

Judith Hauck, Christopher Danek, Özgür Gürses, Onur Karakus, Tanvi Nagwekar, Laurent Oziel, Miriam Seifert

Investigating marine carbon and ecosystem feedbacks with the AWI Earth System Model

Earth System Models

- ... are routinely used for future sce- \bullet nario projections of the carbon cycle.
- Ocean anthropogenic carbon uptake is to first a order physical-chemical process
- BUT changes in biological productivity may affect the ocean carbon cycle and ecosystems in the future under on-going climate change



AWI-ESM-REcoM CMIPtype simulations available!

historical ocean CO₂ uptake atm) flux [PgC yr⁻¹] (>0 into -2.5 -3.0 — MPI-ESM1-2-HR MIROC-ES2L — MPI-ESM1-2-LR

- Relevant biological processes not sufficiently represented
- We tackle the representation of phytoand zooplankton in the AWI-ESM



Recent pr understai

 \rightarrow Emission-driven simulations result in lower atmospheric CO₂ (compared to concentration-driven) due to carbon-climate feedbacks

Incorporating the response of **phytoplankton** growth to interactive effects of simultaneous changes in temperature, light and CO_2

Going from one to three **zooplankton** groups and from one to two detritus groups





year

Danek & Hauck (in review) Danek et al. in prep.

Analysis of historical air-sea CO₂ flux variability and discrepancies with data







 \rightarrow Increases net primary production (NPP) through nutrient recycling & thus proves the role of zooplankton for sustaining NPP





Weakening of the biological carbon pump in the future Arctic Ocean

High-resolution (4.5 km) future simulations (forced ocean model), including carbon and nutrient input from rivers/coastal erosion

 \rightarrow Anticipated rise in primary production found (negative feedback loop)

 \rightarrow BUT 40% reduction in the efficiency of the



Role of ocean biology for anthroponic CO₂ uptake in emission-driven AWI-ESM

Commonly used numbers from very early ocean bgc models \rightarrow Testing this with modern ESM



Marine carbon dioxide removal via alkalinity enhancement

Climate feedbacks/ variability \rightarrow may substantially perturb/reduce the expected ocean carbon accumulation \rightarrow MRV challenge



Arctic's biological carbon pump by 2100, (positive feedback loop)

 \rightarrow Terrigenous inputs also drive intense coastal CO₂ outgassing, reducing the Arctic Ocean's carbon sink by at least 10%

export carbon storage The "unexpected" positive feedback loop əir, ocean, land cemperatures primary production terrigenous nutrient surface inputs atmospheric CO₂ supply + remineralization ⊂ CO₂ uptake phytoplankton & Particle size



Oziel et al. (in review)

References

Karakus et al. (2021), doi: 10.1029/2021JC017315; Karakus et al. (2022), doi:10.1029/2022JG006798; Seifert et al. (2020), doi:10.1111/gcb.15341; Oziel et al. (in review), preprint doi:https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3867146/v1; Seifert et al., (2022), doi:10.1525/elementa.2021.00104; Seifert et al., (2023), doi:10.1111/gcb.16799

Funding

This work was funded by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association (Helmholtz Young Investigator Group Marine Carbon and Ecosystem Feedbacks in the Earth System, MarESys; grant no. VH-NG-1301), by the ERC-2022-STG OceanPeak (Grant 101077209) and by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under Grant 101083922 (OceanICU Improving Carbon Understanding), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 820989 (project COMFORT) and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany (BMBF) in the framework of RETAKE, one of the six research consortia of the German Marine Research Alliance (DAM) research mission "Marine carbon sinks in decarbonization pathways" (CDRmare).

Hauck et al., in prep.

