



Implications of observed inconsistencies in carbonate chemistry measurements for ocean acidification studies

C. J. M. Hoppe, G. Langer, S. D. Rokitta, D. A. Wolf-Gladrow, and B. Rost

Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

Correspondence to: C. J. M. Hoppe (clara.hoppe@awi.de)

Received: 23 January 2012 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 14 February 2012

Revised: 9 May 2012 – Accepted: 3 June 2012 – Published: 3 July 2012

Abstract. The growing field of ocean acidification research is concerned with the investigation of organism responses to increasing $p\text{CO}_2$ values. One important approach in this context is culture work using seawater with adjusted CO_2 levels. As aqueous $p\text{CO}_2$ is difficult to measure directly in small-scale experiments, it is generally calculated from two other measured parameters of the carbonate system (often A_T , C_T or pH). Unfortunately, the overall uncertainties of measured and subsequently calculated values are often unknown. Especially under high $p\text{CO}_2$, this can become a severe problem with respect to the interpretation of physiological and ecological data. In the few datasets from ocean acidification research where all three of these parameters were measured, $p\text{CO}_2$ values calculated from A_T and C_T are typically about 30 % lower (i.e. $\sim 300 \mu\text{atm}$ at a target $p\text{CO}_2$ of $1000 \mu\text{atm}$) than those calculated from A_T and pH or C_T and pH. This study presents and discusses these discrepancies as well as likely consequences for the ocean acidification community. Until this problem is solved, one has to consider that calculated parameters of the carbonate system (e.g. $p\text{CO}_2$, calcite saturation state) may not be comparable between studies, and that this may have important implications for the interpretation of CO_2 perturbation experiments.

IPCC, 2007) or even beyond 1000 ppm by the end of this century (Raupach et al., 2007). In addition to its contribution to the broadly discussed greenhouse effect, about 25 % of anthropogenic CO_2 has been taken up by the ocean (Canadell et al., 2007), causing a shift of the carbonate chemistry towards higher CO_2 concentrations and lower pH (Broecker et al., 1971). This process, commonly referred to as ocean acidification (OA), is already occurring and is expected to intensify in the future (Kleypas et al., 1999; Wolf-Gladrow et al., 1999; Caldeira and Wickett, 2003). Ocean acidification will affect marine biota in many different ways (for reviews see Fabry et al., 2008; Rost et al., 2008).

To shed light on potential responses of organisms and ecosystems, numerous national and international research projects have been initiated (see Doney et al., 2009). An essential part of OA research is based on CO_2 perturbation experiments, which represent the primary tool for studying responses of key species and marine communities to acidification of seawater. Marine biologists working in this field have to deal with several problems associated with this type of experiment: being especially interested in high $p\text{CO}_2$ scenarios, seawater carbonate chemistry needs to be adjusted and kept quasi-constant over the duration of an experiment (in many cases, the carbonate chemistry is not at all controlled after initial adjustment). Also, the correct determination of at least two parameters is necessary to obtain a valid description of the whole carbonate system and hence correctly interpret organism responses.

Aqueous $p\text{CO}_2$ is difficult to measure in small-scale experiments, and also pH has been under debate due to intricacies concerning pH scales and measurement protocols (Dickson, 2010; Liu et al. 2011). Total alkalinity (A_T) and dissolved inorganic carbon (C_T) are usually favoured as

1 Introduction

Since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, CO_2 emissions from the burning of fossil fuels and changes in land use have increased atmospheric CO_2 levels from preindustrial values of 280 ppm to currently 390 ppm (www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends; data by Tans and Keeling, NOAA/ESRL). Values are expected to rise to 750 ppm (IPCC scenario IS92a,

input parameters for carbonate chemistry calculations, because sample preservation and measurements are relatively straightforward. This combination of parameters had also been thought to lead to the most accurate calculations of CO_2 concentrations and carbonate saturation states (Riebesell et al., 2010). Still, there is no agreement of which two parameters are to be measured, and, as a consequence, carbonate system calculations in different studies are often based on different input parameters. As will be shown here, this may severely impair comparability of different datasets.

Even though detailed literature on measurement protocols has been published (Dickson et al., 2007; Gattuso et al., 2010), potential pitfalls and problems with uncertainty estimations remain and, as certified reference materials (CRMs) are only available for current surface ocean conditions, the quality of carbonate chemistry measurements at high $p\text{CO}_2$ levels is often unknown. Uncertainties of estimated $p\text{CO}_2$ values are generally considered to be smaller than 10% (c.f. Gattuso et al., 2010; Hydes et al., 2010). An examination of the few over-determined datasets assessed in OA laboratories (including data from our own laboratory; reported in the Supplement) reveals up to 30% discrepancies between estimated $p\text{CO}_2$ levels derived from different input pairs (A_T & C_T ; A_T & pH; C_T & pH). This potentially widespread phenomenon has major implications for the comparability and quantitative validity of studies in the OA community. In view of the growing body of OA literature and its impact on public opinion and policy makers (Raven et al., 2005), the identification, quantification and prevention of common errors has to be an issue of high priority.

This publication is based on an earlier manuscript entitled “On CO_2 perturbation experiments: Over-determination of carbonate chemistry reveals inconsistencies” (Hoppe et al. 2010).

2 Results

We present here a comparison of over-determined carbonate chemistry datasets found in the literature together with our own datasets. Only one dataset with more than two parameters of the carbonate system measured in OA-laboratories was found in the list of “EPOCA relevant publications” archived in the PANGEA[®] database (Nisumaa et al., 2010; <http://www.epoca-project.eu/index.php/data.html>): Schneider and Erez, (2006); another study was excluded from this analysis because of conflicting values between database and manuscript. In addition, the data from Iglesias-Rodríguez et al. (2008), Thomsen et al. (2010) and our own laboratory (Hoppe et al. 2010) are shown. For all datasets, values reported for relevant parameters (e.g. salinity, temperature, pH scale, etc.) and the dissociation constants of carbonic acid of Mehrbach et al. (1973; as refit by Dickson and Millero, 1987) were used to calculate $p\text{CO}_2$ values at 15 °C using the program CO_2sys (Pierrot et al., 2006). As infor-




Fig. 1. Calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ (A_T ; C_T) versus calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ (C_T ; pH) in μatm from this study (closed circles, natural seawater; open circles, artificial seawater), Schneider and Erez, 2006 (open squares), Thomsen et al., 2010 (closed squares) and Iglesias-Rodríguez et al., 2008 (closed triangles; here $p\text{CO}_2(\text{target})$ instead of $p\text{CO}_2(C_T; \text{pH})$ is given). $p\text{CO}_2$ values were calculated for the respective salinity, nutrients and carbonate chemistry parameters at 15 °C for all datasets.

mation on nutrient concentrations was lacking in the datasets used, values were based on appropriate literature data (see Supplement for details).

These calculations revealed discrepancies in the $p\text{CO}_2$ calculated from different input pairs, which increased systematically with increasing $p\text{CO}_2$ (Fig. 1). The $p\text{CO}_2$ calculated from C_T and A_T was $\sim 30\%$ lower than the $p\text{CO}_2$ calculated from either C_T and pH or from A_T and pH, the latter pairs yielding comparable results ($\pm 5\%$). The carbonate system of Iglesias-Rodríguez et al. (2008; as shown in the PANGEA[®] database) was not strictly over-determined. However, if one assumes equilibration of the aerated seawater with the gas mixtures used (280–750 ppm), the deviation of the $p\text{CO}_2$ values (calculated from A_T and C_T) from the target $p\text{CO}_2$ reveals a similar relationship to that observed in the other datasets (Fig. 1). Even though outgassing in C_T samples cannot be completely excluded as a potential source of the discrepancies in this particular study, the consistent pattern among studies argues strongly against this explanation.

With respect to our own dataset, further information is available. Discrepancies of $\sim 30\%$ were observed irrespective of whether C_T or A_T was manipulated, and in both natural and artificial seawaters (NSW and ASW, respectively; Supplement, Table 2).

3 Discussion

Underestimation of $p\text{CO}_2$ calculated from measured values of A_T and C_T has been described in a number of studies from the marine chemistry community, in which direct measurements over a range of $p\text{CO}_2$ levels (approx. 200–1800 μatm) were compared to calculations from A_T and C_T (Lee et al., 1996, 2000; Wanninkhof et al., 1999; Luecker et al., 2000; Millero et al., 2002). The magnitude of these deviations is, however, much smaller than found in our study (5–10 %; cf. Fig. 4 in Luecker et al., 2000). The latter datasets and those from the OA community differ in the magnitude of the discrepancies (~ 5 –10 % and ~ 30 %, respectively). Thus, the phenomenon observed in our study seems to be different from the one documented by marine chemists.

Currently, we do not have an explanation for the discrepancies described here, although a few simple explanations, such as the uncertainties of dissociation constants or uncertainties attributed to A_T , C_T or pH measurements, can be ruled out: Systematic errors in measured A_T (5 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$; based on repeated CRM measurements, our own data), C_T (7 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$; based on repeated CRM measurements, our own data), pH (0.02; Liu et al., 2011) and in equilibrium constants (0.01 in pK_1^* , 0.02 in pK_2^* ; Dickson, 2010) would be much too small to explain the large discrepancies in calculated $p\text{CO}_2$.

The contribution of dissolved organic matter (DOM) to alkalinity has recently gained a lot of attention (Kim and Lee, 2009; Koeve et al., 2010). However, changes in A_T due to DOM cannot cause the discrepancies described here, since the phenomenon was also observed in an experiment in which artificial seawater without any organic compounds or organisms was used (Supplement, Table 2). Furthermore, experiments with nutrient-enriched North Sea seawater (our data), probably DOM-rich water from Kiel Bight (Thomsen et al., 2010) and from the oligotrophic Red Sea (Schneider and Erez, 2006) show essentially identical discrepancies (Fig. 1). Nonetheless, DOM contributions can become a significant source of error in high biomass cultures (Kim and Lee, 2009).

It remains puzzling that these discrepancies are observed in experiments involving both A_T and C_T adjustments, different seawater compositions, as well as in several datasets produced with different equipment and procedures (e.g. coulometric, colourimetric and manometric C_T measurements). The fact that several independent studies carried out within the framework of ocean acidification research show similar discrepancies between calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ values (Fig. 1) suggests a systematic, as opposed to a random, deviation that will hinder a realistic judgement of the quality of datasets.

Regardless of the reasons for its occurrence, this phenomenon will have consequences for ocean acidification research. Firstly, published $p\text{CO}_2$ values may not be comparable if different input parameters were measured and used

to calculate $p\text{CO}_2$. Secondly, if calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ values are underestimated by up to 30 %, an organism's respective sensitivity to acidification might be severely overestimated. This is especially important at $p\text{CO}_2$ levels $\geq 750 \mu\text{atm}$, which are typically applied for the year 2100 scenario and therefore crucial for all CO_2 perturbation experiments. As an example, one might refer to the responses of four *Emiliana huxleyi* strains to different $p\text{CO}_2$ levels reported by Langer et al. (2009). For strain RCC1256, the authors report strongly decreasing calcification rates above $p\text{CO}_2$ values of 600 μatm ($p\text{CO}_2$ values were derived from A_T and C_T measurements). As the study of Langer et al. (2009) was conducted in the same laboratory as this one, the presence of the described discrepancies can be assumed. If the $p\text{CO}_2$ values from Langer et al. (2009) are indeed ~ 30 % lower than the ones calculated from A_T and pH (or C_T and pH), our study could suggest that calcification increases until a $p\text{CO}_2$ of 750 μatm and only declines at values above 800 μatm . Predictions for this strain for the often proposed 2100 scenario of 750 μatm would thus differ substantially. The discrepancies in calculated $p\text{CO}_2$ values described here might also explain the differing results reported by Langer et al. (2009) and Hoppe et al. (2011) with respect to the sensitivity of this strain. Thirdly, depending on the input pair chosen, the calculated carbonate ion concentration and hence the calcite and aragonite saturation states might differ significantly. In this study, discrepancies in saturation states were found to be in the range of 15–30 %.

Care must therefore be taken when comparing studies that use different pairs of input parameters or when reporting threshold levels of $p\text{CO}_2$ harmful to an organism. To improve comparability between future studies, it may be useful to agree on a certain pair of input parameters as long as the described discrepancies remain. We suggest, for the time being, that the OA community should use A_T and pH as input parameters when calculating the carbonate chemistry and, whenever possible, measure and report additional parameters. This suggestion does, however, not mean that the resulting $p\text{CO}_2$ values are "correct". Although choosing a particular pair of parameters provides a pragmatic approach to dealing with such discrepancies, it is unsatisfying and – if the choice results in inaccurate calculations of $p\text{CO}_2$ and $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ – may lead to inappropriate interpretations of organism responses. Currently, we have neither sufficient understanding of the uncertainties of carbonate chemistry measurements, nor a clear demonstration that it is possible to get thermodynamically consistent data of A_T , C_T , pH and $p\text{CO}_2$ for seawater samples with $p\text{CO}_2 > 600 \mu\text{atm}$ (A. Dickson personal communication, 2011). Further investigations on source and occurrence of this phenomenon are necessary. Certified reference material with high $p\text{CO}_2$, as well as calculation programs including the propagation of errors, could improve estimations of uncertainties in carbonate chemistry measurements and therewith calculations of $p\text{CO}_2$ values. It should become common practise to provide and defend

estimates of uncertainty. A large-scale inter-comparison of the quality of carbonate chemistry measurements between different laboratories (from the OA but also from the marine chemistry community) would help revealing whether the phenomenon described here is indeed widespread.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: <http://www.biogeosciences.net/9/2401/2012/bg-9-2401-2012-supplement.zip>.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC grant agreement No. 205150 and 2010-NEWLOG ADG-267931 HE). It also contributes to EPOCA under the grant agreement No. 211284, to MedSeA under grant agreement No. 265103 and to the BIOACID program (FKZ 03F0608). We thank A. Dickson who helped to improve this manuscript substantially. Also, we would like to thank T. Tyrrell for his helpful review as well as comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Edited by: J.-P. Gattuso

References

- Broecker, W. S., Li, Y. H., and Peng, T. H.: Carbon Dioxide – Man's Unseen Artifact, in: Impingement of Man on the Ocean, edited by: Hood, D. W., Wiley, New York, USA, 287–324, 1971.
- Caldeira, K. and Wickett, M. E.: Oceanography: Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH, *Nature*, 425, p. 365, 2003.
- Canadell, J. G., C. Le Quere, C., Raupach, M. R., Field, C. B., Buitenhuis, E. T., Ciais, P., Conway, T. J., Gillett, N. P., Houghton, R. A. and Marland, G.: Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO₂ growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 18866–18870, 2007.
- Dickson, A. G.: The carbon dioxide system in sea water: equilibrium chemistry and measurements, in: Guide for Best Practices in Ocean Acidification Research and Data Reporting, edited by: Riebesell U., Fabry V. J., Hansson L. and Gattuso J.-P., Office for Official Publications of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2010.
- Dickson, A. G. and Millero F. J.: A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater media, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 34, 1733–1743, 1987.
- Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R. (Eds.): Guide to best practices for ocean CO₂ measurements, PICES Special Publication, 3, Sidney, Canada, 2007.
- Doney, S. C., Balch, W. M. Fabry, V. J., and Feely, R. A.: Ocean Acidification: A Critical Emerging Problem for the Ocean Sciences, *Oceanography*, 22, 16–25, 2009.
- Fabry, V. J., Seibel, B. A., Feely, R. A., and Orr, J. C.: Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and ecosystem processes, *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 65, 414–432, 2008.
- Gattuso, J.-P., Lee, K., Rost, B., and Schulz, K.: Approaches and tools to manipulate the carbonate chemistry, in: Guide for Best Practices in Ocean Acidification Research and Data Reporting, edited by: Riebesell, U., Fabry, V. J., Hansson, L., and Gattuso, J.-P., Office for Official Publications of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2010.
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Langer, G., Rokitta, S. D., Wolf-Gladrow, D. A., and Rost, B.: On CO₂ perturbation experiments: over-determination of carbonate chemistry reveals inconsistencies, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 7, 1707–1726, doi:10.5194/bgd-7-1707-2010, 2010.
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Langer, G., and Rost, B.: *Emiliana huxleyi* shows identical responses to elevated pCO₂ in TA and DIC manipulations, *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 406, 54–62, 2011.
- Hydes, D. J., Loucaides, S., and Tyrrell, T.: Report on a desk study to identify likely sources of error in the measurements of carbonate system parameters and related calculations, Supplement to DEFRA contract ME4133 "DEFRApH monitoring project. National Oceanography Centre, Southampton Research and Consultancy Report, No.x, 54 pp., 2010.
- Iglesias-Rodriguez, M. D., Buitenhuis, E. T., Raven, J. A., Schofield, O. M., Poulton, A. J., Gibbs, S., Halloran, P. R., and Baar, H. J. W. d.: Phytoplankton Calcification in a High-CO₂ world, *Science*, 322, 336–340, 2008.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Core Writing Team Pachauri, R. K. and Reisinger, A. (Eds.)) IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007.
- Kim, H.-C. and Lee, K.: Significant contribution of dissolved organic matter to seawater alkalinity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L20603, 5 pp., 2009.
- Kleypas, J. A., Buddemeier, R. W., Archer, D. E., Gattuso, J.-P., Langdon, C., and Opdyke, B. N.: Geochemical consequences of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide on coral reefs, *Science*, 284, 118–120, 1999.
- Koeve, W., Kim, H.-C., Lee, K., and Oschlies, A.: Potential impact of DOC accumulation on fCO₂ and carbonate ion computations in ocean acidification experiments, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 8, 3797–3827, doi:10.5194/bgd-8-3797-2011, 2011.
- Langer, G., Nehrke, G., Probert, I., Ly, J., and Ziveri, P.: Strain-specific responses of *Emiliana huxleyi* to changing seawater carbonate chemistry, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 2637–2646, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/2637/2009/>.
- Lee, K., Millero, F. J., and Campbell, D. M.: The reliability of the thermodynamic constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 55, 233–245, 1996.
- Lee, K., Millero, F. J., Byrne, R. H., Feely, R. A., and Wanninkhof, R.: The recommended dissociation constants for carbonic acid in sea water, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 229–232, 2000.
- Liu, X., Patsavas, M. C., and Byrne, R. H.: Purification and Characterization of meta-Cresol Purple for Spectrophotometric Seawater pH Measurements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 4862–4868, 2011.
- Lueker, T. J., Dickson, A. G., and Keeling, C. D.: Ocean pCO₂ calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K₁ and K₂: validation based on laboratory measurements of CO₂ in gas and seawater at equilibrium, *Mar. Chem.*, 70, 105–119, 2000.

- Mackey, K. R., Rivlin, T., Grossman, A. R., Post, A. F., and Paytan, A.: Picophytoplankton responses to changing nutrient and light regimes during a bloom, *Mar. Biol.*, 158, 1531–1546, 2009.
- Mehrbach, C., Culbertson, C. H., Hawley, J. E., and Pytkowicz, R. M.: Measurement of the apparent dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at atmospheric pressure, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 18, 897–907, 1973.
- Millero, F. J., Pierrot, D., Lee, K., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R., Sabine, C. L., Key, R. M., and Takahashi, T.: Dissociation constants for carbonic acid determined from field measurements, *Deep-Sea Res.*, 49, 1705–1723, 2002.
- Pierrot, D. E., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MS Exel Program Developed for CO₂ System Calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105a Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, 2006.
- Raupach, M. R., Marland, G., Ciais, P., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Klepper, G., and Field, C. B.: Global and regional drivers of accelerating CO₂ emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 10288–10293, 2007.
- Raven, J. A., Caldeira, K., Elderfield, H., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Liss, P., Riebesell, U., Shepherd, J., Turley, C., and Watson, A.: Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide, The Royal Society, Cardiff, UK, 2005.
- Riebesell U., Fabry V. J., Hansson L., and Gattuso J.-P. (Eds.): Guide for Best Practices in Ocean Acidification Research and Data Reporting, Office for Official Publications of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2010.
- Rost, B., Zondervan, I., and Wolf-Gladrow, D. A.: Sensitivity of phytoplankton to future changes in ocean carbonate chemistry: current knowledge, contradictions and research directions, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 373, 227–237, 2008.
- Schneider, K. and Erez, J.: The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcification and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *Acropora eurystoma*, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 51, 1284–1293, 2006.
- Thomsen, J., Gutowska, M. A., Saphörster, J., Heinemann, A., Trübenbach, K., Fietzke, J., Hiebenthal, C., Eisenhauer, A., Körtzinger, A., Wahl, M., and Melzner, F.: Calcifying invertebrates succeed in a naturally CO₂ enriched coastal habitat but are threatened by high levels of future acidification, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 7, 5119–5156, doi:10.5194/bgd-7-5119-2010, 2010.
- Wanninkhof, R., Lewis, E., Feely, R. A., and Millero, F. J.: The optimal carbonate dissociation constants for determining surface water pCO₂ from alkalinity and total inorganic carbon, *Mar. Chem.*, 65, 291–301, 1999.
- Wolf-Gladrow, D. A., Riebesell, U., Burkhardt, S., and Bijma, J.: Direct effects of CO₂ on growth and isotopic composition of marine plankton, *Tellus*, 51, 461–476, 1999.