

Article

Into the Blue: An ERC Synergy Grant Resolving Past Arctic Greenhouse Climate States

Jochen Knies ^{1,2,*}, Gerrit Lohmann ^{3,4} , Stijn De Schepper ^{5,6} , Monica Winsborrow ¹ , Juliane Müller ^{3,7} , Mohamed M. Ezat ¹  and Petra M. Langebroek ^{1,5}

¹ iC3—Centre for Ice: Cryosphere, Carbon and Climate, Department of Geosciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway; monica.winsborrow@uit.no (M.W.); mohamed.ezat@uit.no (M.M.E.)

² Geological Survey of Norway, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

³ Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany; gerrit.lohmann@awi.de (G.L.); juliane.mueller@awi.de (J.M.)

⁴ Physics Department and MARUM, University of Bremen, P.O. Box 330440, 28334 Bremen, Germany

⁵ NORCE Research AS, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Jahnebakken 5, 5008 Bergen, Norway; stde@norceresearch.no (S.D.S.); pela@norceresearch.no (P.M.L.)

⁶ Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Jahnebakken 5, 5008 Bergen, Norway

⁷ Geosciences Department and MARUM, University of Bremen, P.O. Box 330440, 28334 Bremen, Germany

* Correspondence: jochen.knies@uit.no

Abstract

The Arctic Ocean is turning blue. Abrupt Arctic warming and amplification is driving rapid sea ice decline and irreversible deglaciation of Greenland. The already emerging, substantial consequences for the planet and society are intensifying and yet, model-based projections lack validating consensus. To date, we cannot anticipate how a blue Arctic will respond to and amplify an increasingly warmer future climate, nor how it will impact the wider planet and society. Climate projections are inconclusive as we critically lack key Arctic geological archives that preserved the answers. This “Arctic Challenge” of global significance can only be addressed by investigating the processes, consequences, and impacts of past “greenhouse” (warmer-than-present) climate states. To address this challenge, the ERC Synergy Grant project *Into the Blue* (i2B) is undertaking a program of research focused on retrieving new Arctic geological archives of past warmth and key breakthroughs in climate model performance to deliver a ground-breaking, synergistic framework to answer the central question: “Why and what were the global ramifications of a “blue” (ice-free) Arctic during past warmer-than-present climates?” Here, we present the proposed research plan that will be conducted as part of this program. *Into the Blue* will quantify cryosphere (sea ice and land ice) change in a warmer world that will form the scientific basis for understanding the dynamics of Arctic cryosphere and ocean changes to enable the quantitative assessment of the impact of Arctic change on ocean biosphere, climate extremes, and society that will underpin future cryosphere-inclusive IPCC assessments.

Keywords: Arctic Ocean; sea ice; paleoclimate; interglacials; Pliocene; Miocene; earth system modelling



Academic Editor: Susan Prescott

Received: 26 May 2025

Revised: 3 July 2025

Accepted: 10 July 2025

Published: 30 July 2025

Citation: Knies, J.; Lohmann, G.; De Schepper, S.; Winsborrow, M.; Müller, J.; Ezat, M.M.; Langebroek, P.M. Into the Blue: An ERC Synergy Grant Resolving Past Arctic Greenhouse Climate States. *Challenges* **2025**, *16*, 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe16030036>

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article

distributed under the terms and

conditions of the Creative Commons

Attribution (CC BY) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The ERC Synergy Grant project *Into the Blue* (i2B) aims to uncover the global impacts of past ice-free Arctic conditions through new geological records and climate model advancements. Here, we present the proposed research plan that will be conducted over

the next 6 years. *Into the Blue* encompasses multiple concepts central to the project goals. Firstly, *Into the Blue* refers to “going into the unknown”, a highly relevant concept given the uncertain future of our Arctic. The Arctic is warming almost four times as fast as the rest of the planet [1], and despite global efforts to keep climate warming below 2 °C, almost every emission scenario leads at least temporarily to a warmer-than-modern global and Arctic climate; a climate that is unknown to humans and in fact unknown on Earth over the last 100,000 years. We also use *Into the Blue* to describe ongoing changes in the Arctic Ocean. Projections indicate that the Arctic Ocean will become sea ice-free during summers by mid-century, even under medium greenhouse gas emissions [2,3] (Figure 1a,b). The Arctic Ocean is transitioning from white to blue, with a host of associated ecosystem changes. We know that major changes are already happening in the Arctic climate, ecosystems, and beyond, yet the consequences for our planet and society remain incompletely understood and poorly constrained [4].

A key challenge for humanity is to document and understand how a blue Arctic will both respond to and drive an increasingly warmer future climate. This “Arctic challenge” of global significance can only be addressed by elucidating the *modus operandi* of time intervals that were warmer than present (“greenhouse conditions”) in our planet’s history. We cannot currently do this because we lack [1] Arctic records of past warm climates in our planet’s history and [2] climate models adapted to include all components of the climate system (i.e., cryosphere feedbacks) at appropriate resolution [2]. *Into the Blue* will focus on past warm climate states like the Last Interglacial (~125,000 years ago), the mid-Pliocene Warm Period (mPWP; ~3 million years ago), and the mid-Miocene Climate Optimum (~17 million years ago) when the climate was warmer than today. These periods with a globally warmer-than-present climate hold information about how the ocean and cryosphere looked and operated; however, we still lack the key geological archives covering these time periods from the Arctic that can reveal the mechanisms responsible for Arctic change and its amplification under greenhouse climate states. To date, only one deep-time Arctic greenhouse record is available—but this is incomplete (ACEX, Figure 1c) [5–7].

In order to improve our understanding of ongoing Arctic climate changes, particularly in the context of current rapid global warming, and to determine the reliability of the climate model projections of a future Arctic, proxy- and model-based reconstructions from past, globally warmer climates are imperative. For example, the most recent iteration of climate models involved in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) tends to underestimate the observed Arctic amplification [1,8]. Furthermore, there are considerable uncertainties regarding the projected sea ice decline and the Arctic amplification, compounded by the relatively coarse spatial resolution of these models, mostly at 1° [9]. Solving this “Arctic Challenge” requires in-depth knowledge beyond the state-of-the-art from both empirical and numerical experts on how the cryosphere evolved under past warm climate conditions. Our ERC Synergy Grant project *Into the Blue* represents a concerted research effort to fill this knowledge gap, aiming to document, understand, and assess the impact of Arctic warming under a range of climate forcings and feedback mechanisms.

Paleoclimate dynamics provide context for present and future changes, quantify natural variability, and offer insights into mechanisms under different forcings. A crucial approach involves comparing model outputs with data reconstructions and observations, utilizing methods such as out-of-sample evaluation and emergent constraints [10,11]. To adequately tackle the range of possible climates, quantitative data on the magnitude and pace of natural fluctuations in the ocean, across landmasses, and within the cryosphere are essential. New Arctic climate records obtained within *Into the Blue* will facilitate the unique testing of two Earth System (NorESM and AWI-ESM) and Ice Sheet Models (UiT ISM, PISM, CISM) out of the range of present-day climate [12,13].




Figure 1. The “Arctic Challenge” (a) global surface temperature change relative to 1850–1900 under five different greenhouse gas (GHG) scenarios (SSPs = Shared Socioeconomic Pathways) [2], (b,c) Arctic Ocean with summer (September) sea ice evolution and only one past greenhouse record (Eocene) available (International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) “ACEX—Arctic Coring Expedition”). Illustrated in Figure 1c is also new material for *Into the Blue* to be recovered during scheduled expeditions. IODP #403 = International Ocean Discovery Expedition 403 in 2024 [14]. KH = RV “Kronprins Haakon”, ROV = Remotely Operating Vehicle, and Polarsten-MeBo = RV “Polarstern” with MARUM-MeBo remotely operational seafloor drilling system.

2. Research Question and Objectives

Into the Blue opens new horizons in Arctic climate science via the first concerted effort to address the “Arctic Challenge”. The central research question our project will address is “Why and what were the global ramifications of a “blue” Arctic during past warmer-than-present climates?”, with the primary hypothesis that *the Arctic represents a critical planetary modulator that will immanently become ice-free: a key climate system transition beyond which unprecedented amplification of global warming can occur.*

Specifically, *Into the Blue* aims to answer the following scientific questions:

- How does the Arctic cryosphere change in warm climates?
- What drives Arctic cryosphere change?
- What are the impacts of cryosphere change in a warm Arctic?

Into the Blue will address these questions by retrieving and analyzing new, groundbreaking Arctic climate records (Figure 1c) from different key greenhouse states of the last ~17 Ma, and combining these with a cutting-edge Earth System Model (ESM) and Ice Sheet modelling (ISM) framework through three inter-connected, cross-disciplinary scientific objectives, where we aim to achieve the following:

- Quantify Arctic cryosphere (sea ice and land ice) change during different past warm climate intervals with different atmospheric carbon dioxide ($p\text{CO}_2$) levels and boundary conditions via the collection and analysis of novel Arctic geological archives;

- b. *Understand* the dynamics of a warm Arctic cryosphere and ocean through new simulations using the latest ESM/ISM;
- c. *Determine* the impact of cryosphere change in a warm Arctic on ocean biosphere, climate extremes, and society by integrating novel empirical data and ESM/ISM modelling outputs.

By addressing the “Arctic Challenge”, we aim to (1) provide vital insights and understanding on how the Arctic and Earth’s climate transitions to a high $p\text{CO}_2$ world; (2) inform about the impacts of a blue Arctic on the ocean ecosystem, climate extremes, and global consequences; (3) deliver key Arctic knowledge for improved future climate projections. These principal questions and objectives pertain to just how complex and challenging past Arctic greenhouse climate reconstructions and simulations are, and why the scientific rewards are so outstanding once all obstacles are solved.

3. Current State of Scientific Knowledge

There is overall agreement that the Arctic Ocean and adjacent area have undergone rapid and drastic environmental changes on recent, historical, and geological time scales [7,15–17]. The most dramatic changes observed in the recent past are the unprecedented reduction in both summer and winter sea ice extent [18] (Figure 1b,c) and enhanced loss of the Greenland Ice Sheet, which now accounts for over 25% of the observed global sea level rise [19]. Northern Hemisphere warming is indicated by coupled ice–ocean models as the main driver of these cryosphere changes [2,20]; however, there is disagreement across different empirical datasets about the influence of atmospheric warming versus oceanic heat transport on sea ice decline and glacial mass loss [2,15,21–24]. In situ observations in the northern Barents Sea [23,25] suggest that enhanced oceanic heat transport by the North Atlantic Current (NAC) can cause weakened stratification, increased vertical mixing, and reduced sea ice in the Atlantic sector of the Arctic, collectively termed “Atlantification” [25–28]. Proxy reconstructions of heat and volume transport also indicate “Atlantification” in the Arctic Ocean during the Last Interglacial and the mPWP [26,27]. Warming of the subpolar North Atlantic has further increased ocean temperatures in the proximity of marine-terminating Greenland outlet glaciers [29,30], yet the processes connecting enhanced ocean heat transport to grounding line thinning and glacial retreat remain poorly understood [31–33]. In contrast, variations in large-scale atmospheric circulation are a key driver of changes in the surface mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet [34,35], which is now estimated to account for nearly 70% of the total mass loss [36]. Moreover, models indicate that enhanced atmospheric polar energy transport is accompanied by weaker ocean heat transport in future CO_2 scenarios [22]. With new Arctic geological records combined with the cutting-edge ESM/ISM framework, *Into the Blue* offers a new chance to understand the sensitivity of Arctic warming to a range of forcings and feedbacks during warmer-than-present times. *Into the Blue* will analyze the characteristics and dynamics of greenhouse intervals in Pleistocene interglacials, and Pliocene–Miocene time intervals with, respectively, low (~ 280 parts per million by volume (ppmv)), medium (~ 400 ppmv), and high (500+ ppmv) atmospheric carbon dioxide ($p\text{CO}_2$) levels [37,38] (Figures 1c and 2).




Figure 2. Key *Into the Blue* Arctic greenhouse intervals. Selected past intervals of warm Arctic climate with estimated cryosphere conditions and mean air temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) inferred from the available limited empirical and modelling studies [39–58]. Red X indicates uncertainty about the ocean gateways in older time periods, key boundary conditions that will be tested. MIS = Marine Isotope Stage 5e (~ 0.12 Ma) and 11c (~ 0.4 Ma), mPWP = mid-Pliocene Warm Period (~ 3.3 – 3.0 Ma), MCO = middle Miocene Climatic Optimum (~ 17 – 14.5 Ma), and Ma = million years.

The Pleistocene interglacials (MIS 11c and 5e), where geological/oceanographical settings are most comparable to today, were influenced by substantial changes in insolation that led to warmer high northern latitudes [39–41]. There is no consensus on how exactly the Arctic looked during this period, since empirical and numerical studies yield interpretations of fundamentally different Arctic environments. These interpretations range from warmer-than-present conditions, reduced or absent summer Arctic sea ice, smaller-than-present ice sheets, and an expansion of boreal forests to the Arctic Ocean shoreline [41,42], to colder-than-present sea surface conditions in the Arctic–Atlantic gateway (i.e., Fram Strait) and perennial sea ice cover in the central Arctic Ocean, at least for MIS 5e [43,44].

The mid-Pliocene Warm Period (mPWP) is frequently studied as an analogue for future climate, with empirical data from around the world indicating atmospheric CO_2 concentrations around 400 ppmv [45], a global surface ocean that is 2 – 3 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ warmer than present, and mean surface air temperatures >4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ higher than today [46]. Estimates from the Arctic Ocean are, however, lacking or equivocal [47,48]. Recently produced proxy and modelling data indicate increased ocean warming at higher latitudes, more intense “Atlantification” [28], and reduced sea ice extent (including ice-free summers) in the Eurasian Arctic during the mPWP [27,46], but these are based on few studies, of which none are properly from the Arctic Ocean.

In 2025, global CO_2 concentration exceeded 420 ppmv and is still increasing. As a consequence, the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum (MCO), with atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2 > 500$ ppmv and boundary conditions different from today (i.e., ocean gateways, Figure 2) [38,49], has become a key target interval for the science community seeking to understand a greenhouse world (e.g., PAGES PlioMioVar Working Group [50,51]). The absence of Arctic geological records, however, means that knowledge about this warm interval is very restricted and remains a challenge for climate models [52–56]. It has been suggested that the late Miocene Arctic Ocean was >9 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ warmer than present with only seasonal sea ice cover [57]; however, data from the MCO are lacking. The onset of perennial sea ice cover likely occurred after the MCO [49,58]. Hence, for the MCO, we know nothing

about how the Arctic cryosphere responded to high global CO₂ concentrations, values we can expect under business-as-usual emissions by the end of the 21st century.

While we may understand the forcing for the Pliocene and Pleistocene interglacials, for each period we lack information to answer the following questions: How warm was the Arctic? How did the warming proceed and how fast? How did Arctic warming impact the Arctic cryosphere and what are the associated feedbacks to climate? How did Arctic warming and cryosphere changes impact the marine ecosystem?

4. Research Strategy and Tasks

The *Into the Blue* research strategy is shaped by an overarching cross-disciplinary approach to quantify, understand, and assess the impact of cryosphere change in Arctic greenhouse climates. This will be achieved by bringing together the complementary Arctic geoscience and modelling expertise, including paleoglaciology, paleoceanography, paleoclimate, and paleogenomics, of research environments in Norway (UiT The Arctic University of Norway in Tromsø and NORCE Norwegian Research Centre in Bergen) and Germany (AWI, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research in Bremerhaven). Together, the *Into the Blue* team will recover new and unique Arctic sediment archives (Figure 1c) and apply novel methods alongside classical techniques for empirical and numerical assessment. The key for the synergies between empirical and modelling activities will be the close integration of the ESM and ISM with empirical data observations. The project is designed to promote cross-fertilization between disciplines that traditionally work separately and to deliver a balance between high- and low-risk research, both with high reward. To reap these rewards, we have formed three research tasks and aim to create synergies by employing complementary expertise in proxy and modelling research. The main objectives are as follows: quantifying a warm Arctic in a low, medium, and high pCO₂ world (Task 1); understanding the dynamics of a warm Arctic cryosphere and ocean (Task 2); and determining the impacts of cryosphere change in a warm Arctic on ocean biosphere and society (Task 3).

4.1. Task 1—Quantifying a Warm Arctic in a Low, Medium, and High pCO₂ World

In Task 1, we will establish a robust chronostratigraphic framework using a suite of geochronological approaches, including geophysical (seismics, paleomagnetism, cosmogenic nuclides), geological (lithology), and biological methods (distribution of ancient life in sediments). Subsequently, we apply a multi-disciplinary paleoceanographic toolbox to reconstruct surface water masses and ocean currents, sea surface temperature and salinity, ocean heat transport, sea ice extent, and ice sheet variability using established and novel techniques.

Guided by newly recovered Arctic records, *Into the Blue* will thoroughly evaluate existing global climate and Ice Sheet Model simulations, focusing on extracting information on the Arctic atmosphere, ocean, sea ice, and ice sheets. New reference simulations using the latest versions of NorESM and AWI-ESM [59,60] will be performed, following the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP, <https://wcrp-cmip.org/>, last access: 22 February 2025) and Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP, <https://pmip.lscce.ipsl.fr/>, last access: 22 February 2025), which contextualize regional and global changes within a broader international framework. For certain periods of interest, we also aim to apply other gateway and ice sheet configurations in close agreement with the geological boundary conditions.

4.2. Task 2—Understanding the Dynamics of a Warm Arctic Cryosphere and Ocean

In Task 2, we apply various numerical models (ESM and ISM) to assess the sensitivity and feedbacks of the Arctic Ocean–cryosphere system in a warmer world. The main aim is to evaluate the processes leading to or resulting from large-scale past greenhouse climates in the Arctic. These new results will provide invaluable out-of-sample tests for the tools used to simulate future climate and environmental changes suitable for integration to IPCC and policy frameworks (Task 3). We will use the paleoclimatic information from Task 1 to further assess the impact of insolation, CO₂, and tectonic changes on the Arctic climate by applying a suite of in-house ESMs (NorESM and AWI-ESM) [60,61]. Further, we evaluate uncertainties of changing ice sheets in a warmer world with in-house UiT-ISM. We also study the polar amplification of the system with respect to model resolution and CO₂. A mechanistic understanding of ocean–sea ice changes through different phases of Arctic gateways during greenhouse climate states will be evaluated.

4.3. Task 3—The Impacts of a Changed Arctic on Ocean Biosphere and Society

In Task 3, we will assess how warming in the Arctic impacts the biosphere but also the regional climate outside of the Arctic. We tightly integrate results from Task 1 with a paleogenetics approach to investigate how a warm Arctic Ocean, with less sea ice and increased Atlantic water inflow, impacts benthic and planktic biodiversity and the biological carbon pump. It is unclear if a warmer Arctic will strengthen or weaken the biological carbon pump, a crucial question to answer as the biological carbon pump is an important modulator of our global atmospheric CO₂ concentrations.

Further, we use results from Tasks 1 and 2 to evaluate potential tipping points in the Arctic realm for the past, present, and future. Predicting the future spread of possible Arctic climates, the risks of climate extremes and rapid warming transitions are of high socioeconomic relevance. Several studies based on observations and model simulations do find a robust connection between extremes in northern continents and Arctic sea ice loss [46,62]. Despite intense efforts to understand Arctic–midlatitudes climate teleconnections, the effects of climate extremes, such as a blue Arctic Ocean and a deglaciated Greenland, have not been understood in depth and are explored in Task 3.

5. Implementation Strategy

5.1. Arctic Field Work

The archives needed for the success of *Into the Blue* are high-resolution records of key past warm intervals in the Miocene, Pliocene, and Pleistocene interglacials. These will be collected via dedicated research expeditions in the Arctic as well as participation in international campaigns like the Integrated Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) Expedition #403, off Northwest Svalbard, in June 2024 [14] (Figure 1c). In 2025, a dedicated *Into the Blue* long Calypso piston coring cruise targeting Pleistocene “super-interglacials” from the western Fram Strait to the central Arctic Ocean is planned with the Norwegian research vessel *Kronprins Haakon* (Figure 1c). In 2026, a second central Arctic Ocean expedition is planned with the research vessel *Kronprins Haakon* and a remotely operated vehicle (ROV) to collect samples of exposed Miocene and Pliocene sequences on Lomonosov Ridge [57]. If the latter expedition is successful, the scientific gain will be high because both the Miocene and Pliocene are, with a few scattered exceptions, “unknown” terrain in the central Arctic and hold the potential of moving our knowledge significantly beyond the state-of-the-art. Towards the end of *Into the Blue*, we aim to realize a remotely operated shallow coring operation (MeBo) at the seafloor on Lomonosov Ridge, central Arctic Ocean, onboard German icebreaker *Polarstern*, following a dedicated seismic pre-site survey expedition (LAMEX I) in 2026. There are considerable risks with the feasibility of this last operation

(geopolitical, technical, sea ice, and weather conditions), but if realized, the recovered material will without a doubt be a gamechanger for understanding the past (warm) Arctic.

5.2. Laboratory Facilities

The host institutions (UiT, NORCE, AWI) have state-of-the-art geological, geochemical, and paleogenetic laboratories, essential to work towards the scientific breakthroughs aimed for within *Into the Blue*. AWI hosts a world-leading organic geochemical analytical infrastructure specifically for sea ice-related biomarker analyses [17,63]. NORCE has geological laboratories and a state-of-the-art ancient DNA laboratory. Within the new “PlasmaLab” <https://ic3.uit.no/news/plasmalab-ic3> (Accessed on 29 July 2025) at UiT, a new mass spectrometer for ultra-high-precision high-sensitivity isotope ratio measurements will be installed at UiT to reconstruct changes in ocean circulation, heat transport, and carbon storage. The instrumentation is crucial for applying novel proxies to Arctic climate research, as the recent success of the *Into the Blue* team members has shown [64]. For the Arctic chronological framework, *Into the Blue* will work closely with the team of chronology specialists involved in the IODP expedition #403 and the wider scientific community.

5.3. Numerical Modelling

Capabilities for Earth System as well as Ice Sheet modelling have been developed with documented success [13,54,65–67]. Importantly, recent developments for all numerical models applied within *Into the Blue* have considerably improved the computational efficiency that will open new frontiers on how the Arctic climate system responds to past and future climate and environmental extremes. A breakthrough for the new simulations will be the integration of new Earth System components like ice sheets [12,68], tides [9,69], high-resolution of the spatial domain [10,59], and validation of new climate proxy data from Task 1. Crucial parameters (sea surface temperatures, sea ice, glacial ice, ocean circulation, heat transport) will provide an optimal baseline for data–model intercomparison studies. If Task 1 faces unexpected challenges to provide observational data from a single proxy, we will rely on our multi-proxy dataset of different physical parameters that will inform the modelled results. The timeliness of the data–model integration lies in revolutionary breakthroughs in ESM/ISM evolution over recent decades, facilitated by the considerable increase in computational capacity. The models are in-house, combined with community developments, and ready to produce high gains for *Into the Blue*. In particular, the eddy- and storm-resolving model (Figure 3) is expected to open new frontiers on how the Arctic responds in a high CO₂ world by evaluating the impact on past and future climate and environmental extremes [70,71].




Figure 3. Snapshots of ocean currents at 50 m deep (a,c) and sea ice concentration (b,d) from a global sea ice–ocean simulation with a horizontal resolution of 1 km in the Arctic [70]. The upper row is for 30 December 2015, and the bottom row is for 30 December 2095 (approximately +4 degree C warmer world). This figure is for illustrative purposes of high-resolution modelling; we refer to figures in Li, et al. [70] for quantitative representations of the changes in the ocean. Credit: Xinyue Li for model simulation; background image: NASA Earth Observatory.

6. Expected Outcomes and Impact

The *Into the Blue* ERC Synergy project, with a duration of 72 months, started on 1 November 2024 and will play a central role in international Arctic research ahead of the International Polar Year in 2032/2033. We will raise interest and increase knowledge

about changing polar environments by connecting and engaging scientists, the wider public, stakeholders, and educators to ensure maximum impact and legacy. The impact and understanding of amplified polar climate warming on the Earth's climate system has reached a global dimension with vast scientific interest documented [1,2,15,21,72–74]. *Into the Blue* provides a boost of new samples, data, ages, geological interpretation, and climate models, which will become publicly available in the best (open access) journals to stimulate discussion within academia and provide a new, solid baseline to address pressing scientific challenges in the field of Arctic climate change. The new material will be made available to the research community to apply the most sophisticated and novel methodologies for new research questions beyond the lifetime of *Into the Blue* and will underpin future cryosphere-inclusive IPCC assessments. New Arctic climate records derived from *Into the Blue* will facilitate the unique testing of Earth System (NorESM and AWI-ESM) and Ice Sheet (UiT ISM, PISM, CISM) Models out of the “comfort zone of present-day climate” [12,13]. A new generation of storm- and eddy-resolving ESMs will be validated with new climate records for selected warmer-than-present states. The AWI-ESM is presently capable of running global coupled simulations at multiple scales in the ocean and over sea ice with sufficient data on state-of-the-art supercomputers, defining a new step in creating value for society. “*Into the Blue*” aims to make the following research impacts:

- a. *Into the Blue* will document a blue Arctic under warmer background conditions than today thereby expanding horizons beyond scientific relevance on the impact of climate extremes, such as an ice-free Arctic Ocean and reduced Greenland Ice Sheet for nature and society.
- b. *Into the Blue* will use marine *sedaDNA* for sea ice, biodiversity, and ecosystem reconstructions in past warm intervals. It will allow to understand how a blue Arctic impacts the ecosystem and the biological pump, information crucial for Arctic habitat conservation and potential ecosystem services.
- c. *Into the Blue* is a concerted research project for the Arctic that provides solutions on the processes and dynamics that connect ocean, ice, life, and climate in a high $p\text{CO}_2$ world, information essential to underpin cryosphere-inclusive IPCC assessment.
- d. *Into the Blue* will be first in explicitly representing essential polar processes for the warm past and potential warm future. Our eddy- and storm-resolving model is expected to open new frontiers on how the system responds to human activities in a high CO_2 world by evaluating the impact on past and future climate and environmental extremes.

Taken together, a key challenge for humanity is how a blue Arctic will respond to and drive an increasingly warmer future climate. To date, we lack a concerted research framework to assess this critical knowledge gap along with the key datasets to study the mechanisms underlying the rapid transition to a blue Arctic in response to global warming. ERC Synergy Grant Project *Into the Blue* will solve this “Arctic Challenge” with a synergistic approach to retrieve and study ground-breaking new Arctic records together with Earth System Models and Ice Sheet Models. This will mark a step-change in Arctic climate research, providing unique scientific gains of how the cryosphere (*sea ice and glacial ice*) evolves under past “greenhouse” (*warmer-than-present*) climate states.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.K., G.L., S.D.S., P.M.L., M.M.E., M.W., and J.M.; original draft preparation, J.K., G.L., and S.D.S.; writing—review and editing, J.K., G.L., S.D.S., P.M.L., M.M.E., M.W., and J.M.; funding acquisition, J.K., G.L., S.D.S., P.M.L., M.M.E., M.W., and J.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This manuscript is based on a research proposal submitted to and currently funded by the European Research Council (ERC) Synergy Grant scheme through grant no. 101118519.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this article. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank Tore Guneriusen, Theresa Mikalsen, and Matthias Forwick (UiT), Aud Larsen, and Ryan Weber (NORCE), and Tordis Hellmann and Imke Fries (AWI) for administrative support, comments and assistance. We also warmly thank all *Into the Blue* team members and collaborators.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Rantanen, M.; Karpechko, A.Y.; Lipponen, A.; Nordling, K.; Hyvärinen, O.; Ruosteenoja, K.; Vihma, T.; Laaksonen, A. The Arctic has warmed nearly four times faster than the globe since 1979. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **2022**, *3*, 168. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. IPCC. Summary for Policymakers. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., et al., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2021.
3. Heuzé, C.; Jahn, A. The first ice-free day in the Arctic Ocean could occur before 2030. *Nat. Commun.* **2024**, *15*, 10101. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Ford, J.D.; Pearce, T.; Canosa, I.V.; Harper, S. The rapidly changing Arctic and its societal implications. *WIREs Clim. Change* **2021**, *12*, e735. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Sluijs, A.; Schouten, S.; Pagani, M.; Woltering, M.; Brinkhuis, H.; Damste, J.S.S.; Dickens, G.R.; Huber, M.; Reichart, G.J.; Stein, R.; et al. Subtropical arctic ocean temperatures during the Palaeocene/Eocene thermal maximum. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 610–613. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. Brinkhuis, H.; Schouten, S.; Collinson, M.E.; Sluijs, A.; Damste, J.S.S.; Dickens, G.R.; Huber, M.; Cronin, T.M.; Onodera, J.; Takahashi, K.; et al. Episodic fresh surface waters in the Eocene Arctic Ocean. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 606–609. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Moran, K.; Backman, J.; Brinkhuis, H.; Clemens, S.C.; Cronin, T.; Dickens, G.R.; Eynaud, F.; Gattacceca, J.; Jakobsson, M.; Jordan, R.W.; et al. The Cenozoic palaeoenvironment of the Arctic Ocean. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 601–605. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Cohen, J.; Zhang, X.; Francis, J.; Jung, T.; Kwok, R.; Overland, J.; Ballinger, T.; Bhatt, U.; Chen, H.; Coumou, D. Divergent consensus on Arctic amplification influence on midlatitude severe winter weather. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2020**, *10*, 20–29. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Shu, Q.; Wang, Q.; Arthun, M.; Wang, S.; Song, Z.; Zhang, M.; Qiao, F. Arctic Ocean Amplification in a warming climate in CMIP6 models. *Sci. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, eabn9755. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Lohmann, G.; Butzin, M.; Eissner, N.; Shi, X.; Stepanek, C. Abrupt climate and weather changes across time scales. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2020**, *35*, e2019PA003782. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Lohmann, G.; Pfeiffer, M.; Laepple, T.; Leduc, G.; Kim, J.-H. A model–data comparison of the Holocene global sea surface temperature evolution. *Clim. Past* **2013**, *9*, 1807–1839. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Ackermann, L.; Danek, C.; Gierz, P.; Lohmann, G. AMOC Recovery in a Multicentennial Scenario Using a Coupled Atmosphere–Ocean–Ice Sheet Model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2020**, *47*, e2019GL086810. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Patton, H.; Hubbard, A.; Bradwell, T.; Schomacker, A. The configuration, sensitivity and rapid retreat of the Late Weichselian Icelandic ice sheet. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **2017**, *166*, 223–245. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Lucchi, R.G.; St. John, K.E.K.; Ronge, T.A.; The Expedition 403 Scientists. *Expedition 403 Preliminary Report: Eastern Fram Strait Paleo-Archive*; International Ocean Discovery Program: College Station, TX, USA, 2024.
15. Slater, T.; Lawrence, I.R.; Ootosaka, I.N.; Shepherd, A.; Gourmelen, N.; Jakob, L.; Tepes, P.; Gilbert, L.; Nienow, P. Review article: Earth’s ice imbalance. *Cryosphere* **2021**, *15*, 233–246. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Stein, R. The Late Mesozoic–Cenozoic Arctic Ocean Climate and Sea Ice History: A Challenge for Past and Future Scientific Ocean Drilling. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2019**, *34*, 1851–1894. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Knies, J.; Cabedo-Sanz, P.; Belt, S.T.; Baranwal, S.; Fietz, S.; Rosell-Mele, A. The emergence of modern sea ice cover in the Arctic Ocean. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5608. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Kinnard, C.; Zdanowicz, C.M.; Fisher, D.A.; Isaksson, E.; de Vernal, A.; Thompson, L.G. Reconstructed changes in Arctic sea ice over the past 1450 years. *Nature* **2011**, *479*, 509–512. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Chen, X.Y.; Zhang, X.B.; Church, J.A.; Watson, C.S.; King, M.A.; Monselesan, D.; Legresy, B.; Harig, C. The increasing rate of global mean sea-level rise during 1993–2014. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2017**, *7*, 492–495. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Notz, D.; Stroeve, J. Observed Arctic sea-ice loss directly follows anthropogenic CO₂ emission. *Science* **2016**, *354*, 747–750. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

21. IPCC. *Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2019; p. 179.
22. Huang, Y.; Xia, Y.; Tan, X. On the pattern of CO₂ radiative forcing and poleward energy transport. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2017**, *122*, 10578–10593. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Asbjørnsen, H.; Årthun, M.; Skagseth, Ø.; Eldevik, T. Mechanisms Underlying Recent Arctic Atlantification. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2020**, *47*, e2020GL088036. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Brown, K.A.; Holding, J.M.; Carmack, E.C. Understanding Regional and Seasonal Variability Is Key to Gaining a Pan-Arctic Perspective on Arctic Ocean Freshening. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, 606. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Lind, S.; Ingvaldsen, R.B.; Furevik, T. Arctic warming hotspot in the northern Barents Sea linked to declining sea-ice import. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2018**, *8*, 634–639. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Spielhagen, R.F.; Werner, K.; Sorensen, S.A.; Zamelczyk, K.; Kandiano, E.; Budeus, G.; Husum, K.; Marchitto, T.M.; Hald, M. Enhanced Modern Heat Transfer to the Arctic by Warm Atlantic Water. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 450–453. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Rahaman, W.; Smik, L.; Koseoglu, D.; Lathika, N.; Tarique, M.; Thamban, M.; Haywood, A.; Belt, S.T.; Knies, J. Reduced Arctic sea ice extent during the mid-Pliocene Warm Period concurrent with increased Atlantic-climate regime. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **2020**, *550*, 116535. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Polyakov, I.V.; Pnyushkov, A.V.; Alkire, M.B.; Ashik, I.M.; Baumann, T.M.; Carmack, E.C.; Goszczko, I.; Guthrie, J.; Ivanov, V.V.; Kanzow, T. Greater role for Atlantic inflows on sea-ice loss in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean. *Science* **2017**, *356*, 285–291. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Schaffer, J.; Kanzow, T.; von Appen, W.-J.; von Albedyll, L.; Arndt, J.E.; Roberts, D.H. Bathymetry constrains ocean heat supply to Greenland's largest glacier tongue. *Nat. Geosci.* **2020**, *13*, 227–231. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Holland, D.M.; Thomas, R.H.; De Young, B.; Ribergaard, M.H.; Lyberth, B. Acceleration of Jakobshavn Isbræ triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters. *Nat. Geosci.* **2008**, *1*, 659–664. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Cowton, T.; Sole, A.; Nienow, P.; Slater, D.; Wilton, D.; Hanna, E. Controls on the transport of oceanic heat to Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, East Greenland. *J. Glaciol.* **2016**, *62*, 1167–1180. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Straneo, F.; Hamilton, G.S.; Stearns, L.A.; Sutherland, D.A. Connecting the Greenland Ice Sheet and the Ocean: A case study of Helheim glacier and Sermilik Fjord. *Oceanography* **2016**, *29*, 34–45. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Wood, M.; Rignot, E.; Fenty, I.; An, L.; Bjørk, A.; van den Broeke, M.; Cai, C.; Kane, E.; Menemenlis, D.; Millan, R.; et al. Ocean forcing drives glacier retreat in Greenland. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eaba7282. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
34. Hanna, E.; Fettweis, X.; Mernild, S.H.; Cappelen, J.; Ribergaard, M.H.; Shuman, C.A.; Steffen, K.; Wood, L.; Mote, T.L. Atmospheric and oceanic climate forcing of the exceptional Greenland ice sheet surface melt in summer 2012. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2014**, *34*, 1022–1037. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. Delhasse, A.; Fettweis, X.; Kittel, C.; Amory, C.; Agosta, C. Brief communication: Impact of the recent atmospheric circulation change in summer on the future surface mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Cryosphere* **2018**, *12*, 3409–3418. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
36. Enderlin, E.M.; Howat, I.M.; Jeong, S.; Noh, M.J.; van Angelen, J.H.; van den Broeke, M.R. An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *41*, 866–872. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
37. Berends, C.J.; de Boer, B.; Dolan, A.M.; Hill, D.J.; van de Wal, R.S.W. Modelling ice sheet evolution and atmospheric CO₂ during the Late Pliocene. *Clim. Past* **2019**, *15*, 1603–1619. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Rae, J.W.B.; Zhang, Y.G.; Liu, X.; Foster, G.L.; Stoll, H.M.; Whiteford, R.D.M. Atmospheric CO₂ over the Past 66 Million Years from Marine Archives. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **2021**, *49*, 609–641. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
39. Pedersen, R.A.; Langen, P.L.; Vinther, B.M. The last interglacial climate: Comparing direct and indirect impacts of insolation changes. *Clim. Dyn.* **2017**, *48*, 3391–3407. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
40. Tzedakis, P.C.; Hodell, D.A.; Nehrbass-Ahles, C.; Mitsui, T.; Wolff, E.W. Marine Isotope Stage 11c: An unusual interglacial. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **2022**, *284*, 107493. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Members, C.-L.I.P. Last Interglacial Arctic warmth confirms polar amplification of climate change. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **2006**, *25*, 1383–1400. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. Cronin, T.M.; Keller, K.J.; Farmer, J.R.; Schaller, M.F.; O'Regan, M.; Poirier, R.; Coxall, H.; Dwyer, G.S.; Bauch, H.; Kindstedt, I.G.; et al. Interglacial Paleoclimate in the Arctic. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2019**, *34*, 1959–1979. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Zhuravleva, A.; Bauch, H.A.; Spielhagen, R.F. Atlantic water heat transfer through the Arctic Gateway (Fram Strait) during the Last Interglacial. *Glob. Planet. Change* **2017**, *157*, 232–243. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Stein, R.; Fahl, K.; Gierz, P.; Niessen, F.; Lohmann, G. Arctic Ocean sea ice cover during the penultimate glacial and the last interglacial. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
45. Seki, O.; Foster, G.L.; Schmidt, D.N.; Mackensen, A.; Kawamura, K.; Pancost, R.D. Alkenone and boron-based Pliocene pCO₂ records. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **2010**, *292*, 201–211. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
46. de Nooijer, W.; Zhang, Q.; Li, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Li, X.; Zhang, Z.; Guo, C.; Nisancioglu, K.H.; Haywood, A.M.; Tindall, J.C.; et al. Evaluation of Arctic warming in mid-Pliocene climate simulations. *Clim. Past* **2020**, *16*, 2325–2341. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

47. McClymont, E.L.; Ford, H.L.; Ho, S.L.; Tindall, J.C.; Haywood, A.M.; Alonso-Garcia, M.; Bailey, I.; Berke, M.A.; Littler, K.; Patterson, M.O.; et al. Lessons from a high-CO₂ world: An ocean view from ~3 million years ago. *Clim. Past* **2020**, *16*, 1599–1615. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Dowsett, H.J.; Robinson, M.M.; Haywood, A.M.; Hill, D.J.; Dolan, A.M.; Stoll, D.K.; Chan, W.-L.; Abe-Ouchi, A.; Chandler, M.A.; Rosenbloom, N.A.; et al. Assessing confidence in Pliocene sea surface temperatures to evaluate predictive models. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2012**, *2*, 365–371. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
49. Steinthorsdottir, M.; Coxall, H.K.; de Boer, A.M.; Huber, M.; Barbolini, N.; Bradshaw, C.D.; Burls, N.J.; Feakins, S.J.; Gasson, E.; Henderiks, J.; et al. The Miocene: The Future of the Past. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2021**, *36*, e2020PA004037. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
50. Ford, H.L.; Sosdian, S.; McClymont, E.; Ho, S.L.; Modestou, S.; Burls, N.; Dolan, A. Expanding PlioVAR to PlioMioVAR. *Past Glob. Changes Mag.* **2022**, *30*, 55.
51. Knorr, G.; Lohmann, G. Climate warming during Antarctic ice sheet expansion at the Middle Miocene transition. *Nat. Geosci.* **2014**, *7*, 376–381. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
52. Herold, N.; Huber, M.; Müller, R.D.; Seton, M. Modeling the Miocene climatic optimum: Ocean circulation. *Paleoceanography* **2012**, *27*, PA1209–22. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
53. Goldner, A.; Herold, N.; Huber, M. The challenge of simulating the warmth of the mid-Miocene climatic optimum in CESM1. *Clim. Past* **2014**, *10*, 523–536. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
54. Lohmann, G.; Knorr, G.; Hossain, A.; Stepanek, C. Effects of CO₂ and ocean mixing on Miocene and Pliocene temperature gradients. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2022**, *37*, e2020PA003953. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
55. Starz, M.; Jokat, W.; Knorr, G.; Lohmann, G. Threshold in North Atlantic-Arctic Ocean circulation controlled by the subsidence of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15681. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
56. Hall, A.M.; Barfod, D.N.; Gilg, H.A.; Stuart, F.M.; Sarala, P.; Al-Ani, T. Intensive chemical weathering in the Arctic during the Miocene Climatic Optimum. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **2024**, *634*, 111927. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
57. Stein, R.; Fahl, K.; Schreck, M.; Knorr, G.; Niessen, F.; Forwick, M.; Gebhardt, C.; Jensen, L.; Kaminski, M.; Kopf, A.; et al. Evidence for ice-free summers in the late Miocene central Arctic Ocean. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11148. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
58. Krylov, A.A.; Andreeva, I.A.; Vogt, C.; Backman, J.; Krupskaya, V.V.; Grikurov, G.E.; Moran, K.; Shoji, H. A shift in heavy and clay mineral provenance indicates a middle Miocene onset of a perennial sea ice cover in the Arctic Ocean. *Paleoceanography* **2008**, *23*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
59. Streffing, J.; Sidorenko, D.; Semmler, T.; Zampieri, L.; Scholz, P.; Andrés-Martínez, M.; Koldunov, N.; Rackow, T.; Kjellsson, J.; Goessling, H. AWI-CM3 coupled climate model: Description and evaluation experiments for a prototype post-CMIP6 model. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **2022**, *15*, 6399–6427. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
60. Sidorenko, D.; Goessling, H.F.; Koldunov, N.; Scholz, P.; Danilov, S.; Barbi, D.; Cabos, W.; Gurses, O.; Harig, S.; Hinrichs, C.; et al. Evaluation of FESOM2.0 coupled to ECHAM6.3: Preindustrial and HighResMIP simulations. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **2019**, *11*, 3794–3815. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
61. Vaideanu, P.; Stepanek, C.; Dima, M.; Schrepfer, J.; Matos, F.; Ionita, M.; Lohmann, G. Large-scale sea ice–Surface temperature variability linked to Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *PLoS ONE* **2023**, *18*, e0290437. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
62. Contzen, J.; Dickhaus, T.; Lohmann, G. Long-term temporal evolution of extreme temperature in a warming Earth. *PLoS ONE* **2023**, *18*, e0280503. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
63. Müller, J.; Stein, R. High-resolution record of late glacial and deglacial sea ice changes in Fram Strait corroborates ice–ocean interactions during abrupt climate shifts. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **2014**, *403*, 446–455. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
64. Larkin, C.S.; Ezat, M.M.; Roberts, N.L.; Bauch, H.A.; Spielhagen, R.F.; Noormets, R.; Polyak, L.; Moreton, S.G.; Rasmussen, T.L.; Sarnthein, M. Active Nordic Seas deep-water formation during the last glacial maximum. *Nat. Geosci.* **2022**, *15*, 925–931. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
65. Patton, H.; Hubbard, A.; Andreassen, K.; Auriac, A.; Whitehouse, P.L.; Stroeven, A.P.; Shackleton, C.; Winsborrow, M.; Heyman, J.; Hall, A.M. Deglaciation of the Eurasian ice sheet complex. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **2017**, *169*, 148–172. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
66. Hossain, A.; Knorr, G.; Jokat, W.; Lohmann, G. Opening of the Fram Strait led to the establishment of a modern-like three-layer stratification in the Arctic Ocean during the Miocene. *arktos* **2021**, *7*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
67. Hossain, A.; Knorr, G.; Lohmann, G.; Stärrz, M.; Jokat, W. Simulated thermohaline fingerprints in response to different Greenland-Scotland Ridge and Fram Strait subsidence histories. *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **2020**, *35*, e2019PA003842. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
68. Niu, L.; Lohmann, G.; Gierz, P.; Gowan, E.J.; Knorr, G. Coupled climate-ice sheet modelling of MIS-13 reveals a sensitive Cordilleran Ice Sheet. *Glob. Planet. Change* **2021**, *200*, 103474. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
69. Chen, Y.; Song, P.; Chen, X.; Lohmann, G. Glacial AMOC shoaling despite vigorous tidal dissipation: Vertical stratification matters. *Clim. Past* **2024**, *20*, 2001–2015. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
70. Li, X.; Wang, Q.; Danilov, S.; Koldunov, N.; Liu, C.; Müller, V.; Sidorenko, D.; Jung, T. Eddy activity in the Arctic Ocean projected to surge in a warming world. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2024**, *14*, 156–162. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

71. Gou, R.; Wolf, K.K.; Hoppe, C.J.; Wu, L.; Lohmann, G. The changing nature of future Arctic marine heatwaves and its potential impacts on the ecosystem. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2025**, *15*, 162–170. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Geibert, W.; Matthiessen, J.; Stimac, I.; Wollenburg, J.; Stein, R. Glacial episodes of a freshwater Arctic Ocean covered by a thick ice shelf. *Nature* **2021**, *590*, 97–102. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Hugonnet, R.; McNabb, R.; Berthier, E.; Menounos, B.; Nuth, C.; Girod, L.; Farinotti, D.; Huss, M.; Dussaillant, I.; Brun, F. Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century. *Nature* **2021**, *592*, 726–731. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Box, J.E.; Hubbard, A.; Bahr, D.B.; Colgan, W.T.; Fettweis, X.; Mankoff, K.D.; Wehrlé, A.; Noël, B.; van den Broeke, M.R.; Wouters, B.; et al. Greenland ice sheet climate disequilibrium and committed sea-level rise. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2022**, *12*, 808–813. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.