J Exp Biol Advance Online Articles. First posted online on 30 August 2013 as doi:10.1242/jeb.085548 Access the most recent version at http://jeb.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/jeb.085548

Auto- and heterotrophic responses of the coral Porites lutea to large amplitude

internal waves

Pacherres, Cesar O.^{1,2}; Schmidt, Gertraud M.¹; Richter, Claudio¹

Short title: Coral responses to LAIW

1 - Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Am Alten Hafen 26, 27568 Bremerhaven, Germany.

2- Marine Biology Faculty, Científica del Sur University, Av. Panamericana Sur Km 49. Lima42, Lima, Peru.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank S. Khokiattiwong and the Director and staff of the Phuket Marine Biological Center (PMBC) for field assistance and logistic support. We also appreciate the help of S. Frickenhaus with the statistics.

Funding:

This study was funded by the German Research Foundation (Grant number: RI 1074/7-1, ORCAS); the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (Grant number: 03F0608B, Bioacid) and the German Academic Exchange Service (Grant number: A/09/92030).

List of abbreviations:

LAIW: Large amplitude internal wave(s)

W: West

E: East

- PAM: Pulse amplitude modulation
- Fv/Fm: Maximum dark-adapted quantum yield of photosystem II

PSII: Photosystem II

DO: Dissolved oxygen

1 Summary:

2 Large amplitude internal waves (LAIW) cause frequent and severe changes in the physico-3 chemical environment of Andaman Sea coral reefs and are a potentially important source of disturbance for corals. To explore the coral response to LAIW, prey capture disposition and 4 5 photosynthesis were investigated in relation to changes in seawater temperature, pH, flow speed, and food availability in LAIW simulation studies under controlled laboratory 6 7 conditions, using *Porites lutea* as a model organism. Although food presence stimulated polyp expansion, we found an overriding effect of low temperature $(19^{\circ}C)$ causing retraction of the 8 coral polyps into their calices, particularly when pH was altered concomitantly. Decreases in 9 10 pH alone, however, caused the expansion of the polyps. The exposure history of the colonies 11 played a crucial role in coral responses: prior field exposure to LAIW yielded lower retraction levels than in LAIW-inexperienced corals, suggesting acclimatization. Low temperature 12 13 (19°C) exposure did not seem to influence the photosynthetic performance, but LAIW-14 experienced corals showed higher values of maximum dark adapted quantum yield (Fv/Fm)of photosystem II (PSII) than LAIW-inexperienced controls. Collectively, these data suggest 15 16 that P. lutea, the dominant hermatypic coral in the Andaman Sea, can acclimatize to extreme 17 changes in its abiotic environment by modulating its mixotrophic nutrition through polyp 18 expansion and potential feeding as well as its photosynthetic efficiency.

1 Introduction:

2 The general perception of corals thriving in a benign tropical climate has masked the fact 3 that they are, by contrast, exposed to large variations in their natural environment, over a range of scales. Coral evolution and diversity are in fact thought to be driven by large-scale 4 fluctuations in ocean circulation (Veron, 1995), glacial-interglacial changes in sea-level 5 (Pandolfi, 1996) and changes in sea surface temperature, pCO_2 and pH of ~ 5°C (Lea et al., 6 2000), ~ 2000 ppm and 0.8, respectively (Pearson and Palmer, 2000) over the many years 7 8 they have inhabited the oceans. On a finer temporal scale, disturbances at decadal, inter-9 annual, seasonal and daily time-scales have been shown to influence the diversity and 10 resilience of coral reefs (Connell, 1978; Lough, 1994; Brown, 1997). Seasonal variations in 11 temperature, current speeds and sedimentation are generated by fluctuations, durations and the 12 strength of the monsoon seasons (Naseer and Hatcher, 2000) as well as current or wind-driven 13 upwelling (Andrews and Gentien, 1982; Palardy et al., 2005).

14 Surface gravity waves and internal waves act on seconds to hours timescales (Leichter et 15 al., 2005). As opposed to surface waves which cause mainly mechanical impact on shallow 16 communities, internal waves may affect the physico-chemical environment of deeper 17 communities (15-30 m) (Leichter et al., 2005). A particular class of internal waves in stratified 18 macrotidal areas with abrupt topography are non-linear solitary large amplitude internal 19 waves (solitons or LAIW). They form when tidal flow acceleration across shallow topography 20causes a depression of the pycnocline, separating light surface from dense deeper waters, 21 downstream of the obstacle. Flow reversal during slack tide causes this lee wave to detach and 22 transform, generating packets of internal waves propagating in the opposite direction. In deep 23 water, vertical displacements of the pycnocline may be more than 60 m, and in exceptional 24 cases, more than 100 m. These LAIW may propagate large distances across entire ocean 25 basins (Jackson, 2004). In the Andaman Sea, where LAIW were first discovered and their 26 soliton character established (Osborne and Burch, 1980), LAIW moving from deep waters 27 onto the shelf may cause strong vertical mixing (Vlasenko and Stashchuk, 2007), which can 28 cause drastic changes in the physico-chemical conditions of the water column. In the Similan 29 Islands (Fig. 1), an offshore archipelago in the Andaman Sea, where LAIW cause frequent 30 (several events per day) and sudden drops (within only minutes) in temperature and pH (of up 31 to 10°C and 0.6 pH units, respectively; Schmidt et al., 2012), and concomitant increases in 32 nutrient concentrations, the shallow water scleractinian coral communities are strongly 33 affected (Roder et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2012). While the LAIW disturbances appear to be 34 associated with higher coral diversity and the nutritional status relative to LAIW-protected

sites (Roder et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2012), a mechanistic understanding of LAIW impact on coral nutrition is still lacking. Because scleractinian corals are mixotrophic, it is conceivable that both the photoautotrophy of the endosymbiotic dinoflagellates (genus *Symbiodinium*) and the heterotrophy of the coral-*Symbiodinium* holobiont are affected by the severity of the LAIW-induced environmental changes. However, the effects of LAIW on the expansion and retraction behavior of coral polyps and the photosynthetic performance of the *Symbiodinium* are still unknown.

42 Polyp behavior has been well documented in tropical scleractinian corals, from studies on 43 feeding mechanisms (Lewis and Price, 1975; Porter, 1976), its effect on photosynthetic 44 productivity (Levy et al., 2006) and its response to radiation and current (Sebens and 45 DeRiemer, 1977; Levy et al., 2001). It has long been assumed that corals expand their 46 tentacles at night as a response to higher food availability and the lower risk of predation 47 (Lewis and Price, 1975). On the other hand, their retraction/expansion during the day is 48 supposed to enhance the planar density and photosynthetic performance of *Symbiodinium* as 49 more endosymbionts will be exposed to the light (Sebens and DeRiemer, 1977; Lasker, 1979). 50 However, polyp expansion and retraction also respond to a variety of other factors irrespective 51 of a light:dark cycle, such as differences in light intensities (Gladfelter, 1975; Levy et al., 52 2003), current speed (Sebens et al., 1997), food availability (Levy et al., 2001), polyp size 53 (Lasker, 1981), interactions between the aforementioned, and the physiological needs of the 54 coral colony (Porter, 1976).

55 Although the effects of polyp expansion and contraction on the balance between 56 heterotrophy and autotrophy are still poorly known, the energetic costs associated with these 57 behavioral states have already been investigated. Specifically, polyp expansion increases the 58 rate of respiration due to the larger surface area available to dissipate the oxygen gradient 59 between the tissue and surrounding water (Levy et al., 2006). The hydrostatic skeleton also 60 entails an energetic cost of maintaining a pressure gradient between the coelenteron and 61 ambient seawater (Ruppert et al., 2004). Respiration rates are known to be lower in contracted 62 anthozoans compared to expanded ones (Shick et al., 1979), suggesting that contraction might 63 be a way to reduce the oxygen concentration in the coelenteron, lower the metabolic rates and 64 save energy (Sebens and DeRiemer, 1977; Sebens, 1987). On the other hand, polyp expansion 65 might help in the diffusion of excess oxygen resulting from hyperoxia in the gastrodermal 66 tissue layer, which is potentially detrimental to the photosymbiosis (Lesser and Shick, 1989). 67 Changes in certain physico-chemical parameters of the seawater surrounding reef corals 68 may affect not only the behavior of the host, but also the photosynthetic performance of the

69 Symbiodinium. These changes have been addressed with regard to temperature (Berkelmans 70 and van Oppen, 2006; Putnam and Edmunds, 2011), water flow (Carpenter and Patterson, 71 2007), and UV radiation (Ferrier-Pagès et al., 2007). The photosystem II complex (PSII) of 72 the photosynthetic apparatus is sensitive to thermal stress (Warner et al., 1996), as shown by a 73 decrease in the maximum quantum yield of PSII in pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) 74 chlorophyll a fluorometry (Schreiber et al., 1986). Damage to the PSII may lead to the 75 expulsion of the endosymbionts and a subsequent bleaching of the coral colony (Warner et al., 76 1999). While the bulk of the work carried out so far has dealt with heat stress, similar 77 responses may be caused also by low temperature stress (Muscatine et al., 1991; Saxby et al., 78 2003). The immediate response (seconds-several minutes), however, of the photosynthetic 79 efficiency to a low temperature shock is still unknown.

80 Colonies of the massive coral *Porites lutea* (H. Milne Edwards and Haime 1851), one of 81 the most abundant and dominant coral species in the Andaman Sea, and the most important 82 reef-building coral along the Thai coast (Phongsuwan and Chansang, 1992), are frequently 83 exposed to LAIW, particularly along the exposed western island sides (Roder et al., 2011; 84 Schmidt et al., 2012). Preliminary in situ video observations performed at a depth of 18 m on 85 the west side of Koh Miang (8°34'0"N, 97°38'60"E) in the Similan Islands showed a clear 86 behavioral retraction and recovery of the polyps of *P. lutea* in response to sudden changes in 87 the seawater brought on by a LAIW (Fig. 1). These observations gave rise to the hypothesis 88 that corals may show behavioral and/or metabolic responses to the frequent changes in water 89 conditions brought on by the waves (temperature drops, lower pH, stronger currents and 90 higher food availability), and that there may be differences in the type and magnitude of 91 response between LAIW-habituated and LAIW-sheltered colonies on the western and eastern 92 sides of the islands, respectively. Similar W-E differences were observed in coral nutritional 93 status (Roder et al., 2010; Roder et al., 2011), but a mechanistic understanding of the 94 underlying auto- and/or heterotrophic processes is so far lacking.

95 Thus, the aim of this study was to experimentally explore the potential role of LAIW on 96 coral mixotrophy, using *P. lutea* as a model organism. The experiment involved the following 97 steps: (1) collection of colonies from LAIW-exposed and LAIW-sheltered sides, (2) rearing 98 under controlled laboratory conditions which mimic the in situ environment, (3) exposure to 99 simulated LAIW: sudden drops in temperature and pH under different current regimes and 100 food supply levels, and (4) monitoring of polyp expansion and retraction behavior, as well as 101 the maximum dark-adapted quantum yield of their resident Symbiodinium populations in 102 response to simulated LAIW.

103 Methods:

104 Nubbins (4 - 5 cm diameter, 3 - 4 cm height) of the massive scleractinian coral Porites 105 lutea were collected from both LAIW-exposed west (W) and LAIW-sheltered east (E) sides of 106 two Andaman Sea Islands off the Thai coast (Ko Miang, 8°34'0"N, 97°37'60"E and Ko 107 Racha, 7° 34' 60"N, 98° 20' 60"E) at a depth of 15 m [N = 96 nubbins: 2 islands (i), 2 sides 108 (s), 3 colonies (c) per side, 8 nubbins (n) per colony; i and c were pooled, yielding 6 source 109 colonies for each (W and E) side with 8 nubbins, 1 for each of the 8 (4 factors, 2 levels) 110 experiments – see below]. The coral nubbins were chiseled from their mother colonies and 111 glued on individual acrylic holders using underwater cement (Silka waterplug, Thailand) and 112 taken back to the reef for 10 to 15 days to recover from the handling procedure. Thereafter, 113 they were taken to a reef water flow-through system in the aquaria facilities at the Phuket 114 Marine Biological Center (PMBC). 115 Culturing conditions - An aquaria system was built in order to maintain the coral nubbins at 116 specified conditions. During a 2-week acclimation period to the laboratory conditions, all 117 coral nubbins were supplied with a constant flow of unfiltered seawater from the nearby reef 118 at a rate of 43.2 ± 4.4 (S.D.) L/hr. An 11 hr light: 13 hr dark cycle was created with metal 119 halide lamps (5000 W), and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured once a 120 day with a 2π cosine corrected sensor (LI-COR LI-192, Lincoln, USA) connected to a data logger (LI-COR LI-1400, Lincoln, USA). Light values ranged between 80 and 120 µmol 121 122 quanta $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ corresponding to the ambient light levels at 15 m water depth (Supplementary 123 material: Fig. S1). Temperature was monitored with TidbiT v2 temperature loggers (Onset

124 Computer, USA; logging interval 1 min). Mean temperature (\pm S.D.) of the unaltered reef

125 water was near ambient conditions at 29.8 ± 0.6 °C and never exceeded 30.5 °C (January

126 2011). Dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH were measured twice a day with a YSi ProODO

127 optical DO sensor (USA) and a WTW Routine Pt1000 Mettler Toledo Inlab pH sensor

128 (Germany), respectively, the latter of which was calibrated on a daily basis (NBS scale).

129 Values ranged between 246.9 and 284.4 μ mol DO L⁻¹ and pH 8.34 \pm 0.11.

Following the 2-week acclimation process and in order to maintain the original
acclimatization of coral nubbins originating from W island sides, they were treated with

132 simulated LAIW conditions in the laboratory, similar to their *in situ* experience

133 (Supplementary material: Fig. S2 – C). Thus, after the 2-week period, and while E tanks

134 remained with the regular reef water flow (Supplementary material: Fig. S2 –B), W rearing

tanks were flushed twice a day with temperature- and pH- manipulated seawater (incoming

136 water flow: $\sim 75 \pm 5$ L/hr). For that purpose the reef water was cooled down to 21°C

137 (AquaMedic Titan 1500 cooling unit, Germany), bubbled with pure CO_2 to a pH of 7.8 138 (LAIW temperature and pH conditions, Schmidt et al., 2012) and pumped into the coral tanks 139 using an aquarium pump (EHEIM, Germany). Cold and acidified seawater remained in the 140 coral basins for about 15 min before reopening the inflow valves for the warm, high pH water. 141 Ambient conditions were re-established within the following 15 - 20 min, which closely 142 mimics the arrival and departure of LAIWs in situ (Schmidt et al., 2012). LAIW simulations 143 for the W rearing tanks started a week before the experiments took place and lasted for a total 144 of 20 days while the experiments were performed in parallel (Supplementary material: Fig. S2 145 - A).

146 Experimental design - To identify the relative importance of food, currents, temperature and 147 pH on polyp expansion and maximum quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm), their effects were 148 tested in isolation and in combination (Table 1). All experiments were performed in random 149 order using a flow-through chamber $(25 \times 10 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ in which current flow of different 150 speeds could be created via a circulating pump (EHEIM, Germany) (Supplementary material: 151 Fig. S2). Two different flow speeds, 2 cm/s and 10 cm/s, were applied, representing the 152 natural flows on coral reefs under LAIW-free and LAIW conditions, respectively (Roder et 153 al., 2010). Flow speed was previously measured by following the movement of colored 154 particles that were added to the water. Particle movements were recorded using a video 155 camera (SONY HDR-XR520V).

156 In order to standardize the response of Symbiodinium to the PAM flourometer 157 measurements, all experiments were conducted under crepuscular conditions. For that purpose, a fiber optic lamp (Schott 1500, USA) was set to the minimal PAR level (~ 1.5 µmol 158 quanta m⁻² s⁻¹) to avoid any photosynthetic response while providing sufficient light for video 159 160 recording. Downwelling PAR was measured at the beginning of every experiment (LI-COR 161 LI-1400, Lincoln, USA). Temperature was recorded every 15 seconds with a TidbiT v2 162 temperature logger (Onset Computer, USA) placed in the flow-through chamber. DO levels 163 and pH were monitored hourly with the same instruments as described above (Supplementary 164 material: Table S1).

One out of 8 nubbins from each of the source colonies (6 from W and 6 from E, see above) was used for each of the 8 different combinations of water flow, prey availability, pH and temperature conditions (Table 1). Nubbins were used only once to minimize the risk of acclimation to the experimental conditions. Preliminary experiments with triplicate nubbins from different colonies showed no within-colony differences in the behavioral response of coral nubbins to the factors above; hence within-colony variation was not considered relevant

171 in our experiments. The chamber and hoses were all thoroughly rinsed with distilled water 172 after each experiment. Because the flow-through chamber could only hold 3 fragments at a 173 time, repeated experiments were necessary to obtain a minimum of 6 observations per factor. 174 For each experiment (Control: ambient temperature and pH; Partial LAIW-Temp.: 175 temperature drop, ambient pH; Partial LAIW-pH: pH drop and ambient temperature; and Full 176 LAIW: temperature and pH drop) (Table 1), coral nubbins from the same island side were 177 placed into the flow-through chamber and left there untreated for 40 min under no light. 178 During this period of time, ambient-temperature (29°C), ambient-pH (8.3) seawater at 2 cm/s 179 was circulated through the system. Video recording and PAM fluorometer measurements 180 started 20 min prior to the treatment (time "0"). The actual experiment from the application of 181 the treatment (time "0") lasted 180 min (Levy et al., 2001) during which polyp expansion 182 behavior of each nubbin in the flow-through chamber was recorded every 5 min for 1 minute 183 with a SONY HDR-XR520V video camera. In between the camera recordings, triplicate 184 Fv/Fm measurements were taken for each nubbin with a diving PAM fluorometer (Walz, 185 Germany) (Supplementary material: Table S2). Triplicate measurements were taken 186 randomized over the surface of each nubbin to minimize the risk of artefactual 187 photoinhibition by repeated PAM measurements. The mean of triplicate measurements was 188 used for subsequent analyses. After half of the experimental time (90 min) freshly hatched Artemia nauplii were added to the chambers (0.3 individuals mL⁻¹). Visual observation 189 190 confirmed that the Artemia remained in suspension throughout the remainder of the 191 experiment. Tentacle expansion was scored on a percentage scale based on Lasker (1979), 192 ranging from 0% to 100%: with 0% representing complete retraction of the polyp with neither 193 tentacles nor oral disk visible, 25% indicating polyps expanded to the point that tentacles 194 were visible, though still retracted, 50% showing further expansion of the tentacles with the 195 oral disk visible, 75% representing polyp expansion up to the outer whorl of tentacles, and 196 100% indicating complete polyp expansion (Fig. 2). Each score referred to the whole coral 197 nubbin. 198 Data analysis – Almost 6000 min of video data polled across the 32 separate experiments 199 were analyzed. No significant differences were found within triplicate nubbins within a 200 chamber (i.e., no chamber effect, Table S3) nor were there significant differences between 201 islands (Table S6). Normality tests and Levene's test (homogeneity of variances) were carried 202 out prior to parametric tests (ANOVA). The influence of the different treatments (Control,

- 203 Partial LAIW-Temp., Partial LAIW-pH and Full LAIW-Temp. & pH) on coral polyp behavior
- 204 was evaluated using the means of the behavioral responses over the 90 min time intervals.

Polyp expansion percentage data were arcsine transformed to achieve normality (Martin and
Bateson, 2007). The effect of the independent factors (food, LAIW treatments, flow regime
and coral nubbin origin) on polyp expansion was tested initially with a backward stepwise
ANOVA. In case a term had non-significant effects on the dependent variable both for one
factor alone or for interactions of factors, that term was removed resulting in a minimal
adequate model. When ANOVA determined a significant difference, Tukey's post hoc tests
were used to attribute differences between treatments.

A simplified, repeated measures ANOVA was also performed for the Fv/Fmmeasurements made over the 180 min experiment. Food availability did not appear to have an immediate effect on photosynthesis and the corresponding Fv/Fm for the two food levels were pooled. The sphericity of the data was proven with the test of Mauchly using the corrected degrees of freedom of the Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon values (Keren and Lewis, 1993). If not stated otherwise, data are presented as mean (\pm SD).

218 Results:

Coral polyp expansion behavior – The flow regime showed no significant effect on coral
polyp behavior, neither alone nor in combination with the other factors (backward stepwise
ANOVA; Table S4). For this reason, flow speed was not further considered as a factor and
data were pooled for further analyses.

Polyp expansion ranged from 25% to 100% under control conditions and did not vary between W and E corals (p = 0.175; Table 2). The addition of food resulted in an increased expansion of the coral polyps (p = 0.006; Table 2) from about 55% ± 25% in the absence of food to 61% ± 27% when food was present. This increase was independent of temperature, pH or the origin of the coral nubbins.

228 Even though the origin of the colony did not influence the coral's behavior under control 229 conditions, it did play an important role in the behavioral response to the LAIW simulation 230treatments (p = 0.015; Table 2) with generally stronger responses from E nubbins than W ones 231 (Fig 3). Multiple comparisons were performed to identify the isolated and combined effects of 232 temperature and pH on coral expansion (Table 3). Low temperature had a significant effect on 233 polyp behavior (p = 0.002; Table 3) triggering a retraction of the coral polyps, a response 234 which was more pronounced among E nubbins (p = 0.006) than W ones (Table 4; Fig. 4). The 235 opposite effect was caused by low pH, in which case polyps expanded their tentacles further 236 (reaching 100% expansions in some instances) than those of the control (no LAIW) 237 conditions (Table 3). Under these seawater conditions, this increase in polyp expansion was 238 documented at similar levels between W and E nubbins (Table 4, Fig. 4).

239 When low temperature was combined with low pH (full LAIW), coral polyps retracted 240 their tentacles further into their calyxes ($p \le 0.001$), in many cases entirely (from 100% before 241 time 0 to 0% when the temperature dropped). This effect was more noticeable and steady in E 242 $(p \le 0.001)$ than in W nubbins (Table 4; Fig. 4). Moreover, W corals showed a steady increase 243 in their expansion over the experimental period, with some of them responding to food 244 stimuli. E nubbins, however, remained retracted throughout the entire experimental time. 245 *Photosynthetic efficiency* – Fv/Fm values were highly variable in the different experiments as 246 well as in the control. Backward stepwise ANOVA showed no significant differences due to 247 flow or pH changes, neither alone nor in interactions (Table S5). For that reason these data 248 were pooled for further analyses, and the minimal adequate model is shown in Table 5. 249 Although temperature alone did not evoke differences in the yield values of the corals (Table 2505), the inclusion of coral origin into the analysis revealed strong interaction effects, with W 251 nubbins showing higher Fv/Fm values than E nubbins (p = 0.006; Fig. 5; Table 5). Moreover, 252 while Fv/Fm values remained similar throughout the cold water treatments (Fig. 6, grey 253 lines), they appeared to decay in the ambient temperature over the 180 min of the experiment 254 (Fig. 6, black lines).

255 Discussion:

256 Low temperature had the strongest influence on coral polyp behavior and triggered the 257 retraction of the tentacles into the calices. Temperature is believed to constrain the latitudinal 258 distribution of coral species (Veron, 1995). Constant water temperatures below 18 °C (in situ) 259 limit tissue maintenance and growth, causing coral mortality unless heterotrophic nutrition 260can supply the necessary metabolic requirements (Crossland, 1984). The results from this 261 study indicate that such heterotrophic nutrition might not be able to supply the corals' 262 energetic needs due to the fact that the tentacles of the coral polyps might not expand. Since 263 capture rates are directly related to the tentacle area exposed to the food particles (Sebens, 264 1987), contracted corals are unable to capture prey, unless other means of prey arrest are used, 265 such as mucus (Lewis and Price, 1975) or mesenteric filaments (Schlichter and Brendelberger, 266 1998). On this account Jokiel and Coles (1977) documented coral mortality after 2 weeks in 267 18 °C water, affirming that at the lower lethal limit (18 °C) mortality rate was initially low but 268 increased with time. Therefore, if other means for prey capture are used to supply the 269 energetic needs of the colony when confronted with low temperatures, they appear not to be 270 able to maintain metabolic rates for a long time, leading to the eventual death of the colony. In 271 our study we made preliminary observations (Fig. S6) of mucus filament production by 272 contracted colonies which may be involved in prey capture, but quantitative data on possible

273 food uptake in relation to mucus production are not available.

274 In the case of LAIW-exposed offshore islands in the Andaman Sea, where corals are 275 exposed to short-term temperature variations of up to 10 $^{\circ}$ C with temperature minima of 18.5 276 $^{\circ}$ C (Schmidt et al., 2012), the life history of the colonies played a crucial role in the respective 277 responses (Fig. 3 & 4). Low temperature exposure alone – corresponding to a partial LAIW 278 non-existent in nature and, hence, unfamiliar to both E and W corals – caused the retraction of 279 polyps with a slightly stronger response from E corals. However, the combination of low 280 temperature and low pH, a LAIW-induced scenario which is familiar to W but not to E corals, 281 showed stronger differences, with W corals showing only slight, if any, retraction, as opposed 282 to E corals, which showed a very strong response.

283 Organisms can display both phenotypic (acclimatization) and genotypic (adaptation) 284 mechanisms to deal with fluctuating temperatures (Cossins and Bowler, 1987). Phenotypic 285 mechanisms involve changes in the performance of the organism during its lifetime 286 (physiological adjustments) as a response to temperature fluctuations (Cossins and Bowler, 287 1987; Barshis et al., 2013). The cellular mechanisms that allow such adaptations are diverse, 288 from enzyme adaptations (Di Prisco, 1991), and stress proteins (Hoffmann and Parsons, 289 1991), to the saturation state of the membrane lipids (Tchernov et al., 2004), and the 290 interactions between them. Consequently, corals that experience large changes in their abiotic 291 environment on a regular basis will respond differently from non-acclimatized ones. 292 Accordingly, Coles and Jokiel (1978), Middlebrook et al. (2008), Edmunds (2009), among 293 others have found that corals which had been acclimatized to different temperature regimes 294 showed highest tolerance levels and survival rates to experimentally manipulated 295 temperatures when the conditions were not too different from the precedent acclimatization 296 temperatures. As evidenced by the present study, such acclimatization processes are not 297 restricted to long-term altered thermal exposures, but may also apply for naturally rapid 298 temperature changes (cf. Mayfield et al., 2012). Moreover this study shows that the 299 physiological adjustments that seem to allow the coral colony to stand this sudden change in 300 their abiotic environment are triggered in the first minutes of the exposure (Fig. 3).

301 Under the impact of low pH alone, *P. lutea* polyps showed an enhancement in their 302 expansion state with no difference between W and E colonies. Even though the effects of a 303 long term exposure to low pH on coral development are a topic of great interest in an era of 304 ocean acidification (reviewed by Hoegh-Guldberg, 2005), this study is the first to address the 305 immediate effect of low pH on coral polyp expansion behavior. The findings herein suggest 306 that low pH does not have a deleterious effect on the coral polyp itself, in line with the results

307 of Fine and Tchernov (2007) who reported even positive effects of low pH on tissue growth 308 and polyp size after a year of exposure to acidified water. The short-term effect of pH on 309 polyp expansion in our study, however, proved to be very sensitive to temperature and nubbin 310 origin, particularly in the first minutes of the thermal shock (Fig. 3). The results suggest that, 311 although the direct effects of low pH waters on polyp size and behavior may be neutral or 312 positive (Fine and Tchernov, 2007), low pH may have an amplifying effect on other 313 disturbances such as low temperatures in our study as the contraction of the polyps is greater, 314 among E colonies, when pH was lowered together with temperature in comparison with 315 lower temperature alone. The reasons of this sensitizing influence of low pH require further 316 research since the results found here suggest interactions on the cellular level as the effects of 317 low pH waters over coral tissue are not yet fully understood.

Even though the experiments were conducted under low light for the reasons already mentioned, our field observations suggest similar results regarding the polyp expansion reaction under natural light. As shown in Fig. 1-C, which illustrates the response of a colony from the W side of Ko Miang to the arrival of a LAIW, coral polyps experience a decrease in their expansion state immediately after the arrival of the low temperature front with rapid recovery, similar to our experiments with W nubbins.

324 The presence of food exerted a strong effect over the expansion/contraction behavior of 325 the polyps of *P. lutea*, but this effect was not always positive, as opposed to previous studies 326 (Elliott and Cook, 1989; Levy et al., 2001). In some cases food availability caused polyps to 327 retract rather than expand their tentacles. Moreover, a stimulating effect was almost entirely 328 restricted to the control and partial LAIW-pH treatments. At partial LAIW-temperature and 329 full-LAIW conditions, food presence did not elicit polyp expansion, apart from a few 330 exceptions notably among the W colonies (Fig. S3, S4). These results confirm the hypothesis 331 that the mechanical and chemical cues triggering polyp expansion in the presence of food 332 particles (McFarlane 1978; Elliott and Cook, 1989) are superseded by the behavioral reaction 333 in response to full-LAIW conditions.

The flow speed of the surrounding water did not seem to be an important element ruling over the polyp expansion state of *P. lutea*, independent of any combination with other factors (temperature and pH). These results are in contrast with the findings of Levy et al. (2001) who showed that under low light levels the expansion behavior of *Favia favus* depended on the flow speed and prey availability, with prey presence being secondary to flow speed as polyps expanded their tentacles further at intermediate and high flow regimes (10 and 15 cm/s), even without the presence of food. As LAIW may reach coastal reefs with free-stream flow

341 velocities of 10 to 40 cm/s (Roder et al., 2010), we cannot rule out the possibility that higher 342 flow speeds may have played a role in controlling expansion states of *P. lutea*. However, 343 given the much lower flow velocities in the benthic boundary layer near the sea-bed (Shashar 344 et al., 1996), an upper margin of 10 cm/s near the coral colony under LAIW conditions seems 345 more realistic, as shown in this study. So far only Levy et al. (2001) studied polyp expansion 346 behavior of scleractinian corals in response to water flow and food presence. However, 347 several studies on other anthozoan species exist, demonstrating higher expansion states with 348 higher flow speeds and consequently higher capture rates (Anthony, 1997; Bell et al., 2006). 349 Nevertheless direct comparisons are difficult since flow regimes in these studies were much 350 more turbulent and strong (40 - 60 cm/s) than in our study.

The Fv/Fm of the coral colonies during the experiments was in the range of the responses of healthy corals to dark conditions (Saxby et al., 2003; Berkelmans and van Oppen, 2006; Middlebrook et al., 2008). Results show that the sensitivity of the Fv/Fm to low temperatures depended on the coral's origin, suggesting acclimation to disturbance (Putnam and Edmunds, 2011; Mayfield et al., 2012), where LAIW-experienced *P. lutea* colonies from the exposed W side showed higher Fv/Fm values at low temperature than LAIW-inexperienced E corals.

357 Although the temperature effect was not reflected in the overall Fv/Fm values, it showed an influence over the experimental time with a steady decay of the yield during the treatments 358 359 in which temperature was not altered in contrast to constant values throughout the low temperature treatments (Fig. 6). The fact that the yield decreased over time under control 360 361 temperature conditions (28 $^{\circ}$ C) may be an artifact of the experimental design where, in spite 362 of the precautionary randomizing of measurements across the coral surface, the high 363 frequency of repeated excitations with the PAM fluorometer (every 10 min) may have added 364 up to a cumulative photoinhibition in the Symbiodinium. Previous studies on continuous 365 recordings of Fv/Fm over a short period of time (4 h) under control (28 °C) conditions did not 366 demonstrate such a decay (Jones et al., 1998), yet the recovery phases for the Symbiodinium 367 between the measurements in that case were longer (20 min) than in our study. The fact that 368 Fv/Fm was steadier in the cold water treatments raises the question about its effects on 369 mitigating the possible cumulative photoinhibition under light stress. It is not likely that the 370 expansion state of the polyps played a role in this response since Levy et al. (2003) found no 371 differences in the maximum quantum yield of expanded versus contracted tentacles in 372 different coral species. Given the intermittent nature of the stress, the lower cumulative 373 photoinhibition in corals subjected to low temperature might be related to an enhanced 374 photoprotection (Krämer et al., 2012) and/or repair (Hill et al., 2011) at lower temperature.

375 The results of this study highlight the importance of coral acclimatization and their ability 376 to withstand changes in their abiotic environment. Yet, the cellular pathways that allow corals 377 to acclimatize and the possible energetic costs and effects (e.g., on coral growth) are still 378 unknown. The reduced coral development along the exposed W side of the islands (Schmidt 379 et al., 2012) indicates that the costs in response to the frequency and intensity of LAIW 380 disturbance may be substantial as suggested by Wall et al. (2012). The fact that LAIW-381 exposed corals present higher tissue biomass and protein content compared to sheltered E 382 specimens (Roder et al., 2010) suggests that, in the case of LAIW-experienced colonies, 383 energy allocation might be directed more towards LAIW acclimatization, rather than skeletal 384 growth, especially if a whole array of thermal tolerance genes and enzymes are activated at 385 the arrival of the thermal shock (Barshis et al., 2013). 386

Nevertheless, coral acclimatization potential is no insurance against a changing 387 environment: the frequency and intensity of the natural disturbances described, along with the 388 anthropogenic stressors in many places, may overwhelm the corals' resistance to disturbance. 389 As the pH and temperature effects on coral polyp expansion have demonstrated, given 390 independent variables may have very different effects on dependent variables investigated 391 alone or in combination, suggesting that interactions between factors may cause nonlinearities 392 which are very difficult to predict. Our multifactorial study on the natural co-variation of 393 various stressors including temperature and pH may thus have important repercussions on the 394 interpretation of laboratory-based largely single-factor approaches dominating many large-395 scale programs including ocean acidification research.

References:

Andrews, J. C. and Gentien, P. (1982). Upwelling as a source of nutrients for the Great Barrier Reef ecosystems: A solution to Darwin's question? *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 8, 257-269. Anthony, K. R. N. (1997). Prey capture by the sea anemone *Metridium senile* (L.): Effects of body size, flow regime, and upstream neighbors. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* 192, 73-86.

Barshis, D. J., Ladner, J. T., Oliver, T. A., Seneca, F. O., Traylor-Knowles, N. and Palumbi, S. R. (2013). Genomic basis for coral resilience to climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, (in press).

Bell, J. J., Shaw, C. and Turner, J. R. (2006). Factors controlling the tentacle and polyp expansion behaviour of selected temperate Anthozoa. *J Mar Biol Assoc U K* **86**, 977-992. **Berkelmans, R. and van Oppen, M. J. H.** (2006). The role of Zooxanthellae in the thermal

tolerance of corals: a 'nugget of hope' for coral reefs in an era of climate change. *Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B* 273, 2305-2312.

Brown, B. E. (1997). Adaptations of reef corals to physical environmental stress. In *Adv Mar Biol*, vol. Volume 31 eds. J. H. S. Blaxter and A. J. Southward), pp. 221-299: Academic Press. **Carpenter, L. W. and Patterson, M. R.** (2007). Water flow influences the distribution of photosynthetic efficiency within colonies of the scleractinian coral *Montastrea annularis* (Ellis and Solander, 1786); implications for coral bleaching. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **351**, 10-26. **Coles, S. L. and Jokiel, P. L.** (1978). Synergistic effects of temperature, salinity and light on the hermatypic coral *Montipora verrucosa. Mar Biol* **49**, 187-195.

Connell, J. H. (1978). Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science* **199**, 1302-1310.

Cossins, A. R. and Bowler, K. (1987). Temperature biology of animals: Champman and Hall. **Crossland, C. J.** (1984). Seasonal variations in the rates of calcification and productivity in the coral *Acropora formosa* on a high-latitude reef. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **15**, 135-140.

Di Prisco, G. (1991). Life under extreme conditions: biochemical adaptations: Springer. **Edmunds, P.** (2009). Effect of acclimatization to low temperature and reduced light on the response of reef corals to elevated temperature. *Mar Biol* **156**, 1797-1808.

Elliott, J. and Cook, C. B. (1989). Diel variation in prey capture behavior by the Corallimorpharian *Discosoma sanctithomae*: Mechanical and chemical activation of feeding. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* **176**, 218-228.

Ferrier-Pagès, C., Richard, C., Forcioli, D., Allemand, D., Pichon, M. and Shick, J. M. (2007). Effects of temperature and UV radiation increases on the photosynthetic efficiency in four scleractinian coral species. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* **213**, 76-87.

Fine, M. and Tchernov, D. (2007). Scleractinian coral species survive and recover from decalcification. *Science* **315**, 1811.

Gladfelter, W. B. (1975). Sea anemone with Zooxanthellae: Simultaneous contraction and expansion in response to changing light intensity. *Science* **189**, 570-571.

Hill, R., Brown, C. M., DeZeeuw, K., Campbell, D. A. and Ralph, P. J. (2011). Increased rate of D1 repair in coral symbionts during bleaching is insufficient to counter accelerated photo-inactivation. *Limnol Oceanogr* **56**, 139-146.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2005). Low coral cover in a high-CO₂ world. *J Geophys Res* **110**, 1-9. **Hoffmann, A. A. and Parsons, P. A.** (1991). Evolutionary genetics and evironmental stress: Oxford Univ. Press.

Jackson, C. R. (2004). An atlas of internal solitary-like waves and their properties. Alexandria, Virginia: Global Ocean Associates.

Jokiel, P. L. and Coles, S. L. (1977). Effects of temperature on the mortality and growth of Hawaiian reef corals. *Mar Biol* **43**, 201-208.

Jones, R. J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Larkum, A. W. D. and Schreiber, U. (1998).

Temperature-induced bleaching of corals begins with impairment of the CO_2 fixation mechanism in zooxanthellae. *Plant Cell Environ* **21**, 1219-1230.

Keren, G. and Lewis, C. (1993). A handbook for data analysis in the behavioral sciences. New York: Erlbaum.

Krämer, W. E., Caamaño-Ricken, I., Richter, C. and Bischof, K. (2012). Dynamic regulation of photoprotection determines thermal tolerance of two phylotypes of Symbiodinium clade A at two photon fluence rates. *Photochem Photobiol* **88**, 398-413.

Lasker, H. R. (1979). Light dependent activity patterns among reef corals: *Montastrea cavernosa Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* **156**, 196-211.

Lasker, H. R. (1981). Phenotypic variation in the coral *Montastrea cavernosa* and its effects on colony energetics. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* **160**, 292-302.

Lea, D. W., Pak, D. K. and Spero, H. J. (2000). Climate impact of late Quaternary Equatorial Pacific sea surface temperature variations. *Science* **289**, 1719-1724.

Leichter, J. J., Deane, G. B. and Stokes, M. D. (2005). Spatial and temporal variability of internal wave forcing on a coral reef. *J Phys Oceanogr* **35**, 1945-1962.

Lesser, M. P. and Shick, J. M. (1989). Effects of irradiance and ultraviolet radiation on photoadaptation in the Zooxanthellae of *Aiptasia pallida* primary production, photoinhibition, and enzymic defenses against oxygen toxicity. *Mar Biol* **102**, 243-255.

Levy, O., Dubinsky, Z. and Achituv, Y. (2003). Photobehavior of stony corals: responses to light spectra and intensity. *J Exp Biol* 206, 4041-4049.

Levy, O., Mizrahi, L., Chadwick-Furman, N. E. and Achituv, Y. (2001). Factors controlling the expansion behavior of *Favia favus* (Cnidaria: Scleractinia): Effects of light, flow, and planktonic prey. *Biol Bull (Woods Hole)* **200**, 118-126.

Levy, O., Dubinsky, Z., Achituv, Y. and Erez, J. (2006). Diurnal polyp expansion behavior in stony corals may enhance carbon availability for symbionts photosynthesis. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 333, 1-11.

Lewis, J. B. and Price, W. S. (1975). Feeding mechanisms and feeding strategies of Atlantic reef corals. *J Zool (Lond)* 176, 527-544.

Lough, J. M. (1994). Climate variation and El Niño-Southern Oscillation events on the Great Barrier Reef: 1958 to 1987. *Coral Reefs* 13, 181-185.

Martin, P. and Bateson, P. (2007). Measuring behaviour. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mayfield, A. B., Chan, P.-H., Putnam, H. M., Chen, C.-S. and Fan, T.-Y. (2012). The effects of a variable temperature regime on the physiology of the reef-building coral *Seriatopora hystrix*: results from a laboratory-based reciprocal transplant. *J Exp Biol* **215**, 4183-4195.

McFarlane, I. D. (1978). Multiple conducting systems and the control of behaviour in the brain coral *Meandrina meandrites* (L.). *Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B* 200, 193-216.

Middlebrook, R., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. and Leggat, W. (2008). The effect of thermal history on the susceptibility of reef-building corals to thermal stress. *J Exp Biol* **211**, 1050-1056.

Muscatine, L., Grossman, D. and Doino, J. (1991). Release of symbiotic algae by tropical sea enemones and corals after cold shock. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 77, 233-243.

Naseer, A. and Hatcher, B. G. (2000). Assessing the integrated growth response of coral reefs to monsoon forcing using morphometric analysis of reefs in Maldives. *Proc 9th Int Coral Reef Symp* **1**, 75-80.

Osborne, A. R. and Burch, T. L. (1980). Internal solitons in the Andaman Sea. *Science* **208**, 451-460.

Palardy, J. E., Grottoli, A. G. and Matthews, K. A. (2005). Effects of upwelling, depth, morphology and polyp size on feeding in three species of Panamanian corals. *Mar Ecol Prog*

Ser 300, 79-89.

Pandolfi, J. M. (1996). Limited membership in Pleistocene reef coral assemblages from the Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea: constancy during global change. *Paleobiology* **22**, 152-176.

Pearson, P. N. and Palmer, M. R. (2000). Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million years. *Nature* **406**, 695-699.

Phongsuwan, N. and Chansang, H. (1992). Assessment of coral communities in the Andaman Sea (Thailand). *Proc 7th Int Coral Reef Symp* **1**, 114-121.

Porter, J. W. (1976). Autotrophy, heterotrophy, and resource partitioning in Caribbean reefbuilding coral. *Am Nat* **110**, 731-742.

Putnam, H. M. and Edmunds, P. J. (2011). The physiological response of reef corals to diel fluctuations in seawater temperature. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **396**, 216-223.

Roder, C., Fillinger, L., Jantzen, C., Schmidt, G. M., Khokiattiwong, S. and Richter, C. (2010). Tropic response of corals to large amplitude internal waves. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **412**, 113-128.

Roder, C., Jantzen, C., Schmidt, G., Kattner, G., Phongsuwan, N. and Richter, C. (2011). Metabolic plasticity of the corals *Porites lutea* and *Diploastrea heliopora* exposed to large amplitude internal waves. *Coral Reefs* **30**, 57-69.

Ruppert, E. E., Fox, R. S. and D., B. R. (2004). Invertebrate zoology: a functional evolutionary approach. London: Thomson, Brooks/Cole.

Saxby, T., Dennison, W. C. and Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2003). Photosynthetic responses of the coral *Montipora digitata* to cold temperature stress. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 248, 85-97. Schlichter, D. and Brendelberger, H. (1998). Plasticity of the scleractinian body plan: Functional morphology and trophic specialization of *Mycedium elephantotus* (Pallas, 1766). *Facies* 39, 227-241.

Schmidt, G. M., Phongsuwan, N., Jantzen, C., Roder, C., Khokiattiwong, S. and Richter, C. (2012). Coral community composition and reef development at the Similan Islands, Andaman Sea, in response to strong environmental variations. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **456**, 113-126.

Schreiber, U., Schliwa, U. and Bilger, W. (1986). Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. *Photosynth Res* **10**, 51-62.

Sebens, K. P. (1987). Coelenterata. In *Animal energetics*, vol. 1. Protozoa through Insecta (eds. T. J. Pandian and F. J. Vernberg), pp. 55-120. California: Academic Press.

Sebens, K. P. and DeRiemer, K. (1977). Diel cycles of expansion and contraction in coral reef anthozoans. *Mar Biol* **43**, 247-256.

Sebens, K. P., Witting, J. and Helmuth, B. (1997). Effects of water flow and branch spacing on particle capture by the reef coral *Madracis mirabilis* (Duchassaing and Michelotti). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **211**, 1-28.

Shashar, N., Kinane, S., Jokiel, P. L. and Patterson, M. R. (1996). Hydromechanical boundary layers over a coral reef. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* **199**, 17-28.

Shick, J. M., Brown, W. I., Dolliver, E. G. and Kayar, S. R. (1979). Oxygen uptake in sea anemones: Effects of expansion, contraction, and exposure to air and the limitations of diffusion. *Physiol Zool* 52, 50-62.

Tchernov, D., Gorbunov, M. Y., de Vargas, C., Narayan Yadav, S., Milligan, A. J., Häggblom, M. and Falkowski, P. G. (2004). Membrane lipids of symbiotic algae are diagnostic of sensitivity to thermal bleaching in corals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 13531-13535.

Veron, J. E. N. (1995). Corals in Space and Time. Sydney: UNSW Press. Vlasenko, V. and Stashchuk, N. (2007). Three-dimensional shoaling of large-amplitude internal waves. J Geophys Res 112, C11018.

Wall, M., Schmidt, G. M., Janjang, P., Khokiattiwong, S. and Richter, C. (2012).

Differential impact of monsoon and large amplitude internal waves on coral reef development in the Andaman Sea. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e50207.

Warner, M. E., Fitt, W. K. and Schmidt, G. W. (1996). The effects of elevated temperature on the photosynthetic efficiency of zooxanthellae in hospite from four different species of reef coral: a novel approach. *Plant Cell Environ* **19**, 291-299.

Warner, M. E., Fitt, W. K. and Schmidt, G. W. (1999). Damage to photosystem II in symbiotic dinoflagellates: A determinant of coral bleaching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 8007-8012.

Table 1. Abiotic characteristics of the seawater for each experiment. Flow rate: Low = 2 cm/s, High= 10 cm/s. Fragment origin: E = East; W = West. LAIW = Large amplitude internal wave. Values are given as mean (S.D.).

Tracture and	Flow	Fragment Temperature			
Treatment	rate	origin	(°C)	pН	
Control	Low	Е	28.60 (0.46)	8.23 (0.03)	
		W	29.70 (0.25)	8.25 (0.01)	
		E	28.59 (0.43)	8.16 (0.05)	
	High	W	29.60 (0.17)	8.28 (0.01)	
Partial LAIW- Temp.	Low	E	19.36 (0.40)	8.31 (0.04)	
		W	19.56 (0.76)	8.29 (0.04)	
	High	E	19.57 (0.58)	8.23 (0.11)	
		W	19.38 (0.22)	8.31 (0.05)	
Partial LAIW- pH	Low	E	29.31 (0.22)	7.80 (0.03)	
		W	29.29 (0.13)	7.80 (0.04)	
	High	E	29.48 (0.22)	7.80 (0.02)	
	Ingn	W	29.55 (0.14)	7.83 (0.05)	
Full LAIW- Temp. & pH	Low	Е	19.09 (0.38)	7.82 (0.02)	
		W	19.19 (0.41)	7.82 (0.03)	
	High	E	19.12 (0.24)	7.86 (0.08)	
		W	19.27 (0.32)	7.80 (0.04)	

Table 2. Three-way ANOVA after model simplification on the effects of nubbin origin (W and E), food and treatment (control, partial LAIW-Temp., partial LAIW-pH and full LAIW-Temp. & pH) on the polyp expansion behavior of *Porites lutea*. Significance levels: (*) $0.05 > p \ge 0.01$, (**) $0.01 > p \ge 0.001$, (***) $p \le 0.001$.

Source of variation	Sum of squares	DF	Mean square	F	р
Origin	0.211	1	0.211	1.84	0.176
Food	0.890	1	0.889	7.78	0.006**
Treatment	7.479	3	2.493	21.80	0.000***
Origin×Treatment	1.222	3	0.407	3.56	0.015*

Table 3. Comparisons between the effects of the different LAIW treatments versus the control treatment on the polyp expansion of *Porites lutea*, regardless of coral nubbin origin. 1 = control; 2 = partial LAIW-Temp.; 3 = partial LAIW-pH; 4 = full LAIW-Temp. & pH (see Table 1 for seawater quality data). Results can be seen in Fig. 4, upper asterisks. Significance levels: (*) $0.05 > p \ge 0.01$, (**) $0.01 > p \ge 0.001$, (***) $p \le 0.001$.

Comparison	Difference	Lower limit	Upper limit	р
1 vs. 2	-0.249	-0.428	-0.07	0.002**
1 vs. 3	0.183	0.004	0.362	0.042*
1 vs. 4	-0.309	-0.488	-0.13	0.000***

Table 4. Comparisons between the effects of the different LAIW treatments versus the control treatment, on the polyp expansion behavior of *Porites lutea* according to coral nubbin origin. East (E), West (W). 1 = control; 2 = partial LAIW-Temp.; 3 = partial LAIW-pH; 4 = full LAIW-Temp. & pH (see Table 1 for seawater quality data). Results can be seen in Fig. 4 lower asterisks. Significance levels: (**) $0.01 > p \ge 0.001$, (***) $p \le 0.001$.

Origin	Comparison	Difference	Lower limit	Upper Limit	р
Е	1 vs. 2	-0.364	-0.663	-0.064	0.006**
	1 vs. 3	0.213	-0.086	0.512	0.367
	1 vs. 4	-0.473	-0.772	-0.173	0.000***
W	1 vs. 2	-0.134	-0.433	0.165	0.868
	1 vs. 3	0.153	-0.146	0.453	0.767
	1 vs. 4	-0.145	-0.444	0.154	0.814

Table 5. Repeated Measures ANOVA with interactions after model simplification between fragment origin and temperature on *Fv/Fm* of *Porites lutea*. Significance levels: (*) $0.05 > p \ge 0.01$, (**) $0.01 > p \ge 0.001$.

Source of Variation	Sum of squares	DF	Mean square	F	р
Origin	0.791	1	0.791	6.67	0.011*
Temperature	0.088	1	0.088	0.74	0.391
Origin × Temperature	0.958	1	0.958	8.08	0.006**

Fig. 1. A) Map of the Andaman Sea off the western cost of Thailand, with inset showing the direction of the LAIW formed along the Andaman-Nicobar Island Arc (dashed arrows) (Mainland: Wessel and Smith, 1996). B) Close-up map of Racha island (small rectangle) and the Similan islands (Large rectangle) showing the central island of Miang where the preliminary *in situ* experiments took place (UNEP Coral Millennium Project). Scale bar represents 4 km. Black dots represent the locations of the nubbin collection for the laboratory experiments. C) Results of the preliminary *in situ* experiment (solid line) of a *Porites lutea* colony at the LAIW-exposed west side of the island. Notice the drop in polyp expansion as the water temperature drops.

Fig. 2. Photographs illustrating the percentage of polyp expansion of colonies of *Porites lutea*. The 5 levels were designated by Lasker (1979). The different scores are the visual average for the entire coral nubbin in the treatment. Polyp size = ~ 0.1 cm. See text for complete description of the expansion states.

Fig. 3. Mean of the polyp expansion percentage across colonies and flow regimes plotted at 10 min intervals for each experimental treatment over the experimental time: Control, pH (Partial LAIW-pH), temp. (Partial LAIW-Temp.) and full (Full LAIW-Temp. & pH). (W) Response to the treatments of colonies originated from the west island sides. (E) Response to the treatments of colonies originated from the east island sides (see Table 1 for seawater quality data). *Artemia nauplii* was added at min 90 in all experiments.

Fig. 4. Effect of large amplitude internal wave (LAIW) treatments; partial LAIW-Temp., partial LAIW-pH and full LAIW-Temp. & pH on the polyp expansion behavior of W (west) and E (east) *Porites lutea* nubbins versus the control group (see Table 1 for seawater quality data). Vertical lines = standard error of the mean. Upper (*): General effect of the treatment against the control; lower (*) correspond to the origin differences within a treatment against the respective control. Significance levels: (*) $0.05 > p \ge 0.01$, (**) $0.01 > p \ge 0.001$, (***) $p \le 0.001$.

Fig. 5. Maximun quantum yield of photosystem II (*Fv/Fm*) of *Symbiodinium* within *Porites lutea* across two temperatures and between two sides of nubbin origin (W = west and E = east). Vertical lines represent standard error. Control temp. \pm SD: 29.11 \pm 0.62 °C; LAIW

Temp.: 19.48 \pm 0.56 °C. Letters on top of the bars represent Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) groups ($\alpha \Box 0.05$).

Fig. 6. Influence of temperature on the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (*Fv/Fm*) of *Symbiodinium* within *Porites lutea* at 10 min intervals over the course of the experiment. (W) Response of the nubbins originated from the west island sides and (E) response of the nubbins originated from the east sides. Black: control temp. \pm S.D. = 29.11 \pm 0.62 °C; Grey: LAIW Temp. = 19.48 \pm 0.56 °C. Vertical lines = standard error.











