at larger
455 scales in the Beaufort Sea, when ice concentration and internal stresses are high in win-
456 tertime during an anticyclonic anomaly (Wang et al., 2019). As a test, we calculate the
457 landfast ice frequency in the Kara Sea from January to May in 2001–2007, excluding March
458 2002 and April 2006. The results show a close agreement with the NIC data for the sim-
459 ulations with lateral drag parameterization alone and the combination of lateral drag
460 parameterization and grounding scheme in the Kara Sea (Figure 9).

461 Attempts to improve landfast ice simulation in the Kara Sea by modifying global
462 parameters in the sea ice model (e.g., implementing a large maximum viscosity in a re-
463 gional sea ice model (Olason, 2016), or adding tensile strength to the rheology (Beatty
464 & Holland, 2010; Lemieux et al., 2016)) were successful. However, they have the disad-
465 vantage that they affect the sea ice dynamics in the entire Arctic. In contrast, the ap-
466 proaches based on domain geometry such as the depth-dependent grounding scheme or




Figure 8. One week average of sea ice thickness (m) and wind velocity (m s^{-1}) before:
 (a) 24 March 2002 (high landfast ice); (b) 16 April 2006 (high landfast ice); (c) 16 April 2005
 (for reference); (d) 16 April 2007 (for reference). The colorbar describes the sea ice thickness (m),
 the wind velocity reference is 10 m s^{-1} .




Figure 9. Landfast ice frequency for January to May in 2001–2007 in the Kara Sea with data in the two weeks with exceptionally large landfast ice in 2002 and 2006 excluded. The solid and dashed isolines in (b) represent the 25 m and the 60 m depth contours in the Kara Sea.

467 our new lateral drag scheme along coastlines affect the pan-Arctic scale far away from
468 the coasts only indirectly. The form factor in the lateral drag parameterization allows
469 including additional subgrid information independent of model resolution. This extra
470 information leads to realistic effects of unresolved coastline in the coarse model.

471 Landfast ice in Antarctica is often attached to grounded icebergs which ground in
472 water depth of 400-500 m, or to other coastal features (e.g., the shoreline, glacier tongues,
473 and ice shelves, Massom et al., 2001; Fraser et al., 2012, 2020). Because of the deep con-
474 tinental shelves around Antarctica, the grounding scheme may not work as well as in the
475 Arctic. Including our lateral drag parameterization in an Antarctic sea ice model may
476 lead to realistic landfast ice simulations.

477 Implementing a lateral drag parameterization is very simple and improves land-
478 fast ice estimates in the deep regions in the Arctic; therefore, we recommend including
479 it for any sea ice model. The only complication is that, strictly speaking, the lateral no-
480 slip boundary condition needs to be replaced by a free-slip condition, in order not to add
481 two types of lateral drag. We found that the additional information on high-resolution
482 coastlines is important because it adds drag to the model also where archipelagos are
483 not resolved by the model grid.

484 Explicit landfast ice thickness observations are rare because usually they are very
485 localized in-situ point measurements. In our model simulations, we can explore the land-
486 fast ice thickness with or without landfast ice parameterizations. We find that the max-
487 imum of the mean landfast ice thickness increases from about 0.25 m in the CTRL sim-
488 ulation (without explicit landfast ice parameterization) to about 1 m in the Kara and
489 Beaufort seas, 1.5 m in the Laptev Sea, and 2 m in the East Siberian Sea in simulations
490 with lateral and basal drag parameterization. These numbers can be compared to sin-
491 gular studies such as Zhai et al. (2021) who used a thermodynamic model for the coast
492 of Kotely Island in the East Siberian Sea to simulate landfast ice thickness. They found
493 maximum landfast ice thicknesses 2.02 ± 0.12 m for the years 1994 to 2014. These num-
494 bers coincide with our simulations, but since the complicated thermodynamic column
495 model of Zhai et al. (2021) is very different from our fully coupled sea ice-ocean model
496 with very simple thermodynamics, this coincidence should be seen as fortuitous. Note
497 the positive feedback between landfast ice thickness and lateral drag parameterization,
498 where thicker ice leads to a larger lateral drag in the momentum equations.

499 Our model does not include tides that would be necessary to implement param-
500 eterization of landfast ice break-up in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Lemieux et al.,
501 2018). Typically, sea ice model overestimates landfast ice extent and duration because
502 the ice is landlocked and the channel in the CAA is not properly resolved. Strong tidal
503 currents can reduce the extent of landfast ice. Adding a landfast ice parameterization
504 that aims to increase the landfast ice extent (no matter if lateral or basal drag param-
505 eterization) increases the overestimation of landfast ice in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago.
506 Tides are also reported to be responsible for the reduction of sea ice volume by enhanced
507 vertical mixing (Janout & Lenn, 2014; Luneva et al., 2015). Although strong internal
508 tides are propagating from the Kara Strait to the Barents Sea (Morozov et al., 2008),
509 the tidal currents in the northeastern Kara Sea (Padman & Erofeeva, 2004) and the north-
510 ern Laptev Sea (Pnyushkov & Polyakov, 2012) are weak. The weak tides are unlikely
511 to decrease the landfast ice cover in the northeastern Kara Sea, but tidal forcing may
512 have a small effect on the landfast ice in the southwestern Kara Sea when only little land-
513 fast ice has been formed there.

514 **6 Conclusion**

515 This paper introduces a lateral drag parameterization to improve landfast ice sim-
516 ulation in the Arctic region. The lateral drag parameterization replaces the common no-
517 slip boundary condition in the sea ice momentum equation by a lateral drag term, which
518 is a function of sea ice velocity, and coastline features. We assume that lateral friction
519 is a static function of sea ice velocity and generate a form factor to represent the com-
520 plexity of the coastline. Numerical experiments were conducted with an Arctic sea ice-
521 ocean model with a grid spacing of 36 km. The landfast ice extent and frequency of model
522 simulations with lateral drag parameterization and grounding schemes were examined
523 in four regions: the Kara Sea, the Laptev Sea, the East Siberian Sea, and the Beaufort
524 Sea. Compared to no parameterization and grounding scheme, lateral drag parametriza-
525 tion leads to a more realistic landfast ice area in the Kara Sea. Although lateral drag
526 parameterization successfully simulates landfast in the Kara Sea, it underestimates land-
527 fast ice in the East Siberian Sea, Laptev, and the Beaufort Sea compared to the ground-
528 ing scheme, because the mechanism of landfast ice formation is different in these regions.
529 The combination of lateral and basal drag parameterization leads to the most realistic
530 estimates of landfast ice in space and time and captures most of the annual cycle and

531 the interannual variability in the Arctic. Thus, we recommend using the lateral and basal
532 drag parameterization in combination to simulate landfast ice in the Arctic Ocean ac-
533 curately.

534 In Antarctica, landfast ice forms dynamically when sea ice is imported by onshore
535 winds and blocked by restrictive geometry, for example, the icebergs in deep water (Massom
536 et al., 2001; Van Achter et al., 2022). The lateral drag parameterization provides a way
537 to quantify the mechanism to sustain landfast ice along icebergs, similar to the way coast-
538 lines or islands provide anchor points for landfast ice in the Arctic.

539 Landfast ice limits dynamical thickness growth by preventing rapid ice compres-
540 sion in convergent motion (Itkin et al., 2015). In our simulations, the mean sea ice vol-
541 ume in the Arctic (thickness) in April decreased by around 1.9% after adding a landfast
542 ice parameterization. In the marginal seas, the landfast ice parameterization increases
543 the landfast ice thickness in the Arctic in our simulations, which is most likely an im-
544 provement over too little and too thin landfast ice. The simulated landfast ice thickness
545 is also consistent with previous work on landfast ice thickness in the East Siberian Sea
546 (Zhai et al., 2021), giving us confidence that appropriate landfast ice parameterization
547 in the sea ice models will make improved projections for landfast ice distribution and thick-
548 ness in the Arctic possible.

549 Once a stable landfast ice cover has developed, new ice formation is reduced on the
550 shelf and especially along the coast; thus, less brine is released into the ocean leading to
551 a fresher upper ocean in landfast ice-covered regions (Itkin et al., 2015). We speculate
552 that the lower salinity in the Kara Sea due to more landfast ice is transported to the Makarov
553 Basin via the Vilkitsky Strait (Janout et al., 2015), which suggests that the landfast ice
554 parameterization may influence the hydrography in the central Arctic. The effects of more
555 realistic landfast ice simulations in the Kara Sea are the subject of future research.

556 **Acknowledgments**

557 The authors thank Bruno Tremblay for the suggestions of the static friction form
558 of the form factor in the paper and Damien Ringeisen for writing suggestions. This work
559 is supported under DFG-funded International Research Training Group ArcTrain (IRTG
560 1904 ArcTrain). We thank reviewer Bin Cheng and editor Laurence Padman for criti-

561 cally reading the manuscript and suggesting substantial improvements and helpful com-
562 ments.

563 Code availability. The code of the lateral drag parameterization in the MITgcm
564 is available at https://github.com/yqliu11/MITgcm/tree/seaice_lateraldrag_v3.

565 Data availability: the satellite data used in this publication are available at

- 566 • Landfast ice data: U.S. National Ice Center Arctic Sea Ice Charts and Climatolo-
567 gies in Gridded Format, 1972–2007, Version 1: <https://nsidc.org/data/G02172>
- 568 • Sea ice extent via Arctic data archive system: <https://ads.nipr.ac.jp/>
- 569 • Sea ice volume data via PIOMAS: [http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/
570 arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/data/](http://psc.apl.uw.edu/research/projects/arctic-sea-ice-volume-anomaly/data/)

571 **Appendix A Table of statistics of BD model simulations**

572 **References**

- 573 Adcroft, A., & Marshall, D. (1998). How slippery are piecewise-constant coastlines
574 in numerical ocean models? *Tellus, Ser. A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.*, *50*(1),
575 95–108. doi: 10.3402/tellusa.v50i1.14514
- 576 Beatty, C. K., & Holland, D. M. (2010). Modeling landfast sea ice by adding tensile
577 strength. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *40*(1), 185–198. doi: 10.1175/2009JPO4105.1
- 578 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., ...
579 Vitart, F. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance
580 of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *137*(656), 553–597.
581 doi: 10.1002/qj.828
- 582 Divine, D. V., Korsnes, R., Makshtas, A. P., Godtlielsen, F., & Svendsen, H. (2005).
583 Atmospheric-driven state transfer of shore-fast ice in the northeastern Kara
584 Sea. *J. Geophys. Res. C Ocean.*, *110*(9), 1–13. doi: 10.1029/2004JC002706
- 585 Eicken, H., Dmitrenko, I., Tyshko, K., Darovskikh, A., Dierking, W., Blahak, U.,
586 ... Kassens, H. (2005). Zonation of the Laptev Sea landfast ice cover and its
587 importance in a frozen estuary. *Glob. Planet. Change*, *48*(1-3 SPEC. ISS.),
588 55–83. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.12.005
- 589 Flato, G. M., & Brown, R. D. (1996, nov). Variability and climate sensitivity of
590 landfast Arctic sea ice. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *101*(C11), 25767–25777. doi:

Table A1. RMSD of model simulations with basal drag parameterization with respect to observations in 2001–2007 in four marginal seas (10^4 km^2).

	RMSD				MD			
	Kara Sea	Laptev Sea	East Siberian Sea	Beaufort Sea	Kara Sea	Laptev Sea	East Siberian Sea	Beaufort Sea
$k_1 = 6, k_2 = 5$	6.16	6.21	5.20	1.64	-4.35	-3.76	-1.56	-0.24
$k_1 = 6, k_2 = 10$	5.97	5.96	5.25	1.65	-4.15	-3.44	-1.24	-0.19
$k_1 = 6, k_2 = 15$	5.88	5.88	5.29	1.65	-4.04	-3.33	-1.09	-0.17
$k_1 = 7, k_2 = 5$	5.57	5.52	5.37	1.66	-3.75	-2.93	0.58	-0.09
$k_1 = 7, k_2 = 10$	5.34	5.29	5.65	1.64	-3.55	-2.6	1.23	-0.02
$k_1 = 7, k_2 = 15$	5.23	5.17	5.79	1.66	-3.4	-2.44	1.54	0.02
$k_1 = 8, k_2 = 5$	5.13	4.79	6.43	1.68	-3.27	-1.9	2.50	0.08
$k_1 = 8, k_2 = 10$	5.02	4.63	7.06	1.68	-3.03	-1.46	3.15	0.14
$k_1 = 8, k_2 = 15$	4.95	4.55	7.32	1.70	-2.91	-1.06	3.44	0.18
$k_1 = 10, k_2 = 5$	4.86	5.27	9.75	1.76	-2.51	0.82	5.53	0.34
$k_1 = 10, k_2 = 10$	4.71	5.63	10.60	1.77	-2.17	1.40	6.40	0.39
$k_1 = 10, k_2 = 15$	9.55	12.30	12.60	1.84	-7.48	-9.51	-8.89	-1.28

591 10.1029/96JC02431

- 592 Fraser, A. D., Massom, R. A., Michael, K. J., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., & Lieser, J. L.
 593 (2012). East Antarctic landfast sea ice distribution and variability, 2000–08. *J.*
 594 *Clim.*, *25*(4), 1137–1156. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-10-05032.1
- 595 Fraser, A. D., Massom, R. A., Ohshima, K. I., Willmes, S., Kappes, P. J.,
 596 Cartwright, J., & Porter-Smith, R. (2020). High-resolution mapping of
 597 circum-Antarctic landfast sea ice distribution, 2000-2018. *Earth Syst. Sci.*
 598 *Data*, *12*(4), 2987–2999. doi: 10.5194/essd-12-2987-2020
- 599 Greene, C. A., Young, D. A., Gwyther, D. E., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., & Blanken-
 600 ship, D. D. (2018). Seasonal dynamics of Totten Ice Shelf controlled
 601 by sea ice buttressing. *Cryosphere*, *12*(9), 2869–2882. Retrieved from
 602 <https://tc.copernicus.org/articles/12/2869/2018/> doi: 10.5194/
 603 tc-12-2869-2018
- 604 Harms, I. (2004). *Polar seas oceanography: An integrated case study of the Kara Sea*
 605 (Vol. 85) (No. 8). London, U. K: Springer. doi: 10.1029/2004eo080011
- 606 Hibler, W. D. (1979). A dynamic thermodynamic sea ice model. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*,
 607 *9*(4), 815–846. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1979)009<0815:ADTSIM>2.0.CO;2
- 608 Howell, S. E., Laliberté, F., Kwok, R., Derksen, C., & King, J. (2016). Landfast ice
 609 thickness in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from observations and models.
 610 *Cryosphere*, *10*(4), 1463–1475. doi: 10.5194/tc-10-1463-2016
- 611 Hughes, N. E., Wilkinson, J. P., & Wadhams, P. (2011). Multi-satellite sensor analy-
 612 sis of fast-ice development in the Norske Øer Ice Barrier, northeast Greenland.
 613 *Ann. Glaciol.*, *52*(57 PART 1), 151–160. doi: 10.3189/172756411795931633
- 614 Itkin, P., Losch, M., & Gerdes, R. (2015). Landfast ice affects the stability of the
 615 Arctic halocline: Evidence from a numerical model. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*,
 616 *120*(4), 2622–2635. doi: 10.1002/2014JC010353
- 617 Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Coakley, B., Dowdeswell, J. A., Forbes, S., Fridman,
 618 B., . . . Weatherall, P. (2012). The International Bathymetric Chart of the
 619 Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) Version 3.0. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *39*(12), 1–6. doi:
 620 10.1029/2012GL052219
- 621 Janout, M. A., Aksenov, Y., Hölemann, J. A., Rabe, B., Schauer, U., Polyakov,
 622 I. V., . . . Timokhov, L. (2015). Kara Sea freshwater transport through Vilk-
 623 itsky Strait: Variability, forcing, and further pathways toward the western

- 624 Arctic Ocean from a model and observations. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *120*(7),
625 4925–4944. doi: 10.1002/2014JC010635
- 626 Janout, M. A., & Lenn, Y.-D. (2014). Semidiurnal Tides on the Laptev Sea Shelf
627 with Implications for Shear and Vertical Mixing. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *44*(1),
628 202–219. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-12-0240.1
- 629 Johnson, M., Proshutinsky, A., Aksenov, Y., Nguyen, A. T., Lindsay, R., Haas, C.,
630 ... De Cuevas, B. (2012). Evaluation of Arctic sea ice thickness simulated by
631 Arctic Ocean model intercomparison project models. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*,
632 *117*(3). doi: 10.1029/2011JC007257
- 633 Kooyman, G. L., & Ponganis, P. J. (2014). Chick production at the largest em-
634 peror penguin colony decreases by 50% from 2008-10. *Antarct. Sci.*, *26*(1), 33–
635 37. doi: 10.1017/S0954102013000515
- 636 Kwok, R. (2018, oct). Arctic sea ice thickness, volume, and multiyear ice cover-
637 age: Losses and coupled variability (1958-2018). *Environ. Res. Lett.*, *13*(10),
638 105005. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aae3ec
- 639 Lemieux, J. F., Dupont, F., Blain, P., Roy, F., Smith, G. C., & Flato, G. M. (2016).
640 Improving the simulation of landfast ice by combining tensile strength and
641 a parameterization for grounded ridges. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *121*(10),
642 7354–7368. doi: 10.1002/2016JC012006
- 643 Lemieux, J. F., Lei, J., Dupont, F., Roy, F., Losch, M., Lique, C., & Laliberté,
644 F. (2018). The impact of tides on simulated landfast ice in a pan-Arctic
645 ice-ocean model. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *123*(11), 7747–7762. doi:
646 10.1029/2018JC014080
- 647 Lemieux, J. F., Tremblay, L. B., Dupont, F., Plante, M., Smith, G. C., & Dumont,
648 D. (2015). A basal stress parameterization for modeling landfast ice. *J.*
649 *Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *120*(4), 3157–3173. doi: 10.1002/2014JC010678
- 650 Leppäranta, M. (2013). Land-ice interaction in the Baltic Sea. *Est. J. Earth Sci.*,
651 *62*(1), 2. doi: 10.3176/earth.2013.01
- 652 Li, Z., Zhao, J., Su, J., Li, C., Cheng, B., Hui, F., ... Shi, L. (2020). Spatial and
653 temporal variations in the extent and thickness of arctic landfast ice. *Remote*
654 *Sens.*, *12*(1), 64. doi: 10.3390/RS12010064
- 655 Losch, M., Menemenlis, D., Campin, J. M., Heimbach, P., & Hill, C. (2010). On
656 the formulation of sea-ice models. Part 1: Effects of different solver imple-

- 657 mentations and parameterizations. *Ocean Model.*, *33*(1-2), 129–144. doi:
658 10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.12.008
- 659 Luneva, M. V., Aksenov, Y., Harle, J. D., & Holt, J. T. (2015). The effects of tides
660 on the water mass mixing and sea ice in the Arctic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.*
661 *Ocean.*, *120*(10), 6669–6699. doi: 10.1002/2014JC010310
- 662 Mahoney, A. R., Eicken, H., Gaylord, A. G., & Gens, R. (2014). Landfast
663 sea ice extent in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas: The annual cycle and
664 decadal variability. *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, *103*, 41–56. doi: 10.1016/
665 j.coldregions.2014.03.003
- 666 Mahoney, A. R., Eicken, H., Gaylord, A. G., & Shapiro, L. (2007). Alaska landfast
667 sea ice: Links with bathymetry and atmospheric circulation. *J. Geophys. Res.*
668 *Ocean.*, *112*(2). doi: 10.1029/2006JC003559
- 669 Marshall, J., Adcroft, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Heisey, C. (1997). A finite-
670 volume, incompressible navier stokes model for, studies of the ocean on
671 parallel computers. *J. Geophys. Res. C Ocean.*, *102*(C3), 5753–5766. doi:
672 10.1029/96JC02775
- 673 Massom, R. A., Hill, K. L., Lytle, V. I., Worby, A. P., Paget, M. J., & Allison, I.
674 (2001). Effects of regional fast-ice and iceberg distributions on the behaviour of
675 the Mertz Glacier polynya, East Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol.*, *33*, 391–398. doi:
676 10.3189/172756401781818518
- 677 Massom, R. A., Scambos, T. A., Bennetts, L. G., Reid, P., Squire, V. A., &
678 Stammerjohn, S. E. (2018). Antarctic ice shelf disintegration trig-
679 gered by sea ice loss and ocean swell. *Nature*, *558*(7710), 383–389. doi:
680 10.1038/s41586-018-0212-1
- 681 Massom, R. A., & Stammerjohn, S. E. (2010). Antarctic sea ice change and variabil-
682 ity - Physical and ecological implications. *Polar Sci.*, *4*(2), 149–186. doi: 10
683 .1016/j.polar.2010.05.001
- 684 McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Dunton, K. H., & Macdonald, R. W. (2012). *The*
685 *Arctic Ocean Estuary* (Vol. 35) (No. 2). doi: 10.1007/s12237-010-9357-3
- 686 Melling, H. (2002). Sea ice of the northern Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *J. Geo-*
687 *phys. Res. Ocean.*, *107*(11). doi: 10.1029/2001jc001102
- 688 MITgcm Group. (2009). *MITgcm User Manual*. Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. Re-
689 trieved from <https://mitgcm.readthedocs.io/>

- 690 Morozov, E. G., Paka, V. T., & Bakhanov, V. V. (2008). Strong internal tides in the
691 Kara Gates Strait. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*(16). doi: 10.1029/2008GL033804
- 692 Olason, E. (2016). A dynamical model of Kara Sea land-fast ice. *J. Geophys. Res.*
693 *Ocean.*, *121*(5), 3141–3158. doi: 10.1002/2016JC011638
- 694 Padman, L., & Erofeeva, S. (2004). A barotropic inverse tidal model for the Arctic
695 Ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*(2), 2–5. doi: 10.1029/2003GL019003
- 696 Pnyushkov, A. V., & Polyakov, I. V. (2012). Observations of tidally induced currents
697 over the continental slope of the Laptev Sea, Arctic Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*,
698 *42*(1), 78–94. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-11-064.1
- 699 Rapp, B. E. (2017). *Microfluidics: Modelling, mechanics and mathematics*. Elsevier.
700 doi: 10.1016/C2012-0-02230-2
- 701 Schweiger, A., Lindsay, R., Zhang, J., Steele, M., Stern, H., & Kwok, R. (2011). Un-
702 certainty in modeled Arctic sea ice volume. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *116*(9),
703 C00D06. doi: 10.1029/2011JC007084
- 704 Selyuzhenok, V., Krumpfen, T., Mahoney, A., Janout, M., & Gerdes, R. (2015). Sea-
705 sonal and interannual variability of fast ice extent in the southeastern Laptev
706 Sea between 1999 and 2013. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *120*(12), 7791–7806. doi:
707 10.1002/2015JC011135
- 708 Steele, M., Morley, R., & Ermold, W. (2001). PHC: A global ocean hydrography
709 with a high-quality Arctic Ocean. *J. Clim.*, *14*(9), 2079–2087. doi: 10.1175/
710 1520-0442(2001)014<2079:PAGOHW>2.0.CO;2
- 711 Timmermans, M. L., & Marshall, J. (2020). Understanding Arctic Ocean circulation:
712 A review of ocean dynamics in a changing climate. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*,
713 *125*(4). doi: 10.1029/2018JC014378
- 714 U. S. National Ice Center. (2006, updated 2009). *U.S. National Ice Center Arctic*
715 *sea ice charts and climatologies in gridded format, 1972 - 2007, Version 1.*
716 (Tech. Rep.). NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. Retrieved from
717 <https://doi.org/10.7265/N5X34VDB> (Edited and compiled by Fetterer, F.
718 and Fowler, C.)
- 719 Ungermann, M., & Losch, M. (2018). An observationally based evaluation of sub-
720 grid scale ice thickness distributions simulated in a large-scale sea ice-ocean
721 model of the Arctic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *123*(11), 8052–8067. doi:
722 10.1029/2018JC014022

- 723 Van Achter, G., Fichet, T., Goosse, H., Pelletier, C., Sterlin, J., Huot, P. V.,
 724 ... Porter-Smith, R. (2022). Modelling landfast sea ice and its influ-
 725 ence on ocean–ice interactions in the area of the Totten Glacier, East
 726 Antarctica. *Ocean Model.*, *169*(December 2020), 101920. doi: 10.1016/
 727 j.ocemod.2021.101920
- 728 Wang, Q., Marshall, J., Scott, J., Meneghello, G., Danilov, S., & Jung, T. (2019).
 729 On the feedback of ice-ocean stress coupling from geostrophic currents in an
 730 anticyclonic wind regime over the Beaufort Gyre. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *49*(2),
 731 369–383. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-18-0185.1
- 732 World Meteorological Organization. (1970). *WMO sea-ice nomenclature. Terminol-*
 733 *ogy, codes and illustrated glossary* (Tech. Rep. No. 259). Geneva: World Mete-
 734 orological Organization. (145 pp.)
- 735 Yabuki, H., Park, H., Kawamoto, H., Suzuki, R., Razuvaev, V., Bulygina, O., &
 736 Ohata, T. (2011). *Baseline Meteorological Data in Siberia (BMDS) Ver-*
 737 *sion 5.0, Arctic Data archive System (ADS)*. Retrieved from [https://](https://ads.nipr.ac.jp/dataset/A20131107-002)
 738 ads.nipr.ac.jp/dataset/A20131107-002
- 739 Yu, Y., Stern, H., Fowler, C., Fetterer, F., & Maslanik, J. (2014). Interannual vari-
 740 ability of arctic landfast ice between 1976 and 2007. *J. Clim.*, *27*(1), 227–243.
 741 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00178.1
- 742 Zhai, M., Cheng, B., Leppäranta, M., Hui, F., Li, X., Demchev, D., ... Cheng,
 743 X. (2021). The seasonal cycle and break-up of landfast sea ice along the
 744 northwest coast of Kotelný Island, East Siberian Sea. *J. Glaciol.*, 1–13. doi:
 745 10.1017/jog.2021.85
- 746 Zhang, J., & Hibler, W. D. (1997). On an efficient numerical method for modeling
 747 sea ice dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, *102*(C4), 8691–8702. doi: 10.1029/
 748 96JC03744
- 749 Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2003). Modeling global sea ice with a thickness and
 750 enthalpy distribution model in generalized curvilinear coordinates. *Mon.*
 751 *Weather Rev.*, *131*(5), 845–861. doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0845:
 752 MGSIIWA>2.0.CO;2
- 753 Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2005). Effect of sea ice rheology in numerical inves-
 754 tigation of climate. *J. Geophys. Res. C Ocean.*, *110*(8), 1–15. doi: 10.1029/
 755 2004JC002599

756 Zhao, J., Cheng, B., Vihma, T., Heil, P., Hui, F., Shu, Q., . . . Yang, Q. (2020). Fast
757 Ice Prediction System (FIPS) for land-fast sea ice at Prydz Bay, East Antarc-
758 tica: an operational service for CHINARE. *Ann. Glaciol.*, *61*(83), 271–283.
759 doi: 10.1017/aog.2020.46