

A Comparison of Sea-Ice Freeboard **Distributions from Aircraft Data and CryoSat-2**



Robert Ricker¹, Stefan Hendricks¹, Veit Helm¹, Rüdiger Gerdes¹, Henriette Skourup²

¹Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

²DTU-Space, Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

Motivation

The CryoSat-2 satellite is equipped with a Ku-Band radar altimeter which measures the distance H between satellite and surface [Wingham et al., 2006]. In order to convert seaice freeboard to sea-ice thickness it is crucial to know the reflecting horizon very accurately. It is assumed that the radar is penetrating a cold and dry snow layer [Willatt et al., 2011].



During the CryoSat Validation Experiment (CryoVEx) in the Lincoln Sea in 2011 Cryosat-2 underpasses were accomplished with two aircraft. Both aircraft flew in close formation at the same time of a CryoSat-2 overpass.



The **Objective** of our study is to investigate how snow cover and surface roughness are effecting the CryoSat-2 freeboard retrieval. Therefore the CryoSat-2 freeboard is compared with freeboard measurements of an airborne radar altimeter and an airborne laser scanner. Laser beams are always reflected at the surface and therefore can be used as a reference.



Data/Methods

Airborne laser scanner (ALS):

1. A manually picked sea-surface height is used to calculate snow freeboard h_{fs}.

2. A weighted average is formed of across-track data points.

3. Assigning to the respective CryoSat footprint and averaging the assigned points

ALS FREEBOARD (MEAN)

Airborne Radar Altimeter (ASIRAS):

Contact: robert.ricker@awi.de

- 1. Laser scanner sea-surface height is used to calculate the freeboard.
- 2. Same as step 3 of **airborne laser scanner** methods
- 3. Removing constant offset by identifying open water spots

ASR FREEBOARD (MEAN)

CryoSat-2 (CS):

- 1. Laser scanner sea-surface height is used to calculate the freeboard.
- 2. Removing constant offset by identifying open water spots



Scattering plots for sea-ice freeboard

Conclusion

- CryoSat-2 freeboard coincides with laser scanner and ASIRAS freeboard over flat surfaces.
- A penetration of snow cover by the radar is not clearly noticeable.
- Surface roughness seems to cause errors of the range retracking.
- **Outlook:** We will investigate the influence of surface roughness and physical properties of the snow layer with a forward model for CryoSat-2 waveforms.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

The measurements in the framework of the campaigns CryoVEx 2011 and PAM-ARCMIP 2011 were carried out by the DTU Space and the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research. The CryoSat data are provided by the ESA. All this is gratefully acknowledged.

<u>References</u>

Willatt, R., Laxon, S., Giles, K., Cullen, R., Haas, C., and Helm, V. (2011). Ku-band radar penetration into snow cover on arctic sea ice using airborne data. Annals of Glaciology, 52(57):197–205.

Wingham, D., Francis, C., Baker, S., Bouzinac, C., Brockley, D., Cullen, R.,

de Chateau-Thierry, P., Laxon, S., Mallow, U., Mavrocordatos, C., Phalippou, L., Ratier, G., Rey, L., Rostan, F., Viau, P., and Wallis, D. (2006). Cryosat: A mission to determine the fluctuations in earth's land and marine ice fields. Advances in Space Research, 37(4):841 – 871.

20 Years of Progress in Radar Altimetry, 24-29 September 2012 | Venice, Italy