

1 **Title**

2 Tiletamine/zolazepam immobilisation of adult post-moult southern elephant seal males

3

4 **Authors**

5 Horst Bornemann¹ (corresponding author), P.J. Nico de Bruyn², Ryan R. Reisinger², Sabine Kästner³
6 Maria E.I. Márquez⁴, Trevor McIntyre², Marthán N. Bester², and Joachim Plötz¹

7

8 **Addresses**

9 1. Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Postfach 120161,
10 27515, Bremerhaven, Germany

11 2. Mammal Research Institute, Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of Pretoria,
12 Private Bag X20, Hatfield, 0028, South Africa

13 3. Klinik für Kleintiere, Stiftung Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover, Bünteweg 9, 30559 Hannover,
14 Germany

15 4. Instituto Antártico Argentino, Cerrito 1248 (C1010 AAZ), Buenos Aires, Argentina

16

17 **Author for correspondence**

18 Horst Bornemann, horst.bornemann@awi.de

19

20 **Abstract**

21 Immobilisation and anaesthesia of adult male southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) is
22 potentially risky for animals and scientists. A tiletamine/zolazepam injection is considered the most
23 appropriate drug combination for field application in this species. Since appropriate dosages are
24 difficult to assess due to uncertainties in weight estimation we used photogrammetry derived weight
25 estimates to ensure precise *post hoc* calculations of dosages. We report on 15 intramuscular
26 tiletamine/zolazepam immobilisations of post-moult males of the upper weight class at King George
27 Island / Isla 25 de Mayo in April 2010. Initial injections were made using blowpipe-syringes. Mean
28 tiletamine/zolazepam combined dosages of 0.71 mg kg⁻¹ (SD ± 0.16) ranged between 0.46 and 1.01
29 mg kg⁻¹. In four cases ketamine was added in dosages between 0.96 and 2.61 mg kg⁻¹. Mean
30 induction periods were 23 min (± 15), and the mean duration of the procedures from first injection to
31 release of the animals required 96 min (± 51). Four seals exhibited periods of apnoea, and one case
32 of an extended, repetitive, and potentially critical apnoea (> 25 and 8 min) required intervention in
33 order to successfully re-initiate spontaneous respiration. All procedures resulted in proper
34 immobilisations allowing for the deployment of the satellite tags on the seals' heads. The fact that
35 even substantial deviations between the initial weight estimates and the photogrammetry derived

36 weight estimates had no apparent effect on the course of the immobilisation underlines the drugs'
37 wide safety margin in this species.

38

39 Keywords: *Mirounga leonina*, anaesthesia, apnoea, moult

40

41 **Introduction**

42 The injection of a tiletamine/zolazepam combination is reported to provide reliable, practical and
43 safe immobilisation of southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*), regardless of age or sex (Carlini et
44 al. 2009), and independent of the physiological status of the animal during haul out periods ashore,
45 though the aforementioned parameters may have an effect. For example, Field et al. (2002) showed
46 that older seals remained anaesthetised longer than younger ones while McMahon et al. (2000)
47 found a negative relationship between body condition and the duration of anaesthesia - a result
48 confirmed by Field et al. (2002). Adult males of the upper weight class, however, have only been
49 investigated by Carlini et al. (2009), who found that significantly higher tiletamine/zolazepam
50 dosages were required early in the breeding season compared to late in the breeding season, and
51 that both of these dosages were higher than those required early in the moult season. Carlini et al.
52 (2009) did not find significant variation in duration of the anaesthesia at these times, but did not
53 anaesthetize adult males at the end of their annual moult. The loss of body mass during the moulting
54 fast would suggest a similar dose relationship between the beginning and end of the moulting fast as
55 shown by Carlini et al. (2009) for the breeding period. We therefore hypothesized that seals at the
56 end of the moult would remain anaesthetized for longer periods, since they are expected to be in
57 poorer condition compared to the beginning of the moult.

58 Here we report on 15 successful immobilisations of post-moult adult male southern elephant seals of
59 the upper weight class.

60

61 **Materials and methods**

62 Immobilisations were carried out using a combination of 250 mg tiletamine and 250 mg zolazepam
63 (Zoletil® 100 vet), occasionally complemented by ketamine hydrochloride (Ketavet® 100 mg ml⁻¹). All
64 procedures were carried out along the beach of the Antarctic Specially Protected Area (ASPA) No.
65 132 between Mirounga Point and Stranger Point, King George Island / Isla 25 de Mayo (62°14'S,
66 58°40'W) in April 2010. Individual seals were inspected for condition, i.e. by identifying their status of
67 moult, ensuring the absence of visible injuries, estimating the animals' mass for calculating a proper
68 dose regime, and whether it carried a flipper tag or any other permanent marks. Only seals that had
69 reached the end of the moult and were lying at the outer rim of the occasionally dense and large
70 aggregations of males, were immobilised. Total body weight was estimated before the drugs were
71 prepared. Initially we anticipated a dosage of 0.5 mg Kg⁻¹ which was slightly adapted after the first
72 trial. Targeted individuals were drugged via self-evacuating blowpipe-syringes (Teledart®, Germany),
73 delivered with a 1.8 m blowpipe from distances <4 m to remotely inject the drugs into the
74 musculature of the seals' back at the lumbar or pelvic region. The blowpipe syringes allowed for a
75 maximum of 15 ml injection volume. The proper insertion of the 80 x 1,5 mm needle was assessed

76 and the injection of drugs confirmed by observing the full down-glide of the piston stamp of the
77 pressurized syringe from a distance. Whenever possible, the syringe was removed by hand
78 immediately after injection in order to prevent the seal from possible further nociceptive stimuli
79 from the inserted needle being bent due to movements of the seal or when the syringe is moved by
80 the wind. These stimuli and any other sensations like noise or disturbances due to interactions from
81 neighbouring seals can have negative side effects by prolonging the induction phase, and were
82 therefore minimized whenever possible.

83 The seals were observed from a distance until the anticipated end of the induction period (about 20
84 min post-injection). Seals were then approached for the first time, and depth of anaesthesia was
85 assessed by evaluating reactions to stimuli (e.g., noise, touch). As soon as the seals tolerated physical
86 stimuli, the animals' eyes were covered with a towel to protect against solar radiation. The induction
87 period was defined as the time from first injection until physical stimuli were tolerated and the towel
88 could be placed.

89 The areas of pelage on the seals' heads used to attach the devices were dried and cleaned of oil with
90 acetone and an ARGOS satellite-relay data logger was attached using quick setting Araldite® epoxy
91 resin. After attachment, standard length (in ventral recumbency) was measured, and the weight of
92 the seal was later determined in a *post hoc* calculation via photogrammetry (de Bruyn et al. 2009; see
93 de Bruyn 2010 for data). Finally, each seal was observed from a distance until it recovered. Recovery
94 was defined as the time at which a seal reacted to environmental stimuli sufficiently to defend itself
95 from potential attacks of other seals or harassment by scavenging birds (e.g. South Polar skuas,
96 *Stercorarius maccormicki*), which potentially could lead to corneal damage. During anaesthesia, we
97 monitored heart and respiration rate, rectal temperature, capillary refill time, mucous membrane
98 colour, palpebral reflex, and neurological state (by agitating the whiskers). These assessments were
99 made within the workflow for the attachment of the devices and whenever considered necessary but
100 at least every 5 minutes.

101

102 **Results**

103 All data and related meta-information are available via the Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental
104 Science PANGAEA (www.pangaea.de; see Bornemann et al. 2010; de Bruyn 2010). Tables 1 and 2
105 summarize information on mean body lengths, estimated and calculated weights, dosages (target
106 dosage and actual dosage based on calculated weight) (Table 1), and number and type (i.e., drug or
107 drugs used) of injections needed to induce anaesthesia, induction time, recovery time, duration of
108 handling and duration of apnoea events (Table 2).

109 The seals' standard lengths ranged between 378 and 485 cm (Mean $408 \pm$ SD 286), and mean
110 calculated weight ($1,810 \pm 406$) ranged between 1,241 and 2,694 kg. Tiletamine/zolazepam dosage
111 based on estimated weight was $0.63 (\pm 0.06)$ mg kg⁻¹ (Min: 0.50, Max: 0.69). In 10 cases, we
112 overestimated the weight of the seals relative to the *post hoc* photogrammetry calculations and in
113 five cases weights were underestimated. The median deviation of the estimate from the
114 photogrammetry result was 237 kg (Mean $157 \text{ kg} \pm 412$, Min: -794, Max: 759). Actual mean dosage
115 administered (based on calculated weights) was $0.71 (\pm 0.16)$ mg kg⁻¹ (Min: 0.46, Max: 1.01). Despite
116 the fact that most dosages were either higher or lower than intended, induction was successful with
117 a single dose of tiletamine/zolazepam for 11 of 15 seals. Three seals needed a follow-up injection of

118 ketamine between 0.96 and 1.14 mg kg⁻¹, and one seal required four ketamine follow-up injections of
119 2.61 mg kg⁻¹ in total to induce anaesthesia.

120 The mean duration of handling from first injection to release of the animal was on average 96 min (±
121 51 min). The average induction period was 23 min (± 15 min), did not depend on the dosage of
122 tiletamine/zolazepam (see below), and was prolonged in the four animals that required additional
123 ketamine. Excluding these four animals, the mean induction time was 17 min (± 3 min). The recovery
124 is difficult to evaluate when seals are observed from distance in order to minimize disturbances. We
125 defined recovery time as the time between first evidence of awareness (eye reactions, slight head
126 movements) and the time the seal had returned to adequate reaction on environmental stimuli. The
127 observed period for recovery was on average 23 min (± 16 min). Hence the period of complete
128 unconsciousness and immobility between end of induction and onset of recovery ranged between 14
129 and 208 min with a mean 52 min (± 47 min). With the exception of the relationship between
130 extended induction period and ketamine supplementation, multiple linear regression analyses did
131 not show any relationship between dosages of tiletamine/zolazepam alone or in combination with
132 ketamine supplementation, and induction time, recovery time, handling time or presence/absence of
133 apnoeic events.

134

135 **Discussion**

136 Our standard approach of estimating seal weights visually, resulted in relatively similar dosages for all
137 seals when the amounts of tiletamine/zolazepam are considered relative to the initial weight
138 estimates (0.63 ± 0.06 mg kg⁻¹; Min: 0.50, Max: 0.69). Thus the average injection volume (12 ml ± 1)
139 varied only slightly between the lowest and highest dosage given on the basis of the estimates.
140 However, the *post hoc* calculated dosages resulting from the photogrammetry calculation showed a
141 higher mean and a wider range (0.71 ± 0.16 mg kg⁻¹, Min: 0.46, Max: 1.01). The photogrammetry
142 based calculated weights have an extremely narrow confidence interval of less than ± 3 % of the
143 computed weight (see de Bruyn 2010), indicating high reliability. Carlini et al. (2009) reported that
144 their method of calculating weights based on length and girth tended to overestimate weight but did
145 not quantify the difference. The equation of Bell et al. (1997) that also took both standard length and
146 girth into account yielded overestimates of 12.5%. Our hypothesis that the dosage will be lower in
147 large males at the end of moult was disproven with respect to the mean values (0.49 ± 0.07 mg kg⁻¹)
148 as presented in Carlini et al. (2009). Though we are unable to compare our calculated weights with
149 that of Carlini et al. (2009) because we were unable to measure girth due to the density of the seal
150 aggregations, the differing dosages are to be attributed to the differing weight calculation regimes
151 leading to less correct and possibly overestimated weights by Carlini et al. (2009).

152 Anaesthetics and sedatives are more precisely dosed relative to body surface area (BSA) than to body
153 weight, because of better pharmacokinetic scaling as weight is less related to metabolic mass and
154 metabolic processes than BSA (Riviere 1999). Therefore, a dose according to metabolic mass would
155 be more appropriate, particularly in southern elephant seal males as they can lose over 30% of their
156 initial body mass during the fasting periods ashore (Fedak et al. 1994). However, estimating BSA in
157 the field is not practical, although it could be calculated *post hoc* using the photogrammetry method
158 of de Bruyn et al. (2009).

159 None of the dependent variables i.e. tiletamine/zolazepam alone vs. in combination with ketamine,
160 induction time (with the exception of ketamine supplementation), recovery time, handling time,
161 presence or absence of apnoeic events, was associated with dosage in our study. Other factors such
162 as local blood flow at the injection site or inter-individual variability may explain the wide range we
163 observed in some parameters (*cf.* Field et al. 2002). The mean period of 52 min (\pm 47 min; Table 2)
164 between end of induction and onset of recovery for animals at the end of the annual moult (our
165 study) was longer than the immobilization time measured by Carlini et al. (2009), who found 35 min
166 \pm 14 (early breeding n = 22), 34 min \pm 12 (late breeding n = 18), 38 min \pm 16 (unknown stage of
167 breeding n = 18), and 29 min \pm 11 (beginning of moult n = 12). They did not report on significant
168 variation while the number of observations in both studies is comparable. Therefore, our hypothesis
169 that duration of anaesthesia will be longer in large males at the end of moult was proven with
170 respect to the mean values presented in Carlini et al. (2009). Southern elephant seals in our study
171 showed a mean period of unconsciousness and immobility of 52 min (Table 2) after injection with
172 tiletamine and zolazepam. In two cases this period was extended by factor 2 (100 min) and 4 (208
173 min). We are unable to explain these differences, as they were not related to the dependent
174 variables we measured. The extended anaesthetic period might have been shortened by
175 antagonizing the zolazepam component with the benzodiazepine antagonist flumazenil (Karesh et al.
176 1997). However, this would have required an injection volume of approximately 250 ml of
177 commercially available flumazenil (0.1 mg ml^{-1}) if average masses and dosages as outlined in Table 1
178 are considered. Sarmazenil, another benzodiazepine antagonist, is registered for veterinary use and
179 has a tenfold higher concentration. Woods et al. (1995) used sarmazenil ($0.5\text{-}1.0 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) to partially
180 reverse tiletamine/zolazepam anaesthesia in southern elephant seals; they reported a faster
181 recovery but increased muscle tone and tremors attributed to the tiletamine.

182 Within the cyclohexamines, tiletamine is more potent than ketamine but both have very similar
183 effects. The benzodiazepine zolazepam in turn is a potent central muscle relaxant. The disposition
184 and elimination of both components can be quite different on species level (Semple et al. 2000; Lin
185 et al. 1993). Thus, some species display increased muscle tone and spasms during late stage of
186 anaesthesia because of the predominance of tiletamine effects, others ataxia because tiletamine is
187 eliminated faster and thus zolazepam mediated muscle relaxation continues to have an effect. The
188 latter might play a role in southern elephant seals, though cyclohexamine pharmacokinetics are
189 investigated only for ketamine, showing a mean plasma elimination half-life of 46 min (Min: 17, Max:
190 108) for post-moult adult females. Even though physiological status affects drug response (e.g. Field
191 et al. 2002; McMahon et al. 2000; Woods et al. 1989), the pharmacokinetics of ketamine were
192 independent from physiological state in the Woods et al. (1999) study. However, physiological state
193 might be relevant for adult males of higher age if we consider a lower metabolism and hepatic
194 clearance in this age class and less fat volume will be available for redistribution at the end of the
195 moult when animals are lean (Field et al. 2002). Hepatic clearance might also be compromised within
196 the moulting fast due to high concentrations of ketone bodies, hyperlipemia as a result of lipid
197 catabolism, and high metabolic demand. With the current set up it cannot be differentiated whether
198 redistribution or metabolism and elimination were the major determinant of the duration of
199 anaesthesia.

200 Four seals exhibited periods of apnoea (Table 2). Three of these fell within the range reported for
201 adult male northern elephant seals during natural breathing patterns (Blackwell and Le Boeuf 1993,
202 Castellini 1994). One case of an extended, repetitive, and critical apnoea ($> 25 \text{ min}$ and 8 min)

203 required intervention in order to re-initiate spontaneous respiration. In this case, the seal was lying
204 with its head facing downward due to the uneven substrate on which it was resting. Since the seal
205 opened one of its nostrils but could not take a breath, we considered an obstruction of the upper
206 respiratory tract the primary cause of the apnoea, and thus a critical situation. When the mucosal
207 colour became cyanotic, and palpebral reflex and the reaction to whisker stimulus ceased, we moved
208 the seal onto its side and put ice blocks under the belly and head in order to lift the head to the same
209 level as the body. After 25 min in this position the seal breathed only once before another apnoeic
210 period of 8 min. We lifted the seal's head up in line with its neck and it managed to breathe again,
211 however breathing remained shallow throughout the handling period and mucous membranes
212 remained cyanotic. Following equations presented in Slip and Woods (1996), the calculated aerobic
213 dive limit (cADL) for this particular animal was 40 min, and the cumulative times of apnoeas recorded
214 match this figure closely. Thus, we considered this case as critical, and not typical of routine sleep
215 apnoeas. However, the event did not appear to have short term survival implications for this seals, as
216 it was tracked at sea for at least 9 months after tagging.

217 Protective reflexes and laryngeal tone are maintained with cyclohexamines such as tiletamine and
218 ketamine (Riviere and Papich 2009) and they induce only minor respiratory depression, but they
219 produce an apneustic breathing pattern with irregular breathing and long breath holds. This is
220 believed to be mediated by chemical dissociation of thalamoneocortical areas from ventral
221 hippocampal structures (Weingarten 1972). Apneustic breathing can be seen after head injury and is
222 considered a symptom for decerebration and absence of cortical modulation of respiration (Glasser
223 et al. 1966). Mitchel and Burton (1991) observed an extended period of apnoea >26 min in a 1,500 kg
224 elephant seal male after tiletamine/zolazepam injection (though at a higher dosage), also with
225 unproductive opening of nostrils without inhalation. We attribute the prolonged apnoea we
226 observed to mechanical obstruction of the glottis by peripharyngeal tissue or the flaccid soft palate
227 as suggested by Haulena and Heath (2001) following Phelan and Green (1992) and Lynch et al.
228 (1999). Re-opening of the obstructed airways by moving or stretching the neck to relieve the
229 obstruction (this study) or placing an endotracheal tube to open the airway allows for continuation of
230 spontaneous respiration.

231 Our study has shown that tiletamine/zolazepam is an appropriate combination for immobilisation of
232 post-moult adult male southern elephant seals of the upper weight class. The fact that even
233 substantial deviations between the initial weight estimates and the photogrammetry based weight
234 calculations had no apparent effect on the course of the immobilisation underlines the drugs' wide
235 safety margin in this species.

236

237 **Acknowledgements**

238 We wish to dedicate this publication to our dear colleague and friend Alejandro R. Carlini (†), with
239 whom we shared many years of fruitful collaboration and friendship. This work is part of a trilateral
240 collaboration between seal biologists from Argentina (Instituto Antártico Argentino, Buenos Aires),
241 South Africa (Mammal Research Institute, University of Pretoria) and Germany (Alfred-Wegener-
242 Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven), carried out under the
243 collaboration between the Instituto Antártico Argentino and the Alfred-Wegener-Institut. The
244 immobilisation and the deployment of satellite transmitters on southern elephant seal males within

245 the Antarctic Specially Protected Area No. 132 at Isla 25 de Mayo / King George Island were approved
246 by the Dirección Nacional del Antártico, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and carried out pursuant to the
247 SCAR Code of Conduct for Animal Experiments. We are grateful to Teniente Coronel Orlando Ruben
248 Interlandi, Jefe de Base Antartica Jubany (Dr Alejandro Carlini Station) and his team for their
249 hospitality and excellent support during our stay at the Dallmann Laboratory. We wish to thank Dirk
250 Mengedoht and colleagues of the Logistic Department at the Alfred-Wegener-Institut for excellent
251 logistic support. The authors are grateful to Dr Pamela K. Yochem and an unknown referee for peer
252 reviewing the manuscript.

253

254 **Data citations**

255 Bornemann H, de Bruyn PJN, Reisinger RR, Carlini AR, Plötz J (2010) Immobilisation dose rates for
256 southern elephant seals during expedition JUB2010. doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.737045

257 de Bruyn, PJN (2010) Photogrammetry based mass calculations for southern elephant seals from King
258 George Island. doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.737046

259

260 **References**

261 Bell CM, Hindell MA, Burton HR (1997) Estimation of body mass in the southern elephant seal,
262 *Mirounga leonina*, by photogrammetry and morphometrics. Mar Mamm Sci 13:669–682

263 Blackwell SB, Le Boeuf BJ (1993) Developmental aspects of sleep apnoea in northern elephant seals,
264 *Mirounga angustirostris*. J Zool Lond 231:437–447

265 Carlini AR, Negrete J, Daneri GA, Rogers T, Márquez MEI, Ciaglia M, Mennuci JA (2009)
266 Immobilization of adult male southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) during the breeding and
267 moulting periods using a tileatmine/zolazepam mixture and ketamine. Polar Biol 32:915–921

268 Castellini MA (1994) Apnea tolerance in the elephant seal during sleeping and diving: physiological
269 mechanisms and correlations. In: Le Boeuf BJ, Laws RM (eds) Elephant seals: population ecology,
270 behavior and physiology. University of California Press, Berkeley, pp 343–353

271 de Bruyn PJN, Bester MN, Carlini AR, Oosthuizen WR (2009) How to weigh an elephant seal with one
272 finger: a simple three-dimensional photogrammetric application. Aquat Biol 5:31–39

273 Fedak AM, Arnbohm TA, McConnell BJ, Chambers C, Boyd IL, Harwood J, McCann TS (1994)
274 Expenditure, investment, and acquisition of energy in southern elephant seals. In: Le Boeuf BJ, Laws
275 RM (eds) Elephant seals: population ecology, behavior and physiology. University of California Press,
276 Berkeley, pp 354–373

277 Field IC, Bradshaw CJA, McMahon CR, Harrington J, Burton HR (2002) Effects of age, size and
278 condition of elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) on their intravenous anaesthesia with tiletamine and
279 zolazepam. Vet Rec 151:235–240

280 Glasser RL, Tippett JW, Davidian VA (1966) Cerebellar activity, apneustic breathing, and the neural
281 control of respiration. Nature 209:810–812

282 Haulena M, Heath RB (2001) Marine mammal anesthesia. In: Leslie AD and Gulland FMD (eds) Marine
283 Mammal Medicine. CRC press, Boca Raton, pp 655-688

284 Karesh WB, Cook RA, Stetter M, Uhart MM, Hoogesteijn A, Lewis MN, Campagna C, Majluf P, Torres
285 A, House C, Thomas LA, Braselton WE, Dierenfeld ES, McNamara TS, Duignan P, Raverty S, Linn M
286 (1997) South American pinnipeds: immobilization, telemetry, and health evaluations. Proc Am Assoc
287 Zoo Vet, Houston 1997:291-295

288 Lin HC, Thurmon JC, Benson GJ, Tranquilli WJ (1993) Telazol - a review of its pharmacology and use in
289 veterinary medicine. J Vet Pharmacol Therap 16:383-418

290 Lynch MJ, Tahmindjis MA, Gardner H (1999) Immobilisation of pinniped species. Aust Vet J 77:181-
291 185

292 McMahon CR, Burton H, McLean S, Slip D, Bester M (2000) Field immobilization of southern elephant
293 seals with intravenous tiletamine and zolazepam. Vet Rec 146:251–254

294 Mitchell PJ, Burton HR (1991) Immobilization of southern elephant seals and leopard seals with
295 cyclohexamine anaesthetics and xylazine. Vet Rec 129:332–336

296 Phelan JR, Green K (1992) Chemical restraint of Weddell seals (*Leptonychotes weddellii*) with a
297 combination of tiletamine and zolazepam. J Wildl Dis 28:230-235

298 Riviere JE (1999) Interspecies Extrapolations. In: Riviere JE (ed) Comparative Pharmacokinetics:
299 Principles, Techniques and Applications, 1st ed, Iowa State University Press, pp296-307

300 Riviere JE, Papich MG (2009) Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics, 9th ed, John Wiley & Sons,
301 Ames Iowa, p281

302 Semple HA, Gorecki DK, Farley SD, Ramsay MA (2000) Pharmacokinetics and tissue residues of
303 Telazol in free-ranging polar bears. J Wildl Dis 36(4):653-662

304 Slip DJ, Woods, R (1996) Intramuscular and intravenous immobilization of juvenile southern elephant
305 seals. J Wildl Manage 60:802-807

306 Weingarten SM (1972) Dissociation of limbic and neocortical EEG patterns in cats under ketamine
307 anesthesia. J Neurosurgery 37:429-433

308 Woods R, Hindell M, Slip DJ (1989) Effects of physiological state on duration of sedation in southern
309 elephant seals. J Wild Dis 25:586-590

310 Woods R, McLean S, Nicol S, Burton H (1995) Antagonism of some cyclohexamine-based drug
311 combinations used for chemical restraint of southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*) Aust Vet J
312 72:165-171

313 Woods R, McLean S, Burton HR (1999) Pharmacokinetics of intravenously administered ketamine in
314 southern elephant seals (*Mirounga leonina*). Comp Biochem Physiol C 123:279-284

315

316

317 **Table captions**

318 Table 1:

319 Information on mean lengths, estimated and calculated masses, dosages referring to
320 estimated/calculated masses of 15 adult male southern elephant seals immobilised at King George
321 Island / Isla 25 de Mayo between March and April 2010

322

323 Table 2:

324 Information on number of injections to complete induction, durations of induction and recovery,
325 duration of full procedures, and events of apnoeas of 15 adult male southern elephant seals
326 immobilised at King George Island / Isla 25 de Mayo between March and April 2010

Table 1

Seal	Standard length [cm]	Estimated mass [kg]	Calculated mass [kg]	Deviation from estimate [kg]	Deviation from estimate [%]	Zoletil Dose ref. to est. [mg/kg]	Zoletil Dose ref. to cal. [mg/kg]	Ketamine Dose ref. cal. [mg/kg]
JUB2010_sel_a_m_01	386	2000	1913	87	5	0.50	0.52	2.61
JUB2010_sel_a_m_02	390	2000	1241	759	61	0.63	1.01	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_03	381	2000	1325	675	51	0.63	0.94	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_04	409	2200	1950	250	13	0.57	0.64	1.03
JUB2010_sel_a_m_05	380	1800	1316	484	37	0.56	0.76	1.14
JUB2010_sel_a_m_06	403	2200	1935	265	14	0.57	0.65	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_07	430	2200	1967	233	12	0.59	0.66	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_08	378	1800	1465	335	23	0.69	0.85	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_09	400	2000	1462	538	37	0.65	0.89	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_10	421	1900	2064	-164	-8	0.68	0.63	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_11		1900	2295	-395	-17	0.66	0.54	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_12	426	1900	2010	-110	-5	0.66	0.62	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_13	485	1900	2694	-794	-29	0.66	0.46	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_14	400	1800	1563	237	15	0.69	0.80	0.96
JUB2010_sel_a_m_15	426	1900	1949	-49	-3	0.66	0.64	
Mean	408	1967	1810	157	14	0.63	0.71	1.43
SD	286	140	406	412	25	0.06	0.16	0.77
Median	403	1900	1935	237	13	0.65	0.65	
Min	378	1800	1241	-794	-29	0.50	0.46	0.96
Max	485	2200	2694	759	61	0.69	1.01	2.61

Table 2

Seal	Injections to complete induction	Time between injections [min]	Duration of induction [min]	Duration of recovery [min]	Period between ind. and rec. [min]	Duration of total procedure [min]	Apnoea [min]
JUB2010_sel_a_m_01	4	55	70		35	105	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_02	1		20	4	14	38	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_03	1		20	35	57	112	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_04	2	30	35	39	28	102	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_05	2	25	30	45	100	175	10
JUB2010_sel_a_m_06	1		15	10	208	233	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_07	1		14	25	20	59	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_08	1		15	17	74	106	> 25 plus 8
JUB2010_sel_a_m_09	1		15	8	30	53	21
JUB2010_sel_a_m_10	1		24	18	52	94	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_11	1		15	10	35	60	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_12	1		15	10	37	62	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_13	1		15	55	35	105	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_14	2	20	28	27	27	82	
JUB2010_sel_a_m_15	1		15	14	26	55	11
Mean		32	23	23	52	96	
SD		16	15	16	47	51	
Median	1		15	18	35	94	
Min	1	20	14	4	14	38	
Max	4	55	70	55	208	233	