# Trade-offs in a reef-building coral after six years of thermal acclimation

#### 3

Anna Roik<sup>1,2+\*</sup>, Marlene Wall<sup>1,3+</sup>, Melina Dobelmann<sup>4</sup>, Samuel Nietzer<sup>4</sup>, David Brefeld<sup>4</sup>, Anna
Fiesinger<sup>3,5</sup>, Miriam Reverter<sup>6</sup>, Peter J. Schupp<sup>2,4</sup>, Matthew Jackson<sup>4</sup>, Marie Rutsch<sup>2,4</sup>, Julia
Strahl<sup>1,2+\*</sup>

- 8 <sup>1</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity, University of Oldenburg, Oldenburg, Germany
- 10 <sup>3</sup>GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany
- <sup>4</sup>Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Carl von Ossietzky University of Oldenburg,
- 12 Wilhelmshaven, Germany
- 13 <sup>5</sup>Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany
- 14 <sup>6</sup>School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK
- 15
- 16 equal contribution
- 17 \*corresponding authors: <u>anna.roik@hifmb.de</u>, julia.strahl@wwf.de
- 18
- 19 Keywords
- 20 coral reefs, Pocillopora, life-long thermal acclimation, trade-offs, ocean warming,
- 21 climate change, coral bleaching, physiological plasticity, metabolic switching, thermal
- 22 resilience, calcification, host-symbiont interaction

23

# 24 Abstract

25 Evidence is growing that reef-building corals have the capacity to acclimate to new and challenging thermal conditions by increasing their thermal resistance. This raises hopes for 26 27 their future persistence in a warming world. However, potential trade-offs that accompany such 28 resistance gains, have remained largely unexplored. We provide the first report on the 29 physiological trade-offs in a globally abundant and ecologically relevant coral species (Pocillopora acuta), after a long-term exposure to an elevated temperature of 31 °C in 30 31 comparison to conspecifics cultivated under a cooler 'control' thermal regime. At both 32 temperatures, corals consistently appeared to be visually healthy throughout a six-year period. At 31 °C, corals had increased metabolic rates (both respiration and photosynthesis) that 33 34 resulted in higher biomass accumulation and total energy reserves compared to the corals from 35 the ambient regime. Further, the composition of coral host tissues shifted in favor of lipid buildup, suggesting an altered mechanism of energy storage. The increase in biomass growth came 36 37 at the cost of declining skeletal growth rates and the formation of higher density skeletons. In 38 the long-term, this trade-off will result in lower extension rates that can entail major ramifications for future reef building processes and reef community composition. Moreover, 39 40 symbionts at 31 °C were physiologically more compromised with overall lower energy 41 reserves, possibly indicating a stronger exploitation by the host and potentially a lower stress 42 resilience. Our study provides first insights into a successful thermal acclimation mechanism 43 that involved the prioritization of energy storage over skeletal growth, entailing higher demands on the symbionts. Our observation in this 6-year study does not align with 44 45 observations of short-term studies, where elevated temperatures caused a depletion of tissue lipids in corals, which highlights the importance of studying acclimation of organisms over 46 their relevant biological scales. Further investigations into trade-offs at biologically relevant 47 scales and how they unfold under an acute heat stress will help to provide a more 48 comprehensive picture of the future coral reef trajectory. Importantly, these insights will also 49 help improve interventions aimed at increasing the thermal resilience of corals which anticipate 50 51 to use thermal preconditioning treatments for stress-hardening.



# 52 Graphical abstract

# 54 Introduction

55 Tropical coral reef ecosystems are facing a major crisis predominantly caused by rising ocean temperatures that lead to coral bleaching, mortality, and reef habitat erosion (Berkelmans et al., 56 2004; Fukunaga et al., 2022; Heron et al., 2016). The decline of reef ecosystems does not only 57 lead to the loss of reef-building corals, but also of numerous reef-associated marine species. 58 Additionally, serious consequences arise for coastal communities and nations that depend on 59 60 reef ecosystem services, in particular coastal protection, marine foods, and tourist economies (Eddy et al., 2021; IPBES, 2019). Concerns about the future of coral reef ecosystems have 61 62 fueled the quest for solutions. Most notably, the umbrella-term "assisted evolution" comprises 63 several innovative ideas of human interventions that aim to help accelerate adaptation and 64 acclimation of reef-building corals to sustain tropical reef ecosystems under future climate change scenarios (van Oppen et al., 2015; Voolstra et al., 2021). Among others, two key 65 66 strategies are on the rise, one of which builds on (evolutionary) adaptive mechanisms of marine organisms (Elder et al., 2022; Humanes et al., 2021; Kenkel & Matz, 2016) and the other relies 67 68 on their physiological plasticity and acclimation potential (DeMerlis et al., 2022; Henley et al., 69 2022; Maierova et al., 2021; Martell, 2023). While many findings indicate that thermal tolerance of corals can be partially explained by genetic variation and, hence, is ingrained in 70 71 genomes and heritable traits (Howells et al., 2022), some of the unexplained variation in thermal tolerance could be attributed to plasticity (Kenkel et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2018). It 72 73 also became obvious that not only the genotype but in large parts environmental impulses drive 74 plasticity (Barshis et al., 2010). To harness coral plasticity, and thus coral acclimation potential, "preconditioning" treatments that expose coral propagules to stressors (or 75 sub-76 optimal/challenging conditions) have been proposed. This approach aims to prime the corals for stress resistance and has inspired many experimental studies in recent years (Bellantuono, 77 78 Granados-Cifuentes, et al., 2012; DeMerlis et al., 2022; Henley et al., 2022).

79 While adaptation through trait selection is a lengthy process that requires generations of organisms to act on, some corals have indeed demonstrated a higher stress resistance compared 80 to others, as well as the capacity to enhance this resistance within a lifetime. This phenomenon 81 has been mostly observed in corals with a history of challenging thermal exposures or 82 experience of highly variable environmental conditions in intertidal reefs, lagoonal reefs, or 83 areas exposed to frequent upwelling (Brown et al., 2002; Buerger et al., 2015; Castillo et al., 84 85 2012; Oliver & Palumbi, 2011; M. Wall et al., 2023). Studies have increasingly corroborated that corals, pre-exposed to challenging conditioning and stressors, are likely to perform better 86 under new events of stress compared to those without such pre-exposure, indicating that 87 plasticity (in particular the thermal tolerance range) of corals can be expanded through 88 89 "environmental priming" (Hackerott et al., 2021; Martell, 2023). Furthermore, data collected 90 throughout temporal (or seasonal) stress events, such as moderate heat waves, have shown that coral survivors were increasingly associated with even higher stress resistance following such 91 92 events (Ainsworth et al., 2016; Bellantuono, Hoegh-Guldberg, et al., 2012; M. D. Fox et al., 93 2021). Therefore, physiological acclimation capacity within the lifetime of organisms should be considered as an increasingly important survival strategy for coral species under the 94 95 environmental changes expected in the coming years and decades.

96 The prospects for employing thermal preconditioning treatments to generate thermally acclimated corals are promising, but so far it remains poorly understood how trade-offs are 97 associated with gains in thermal stress resistance. Higher temperatures pose physiological 98 challenges for organisms, raising biochemical reaction rates and at the same time increasing 99 100 energetic demands (Angilletta et al., 2004; Hornstein et al., 2018). Organisms often shift their 101 metabolic strategies as a compensatory response under new thermal conditions, which entails changes in metabolic enzyme activity, modifications in tissue biochemistry and ultimately 102 103 resource allocation (Tattersall et al., 2012). There is evidence of such metabolic shifts in corals exposed to high temperatures. For instance, Gibbin et al. (2018) have shown how carbon and 104 nitrogen uptake of symbiotic dinoflagellates and coral cells has been altered under elevated 105 temperatures, while corals have remained visually healthy - hence, have likely successfully 106 acclimated to the new thermal condition. However, such shifts in metabolic strategy can entail 107 108 trade-offs. A trade-off by definition is the outcome of the prioritization of one trait or function 109 at the cost of another (Pörtner et al., 2006). Most commonly this relates to the allocation of resources into a specific trait, which, at a specific moment, maintains optimal performance or 110 is important for stress mitigation (Lesser, 2013). For instance, thermal resistance in marine 111 112 species is often provided at the expense of growth or reproduction, as the energy investments 113 shift towards cell protection and tissue maintenance under stress (Sokolova et al., 2012). Trade-114 offs of high temperature resistance have been studied and discussed in numerous species (Fusi et al., 2016; Karl et al., 2013; Petes et al., 2008; Roze et al., 2013; Seebacher et al., 2015; Trip 115 et al., 2014), but are mostly understudied in corals. To date, it has been shown that adaptive 116 (and heritable) thermal resistance can be accompanied by trade-offs, such as declines of coral 117 growth rates and tissue lipid content (Bay & Palumbi, 2017; Howells et al., 2013; Kenkel et 118 al., 2015). Another noteworthy finding is that corals with a higher bleaching resistance 119 naturally tended to host lower numbers of symbiont cells in their tissues (Cornwell et al., 2021). 120 121 The lower symbiont load came at the cost of a decreased growth rate, likely a consequence of 122 a lower photosynthetic output. In contrast, corals in a short-term (5 weeks) marine heatwave 123 experiment did not show any apparent trade-offs regarding fecundity or growth associated with 124 their heat tolerance (Lachs et al., 2023). However, it is uncertain what the consequences of the changes in metabolic strategies will be when corals endure high temperatures over longer 125 126 periods of months or years.

127 To shed more light on potential trade-offs of successful acclimation to warmer conditions, we investigated corals over biologically relevant, year-long timescales. Corals were raised and 128 129 maintained under two thermal regimes in the lab and remained there for six years (31 °C vs. 130 26 °C). Their parental colonies originated from a thermal regime of ~29 °C on average throughout the year, experiencing lower daily winter averages of 26 °C and diel fluctuations 131 between 25 - 33 °C across the year. To answer the question whether trade-offs were inflicted 132 with the acclimation process to the elevated temperature regime of 31 °C, we investigated the 133 134 metabolic performance of host and symbionts, their tissue compositions (i.e, proxy for energetic condition and strategy), as well as tissue and skeletal growth rates (i.e., proxy for 135 ecological success). We aimed to evaluate whether corals that acclimated to 31 °C underwent 136 137 any metabolic shift or any potential trade-off compared to those acclimated to the cooler 138 temperature regime.

139

# 140 Materials and Methods

#### 141 *History of corals*

142 In July 2015, six Pocillopora sp.-type colonies were collected at a depth of 1-2 m from 143 Luminao Reef on Guam, USA, (13°27'55.25"N, 144°38'48.84"E). Luminao is a fringing reef 144 which features an annual average temperature of ~29 °C and experiences midday temperature peaks exceeding ~31 °C during the hottest month of the year (Supplementary Figure S1). 145 Larvae were released after the new moon in August 2015. Prior to the anticipated night of larval 146 release, mother colonies were each placed into 15 L containers supplied with aeration and with 147 chips of the crustose coralline red alga Hydrolithon reinboldii (also collected from Luminao 148 149 reef). Immediately after the release, most of the larvae settled on the provided settlement chips. The settled polyps were then glued onto plastic buttons on PVC crates and recruits of all mother 150 colonies were mixed. The coral offspring were subsequently transferred to two temperature 151 152 regimes, ambient (29 °C) and elevated (30 - 31 °C). The setup consisted of 12 flow-through 153 tanks, each holding 69 settled recruits, either maintained at ambient or elevated temperature. In November 2015, recruits were transported to the tropical seawater facilities at the Institute 154 155 for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment (ICBM) Terramare in Wilhelmshaven, Germany, where they were kept at their respective temperature (29 °C and 31 °C) until August 156 2016. Recruits maintained at ambient conditions had a higher survival probability than those 157 under elevated temperature. Approximately half a year after settlement, survival of recruits 158 living at elevated temperature had dropped below 50 %, while survival of recruits living at 159 ambient temperature was above that (i.e., ~60 %). After one year, less than 25 % of the recruits 160 had survived, with significantly lower survival in the group living at elevated temperature 161 (Figure S2). Survival monitoring was halted in August 2016 and corals remained in the same 162 tanks for the following five years, now at a cooler ambient temperature of 26 °C, i.e., 163 corresponding to the lower daily average temperature of their home reef during winter, and at 164 165 an elevated temperature of 31 °C, i.e., corresponding to the peak daily average temperature 166 during summer (Table 1). The next assessment of coral performance took place in July and August 2021. Until this time point, all offspring colonies were visually healthy. In 2021, the 167 168 fully-grown adult offspring colonies were identified as the species Pocillopora acuta (see details of species identification in Text S1 and Figure S3). 169

### 170 Set-up for physiological diagnostics

To prepare corals for assessment, fragments of 12 adult *P. acuta* colonies from each temperature regime were distributed across four experimental tanks per ambient (26 °C) and elevated temperature (31 °C), respectively. Six individual fragments of each colony were cemented into "plugs" using aquarium cement (Stone Fix, Aqua Forest, Brzesko, Poland) and a silicone plug mold. In total, 144 fragments were transferred for 54 days onto racks in six experimental tanks (24 fragments per tank) at either 26 °C or 31 °C (72 fragments/treatment, 3 177 replicate tanks per temperature). The experiment was run with artificial seawater (Tropic 178 Marin® Pro-Reef, Wartenberg, Germany). An automated dosing system supplied calcium, 179 carbonates, magnesium as well as the relevant trace elements via Balling method. Additionally, nutrients such as phosphates and ammonium were added. Dosing was programmed according 180 181 to the measured concentrations in order to keep water composition stable. Salinity, temperature, 182 nutrient levels (nitrate, phosphate) and alkalinity were measured regularly throughout the experiment (Table 1). The oxidation reduction potential and pH of the system were monitored 183 184 with a GHL Profilux 3 computer. Tanks were equipped with LED lights (Radion XR15 G4Pro, max. 90 W. EcoTech Marine, USA) and light levels were adjusted to deliver between 130-150 185 umol PPFD throughout the day. A flow pump (Turbelle 6025, Tunze GmbH, Germany) 186 provided sufficient water circulation. Water temperatures were maintained with temperature 187 controllers (BioTherm Pro, Hobby GmbH, Germany) and 300 W titanium heaters (Schemel & 188 Goetz GmbH & Co KG, Offenbach, Germany). During a two-month fragment-acclimation 189 190 phase, temperature was recorded hourly using HOBO Tidbit v2 temperature loggers (Onset, USA), while light intensity and fragment health (algal overgrowth and tissue paling) were 191 measured weekly. To ensure equal light intensity and water movement for all fragments, coral 192 193 racks were rotated once a week. Corals were fed twice a week with 50 ml of a feeding solution 194 based on clam, squid, fish and phytoplankton concentrate (Tropic Marin ® Phytonic, Wartenberg, Germany). Prior to the live physiological and biochemical assessments coral 195 196 fragments were not fed for three days.

197

**Table 1.** Physicochemical conditions in the aquaria for long-term maintenance (Aqu.) and theexperimental tanks (Exp.).

	Temperature [°C]	Salinity [PSU]	Alkalinity [° dKH]	Phosphate [mg/L]	Nitrate [mg/L]
Aqu. Tanks 2016-2021	~26   ~31	~34	7-7.5	0.1-0.15	1-4
Exp. Tanks 2021	$25.42 \pm 0.42 \mid \\ 30.47 \pm 0.54 $	33.73 ± 0.25	$7.72 \pm 0.38$	0.13 ± 0.04	$1.31 \pm 0.95$

200

## 201 *Live physiological measurements*

To determine metabolic rates (photosynthesis and respiration), one fragment per colony was incubated under controlled conditions under light and dark conditions following the procedure outlined in Strahl et al. (2015). Briefly, coral fragments were incubated in custom-made, clear acrylic incubation chambers (0.21 L). The fragments were mounted into the chamber lid submerged in the respective experimental tank and kept in place by fixation with plastic screws. The incubation chambers were closed underwater and then transferred to a temperature-

208 controlled water bath, either at 26 °C or 31 °C, on top of a magnetic stirring plate (Multi-point 209 Magnetic Stirrer MS-MP8, Witeg, Germany), to ensure continuous water movement to 210 minimize boundary layer thickness. Light incubations were run for  $\sim 60$  min at midday with a light intensity of  $\sim 160 \text{ }\mu\text{E}$ . Dark incubations were performed subsequently by acclimating 211 212 corals to dark conditions for 45 minutes and performing the dark incubation for  $\sim 120$  min to 213 determine dark respiration rates. Each chamber was equipped with an oxygen sensor spot 214 (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Germany), connected to a multi-channel fiber optic oxygen transmitter system (Oxy 4-Mini, PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Germany) and the 215 associated software OXY4v2 30 (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Germany), recording 216 oxygen saturation in mg L<sup>-1</sup> every 15 seconds. Two multi-channel systems were available to 217 simultaneously incubate a total of six coral fragments and two 'blank' chambers (no coral 218 fragment added). The latter are necessary to correct coral metabolic rates for background 219 220 photosynthesis and respiratory activity of microorganisms in seawater and/or algae growing on 221 the plug. Thus, a total of four incubation runs (including both dark and light incubation) were necessary to incubate all fragments of both temperature regimes by performing one incubation 222 run a day over four days by alternating the temperature treatments. Oxygen sensors were 223 224 calibrated with O<sub>2</sub>-free (0 % O<sub>2</sub>, sodium dithionite) and air-saturated (100 % O<sub>2</sub>) seawater prior 225 to the four incubation runs. After the dark incubation, the incubated coral fragments were 226 immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for further biochemical analysis and surface area 227 determination.

The obtained raw data for both net photosynthesis and dark respiration were derived in mg L<sup>-1</sup> 228 by taking the temperature and salinity during the incubations into account. The respective rates 229 were calculated by linear regression of the oxygen changes using the software R (R Core Team, 230 231 2021) and a customized script including a function from the R package rMR v1.1.0 (Moulton, 232 2018). The slope of the metabolic rates was analyzed for the entire incubation interval except for the first 15 minutes that were excluded from the calculations. Subsequently, the metabolic 233 234 rates were corrected for the average "background" rates measured by the two blank incubations, as well as for the incubation volume. Finally, rates of net photosynthesis and dark respiration 235 (mg O<sub>2</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) were normalized to the tissue-covered surface area of each coral fragment. 236

## 237 Biochemical analysis of tissues

238 To determine the biochemical composition of both coral host and symbiont, coral fragments 239 were processed following established protocols with slight modifications (Bove, 2021; Buerger 240 et al., 2015). Coral tissue was removed from the skeleton using an air gun and filtered seawater. 241 The tissue slurry of each sample was topped up to a total volume of 20 ml and homogenized for 30 seconds with an Ultra Turrax (IKA, USA). Host tissue and symbiont cells were then 242 separated by centrifugation for 10 min at 4,400 rpm and -1 °C (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5702, 243 244 Germany). Subsequently, the host supernatant (avoiding the algal endosymbiont pellet) was removed carefully and aliquoted for downstream analyses. The symbiont pellet was washed 245 246 and resuspended in 3.5 ml filtered seawater and similarly aliquoted for downstream analyses (approx. 1-6 ml for biomass determination, 0.5 ml for both protein and carbohydrate analyses 247

and the rest for lipid content).

For biomass determination, each fraction was filtered, using a pre-combusted filter (4 hours at 500 °C, Whatman GF/C, GF Healthcare Life Sciences, United Kingdom) and then dried for 24 hours at 60 °C and weighted, using an electronic fine balance (Sartorius M2P, Sartorius AG, Germany; precision: 0.001 mg). Biomass (= the weight minus the filter) was calculated per surface of the coral in mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.

254 Protein, carbohydrate and lipid contents were determined next. For protein analysis, a 255 subsample of the respective tissue aliquot (0.025 ml) was used to measure the protein concentration (Lowry et al., 1951). For this, a protein assay kit (DC Protein Assay Kit, Bio-256 Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, USA) and a bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard were used. 257 258 Measurements were performed using a photometer (UV-1800 spectrophotometer, Shimadzu 259 Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) at 750 nm. To determine carbohydrate concentration, a subsample of the respective tissue aliquot (0.1 ml) was analyzed using the phenol-sulfuric acid methods 260 after (DuBois et al., 1956) with some slight modifications for measurements with a microplate 261 262 reader and a D-glucose standard. The absorbances of the samples and standards were measured 263 in triplicates at 485 nm on a microplate reader (TriStar LB941 Multimode Reader, Berthold 264 Technologies). The remaining tissue slurries (a minimum of 1800 uL) were used to determine the total lipid concentration in triplicates (600 µL each) using the colorimetric sulfo-phospho-265 266 vanillin (SPV) method for microplate measurements after Cheng et al. (2011) with some slight modifications and a corn oil standard (B Bove & Baumann, 2021). The absorbance was 267 268 measured at 530 nm with the same microplate reader.

Finally, the protein, carbohydrate and total lipid concentrations were converted to kilojoules (kJ; protein: 23.9 kJ/g, carbohydrate: 17.5 kJ/g, lipids: 39.5 kJ/g) after Gnaiger & Bitterlich (1984) and energy reserve concentration (both in mg and kJ) were standardized to the tissuecovered surface area of the corals. The airbrushed coral skeletons were dried overnight in the oven at 60 °C and the surface area was determined using the single wax dipping technique (Veal et al., 2010).

## 275 Measurements of skeletal traits

Growth rates were determined by measuring 1-2 coral fragments per colony following the 276 277 buoyant weight technique (Jokiel & Guinther, 1978). Briefly, fragments were weighed while 278 submerged in seawater using a microbalance with an underfloor weighing system (Sartorius, BP 210S). Measurements were taken at the corals' respective thermal condition. Temperature 279 280 and salinity were recorded for each measurement. Fragments were weighed with and without their "plug" at the start and the end of the experiment (spanning 42 days). Three "empty" plugs 281 282 per treatment group were measured alongside the coral measurements to account for accretion of the cement "plug" structure in the final calculation of accretion rates. The obtained buoyant 283 weights were converted into skeletal dry weights using the respective seawater density values 284 (calculated from measured temperature and salinity) and an aragonite density of 2.93 g cm<sup>-3</sup> 285 (Spencer Davies, 1990). The change in dry weight between the start and the end of the 286 experiment was calculated and subsequently divided by the number of experimental days to 287 calculate diurnal accretion rate. Further, values were normalized by surface area of each coral 288 fragment (mg d<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>). The surface area values were determined for each fragment using wax 289

290 dipping technique in a single dip (Veal et al., 2010). Skeletal densities were determined from an additional fragment per colony using the water displacement volume and the weight of the 291 coral skeleton (Strahl et al., 2015). Fragments were soaked in bleach until the coral tissue was 292 completely detached from the skeletons and were dried overnight in the oven at 60 °C. The 293 pre-weighed branches coated with a layer of paraffin wax were submerged in a beaker filled 294 295 with freshwater and 0.0048 g L<sup>-1</sup> benzalkonium chloride, which had been added to break the surface tension of the water. To determine the water volume displaced by the coral branches, 296 297 overflowing water was collected and measured in pre-weighed petri dishes. The accuracy of the method was evaluated by determining the water displacement of plastic cylinders with 298 known volumes ranging from 0.86 cm<sup>3</sup> to 5.82 cm<sup>3</sup>, with variations between measurements of 299 <5 %. Subsequently, changes in the extension rate (cm yr<sup>1</sup>) between treatments were assessed 300 and calculated by dividing the net calcification rates determined by buoyant weight and 301 normalized to surface area (mg cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) by the skeletal densities (mg cm<sup>-3</sup>). Note this 302 procedure assumes similar calcification rates along the entire surface area of individual 303 fragments. However, in branching species it is known that tips grow faster (up to 13.2 times 304 faster) than the base (Rinkevich & Loya 1984). Thus, the obtained extension rates do not 305 306 represent absolute rates of the branch tip, but rather provide an estimate how much extension 307 rates will differ between to thermal treatment groups.

## 308 Statistical analyses

309 Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.1.1). Shapiro-Wilk normality tests were 310 used to test for normality and Levene's test to test the assumption of equal variance of data 311 among two thermal treatments. Where the data met the assumption for parametric tests, t-tests 312 were performed to determine the differences between the two thermal treatments. Non-313 parametric Wilcoxon-tests were performed to test the treatment-related differences, where the 314 data did not meet the conditions for a parametric test.

315

# 316 **Results**

## 317 *Metabolic performance*

Net photosynthetic rate and dark respiration rate per coral surface area (indicating the overall 318 319 performance of the holobiont) were significantly higher by 1.5- and 1.3-fold at the elevated temperature, respectively (both comparisons: p < 0.05). Both metabolic rates per biomass 320 weight (indicating the performance per tissue unit/ cell unit), however, did not differ between 321 the two temperatures (Figure 1 A & B). The net photosynthetic rate had medians of 0.014 and 322 0.016 mg O<sub>2</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup> biomass and respiration rates 0.005 and 0.004 mg O<sub>2</sub> mg<sup>-1</sup> biomass, for 31 323 324 °C and 26 °C, respectively. Further, fragments at 31 °C overall exhibited a slightly higher variability. 325





**327 Figure 1. Metabolic performance of thermally acclimated corals.** Live metabolic 328 performance at holobiont level is shown as (A) net photosynthesis measured under light 329 conditions and as (B) dark respiration. Both metrics are shown per cm<sup>2</sup> of coral surface area 330 (upper plot) and per mg of biomass weight (bottom plot). Thermal treatment in gray. Asterisks 331 indicate significant group differences at significant levels: p < 0.05 (\*). n = 11 to 12 per group.

## 332 Skeletal growth and biomass accretion

333 Corals living at 31 °C calcified at a significantly slower pace (1.8-fold lower calcification rate) compared to corals living at 26 °C (Figure 2 A). At the same time, these corals formed skeletons 334 of a higher densities at 31 °C (2.12 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) exceeding the densities measured in the ambient 335 temperature group (1.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) by 1.4-fold (Figure 2 B). Living under the elevated temperature 336 also resulted in a 2.5-fold significantly lower extension rate compared to corals living under 337 338 the cooler ambient temperature (Figure 2 C). Overall biomass was significantly elevated in the 339 coral holobionts at 31 °C (Figure 2 D, p < 0.01) as a result of significantly increased biomass in host (1.9-fold) and symbiont (1.5-fold) (Figure 2 E-F, p = 0.006, p = 0.009, respectively). 340



342 Figure 2 Growth traits of thermally acclimated corals. Skeletal growth parameters are 343 presented as (A) calcification rates determined by buoyant weight measurements (as skeletal mass accretion per day and surface area), (B) skeletal density and (C) linear extension rates 344 indicate the long-term growth trends of coral skeleton features. Biomass accumulation is shown 345 (D) in total for the coral holobiont, and also specifically for the (E) host and (F) the symbionts. 346 Extension rates were calculated assuming similar calcification rates along the entire surface 347 348 area of individual fragments. Thermal treatment: Grey. Asterisks indicate significant differences at significant levels: p < 0.05 (\*), p < 0.01 (\*\*) and p < 0.001 (\*\*\*); n = 11 to 12 349 350 per group.

## 351 Energy storage

341

The total energy content of the holobiont was significantly higher in corals under the elevated 352 temperature (1.8-fold increase, p < 0.001) when normalized to coral surface area (Figure 3 A). 353 This difference was driven by the significantly increased lipid content in these corals (2.6-fold 354 increase, p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, Figure 3 B). Carbohydrates and proteins remained at similar 355 levels in both treatments (Figure 3 C-D). Proteins were slightly depleted in corals at 31 °C 356 compared to corals at 26 °C (0.9-fold decrease, p < 0.05, when normalized to mg, Figure 3 D). 357 The strongly increased lipid level determined at holobiont level at 31 °C was stemming from 358 the host, which overall had significantly higher total energy content per surface area (median 359 360 59.0 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) under the elevated temperature (2.0-fold elevated, p < 0.001, Figure 3 E) and

significantly higher lipid content (median 45.7 J cm<sup>-2</sup> and 3.0-fold increase compared to 26 °C, 361 362 p < 0.001, Figure 3 F). Furthermore, carbohydrate content per surface area were higher in host tissues under 31 °C (median 1.35 J cm<sup>-2</sup>, 1.2-fold elevated, p < 0.05, Figure 3 G), but protein 363 content was at similar levels in both treatments (median 11.1 J cm<sup>-2</sup> vs. 10.7 J cm<sup>-2</sup>, n.s., Figure 364 3 H). Symbiont energy content played a proportionally smaller role in the total holobiont 365 366 energy budget with values  $\sim 10 - 25 \text{ J cm}^{-2}$  and  $\sim 5 - 13 \text{ J mg}^{-1}$  (vs. host values ranging at  $\sim 20 - 10^{-2}$ 80 J cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $\sim$ 15 - 45 J mg<sup>-1</sup>). In comparison to the host and holobiont, symbiont energy 367 content overall varied at a smaller scale between the thermal conditions of 26 °C and 31 °C, 368 but the total energy content per biomass unit was significantly decreased under 31 °C (0.7-fold 369 370 decrease, p < 0.01, when normalized to mg of biomass, Figure 3 I), which is in contrast to what we have found at the holobiont and host level. This decline was driven by significant declines 371 per unit of biomass revealed for all three parameters, lipids (0.7-fold, p < 0.05, Figure 3 J), 372 carbohydrates (0.7-fold, p < 0.05, Figure 3 K), and proteins (0.5-fold, p < 0.01, Figure L). 373 374 When calculated by surface area all the three symbiont parameters including symbiont total energy reserves appear homogeneous between the two thermal conditions (total median 16.2 J 375  $cm^{-2}$  at 31 °C, 15.3 J  $cm^{-2}$  at 26 °C, *n.s.*). 376

377



378

**Figure 3 Tissue energy content of thermally acclimated corals.** The total energy reserves and content of lipids, carbohydrates and proteins in coral tissues are shown for (A - D) the holobiont and also for (E - H) hosts and (I - L) symbionts, individually. All variables are shown per cm<sup>2</sup> of coral surface area (upper plot) and per mg of biomass weight (bottom plot). Thermal treatment in gray. Asterisks indicate significant group differences at significant levels: p < 0.05(\*). n = 11 to 12 per group.

# 385 **Discussion**

386 Our study reports first insights into the metabolic shifts and trade-offs in pocilloporid corals 387 that acclimated to elevated temperatures relative to their reef of origin and have remained under 388 these conditions for over six years. We observed that corals maintained at the cooler ambient 389 (26 °C) and the elevated (31 °C) temperature for six years appeared visually healthy and

thriving. The 31 °C-acclimated corals operated at increased metabolic rates, while prioritizing energy investment into lipid storage and biomass accumulation over skeletal growth. These acclimated corals hosted symbionts that appeared compromised (i.e., lower energy content) in comparison to the corals kept at 26 °C. We discuss the observed differences and trade-offs and their consequences for this globally abundant and ecologically relevant coral species under an elevated temperature, including the potential long-term consequences for the reef ecosystem.

#### 396 Shift of energetic production and investment under elevated temperature

397 Marine invertebrates can maximize their fitness under challenging environmental circumstances through prioritizing one trait over another. They undergo physiological shifts 398 399 that change their relative energy allocation strategy (Zera & Harshman, 2001). Our data 400 highlight that long-term exposure of corals to an elevated temperature can result in a remarkably strong channeling of resources into tissue growth and accumulation of energy 401 reserves, while neglecting growth of coral colonies. We must assume that energy expenditures 402 403 for biomass were significantly increased under the elevated temperature at the expense of skeletal growth. Since tissue growth is more energy consuming than skeletal accretion 404 405 (Kenneth R. N. Anthony et al., 2002), corals with enhanced productivity at 31 °C were likely 406 able to cover the increased costs for biomass, but this might have led to a deficit in meeting energy requirements for calcification. In other previous studies, however, under various 407 408 challenging environmental conditions other than elevated temperature, skeletal growth was typically prioritized. In particular under light deprivation which slows photosynthetic rates, the 409 410 coral Montipora digitata shifted its relative energy investment from tissue growth to 411 reproduction and skeletal growth as a response to declining resource availability (Leuzinger et al., 2012). Similarly, under severe energy limitation caused by shading, this coral maintained 412 413 skeletal growth even at the expense of reproduction. In other cases, biomass accumulation was 414 reported to increase under more light availability in P. acuta, while calcification rates remained stable (C. B. Wall et al., 2017). However, across a diversity of coral species including 415 416 Pocilloporidae, tissue biomass has been typically negatively correlated with skeletal growth 417 and most often, the slow-growing coral species would maintain more biomass per surface area 418 (Precoda et al., 2020). This aligns with the observation in our corals, where P. acuta shifted 419 from fast colony growth to slow growing under elevated temperature, at the same time strongly increasing their biomass, as a possible acclimation strategy. In conclusion, the pattern appears 420 421 that maintaining skeletal growth under resource constraints (e.g., light- or nutrient-deficient 422 conditions) is preferred over biomass accumulation. On the other hand, a productivity boost 423 (e.g., increased photosynthesis and/or algal symbiont density) associated with increasing temperatures tends to promote the investment into biomass and lipid accumulation at the 424 425 expense of skeletal growth (Anthony et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2007), as was the case in this 426 study.

427

#### 428 Benefits of the investment shift into biomass and energy reserves

We observed that biomass composition of corals differed between the two thermal regimes.The 2.6-fold increase of the tissue lipid fraction in corals living at the elevated temperature

431 shows that they prioritized energy investment into lipid storage. This acclimation trait observed 432 in our 6-year long study does not align with observations of short-term studies, where elevated 433 temperatures caused a depletion of tissue lipids in corals (e.g., Bove et al., 2022; Schoepf et al., 2013). In these short-term bleaching experiments, depletion of host tissue lipids should be 434 435 interpreted as a stress-response driven by a shift in symbiont cellular pathways 436 (gluconeogenesis, i.e., glucose production via lipid and amino acid breakdown), and 437 consequent change in the quality of translocated products (i.e., decrease in fatty acids and complex molecules) (Hillyer et al., 2017; Pei et al., 2022). This highlights the importance of 438 studying acclimation of organisms over their relevant biological scales, where successful 439 440 acclimation mechanisms, which may include trade-offs, can be distinguished from stress-441 responses.

442 By increasing their tissue energy content compared to cooler temperature controls, corals in our experiment have likely gained the benefit of preparedness for future unfavorable 443 444 conditions, as high lipid stores have been previously linked to better coral health, lower 445 mortality and higher recovery rates following stressful conditions (Anthony et al., 2002, 2009). For example, lipid compounds are utilized first during the onset of bleaching (Grottoli et al., 446 447 2004; Rodrigues et al., 2008) and, thus, a high energy content can enable corals to withstand 448 bleaching conditions for a longer period of time. Furthermore, investments into tissue accumulation and energy content can be beneficial by enabling rapid tissue repair after events 449 of stress and tissue damage (Henry & Hart, 2005; Traylor-Knowles, 2016). It is a common 450 notion that rising environmental temperatures accelerate biochemical and metabolic reactions 451 in marine ectotherms (Angilletta et al., 2004; Corkrey et al., 2014), which in corals is often 452 453 accompanied by increasing investment into cell protection and tissue maintenance (to avoid 454 cell damage), while colony growth is reduced (Hornstein et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown enhanced investment into higher antioxidant activity and increased biomass content in 455 456 Montipora capitata after repeated thermal stress (C. B. Wall et al., 2018, 2021). Such progressive upregulation of constitutive antioxidant activity (e.g., superoxide dismutase and 457 458 catalase content) typically helps to protect tissue biomass (Lesser et al., 1990) which can 459 increase the potential for overall survival under thermal stress.

The question of whether large energy reserves expectedly accompanied by antioxidant 460 461 frontloading are fundamentally beneficial to corals under extreme events such as bleaching 462 remains unanswered. Despite energy reserves positively correlated with bleaching resistance and recovery capacity (Anthony et al., 2009; Grottoli et al., 2014; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999), in 463 464 some cases, bleaching resistance has been found to be decoupled from the levels of energy 465 reserves in corals (Grottoli et al., 2004; Precoda et al., 2020). In the present study, we did not examine whether energy reserves in warm-acclimatized P. acuta individuals would be 466 467 beneficial during acute heat stress. This should be an important next step in the study of these 468 acclimated corals together with the assessment of their antioxidant activity.

469

#### 470 Reduced skeletal growth and consequences

471 Considering that energy supply is typically sufficiently high to cover all physiologically472 relevant processes in marine ectotherms under the moderate thermal conditions (Leuzinger et

473 al., 2012; Sokolova et al., 2012), the reduction in calcification at the elevated temperature in 474 this experiment is an indicator that corals were operating beyond their thermal optimum for 475 skeletal growth, where energetic trade-offs occur. Despite their visually healthy appearance and remarkable performance regarding biomass accumulation, the temperature of 31 °C seems 476 477 to pose a challenge, potentially presenting a suboptimal thermal environment for these corals. 478 The observed response of skeletal growth was in agreement with the thermal optimum ranging between 27.5 - 29.5 °C that is known for a range of coral taxa from the Great Barrier Reef 479 (GBR) or the Caribbean (Álvarez-Noriega et al., 2023; Silbiger et al., 2019). For P. verrucosa 480 from the GBR, for instance, optimal calcification temperature was 29.5 °C and severe declines 481 in calcification capacity have been noted beyond this optimum, with up to  $\sim 30$  % declines 482 already under 31 °C (Álvarez-Noriega et al., 2023). A similar situation can be assumed for the 483 corals in the present study, where calcification rates at 31 °C were 40 % lower compared to the 484 ambient temperature conditions. Since our corals' home reef, Luminao, is a fringing reef that 485 486 can experience midday temperature peaks above ~31 °C during the hottest months of the year, the new constant exposure temperature of 31 °C in our experiment was expected to exceed 487 their natural thermal optimum. In a recent study, exposure of P. damicornis corals to 31 °C 488 489 clearly exceeded the growth optimum as indicated by the reduced growth rates which was 490 accompanied with the impairment to control their calcifying fluid (Guillermic et al., 2021). 491 Such inability to maintain control over the calcifying fluid condition, may also account for the 492 observed lowered calcification rates in our study under the elevated temperature.

Furthermore, our findings revealed a temperature-induced change in skeletal properties. Corals 493 at 31 °C had carbonate skeletons of higher density, which should provide them with a higher 494 495 skeletal robustness. Most commonly the slow-growing coral species would form higher density 496 skeletons (Precoda et al., 2020). Within one coral species the tendency for higher-density skeletons is known for colonies that inhabit challenging environments like high energy habitats 497 498 such as the reef crest, where physical forcing through wave and current impact is high (Madin 499 et al., 2008; Scoffin et al., 1992; Smith et al., 2007). This is undoubtedly beneficial in 500 environments under physical forcing, unlike our coral aquaria. Interestingly, corals in thermally 501 challenging environments, such as inshore reefs, are more commonly known for their reduced skeletal density (McWilliam et al., 2022). The only exception in that multi-species study was 502 503 P. cf damicornis, supporting our finding of higher density skeletons under elevated thermal 504 conditions and also demonstrating that such growth tendencies, and consequently trade-offs, are likely species-specific. Corals with high-density skeletons must calcify faster in order to 505 keep up with the skeletal linear extensions achieved by corals with lower-density skeletons. 506 Hence, the investment into a dense skeleton comes at a cost of reduced linear extension at a 507 508 similar growth rate, resulting in slower colony expansion (Precoda et al., 2020). In our study, 509 high density multiplied by the lower calcification rates of corals at 31 °C, resulted in skeletal extension rates substantially lower compared to their 26 °C counterparts. In this context 510 511 developing high density skeletons, especially in combination with lower calcification rates, 512 needs to be considered as a significant trade-off with ramifications not only at holobiont scale, but also far-reaching ecological consequences for reef growth dynamics and maintenance of 513 the three-dimensional reef structure. 514

#### 515 Changes in host-symbiont relationship

516 Unlike calcification rates, the photosynthetic performance of symbionts was not constraint 517 under the elevated temperature. In contrast, photosynthesis was boosted in the 31 °Cacclimated coral in our study. This aligns with the results from short-term coral performance 518 assays conducted in the Caribbean (Silbiger et al., 2019) and underscores that optima for 519 520 photosynthetic productivity are not constrained at the elevated temperatures tested. However, 521 the photosynthetic boost, which is suspected to increase overall energy levels for the holobiont, 522 was not accompanied by any increase of symbiont biomass nor any change of their energy 523 content in our study. Instead, only the host tissues were able to increase biomass remarkably, 524 suggesting that the transfer of energy from symbiont to host under elevated temperatures must 525 have been increased, either by optimizing or enforcing translocation of photosynthates. It has 526 been previously established in a pocilloporid coral that a 'sub-lethal/sub-bleaching' thermal exposure had a significant impact on nutrient cycling and metabolism, entailing modifications 527 528 of the energetic exchange of the two partners in symbiosis (Gibbin et al., 2018). Additionally 529 it has been shown that increased photosynthesis can be coupled with a significant increase in 530 heterotrophic feeding rates in a cnidarian holobiont (Leal et al., 2015), presenting another 531 possible contribution that might have further fueled growing host energy storage in our 532 experiment.

The detailed examination of coral tissues by host and symbiont fraction, allowed to further 533 534 obtain a glimpse into the complex dynamics of possible symbiont-host interactions that 535 accompanied the thermal acclimation. Symbionts at 31 °C were diagnosed with lower protein, carbohydrate, and lipid levels per symbiont biomass. Interestingly, these values, in relation to 536 coral surface area, have remained similar under both temperatures. This shows that symbiont 537 538 biomass per host biomass did not change, despite boosted energy production and once again 539 highlights the strong investment and resource channeling into the energy storage of the host. 540 These nuanced findings further indicate that symbionts likely underwent cell-morphological 541 changes influenced by temperature. The capacity of morphological restructuring has been reported from symbionts that were classified as stress "resistant" compared to other more 542 "sensitive" species/strains, which did not feature such morphological plasticity (Hoadley et al., 543 544 2015). Resistant symbionts were not only able to increase their own protein and lipid storage, 545 but also demonstrated morphogenesis (enlargement) of chloroplasts at elevated temperature, as well as an increase in cell volume, chlorophyll fluorescence, and pigment content (Gong et 546 547 al., 2020; Hoadley et al., 2016). As such, these symbionts may have increased their chloroplast volume to increase and optimize their photosynthetic output under the elevated thermal 548 549 conditions that contributed to boosting the metabolic rates in both holobiont partners. Their 550 plasticity coupled with increased energy content, observed in these previous studies, can be 551 interpreted as a beneficial trait of the symbionts, which can help enhance holobiont stress resistance under challenging thermal conditions. In contrast, our findings show a 'skinny', but 552 553 productive symbiont paired with a well-nourished host, highly enriched in tissue lipids, which also can be an indication of a changed nutrient cycling between two partners (Gibbin et al., 554 555 2018) and of an enhanced translocation of symbiont resources (Rädecker et al., 2021).

556 Despite the fact that different symbiont species (or strains) maintain distinct metabolic traits 557 and can employ different energy/nutrient transfer strategies (Leal et al., 2015), the here 558 recorded differences in symbiont properties, likely do not reflect different symbiont species. At the age of one year, all corals used in our experiment harbored the same dominant symbiont 559 species, S. durusdinium 'D1/D2d', with no differences in symbiont assemblages between the 560 temperature treatments (unpublished data). Parent colonies in Guam hosted the same D1/D2d 561 562 strain and throughout the first 12 months, no changes in symbiont assemblages were detectable. 563 While most studies to date have investigated the transition period between the stable and the unstable symbiotic state during thermal stress (aka. coral bleaching), our study provides new 564 565 valuable insights into the symbiont-host trait dynamics in a stable symbiosis that has acclimated to an elevated temperature of 31 °C. We do not fully understand yet, whether this 31 °C-566 acclimated symbiotic state will also prove beneficial during an acute thermal stress event. We 567 can hypothesize two contrasting scenarios, 1) that the increased investment into host tissues 568 will increase stress resistance and will help the coral to deal with future stressors (Grottoli et 569 al., 2004), or, 2) that the enhanced translocation of symbiont resources to the host brings the 570 571 holobiont closer to a dysbiotic state (Rädecker et al., 2021) and, thus, will increase its susceptibility to stressors. This remains to be determined in a future study, but overall, our 572 573 current findings have already shed light in the physiological and metabolic shifts that allow 574 coral holobionts to acclimate successfully under warmer temperatures.

## 575 Underlying mechanisms of observed coral responses under elevated temperature

In this study we describe the successful acclimation of *P. acuta* to the new conditions of an 576 elevated temperature, which could be a result of physiological plasticity, genetic selection and 577 578 adaptation, or a combination of both (Chevin & Hoffmann, 2017; R. J. Fox et al., 2019; Kelly, 579 2019; Palumbi et al., 2014; Torda et al., 2017). We suspect that the thermal history of the parental colonies in the field, as well as the early exposure to elevated temperatures of the 580 581 offspring, have contributed to the acclimation success of corals in our experiment. Since 582 exposure to thermal variability is a good predictor of high stress-resistance and large plasticity 583 in corals (Hackerott et al., 2021; Rivest et al., 2017; M. Wall et al., 2023), the thermal history of the parents from the Luminao reef flat, which has a large thermal range, could be one 584 explanation, why the offspring was able to acclimate to the new elevated temperature of 31 °C. 585 Furthermore, corals in this study have "learned" to thrive under the new elevated temperature, 586 587 since the very first exposure at a juvenile stage, as no signs of distress were noted during the six years of cultivation. This early exposure to the elevated temperature during their recruitment 588 might have promoted the success of acclimation, as developmental exposure to certain drivers 589 like an elevated temperature have been shown to influence plasticity in various organisms 590 (Bowler & Terblanche, 2008). However, it will be worthwhile to further explore the underlying 591 592 genetic make-up of the offspring by investigating whether differences in allele frequency can be identified between the two groups, since allele shifts were often associated with enhanced 593 594 thermal tolerance of ex situ bred corals (Dixon et al., 2015; Howells et al., 2021; Quigley & 595 van Oppen, 2022). In our experiment, the possibility remains that selection of recruits took place right after settlement, since a higher number of recruits survived under 29 °C compared 596 to 31 °C (Supplementary Figure S2). Evolutionary processes cannot yet be fully ruled out as a 597 598 driver for the observed physiological differences reported between the corals raised at the two 599 thermal regimes. Larval selection process has been characterized in other studies showing that

heat-selected coral larvae were significantly enriched in heat-shock proteins and had improved
energy production and conversion, as well better oxidative stress and immune responses (Dixon
et al., 2015; Howells et al., 2021). Hence, to fully elucidate to what extent our observations
were mainly due to physiological plasticity or associated with the genotypic composition of the
coral offspring, additional genotype analyses of offspring and ideally of the source population
will be required.

## 606 Ecological implications and considerations for active reef restoration

607 The increasing severity and frequency of deteriorating coral bleaching events (Donner et al., 2005; van Hooidonk et al., 2013) have been driving the development of proactive measures 608 that aim to protect corals from thermal stress (van Oppen et al., 2015). Some of the anticipated 609 610 approaches consider selection of thermally tolerant coral specimens for reef restoration 611 (Humanes et al., 2021; Morikawa & Palumbi, 2019), while others intend to use thermal preconditioning treatments aiming to improve thermal tolerance of nursery corals (DeMerlis et 612 613 al., 2022; Hancock et al., 2021; Henley et al., 2022; M. Wall et al., 2023). However, our findings have demonstrated that the desired trait of higher thermal tolerance can come at the 614 615 cost of skeletal growth, specifically for the coral P. acuta (from Guam). Further trade-offs 616 beyond the decline of colony growth are possible. It will be crucial to investigate reproduction, as it determines coral population fitness with critical repercussions for the persistence of reef 617 communities and the recovery of populations following severe heat stress events (Fisch et al., 618 2019; Johnston et al., 2020; Levitan et al., 2014). 619

620 The far-reaching ecological consequences of trade-offs have not been considered nor assessed 621 yet. For instance, a reduction in skeletal growth is expected to limit the growth capacity of a whole reef structure, which is a critical ecological feature ensuring that a reef will be able to 622 623 maintain a positive carbonate budget (Roik et al., 2015, 2018), keep up with future sea-level 624 rise (Perry et al., 2018), and hence offer coastal protection and retain its ecosystem services 625 and in the future (Eddy et al., 2021; IPBES, 2019). Furthermore, with reduced colony growth 626 rates corals may show less resilience and poor potential of recovery from the pressures of other stressors, such as, i.e., increased forcing and frequency of storms and ocean acidification which 627 628 increases with ocean warming (Madin et al., 2014; McCulloch et al., 2012). On the other hand, 629 corals that are able to produce a skeleton of higher density, such as observed in the heatacclimated P. acuta in this study, may be able to buffer some of the negative effects to ocean 630 631 acidification, which has been demonstrated to reduce coral skeletal density (Mollica et al., 632 2018). Consequences of trade-offs can be complex and whether adaptation/acclimation to one stressor (e.g., temperature) may also increase the resistance to other stressors (e.g., ocean 633 634 acidification, eutrophication, disease), is a question that has so far received little attention. Trade-offs could, both, hamper or improve the success of current interventions and reef 635 restoration efforts that desire to increase the thermal tolerance of corals. Some restoration 636 637 projects have already integrated an assessment of trade-offs in their monitoring programs, e.g., coral nurseries in Florida reported a potential trade-off between disease resistance (a desired 638 trait) and reproductive output of their nursery corals (Koch et al., 2022). Overall, studies 639 640 exploring trade-offs of coral thermal tolerance underscore the importance of taking a holistic 641 view on this matter. Currently, they show that an efficient strategy to create new intervention

642 protocols should focus on a set of multiple desired traits for coral restoration recruits (Caruso et al., 2021; Edmunds & Putnam, 2020; Wright et al., 2015). Wright et al. (2019) research 643 provided the first indication that certain coral traits could be advantageous against multiple 644 645 stressors, however it is noteworthy that the traits underpinning stressor tolerance were not 646 identified and the experiment only lasted 10-days. Careful consideration, assessment, and cost-647 benefit evaluation of each new method and of the full suite of potential ecological 648 consequences, which may arise from the method, will be vital to the development of efficient 649 new interventions.

#### 650 Conclusion

Knowing that reef-building corals have the capacity to acclimate to elevated temperatures, we 651 652 have set out to determine if such increases in thermal resistance come at any costs for a coral. Examinations of physiological and metabolic features of corals acclimated under two distinct 653 thermal conditions (a cooler ambient and an elevated temperature) have identified two key 654 655 trade-offs. After six years at the elevated temperature, corals allocated more resources towards 656 soft tissue growth and lipid storage, while maintaining slower yet denser skeleton growth. The 657 trade-off between energy storage and skeletal growth, likely involved the exploitation of 658 symbionts, demonstrating how corals need to balance physiological and metabolic mechanisms in order to acclimate to higher temperatures. On the one hand, the coral hosts at the elevated 659 temperature appeared well prepared to withstand future stressors thanks to their energy 660 661 reserves. On the other hand, their symbionts were unable to accumulate substantial energy stores, potentially rendering them more vulnerable. Our results demonstrate how a "gain" in 662 663 thermal tolerance could hinder the calcification and reef-building potential of corals, while 664 enhancing the coral host's resilience to stressors. Further long-term assessments of trade-offs 665 in other coral species are needed to determine if these trade-offs are specific to P. acuta or more widespread. Our results challenge the observations of short-term studies, where elevated 666 temperatures depleted tissue lipids in corals, emphasizing the significance of studying 667 668 acclimation over relevant biological scales. Long-term studies like ours will help to obtain a 669 more comprehensive picture of the future coral reef trajectory and help to more accurately 670 assess the potential of anticipated interventions that aim at increasing coral thermal tolerance.

671

# 672 Funding and acknowledgments

673 AR and JS acknowledge the funding of the Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine 674 Biodiversity at the University of Oldenburg, Niedersachsen, Germany. HIFMB is a collaboration between the Alfred-Wegener-Institute, Helmholtz-Center for Polar and Marine 675 Research, and the Carl-von-Ossietzky University Oldenburg, initially funded by the Ministry 676 677 for Science and Culture of Lower Saxony and the Volkswagen Foundation through the 678 "Niedersächsisches Vorab" grant program (grant number ZN3285). PJS acknowledges support 679 via startup funding by the Institute of Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment 680 (ICBM, University of Oldenburg). Funding for the initial research that produced the corals used 681 in this study was provided by the German Academic Scholarship Foundation. We thank M.Sc.

682 Tabea Platz for her assistance in the wet laboratory during physiological experiments and

Esther Lüdtke as well as Irini Kioupidi for support in coral tissue processing. Thanks to Prof.

684 Gabriele Gerlach and Susanne Wallenstein for providing laboratory space and equipment to

685 perform live physiology and skeletal density measurements. SN thanks Dr. Mareen Möller for

her support in producing and rearing the corals used in this study. We thank Dr. Laurie J.

- 687 Raymundo (University of Guam Marine Laboratory) for providing temperature data from the
- 688 "home" reef of our corals.

# 689 **Permissions**

Research was conducted under the permit of the DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE DIVISION OF AQUATIC AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES (DAWR) and MPA APPLICATION SPECIAL REQUEST (Section 63123 of Title 5, Guam Code Annotated GCA) to PJS. Corals were collected under the Special License For The Collection Of Coral, issued to UOGML by DAWR under section 63123 of Title 5, GCA, and exported under permission of CITES issued by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (export # 15US62023B/9).

# 696 Authors' contributions

AR and JS conceived the study, designed and performed the experiments. SN collected, reared and provided corals used in this study. SN and MJ maintained aquaria facilities during experimental work. JS, AR, MD, MR, DB, AF, MW performed experiments and laboratory work. PJS provided facilities, funding, and laboratory space. MW, AR, MD, AF analyzed and visualized data. AR, MW, JS wrote and edited the manuscript. MR, SN, AF, PJS read and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# 703 Availability of data and materials

All data is included in the supplementary material to this paper.

# 705 **Declarations**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# 707 **References**

- Ainsworth, T. D., Heron, S. F., Ortiz, J. C., Mumby, P. J., Grech, A., Ogawa, D., Eakin, C. M.,
  & Leggat, W. (2016). Climate change disables coral bleaching protection on the Great
  Barrier Reef. *Science*, *352*(6283), 338–342.
- 711 Álvarez-Noriega, M., Marrable, I., Noonan, S. H. C., Barneche, D. R., & Ortiz, J. C. (2023).
- 712 Highly conserved thermal performance strategies may limit adaptive potential in corals.
- 713 Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society, 290(1990), 20221703.

- Angilletta, M. J., Jr, Steury, T. D., & Sears, M. W. (2004). Temperature, growth rate, and body
  size in ectotherms: fitting pieces of a life-history puzzle. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 44(6), 498–509.
- Anthony, K. R. N., Connolly, S. R., & Willis, B. L. (2002). Comparative analysis of energy
  allocation to tissue and skeletal growth in corals. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 47(5),
  1417–1429.
- Anthony, K. R. N., Hoogenboom, M. O., Maynard, J. A., Grottoli, A. G., & Middlebrook, R.
  (2009). Energetics approach to predicting mortality risk from environmental stress: a case
  study of coral bleaching. *Functional Ecology*, 23(3), 539–550.
- Barshis, D. J., Ladner, J. T., Oliver, T. A., Seneca, F. O., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Palumbi, S.
  R. (2013). Genomic basis for coral resilience to climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(4), 1387–1392.
- Barshis, D. J., Stillman, J. H., Gates, R. D., Toonen, R. J., Smith, L. W., & Birkeland, C. (2010).
  Protein expression and genetic structure of the coral Porites lobata in an environmentally
  extreme Samoan back reef: does host genotype limit phenotypic plasticity? *Molecular Ecology*, 19(8), 1705–1720.
- Bay, R. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2017). Transcriptome predictors of coral survival and growth in
  a highly variable environment. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(13), 4794–4803.
- 732 Bove, C. B. (2021). Coral Carbohydrate Assay for 96-well plates v1.
  733 https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvb9n2r6
- Bove, C. B., & Baumann, J. (2021). Coral Lipid Assay for 96-well plates v1 [Data set]. In
   *protocols.io.* ZappyLab, Inc. https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bvcfn2tn
- Bellantuono, A. J., Granados-Cifuentes, C., Miller, D. J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & RodriguezLanetty, M. (2012). Coral thermal tolerance: tuning gene expression to resist thermal
  stress. *PloS One*, 7(11), e50685.
- Bellantuono, A. J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Rodriguez-Lanetty, M. (2012). Resistance to
  thermal stress in corals without changes in symbiont composition. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1731), 1100–1107.
- Berkelmans, R., De'ath, G., Kininmonth, S., & Skirving, W. J. (2004). A comparison of the
  1998 and 2002 coral bleaching events on the Great Barrier Reef: spatial correlation,
  patterns, and predictions. In *Coral Reefs* (Vol. 23, Issue 1, pp. 74–83).
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-003-0353-y
- Bove, C. B., Davies, S. W., Ries, J. B., Umbanhowar, J., Thomasson, B. C., Farquhar, E. B.,
  McCoppin, J. A., & Castillo, K. D. (2022). Global change differentially modulates
  Caribbean coral physiology. *PloS One*, *17*(9), e0273897.
- Bowler, K., & Terblanche, J. S. (2008). Insect thermal tolerance: what is the role of ontogeny,
  ageing and senescence? *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*,
  83(3), 339–355.
- Brown, B., Dunne, R., Goodson, M., & Douglas, A. (2002). Experience shapes the
  susceptibility of a reef coral to bleaching. *Coral Reefs*, *21*(2), 119–126.
- Buerger, P., Schmidt, G. M., Wall, M., Held, C., & Richter, C. (2015). Temperature tolerance
  of the coral Porites lutea exposed to simulated large amplitude internal waves (LAIW). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 471, 232–239.
- 757 Caruso, C., Hughes, K., & Drury, C. (2021). Selecting Heat-Tolerant Corals for Proactive Reef

Restoration. Frontiers in Marine Science, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.632027

- Castillo, K. D., Ries, J. B., Weiss, J. M., & Lima, F. P. (2012). Decline of forereef corals in
  response to recent warming linked to history of thermal exposure. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(10), 756–760.
- Cheng, Y.-S., Zheng, Y., & VanderGheynst, J. S. (2011). Rapid quantitative analysis of lipids
  using a colorimetric method in a microplate format. *Lipids*, 46(1), 95–103.
- Chevin, L.-M., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2017). Evolution of phenotypic plasticity in extreme
  environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 372(1723). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0138
- Corkrey, R., McMeekin, T. A., Bowman, J. P., Ratkowsky, D. A., Olley, J., & Ross, T. (2014).
   Protein thermodynamics can be predicted directly from biological growth rates. *PloS One*,
   9(5), e96100.
- Cornwell, B., Armstrong, K., Walker, N. S., Lippert, M., Nestor, V., Golbuu, Y., & Palumbi,
  S. R. (2021). Widespread variation in heat tolerance and symbiont load are associated
  with growth tradeoffs in the coral Acropora hyacinthus in Palau. *eLife*, 10.
  https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64790
- DeMerlis, A., Kirkland, A., Kaufman, M. L., Mayfield, A. B., Formel, N., Kolodziej, G.,
  Manzello, D. P., Lirman, D., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Enochs, I. C. (2022). Pre-exposure
  to a variable temperature treatment improves the response of Acropora cervicornis to
  acute thermal stress. *Coral Reefs*, *41*(2), 435–445.
- Dixon, G. B., Davies, S. W., Aglyamova, G. A., Meyer, E., Bay, L. K., & Matz, M. V. (2015).
  Genomic determinants of coral heat tolerance across latitudes. *Science*, *348*(6242), 1460–
  1462.
- Donner, S. D., Skirving, W. J., Little, C. M., Oppenheimer, M., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2005).
  Global assessment of coral bleaching and required rates of adaptation under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *11*(12), 2251–2265.
- DuBois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric
  Method for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. *Analytical Chemistry*,
  28(3), 350–356.
- Eddy, T. D., Lam, V. W. Y., Reygondeau, G., Cisneros-Montemayor, A. M., Greer, K.,
  Palomares, M. L. D., Bruno, J. F., Ota, Y., & Cheung, W. W. L. (2021). Global decline
  in capacity of coral reefs to provide ecosystem services. *One Earth*, 4(9), 1278–1285.
- Edmunds, P. J., & Putnam, H. M. (2020). Science-based approach to using growth rate to assess
  coral performance and restoration outcomes. *Biology Letters*, *16*(7), 20200227.
- Fisch, J., Drury, C., Towle, E. K., Winter, R. N., & Miller, M. W. (2019). Physiological and
  reproductive repercussions of consecutive summer bleaching events of the threatened
  Caribbean coral Orbicella faveolata. *Coral Reefs*, 38(4), 863–876.
- Flot, J.-F., & Tillier, S. (2007). The mitochondrial genome of Pocillopora (Cnidaria:
  Scleractinia) contains two variable regions: the putative D-loop and a novel ORF of
  unknown function. *Gene*, 401(1-2), 80–87.

- Fox, M. D., Cohen, A. L., Rotjan, R. D., Mangubhai, S., Sandin, S. A., Smith, J. E., Thorrold,
  S. R., Dissly, L., Mollica, N. R., & Obura, D. (2021). Increasing coral reef resilience
  through successive marine heatwaves. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(17).
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gl094128
- Fox, R. J., Donelson, J. M., Schunter, C., Ravasi, T., & Gaitán-Espitia, J. D. (2019). Beyond
  buying time: the role of plasticity in phenotypic adaptation to rapid environmental change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*,
  374(1768), 20180174.
- Fukunaga, A., Burns, J. H. R., Pascoe, K. H., & Kosaki, R. K. (2022). A remote coral reef
  shows macroalgal succession following a mass bleaching event. *Ecological Indicators*, *142*, 109175.
- Fusi, M., Cannicci, S., Daffonchio, D., Mostert, B., Pörtner, H.-O., & Giomi, F. (2016). The
  trade-off between heat tolerance and metabolic cost drives the bimodal life strategy at the
  air-water interface. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 19158.
- Gibbin, E. M., Krueger, T., Putnam, H. M., Barott, K. L., Bodin, J., Gates, R. D., & Meibom,
  A. (2018). Short-Term Thermal Acclimation Modifies the Metabolic Condition of the
  Coral Holobiont. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00010
- B20 Gnaiger, E., & Bitterlich, G. (1984). Proximate biochemical composition and caloric content
  B21 calculated from elemental CHN analysis: a stoichiometric concept. *Oecologia*, 62(3),
  B22 289–298.
- Gong, S., Jin, X., Xiao, Y., & Li, Z. (2020). Ocean Acidification and Warming Lead to
  Increased Growth and Altered Chloroplast Morphology in the Thermo-Tolerant Alga
  Symbiochlorum hainanensis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 585202.
- Grottoli, A. G., Rodrigues, L. J., & Juarez, C. (2004). Lipids and stable carbon isotopes in two
  species of Hawaiian corals, Porites compressa and Montipora verrucosa, following a
  bleaching event. *Marine Biology*, 145(3), 621–631.
- Grottoli, A. G., Warner, M. E., Levas, S. J., Aschaffenburg, M. D., Schoepf, V., McGinley, M.,
  Baumann, J., & Matsui, Y. (2014). The cumulative impact of annual coral bleaching can
  turn some coral species winners into losers. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(12), 3823–3833.
- Guillermic, M., Cameron, L. P., De Corte, I., Misra, S., Bijma, J., de Beer, D., Reymond, C.
  E., Westphal, H., Ries, J. B., & Eagle, R. A. (2021). Thermal stress reduces pocilloporid
  coral resilience to ocean acidification by impairing control over calcifying fluid
- chemistry. *Science Advances*, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba9958
- Hackerott, S., Martell, H. A., & Eirin-Lopez, J. M. (2021). Coral environmental memory:
  causes, mechanisms, and consequences for future reefs. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *36*(11), 1011–1023.
- Hancock, J. R., Barrows, A. R., Roome, T. C., Huffmyer, A. S., Matsuda, S. B., Munk, N. J.,
  Rahnke, S. A., & Drury, C. (2021). Coral husbandry for ocean futures: leveraging abiotic
  factors to increase survivorship, growth, and resilience in juvenile Montipora capitata. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 657, 123–133.
- Henley, E. M., Bouwmeester, J., Jury, C. P., Toonen, R. J., Quinn, M., Lager, C. V. A., &
  Hagedorn, M. (2022). Growth and survival among Hawaiian corals outplanted from tanks
  to an ocean nursery are driven by individual genotype and species differences rather than

preconditioning to thermal stress. *PeerJ*, *10*, e13112.

- Henry, L.-A., & Hart, M. (2005). Regeneration from injury and resource allocation in sponges
  and corals a review. *International Review of Hydrobiology*, 90(2), 125–158.
- Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., van Hooidonk, R., & Eakin, C. M. (2016). Warming trends and
  bleaching stress of the world's coral reefs 1985–2012. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1).
  https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38402
- Hillyer, K. E., Dias, D., Lutz, A., Roessner, U., & Davy, S. K. (2017). 13C metabolomics
  reveals widespread change in carbon fate during coral bleaching. *Metabolomics: Official Journal of the Metabolomic Society*, 14(1), 12.
- Hoadley, K. D., Pettay, D. T., Grottoli, A. G., Cai, W.-J., Melman, T. F., Levas, S., Schoepf,
  V., Ding, Q., Yuan, X., Wang, Y., Matsui, Y., Baumann, J. H., & Warner, M. E. (2016).
  High-temperature acclimation strategies within the thermally tolerant endosymbiont *Symbiodinium trenchii* and its coral host, *Turbinaria reniformis*, differ with changing
  pCO2and nutrients. *Marine Biology*, *163*(6), 134.
- Hoadley, K. D., Pettay, D. T., Grottoli, A. G., Cai, W.-J., Melman, T. F., Schoepf, V., Hu, X.,
  Li, Q., Xu, H., Wang, Y., Matsui, Y., Baumann, J. H., & Warner, M. E. (2015).
  Physiological response to elevated temperature and pCO2 varies across four Pacific coral
  species: Understanding the unique host+symbiont response. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 18371.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (1999). Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's
  coral reefs. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 50(8), 839.
- Hornstein, J., Pales Espinosa, E., Cerrato, R. M., Lwiza, K. M. M., & Allam, B. (2018). The
  influence of temperature stress on the physiology of the Atlantic surfclam, Spisula
  solidissima. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 222, 66–73.
- Howells, E. J., Abrego, D., Liew, Y. J., Burt, J. A., Meyer, E., & Aranda, M. (2021). Enhancing
  the heat tolerance of reef-building corals to future warming. *Science Advances*, 7(34),
  eabg6070–eabg6070.
- Howells, E. J., Bay, L. K., & Bay, R. A. (2022). Identifying, Monitoring, and Managing
  Adaptive Genetic Variation in Reef-Building Corals under Rapid Climate Warming. In
  M. J. H. van Oppen & M. Aranda Lastra (Eds.), *Coral Reef Conservation and Restoration in the Omics Age* (pp. 55–70). Springer International Publishing.
- Howells, E. J., Berkelmans, R., van Oppen, M. J. H., Willis, B. L., & Bay, L. K. (2013).
  Historical thermal regimes define limits to coral acclimatization. *Ecology*, *94*(5), 1078–
  1088.
- Humanes, A., Beauchamp, E. A., Bythell, J. C., Carl, M. K., Craggs, J. R., Edwards, A. J.,
  Golbuu, Y., Lachs, L., Martinez, H. M., Palmowski, P., Paysinger, F., Randle, J. L., van
  der Steeg, E., Sweet, M., Treumann, A., & Guest, J. R. (2021). An Experimental
  Framework for Selectively Breeding Corals for Assisted Evolution. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.669995
- IPBES. (2019). Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and
   ecosystem services. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3553579
- Johnston, E. C., Counsell, C. W. W., Sale, T. L., Burgess, S. C., & Toonen, R. J. (2020). The
  legacy of stress: Coral bleaching impacts reproduction years later. *Functional Ecology*,
  34(11), 2315–2325.

- Jokiel, P. L., & Guinther, E. B. (1978). Effects of temperature on reproduction in the
  hermatypic coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 28(4), 786–789.
- Karl, I., Stoks, R., Bauerfeind, S. S., Dierks, A., Franke, K., & Fischer, K. (2013). No tradeoff between growth rate and temperature stress resistance in four insect species. *PloS One*,
  894 8(4), e62434.
- Kelly, M. (2019). Adaptation to climate change through genetic accommodation and
  assimilation of plastic phenotypes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 374(1768), 20180176.
- Kenkel, C. D., & Matz, M. V. (2016). Gene expression plasticity as a mechanism of coral
  adaptation to a variable environment. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(1), 1–6.
- Kenkel, C. D., Setta, S. P., & Matz, M. V. (2015). Heritable differences in fitness-related traits
  among populations of the mustard hill coral, Porites astreoides. *Heredity*, 115(6), 509–
  516.
- Willis, B. L. (2002). Comparative Analysis of
  Energy Allocation to Tissue and Skeletal Growth in Corals. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 47(5), 1417–1429.
- Koch, H. R., Azu, Y., Bartels, E., & Muller, E. M. (2022). No apparent cost of disease
  resistance on reproductive output in Acropora cervicornis genets used for active coral reef
  restoration in Florida. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.958500
- Lachs, L., Humanes, A., Pygas, D. R., Bythell, J. C., Mumby, P. J., Ferrari, R., Figueira, W.
  F., Beauchamp, E., East, H. K., Edwards, A. J., Golbuu, Y., Martinez, H. M., Sommer,
  B., van der Steeg, E., & Guest, J. R. (2023). No apparent trade-offs associated with heat
  tolerance in a reef-building coral. *Communications Biology*, 6(1), 400.
- Leal, M. C., Hoadley, K., Pettay, D. T., Grajales, A., Calado, R., & Warner, M. E. (2015).
  Symbiont type influences trophic plasticity of a model cnidarian-dinoflagellate
  symbiosis. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218(Pt 6), 858–863.
- 917 Lesser, M. P. (2013). Using energetic budgets to assess the effects of environmental stress on
  918 corals: are we measuring the right things? *Coral Reefs*, 32(1), 25–33.
- Leuzinger, S., Willis, B. L., & Anthony, K. R. N. (2012). Energy allocation in a reef coral
  under varying resource availability. *Marine Biology*, *159*(1), 177–186.
- Levitan, D. R., Boudreau, W., Jara, J., & Knowlton, N. (2014). Long-term reduced spawning
   in Orbicella coral species due to temperature stress. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 515,
   1–10.
- Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., & Randall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurement
  with the Folin phenol reagent. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 193(1), 265–275.
- Madin, J. S., Baird, A. H., Dornelas, M., & Connolly, S. R. (2014). Mechanical vulnerability
  explains size-dependent mortality of reef corals. *Ecology Letters*, 17(8), 1008–1015.
- Madin, J. S., O'Donnell, M. J., & Connolly, S. R. (2008). Climate-mediated mechanical
  changes to post-disturbance coral assemblages. *Biology Letters*, 4(5), 490–493.
- Majerova, E., Carey, F. C., Drury, C., & Gates, R. D. (2021). Preconditioning improves
  bleaching tolerance in the reef-building coral Pocillopora acuta through modulations in
  the programmed cell death pathways. *Molecular Ecology*, 30(14), 3560–3574.
- 933 Martell, H. A. (2023). Thermal priming and bleaching hormesis in the staghorn coral, Acropora

- 934 cervicornis (Lamarck 1816). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 560,
  935 151820.
- McCulloch, M., Falter, J., Trotter, J., & Montagna, P. (2012). Coral resilience to ocean
  acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation. *Nature Climate Change*,
  2(8), 623–627.
- McWilliam, M., Madin, J. S., Chase, T. J., Hoogenboom, M. O., & Bridge, T. C. L. (2022).
  Intraspecific variation reshapes coral assemblages under elevated temperature and acidity. *Ecology Letters*, 25(11), 2513–2524.
- Mollica, N. R., Guo, W., Cohen, A. L., Huang, K.-F., Foster, G. L., Donald, H. K., & Solow,
  A. R. (2018). Ocean acidification affects coral growth by reducing skeletal density. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(8),
  1754–1759.
- Morikawa, M. K., & Palumbi, S. R. (2019). Using naturally occurring climate resilient corals
  to construct bleaching-resistant nurseries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116(21), 10586–10591.
- Moulton, T. L. (2018, January 21). *RMR: Importing data from Loligo Systems software, calculating metabolic rates and critical tensions.* https://rdrr.io/cran/rMR/
- Oliver, T. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2011). Do fluctuating temperature environments elevate coral
  thermal tolerance? *Coral Reefs*, 30(2), 429–440.
- Palumbi, S. R., Barshis, D. J., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Bay, R. A. (2014). Mechanisms of reef
  coral resistance to future climate change. *Science*, *344*(6186), 895–898.
- Pei, J.-Y., Yu, W.-F., Zhang, J.-J., Kuo, T.-H., Chung, H.-H., Hu, J.-J., Hsu, C.-C., & Yu, K.F. (2022). Mass spectrometry-based metabolomic signatures of coral bleaching under
  thermal stress. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 414(26), 7635–7646.
- Perry, C. T., Alvarez-Filip, L., Graham, N. A. J., Mumby, P. J., Wilson, S. K., Kench, P. S.,
  Manzello, D. P., Morgan, K. M., Slangen, A. B. A., Thomson, D. P., JanuchowskiHartley, F., Smithers, S. G., Steneck, R. S., Carlton, R., Edinger, E. N., Enochs, I. C.,
  Estrada-Saldívar, N., Haywood, M. D. E., Kolodziej, G., ... Macdonald, C. (2018). Loss
  of coral reef growth capacity to track future increases in sea level. *Nature*, *558*(7710),
  396–400.
- Petes, L. E., Menge, B. A., & Harris, A. L. (2008). Intertidal mussels exhibit energetic tradeoffs between reproduction and stress resistance. *Ecological Monographs*, 78(3), 387–402.
- Pinzón, J. H., Sampayo, E., Cox, E., Chauka, L. J., Chen, C. A., Voolstra, C. R., & LaJeunesse,
  T. C. (2013). Blind to morphology: genetics identifies several widespread ecologically
  common species and few endemics among Indo-Pacific cauliflower corals (Pocillopora,
  Scleractinia). *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(8), 1595–1608.
- 970 Pörtner, H. O., Bennett, A. F., Bozinovic, F., Clarke, A., Lardies, M. A., Lucassen, M., Pelster,
  971 B., Schiemer, F., & Stillman, J. H. (2006). Trade-Offs in Thermal Adaptation: The Need
  972 for a Molecular to Ecological Integration. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology: PBZ*,
  973 79(2), 295–313.
- 974 Precoda, K., Hardt, M. J., Baird, A. H., & Madin, J. S. (2020). Tissue biomass trades off with
  975 growth but not reproduction in corals. *Coral Reefs*, 39(4), 1027–1037.
- Quigley, K. M., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2022). Predictive models for the selection of thermally
  tolerant corals based on offspring survival. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 1543.

- 978 Rädecker, N., Pogoreutz, C., Gegner, H. M., Cárdenas, A., Roth, F., Bougoure, J., Guagliardo, 979 P., Wild, C., Pernice, M., Raina, J.-B., Meibom, A., & Voolstra, C. R. (2021). Heat stress 980 destabilizes symbiotic nutrient cycling in corals. Proceedings of the National Academy of 981 Sciences the United States America. 118(5). of of 982 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022653118
- Rivest, E. B., Comeau, S., & Cornwall, C. E. (2017). The role of natural variability in shaping
  the response of coral reef organisms to climate change. *Current Climate Change Reports*,
  3(4), 271–281.
- Rodrigues, L. J., Grottoli, A. G., & Pease, T. K. (2008). Lipid class composition of bleached
  and recovering Porites compressa Dana, 1846 and Montipora capitata Dana, 1846 corals
  from Hawaii. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 358(2), 136–143.
- Roik, A., Roder, C., Röthig, T., & Voolstra, C. R. (2015). Spatial and seasonal reef calcification
  in corals and calcareous crusts in the central Red Sea. *Coral Reefs*.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-015-1383-y
- Roik, A., Röthig, T., Pogoreutz, C., Saderne, V., & Voolstra, C. R. (2018). Coral reef carbonate
  budgets and ecological drivers in the central Red Sea a naturally high temperature and
  high total alkalinity environment. *Biogeosciences*, 15(20), 6277–6296.
- Roze, T., Christen, F., Amerand, A., & Claireaux, G. (2013). Trade-off between thermal
  sensitivity, hypoxia tolerance and growth in fish. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 38(2), 98–
  106.
- Schoepf, V., Grottoli, A. G., Warner, M. E., Cai, W.-J., Melman, T. F., Hoadley, K. D., Pettay,
  D. T., Hu, X., Li, Q., Xu, H., Wang, Y., Matsui, Y., & Baumann, J. H. (2013). Coral
  energy reserves and calcification in a high-CO2 world at two temperatures. *PloS One*,
  8(10), e75049.
- Scoffin, T. P., Tudhope, A. W., Brown, B. E., Chansang, H., & Cheeney, R. F. (1992). Patterns
  and possible environmental controls of skeletogenesis of Porites lutea, South Thailand. *Coral Reefs*, 11(1), 1–11.
- Seebacher, F., Ducret, V., Little, A. G., & Adriaenssens, B. (2015). Generalist-specialist tradeoff during thermal acclimation. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(1), 140251.
- Silbiger, N. J., Goodbody-Gringley, G., Bruno, J. F., & Putnam, H. M. (2019). Comparative
  thermal performance of the reef-building coral Orbicella franksi at its latitudinal range
  limits. *Marine Biology*, *166*(10). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3573-6
- Smith, L. W., Barshis, D., & Birkeland, C. (2007). Phenotypic plasticity for skeletal growth,
  density and calcification of Porites lobata in response to habitat type. *Coral Reefs*, 26(3),
  559–567.
- Sokolova, I. M., Frederich, M., Bagwe, R., Lannig, G., & Sukhotin, A. A. (2012). Energy
  homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance
  in aquatic invertebrates. *Marine Environmental Research*, 79, 1–15.
- Spencer Davies, P. (1990). A rapid method for assessing growth rates of corals in relation to
  water pollution. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 21(7), 346–348.
- Strahl, J., Stolz, I., Uthicke, S., Vogel, N., Noonan, S. H. C., & Fabricius, K. E. (2015).
  Physiological and ecological performance differs in four coral taxa at a volcanic carbon
  dioxide seep. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular &*Integrative Physiology, 184, 179–186.

- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., & Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
   Analysis Version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38(7), 3022–3027.
- Tanaka, Y., Miyajima, T., Koike, I., Hayashibara, T., & Ogawa, H. (2007). Imbalanced coral
  growth between organic tissue and carbonate skeleton caused by nutrient enrichment. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 52(3), 1139–1146.
- Tattersall, G. J., Sinclair, B. J., Withers, P. C., Fields, P. A., Seebacher, F., Cooper, C. E., &
  Maloney, S. K. (2012). Coping with thermal challenges: physiological adaptations to
  environmental temperatures. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 2(3), 2151–2202.
- Thomas, L., Kendrick, G. A., Stat, M., Travaille, K. L., Shedrawi, G., & Kennington, W. J.
  (2014). Population genetic structure of the Pocillopora damicornis morphospecies along
  Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *513*, 111–119.
- Thomas, L., Rose, N. H., Bay, R. A., López, E. H., Morikawa, M. K., Ruiz-Jones, L., &
  Palumbi, S. R. (2018). Mechanisms of thermal tolerance in reef-building corals across a
  fine-grained environmental mosaic: Lessons from Ofu, American Samoa. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00434
- Torda, G., Donelson, J. M., Aranda, M., Barshis, D. J., Bay, L., Berumen, M. L., Bourne, D.
  G., Cantin, N., Foret, S., Matz, M., Miller, D. J., Moya, A., Putnam, H. M., Ravasi, T.,
  van Oppen, M. J. H., Thurber, R. V., Vidal-Dupiol, J., Voolstra, C. R., Watson, S.-A., ...
  Munday, P. L. (2017). Rapid adaptive responses to climate change in corals. *Nature Climate Change*, *7*(9), 627–636.
- Traylor-Knowles, N. (2016). Distinctive wound-healing characteristics in the corals
   Pocillopora damicornis and Acropora hyacinthus found in two different temperature
   regimes. *Marine Biology*, *163*(11), 231.
- Trip, E. D. L., Clements, K. D., Raubenheimer, D., & Choat, J. H. (2014). Temperature-related
  variation in growth rate, size, maturation and life span in a marine herbivorous fish over
  a latitudinal gradient. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83(4), 866–875.
- van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J. A., Manzello, D., & Planes, S. (2013). Opposite latitudinal
  gradients in projected ocean acidification and bleaching impacts on coral reefs. *Global Change Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12394
- van Oppen, M. J. H., Oliver, J. K., Putnam, H. M., & Gates, R. D. (2015). Building coral reef
  resilience through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
  201422301.
- Veal, C. J., Carmi, M., Fine, M., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2010). Increasing the accuracy of
  surface area estimation using single wax dipping of coral fragments. *Coral Reefs*, 29(4),
  893–897.
- 1057 Voolstra, C. R., Suggett, D. J., Peixoto, R. S., Parkinson, J. E., Quigley, K. M., Silveira, C. B.,
  1058 Sweet, M., Muller, E. M., Barshis, D. J., Bourne, D. G., & Aranda, M. (2021). Extending
  1059 the natural adaptive capacity of coral holobionts. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*,
  1060 2(11), 747–762.
- Wall, C. B., Mason, R. A. B., Ellis, W. R., Cunning, R., & Gates, R. D. (2017). Elevated pCO2
  affects tissue biomass composition, but not calcification, in a reef coral under two light
  regimes. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(11), 170683.
- Wall, C. B., Ricci, C. A., Foulds, G. E., Mydlarz, L. D., Gates, R. D., & Putnam, H. M. (2018).
  The effects of environmental history and thermal stress on coral physiology and

1066 immunity. *Marine Biology*, *165*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3317-z

- Wall, C. B., Ricci, C. A., Wen, A. D., Ledbetter, B. E., Klinger, D. E., Mydlarz, L. D., Gates,
  R. D., & Putnam, H. M. (2021). Shifting baselines: Physiological legacies contribute to
  the response of reef corals to frequent heatwaves. *Functional Ecology*, *35*(6), 1366–1378.
- Wall, M., Doering, T., Pohl, N., Putchim, L., Ratanawongwan, T., & Roik, A. (2023). Natural
  thermal stress-hardening of corals through cold temperature pulses in the Thai Andaman
  Sea. In *bioRxiv* (p. 2023.06.12.544549). https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.12.544549
- Wright, R. M., Aglyamova, G. V., Meyer, E., & Matz, M. V. (2015). Gene expression
  associated with white syndromes in a reef building coral, Acropora hyacinthus. *BMC Genomics*, 16(1), 371.
- Wright, R. M., Mera, H., Kenkel, C. D., Nayfa, M., Bay, L. K., & Matz, M. V. (2019). Positive
  genetic associations among fitness traits support evolvability of a reef-building coral
  under multiple stressors. *Global Change Biology*, 25(10), 3294–3304.
- Zera, A. J., & Harshman, L. G. (2001). The Physiology of Life History Trade-Offs in Animals.
   *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *32*, 95–126.