

Eat what's on your plate!

Feeding of demersal fish in different habitats

Philipp Krämer *, Jennifer Dannheim & Alexander Schröder Alfred-Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

*email: philipp.kraemer@awi.de



HELMHOLTZ GEMEINSCHAFT

Introduction

Trophic interactions are major structuring factors in benthic communities. A wide spectrum of benthic organisms provides diverse food resources for demersal fish. Due to their mobility fish potentially migrate between spatially separated feeding grounds.

Are demersal fishes stationary predators or do they connect benthic food webs by browsing different habitats?

Study site

- Demersal fish were sampled with otter and beam trawl.
- Sampling proceeded in April 2007 in a coarse sand area and a fine sand area at the Sylter Outer Reef. Both areas were separated by a distance



Material and methods

- Diets of whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*), grey gurnard (Chelidonichthys gurnardus), plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) and dab (Limanda limanda) were compared by the following methods:
- stomach content analysis
- "dietary snapshot": identifies recently ingested prey items (b) nitrogen stable isotopes analysis

of **9.4 km**.

- integrates diet over longer time scales: tissue- $\delta^{15}N$ ($^{15}N/^{14}N$) indicates an organism's position within the trophic hierarchy of an ecosystem (¹⁵N is enriched with assimilation)

Results

Differential feeding in flatfish and round fish



Differential intra-specific feeding in different habitats (fine and coarse sand)

Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*)

Diet consisted mainly of polychaetes (a). Food composition varied between habitats (b). Higher trophic position in the coarse sand habitat (p < 0.05) (c)

Higher trophic range in the fine sand habitat (c)



Dab (*Limanda limanda*)

Diet consisted mainly of Echinoidea (a)(b). Higher trophic position in the coarse sand habitat (p < 0.05) (c)Higher trophic range in the fine sand habitat (c)



Whiting (Merlangius merlangus)

Diet consisted mainly of sandeels on fine sand and of Crangonidae on coarse sand (a)(b). Higher trophic position in the fine sand habitat (p < 0.05) (c)

Higher trophic range in the coarse sand habitat (c)



Grey gurnard (*Chelidonichthys gurnardus***)**

Diet consisted mainly of *Crangon spp.* (a)(b). Trophic positions not different (p > 0.05) (c) Higher trophic range in the coarse sand habitat (c)





Conclusion

Results from dab were inconsistent. Different site specific trophic positions despite similar prey compositions in both habitats might indicate structural differences on lower trophic levels of the local food webs. An artefact due to empty stomachs and advanced digestion, however, cannot be excluded for this species.

Demersal fish species such as plaice and whiting are stationary predators with habitat dependent food spectra.

Others such as the grey gurnard roam different feeding grounds potentially connecting local food webs of sites seperated by tens of kilometres.