

Model calculations of the contribution of $SO₂$ to the stratospheric aerosol layer

Ingo Wohltmann¹, Stefanie Kremser², Ralph Lehmann¹, Markus Rex¹

¹ Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam 2^{2} Bodeker Scientific, Alexandra, New Zealand

> Stratoclim Meeting Nov 16–18, 2016

Stratospheric aerosol layer is important for

- Radiative balance of earth and climate change
- Stratospheric chemistry
- **Geo-engineering**

Many processes of the stratospheric aerosol layer are not well known

• Contribution of tropospheric species like $SO₂$ to stratospheric aerosol layer poorly quantified

- Examine chemistry of $SO₂$ and its transport to the stratosphere
- **•** Chemical box model on backward trajectories
- Numerous sensitivity runs to assess range of uncertainty

- Backward trajectories with convection from ATLAS model
- Driven by ERA Interim analysis data
- Start 400 K between 30° N/S on 2° x 2° grid
- Start 31 Jan 2010 back for 4 months
- Only trajectory parts between 800 hPa and Local Cold Point used in chemistry calculations

Gas phase chemistry:

- Only calculated if air parcel not in convection!
- Every air parcel only small part of time in cloud and reactions sufficiently slow
- Knowledge about OH values in clouds very limited

Reactions:

- $SO_2 + OH + M \rightarrow$ Products
- DMS + OH \rightarrow SO₂ + Products Two reaction pathways (addition, abstraction)
- DMS + $NO_3 \rightarrow SO_2$ + Products

Cloud chemistry:

- Only calculated if air parcel in convection!
- Complete washout of products assumed

Reactions:

- $SO_2 \cdot H_2O + H_2O_2 \rightarrow$ Products
- \bullet S(IV) + O₃ \rightarrow Products $S(IV) = HSO_3^- + SO_2 \cdot H_2O$

plus Henry constants for SO_2 , O_3 , H_2O_2 and equilibrium constant between HSO^-_3 and $\mathsf{SO}_2 \cdot \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O}$

 $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$

 $2Q$

[Intro](#page-1-0) [Results](#page-13-0) [Conclusions](#page-23-0) **[Model](#page-3-0) Results** Conclusions Conclusions And Model Results Conclusions Conclusions Conclu

Model: Initialization and boundary conditions

Initial values for $SO₂$ and DMS at 800 hPa from GEOS-Chem CTM

Model: Initialization and boundary conditions

Mixing ratios averaged over all trajectories

Precalculated background fields taken from the GEOS-Chem CTM (not interactive):

Kロト K伊 K

 Ω

- OH
- \bullet H₂O₂ (only outside cloud)
- \bullet O₃

- Cloud water from ERA Interim
- \bullet H₂O₂ runs free inside cloud, reset to H₂O₂ climatology from GEOS-Chem outside cloud
- Cloud pH is 4.5

Mass of air parcel much larger than mass in convective cell!

Basic idea (statistical approach):

- Throw a dice to determine if air parcel is entrained
- If entrained, move up by vertical updraft velocity for one time step
- **•** If entrained, throw a dice in every time step to determine if parcel is detrained

Result averaged over many trajectories is correct

Collins et al., QJRMS, 128, 991 (2002), Forster et al., JAMC, 46, 403 (2007), Rossi et al., GMD, 9, 789 (2016)

Model: Convection

Entrainment probability in layer k

$$
\varepsilon_k = \frac{g_0 E_k \Delta t}{\Delta p_k}
$$

 290

Vertical updraft velocity

$$
w_k = \frac{M_k RT_k}{c_k p_k}
$$

E entrainment rate, D detrainment rate, M convective mass flux, $\Delta p \sim$ mass of layer, Δt trajectory time step, c convective area fra

Mass flux M , detrainment D and entrainment E taken from ERA Interim

Mass balanced by subsiding all air parcels outside convection

$$
\Delta p_{\text{subsidence}}=g_0 M_{\text{parcel}}\Delta t
$$

Backward trajectories require some straightforward modifications

$$
\varepsilon_k = \frac{g_0 D_k \Delta t}{\Delta p_k}
$$

etc.

Convection in the analyses

Curtain plot along equator for arbitrary day (0-16 km)

Some fairly large differences between analyses!

Kロト K型ト Ω

Source region of stratospheric air at 800 hPa

Density of all trajectory points at 800 hPa

Next plots:

- Means over all trajectory points (as function of z)
- NOTE: NO OBSERVED PROFILES. Air determined to go into stratosphere is only tiny fraction of all air in troposphere
- Thin: Average including interpolated values if trajectory has no value in height bin
- Thick: Without interpolated values

K ロ ⊁ K 伊 ⊁ K

[Intro](#page-1-0) Results Conclusions ([Model](#page-3-0) [Results](#page-13-0) [Conclusions](#page-23-0) Conclusions Results Conclusions Conclusions Conclusions (

 Ω

Mean SO_2 : Sensitivity to H_2O_2

Mean SO_2 : Sensitivity to cloud water

Mean SO_2 : Sensitivity to pH

K ロ ▶ | K 伊 ▶ | K ヨ つへへ

Mean SO_2 : Sensitivity to DMS

K ロ ▶ K 伊 ▶ K

[Intro](#page-1-0) Results Conclusions ([Model](#page-3-0) [Results](#page-13-0) [Conclusions](#page-23-0) Conclusions Results Conclusions Conclusions Conclusions (

つへへ

Mean SO_2 : Sensitivity to OH

Mean DMS: Sensitivity to OH

つへへ

Altitudes in which SO_2 at LCP was produced by DMS

Comparison to POSIDON measurements

NASA POSIDON campaign WB-57F flights in October 2016 (courtesy of A. Rollins)

- \bullet SO₂ values at tropical tropopause (16–17 km) about 10–30 ppt according to our runs.
- Large difference between our reference run and full GEOS-Chem CTM due to different transport schemes (Eulerian vs. Lagrangian) and ???
- • Modelled $SO₂$ compares relatively well to MIPAS $SO₂$ background climatology from Höpfner et al. (2015) now (with convection)

 \bullet Large sensitivity at the tropopause in run with $\pm 50\,\%$ of OH reference values.

Negative correlation between OH and $SO₂$ caused by $DMS + OH$ (and also by $SO₂ + OH$): Less OH

- \rightarrow less DMS loss in lower troposphere
	- (less $SO₂$ produced there by DMS is washed out)
- \rightarrow more DMS is transported upward
- \rightarrow overcompensates for the lower OH values there
- \rightarrow more SO₂ production in the upper troposphere
- Only if conditions are much drier than assumed by GEOS-5, higher SO₂ at tropopause expected since $SO_2 + H_2O_2$ not effective then

 $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$ $(1 - 1)$

 QQ