

5 simulated circumpolar methane fluxes. The additional warming through the release from newly thawed permafrost carbon proved only slightly dependent on the pathway of anthropogenic emission and amounts about 0.03–0.14 °C (68 % ranges) by end of the century. The warming increased further in the 22nd and 23rd century and was most pronounced under the RCP6.0 scenario with adding 0.16–0.39 °C (68 % range) to simulated global mean surface air temperatures in the year 2300.

1 Introduction

10 Soils in high northern latitudes represent one of the largest reservoirs of organic carbon in the terrestrial biosphere, holding an estimated 900–1700 petagramms (Pg) of organic carbon (Hugelius et al., 2014). While portions of this carbon pool are already affected by seasonal thaw in the active layer, substantial amounts are locked in perennally frozen deposits at depths currently exceeding the seasonal thaw depth. Zimov et al. (2006) have estimated that an amount of 450 Pg-C is stored in deep Siberian organic-rich frozen loess and have speculated that this carbon store could significantly contribute to global carbon fluxes when thawed. A more recent study based on updated observations estimates a total of 211 (58–371) Pg-C being stored in ice- and carbon-rich deep deposits in Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013). As long as frozen in the ground, permafrost organic matter is not part of the active carbon cycle and can be considered mainly inert. With sustained warming and subsequent degradation of deeper permafrost deposits, a part of this carbon pool will become seasonally thawed. Consequently, it will become prone to microbial decomposition and mineralization. By ultimately increasing the atmospheric concentration of the greenhouse gases CO₂ and CH₄, the carbon release from thawing permafrost regions is considered a potentially large positive feedback in the climate-carbon system (Schaefer et al., 2014). Given the long millennial timescale processes leading to the build-up of old carbon in permafrost soils, future rapid releases from these deposits are irreversible on a human timescale.

16601

5 However, the magnitude and timing of carbon fluxes as a consequence of permafrost degradation are highly uncertain. This is mainly due to incomplete observational knowledge of the amount of organic matter stored in permafrost deposits, of its quality and decomposability, as well as due to the challenge of modelling the full chain of processes from permafrost thaw to carbon release. Furthermore, conceptual and numerical permafrost landscape models also require suitable upscaling methods ranging from local to global scales, based on field-based knowledge of the surface characteristics, key processes and data collection of key parameters (Boike et al., 2012). The vulnerability of permafrost carbon and its fate when thawed will be strongly determined by various environmental controls (Grosse et al., 2011) such as soil type and soil moisture, which both affect soil thermal conductivity and therefore determine the timescale of heat penetration into the ground. Additionally, surface conditions such as organic-rich soil surface layers, vegetation cover and snow exert strong controls on subsurface temperatures by insulating the ground from surface air temperatures (Koven et al., 2013a). Mineral permafrost soils are typically more vulnerable to degradation than carbon-rich organic soils: the often higher ice-content of the latter requires a larger energy input for phase transition and the usually anaerobic environments in organic soils slow down carbon mineralization. Therefore, for capturing site-specific pathways of carbon release from permafrost degradation, it is important to consider the differing soil environments under which the organic matter will be thawed. Of key importance is the impact of hydrological conditions which determine whether mineralized carbon will be emitted as CO₂ or CH₄ (Olefeldt et al., 2013). Future changes in hydrological conditions in permafrost regions will therefore crucially affect the high latitude carbon balance. Especially regions of ice-rich late Pleistocene deposits (Yedoma) are considered to become potential hot spots for intensive thermokarst lake formation with consequent increases in the fraction of permafrost-affected sediments under anaerobic environments (Walter et al., 2007a). Apart from affecting hydrological conditions, thermokarst lakes also exert a strong warming of sub-lake sediments and thus enhance abrupt permafrost degradation.

16602

If thermokarst lake depths exceed the maximum thickness of winter lake ice, these lakes retain liquid water year-round and provide a strong warming and thawing of the underlying sediments (Arp et al., 2012). As a consequence, mean annual temperatures of thermokarst lake bottom sediments can be up to 10 °C warmer than mean annual air temperatures (Jorgenson et al., 2010).

So far, permafrost carbon dynamics are not included standard climate model projections, possibly due to only recent recognition of the large vulnerable permafrost carbon pool and given the complexity of processes involved. The complexity arises not only from the need to simulate physical changes in soil thermal conditions and phase transitions of water as a consequence of various environmental controls (e.g. interactions among topography, water, soil, vegetation and snow, Jorgenson et al., 2010). It also arises from the challenge of describing the full chain of bio-geochemical processes for eventual carbon decomposition in the soils and release to the atmosphere. Therefore, various aspects of permafrost physics and biogeochemistry are only being implemented into current global climate models (formulated e.g. in Lawrence and Slater, 2008; Koven et al., 2009, 2013b; Lawrence et al., 2011; Dankers et al., 2011; Schaphoff et al., 2013; Ekici et al., 2014). First modelling results suggest a very large range in predicted soil carbon losses from permafrost regions under scenarios of unmitigated climate change (about 20 to 500 Pg-C by 2100, see Schaefer et al. (2014) for an overview). This large range demonstrates the current uncertainty inherent to predictions of the timing and strength of the permafrost carbon feedback.

Yet, these studies are based on models which still miss important mechanisms to capture the full complexity of the permafrost carbon feedback. Grosse et al. (2011) and van Huissteden and Dolman (2012) underline that none of the current permafrost models consider the spatially inhomogeneous and potentially much more rapid degradation of ice-rich permafrost and lake formation. This omission of abrupt thaw processes may result in underestimating an important part of anaerobic soil carbon decomposition. Studies have also underlined the importance of considering small scales: not only large Arctic lakes, but also the smaller Arctic thaw ponds, are

16603

biological hotspots for the emission of CO₂ and CH₄ (Abnizova et al., 2012; Laurion, 2010). A recent expert assessment has emphasized the importance of abrupt thaw processes and so far unaccounted carbon stored in deep deposits below three meters (Schuur et al., 2013). Evidence for rapid and abrupt thaw on decadal scale, is already widespread (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Sannel and Kuhry, 2011; Kokelj et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2014), is likely to increase with future warming, and thus needs to be considered in order to make realistic projections of carbon dynamics in permafrost regions.

Our study aims to estimate the range of potential carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost by accounting for abrupt thaw processes which can accelerate the degradation of frozen ground beyond what is inferred by standard modelling approaches that consider gradual thaw. By explicitly modelling carbon releases from deep carbon stores below three meters, we contribute to a more complete quantification of the permafrost-carbon feedback. By allocating permafrost organic matter into pools of differing environmental controls, we describe different pathways of carbon release and we especially account for carbon released as methane which is mostly neglected in current modelling approaches. Similarly, permafrost carbon release from deep deposits is mostly not accounted for, although first modelling studies have considered the contribution of permafrost carbon in Yedoma regions (Koven et al., 2011; Schaphoff et al., 2013). Yet in these studies the deep deposits have not contributed significantly to simulated carbon release because the models did not describe abrupt thaw processes. Khvorostyanov et al. (2008) have inferred a large contribution from Yedoma carbon deposits after the year 2300 when assuming that microbial heat strongly speeds-up permafrost degradation. To the best of our knowledge, our modelling approach is the first to globally quantify the permafrost-carbon feedback for the coming centuries under considering carbon release from deep deposits and accounting for abrupt thaw processes.

16604

2 Multi-pool permafrost model

Building on previous work (Schneider von Deimling et al., 2012), we have developed a simplified large-scale two-dimensional model with parameters tuned to match observed permafrost carbon characteristics. The model calculates permafrost degradation and eventual CO₂ and CH₄ release under differing environmental conditions. The newly developed model is shortly described in the following sections while more details are given in the Supplement.

The model accounts for several processes which are key to the permafrost carbon feedback:

1. Depending on soil-physical factors, hydrologic conditions, and organic matter quality, permafrost carbon inventories were sub-divided into a total of 24 pools.
 2. Permafrost thaw was calculated for various scenarios of global warming to determine the amount of carbon vulnerable to eventual release. Anaerobic soil fractions were calculated to determine the amount of organic matter stored in wetland- and thermokarst-affected sediments.
 3. Permafrost carbon release as either CO₂ or CH₄ was calculated based on typical rates for aerobic and anaerobic carbon release.
 4. By using a simplified climate-carbon model, we have determined the additional increase in global mean temperature through the permafrost carbon feedback.
- The computational efficiency of our model allows us to explore the range of simulated permafrost carbon feedbacks by running large ensembles. Our proceeding expresses the uncertainty inherent to current knowledge of permafrost carbon release. Our framework allows identifying key model parameters and processes and thus enables us to assess the importance of these factors for shaping the strength and timing of the permafrost carbon feedback.

16605

2.1 Model structure

The magnitude and timing of carbon release from thawing permafrost soils will be strongly determined by soil-physical factors such as soil composition and organic matter decomposability, hydrologic state, and surface conditions. To account for these factors, we have developed a simplified but observationally constrained and computationally efficient two-dimensional model which allocates permafrost soil organic matter into various carbon pools. These pools describe carbon amount and quality, soil environments, and hydrological conditions (Fig. 1). To account for deposit-specific permafrost carbon vulnerability, we divide our carbon inventory into two near-surface pools (mineral and organic, 0 to 3 m) and into two deep-ranging pools (Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst (including taberal sediments), 0 to 15 m, see next section and Table 1). By taberal deposits we understand permafrost sediments that underwent thawing in a talik (a layer of year-round unfrozen ground in permafrost areas, such as under a deep lake), resulting in diagenetic alteration of sediment structures (loss of cryostructure, sediment compaction) and biogeochemical characteristics (depletion of organic carbon). In addition, taberal deposits may be subject to refreezing (e.g., after lake drainage) (Grosse et al., 2007).

We describe differing hydrological controls by further subdividing each carbon pool into one aerobic fraction and two anaerobic fractions. Hereby we account for anaerobic conditions provided in wetland soils and by water-saturated sediments under thermokarst lakes. In the following we define wetland soils from a purely hydrological viewpoint, i.e. by assuming that these soils are water-saturated and not affected by thermokarst. We further assume that anaerobic soil fractions are not stationary but will increase or decrease with climate change. Therefore, we re-calculate the wetland and thermokarst fraction for each time step (see the Supplement for model details). We assume a linear increase in wetland extent with global warming with mean maximum increases up to 30 % above pre-industrial wetland extent (see Table 1). To capture changes in future thermokarst lake coverage, we have developed a conceptual model

16606

thicknesses, our scheme describes a latitudinal tendency of a strong north–south gradient of both subsoil temperature and active-layer thickness that generally matches observations (Beer et al., 2013).

5 By calculating the active layer depth for each carbon pool and in each latitudinal band, we can determine the fraction of permafrost carbon below the active layer and therefore the amount of organic matter perennially frozen under our baseline climate conditions (i.e. pre-industrial climate). Large amounts of organic matter in permafrost soils reside in the active layer and were affected by past decomposition and release over millennia. It is unclear to what extent the quality of this seasonally-thawed
10 organic material will allow extensive microbial decay in the future. Therefore we follow a strategy similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) of considering only the part of permafrost carbon which was locked in perennially frozen grounds since pre-industrial times and thus was not part of the active carbon cycle for millennia. We hereby assume that our carbon inventory describes organic matter in continuous and
15 discontinuous permafrost. This carbon is likely to represent organic matter perennially frozen since pre-industrial climate. We do not consider soil carbon stored in younger permafrost deposits (sporadic and isolated patches) which likely had been thawed for the majority of the Holocene and therefore is likely depleted in labile organic matter. When accounting for uncertainty in model parameters, we infer a range of about 400 to
20 1100 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under pre-industrial climate. By combining field information with modelling, Harden et al. (2012) have estimated a total of about 130 to 1060 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under present day climate.

Further, we account for the fact that a large part of the permafrost carbon inventory (i.e. the passive pool) will likely be recalcitrant to decay on a multi-centennial timescale
25 (Schmidt et al., 2011). Assuming a passive pool fraction of about 40 to 70 %, only about 120 to 660 Pg of permafrost carbon can become vulnerable for eventual carbon release in our simulation setting.

To capture uncertainty in modelled carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost deposits, we have independently sampled a set of 18 key model parameters who are subject to

16609

either observational or to model description uncertainty. For each warming scenario, we have performed 500 ensemble runs by applying a statistical Monte Carlo sampling and by assuming uniformly and independently distributed model parameters and initial values.

5 2.3 Permafrost thaw and carbon release

With increasing high latitude warming the active layer will deepen. We model this process by assuming that climate-driven long-term thaw rates can be described depending on four key factors: physical ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, depth of the thawed sediment layer, and magnitude of the warming
10 anomaly which drives permafrost degradation (see the Supplement). Hereby we capture factors which strongly affect pool-specific thaw dynamics, e.g. talik formation under thermokarst lakes, dampening of the thaw signal with depth, variable soil-ice contents. We therefore can determine the amount of newly thawed organic matter under various anthropogenic emission scenarios as a consequence of warming above
15 pre-industrial temperatures. We hereby assume carbon emissions proportional to the amount of newly thawed carbon in each pool. Eventual carbon emission as CO₂ or CH₄ is determined through calculated aerobic and anaerobic emission rates (see the Supplement).

Finally, the permafrost model was coupled to a simple multi-pool climate-carbon
20 cycle model to close the feedback loop: while the permafrost model simulates permafrost degradation and subsequent carbon release (as CO₂ and CH₄), the climate carbon-cycle model calculates atmospheric changes in CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations and subsequent increases in global mean surface air temperatures. Based on state-of-the-art climate models (CMIP-5, Taylor et al., 2011), we infer polar amplification factors
25 to describe surface air warming in each latitudinal band which then drives permafrost degradation in the next time step.

16610

2.4 Model limitations

Our approach of modelling permafrost thaw relies on the simplifying assumption that the main driver of permafrost degradation is the rise of Arctic air temperatures. Yet soil thermal conditions can be influenced by factors other than temperature (e.g. vegetation cover, snow thickness, topography) (Jafarov et al., 2012; Jorgenson et al., 2010). We motivate our modelling approach by focusing on the large-scale and long-term deepening of active layer thickness under various warming scenarios. Although snow cover is considered a key factor for simulating present day permafrost extent consistent with observations (Koven et al., 2013a; Langer et al., 2013; Osterkamp, 2007; Stieglitz et al., 2003), it is unclear how strongly future changes in high-latitude snow cover will affect permafrost degradation. Given that no high-quality data products are available for a circumarctic mapping of snow cover, snow depth, and snow density – and given that climate models simulate strongly divergent pathways of future snowfall – we here make the simplifying assumption that the long term evolution of permafrost is largely driven by changes in surface air temperatures. Similarly, our simplified approach of describing thermokarst dynamics is based on the assumption that future thermokarst formation is largely affected by increasing surface air temperature. Temperature-unrelated, local factors (such as topography, precipitation changes or wildfire) can also be key determinants for thermokarst dynamics. We understand our approach mainly as quantifying carbon fluxes under different hypotheses of future thermokarst development rather than providing deterministic and explicit predictions of individual thermokarst terrains. An alternative scenario of a reduction in high-latitude inland water surface area under future warming was e.g. investigated by Krinner and Boike (2010). Nutrient limitation in the soils and abrupt carbon release after wildfires are considered two further, potentially important mechanisms for the carbon balance of thawed permafrost deposits which we do not consider in our model design (Mack et al., 2004; Turetsky et al., 2011). Probably the largest effect of unaccounted processes on our simulated carbon fluxes comes from the omission of high latitude vegetation

16611

dynamics. Increased carbon uptake in a warmer climate through more productive vegetation can strongly affect the Arctic carbon balance (Schaphoff et al., 2013). The capturing of this feedback component requires the implementation of a dynamic vegetation model which is beyond the scope of this study. Also of importance in this respect is the potential restoration of carbon sinks after lake drainage which could, on the long-term, partially compensate for high CH₄ emission (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012; Kessler et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2012; Walter Anthony et al., 2012).

Our simulated wetland CH₄ fluxes describe methane produced from newly thawed permafrost carbon. Yet the full carbon balance of wetlands is rather complex and possibly more affected by future changes in soil moisture, soil temperature, and vegetation composition than by the delivery of newly thawed organic matter through permafrost degradation (Olefeldt et al., 2013). The accounting of these additional factors requires the implementation of comprehensive wetland models (such as suggested by Frohking et al., 2001; Kleinen et al., 2012; Eliseev et al., 2008).

3 Model results

3.1 Permafrost degradation

We have run our model under various scenarios of future warming, ranging from moderate (RCP2.6) to extensive (RCP8.5). Under RCP2.6, global greenhouse gas emissions peak by 2020 and decline strongly afterwards. We simulate subsequent increases in global mean surface–air temperatures which are constrained to below two degrees above pre-industrial levels. In case of unmitigated climate change (RCP8.5), global mean surface air temperatures continuously increase and reach 10 °C by the end of the 23rd century at the upper range of our simulations. This pronounced difference in simulated surface air temperatures results in strongly differing pathways of long-term permafrost degradation (Fig. 2).

16612

Depending on initial mean annual ground temperatures (MAGT_{t0}), we exemplarily infer for cold (MAGT_{t0} = -10 °C), medium (MAGT_{t0} = -5 °C), and warm (MAGT_{t0} = -0.5 °C) permafrost mean active layer depths of 20, 70, and 250 cm, respectively. In a recent study, Koven et al. (2013a) have diagnosed observed active layer depths north of 55° N from a circumpolar and a Russian data set (CALM, Brown et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2006). Their analysis suggests a range of measured present-day active layer depths ranging from 30 to 230 cm. The authors underline the challenge of comparing modeled with observed active layer depths given the different spatial coverage of models and observations.

As projections of surface air temperatures only start to diverge strongly after mid of the 21st century, continuous but slow deepening of the active layer is similar under RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 until 2050 (Fig. 2). We first focus on active layer deepening of the largest pool of permafrost carbon, i.e. organic matter in mineral soils under aerobic conditions (Fig. 2, upper panels). Under moderate warming (RCP2.6), active layer depths stabilize after 2100 for cold and medium permafrost temperatures (blue and green curves). Yet permafrost in southerly warm regions will degrade in our simulations with disappearance of near-surface (0 to 3 m) permafrost before 2100 (red curve). Under strong warming (RCP8.5), a sharp increase in thawing rates in the second half of the 21st century can be seen and the majority of model runs suggest a degradation of near-surface permafrost towards the end of the century. In northern and cold permafrost regions, a complete disappearance of near-surface permafrost is only realized after 2150 (blue curve, upper right panel). The sustained long-term warming leads to a continuous deepening of the permafrost table which can reach about 10 m (~ 7 to 13 m, 68% range) by the year 2300 in our simulations.

Under wetland conditions (i.e. water/ice-saturated sediments), the active layer shows a similar but slower deepening in response to rising surface air temperatures (Fig. 2, mid panels). In contrast, when considering thermokarst lake formation, thaw rates increase sharply (Fig. 2, lower panels). In the first years after intense thermokarst formation, sub-lake talik progression is very pronounced and annual thaw rates amount

16613

many decimetres (see the Supplement) – in line with observational and modelling studies (Ling et al., 2012; Kessler et al., 2012) The abrupt thaw dynamics results in disappearance of near-surface permafrost well before 2050 (Fig. 2, lower panels). By the year 2100, typical talik depths amount to 10 to 15 m. The evolution of active layer depths in thermokarst-affected deposits does not strongly differ between moderate and extensive warming (Fig. 2, lower panels). This is because the degradation in thermokarst-affected sediments is driven by lake bottom temperatures. Averaged over a full year, lake bottom temperatures do not strongly differ between moderate and strong surface-air warming (see the Supplement).

In our model setting, we explicitly account for permafrost carbon in deep inventories (Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst deposits). By the end of the 23rd century, typical depths of the permafrost table in these carbon- and ice-rich sediments reach about 5 to 9 m under the RCP8.5 scenario if no abrupt thaw is considered (not shown). Thus even under strong surface air warming, our simulations suggest a large part of the deep carbon deposits will remain perennially frozen over the coming centuries if only gradual thaw is considered. In contrast, in most latitudes of ice-rich Yedoma regions which are affected by new thermokarst formation, thaw reaches the maximum model depth of 15 m before 2300.

3.2 Permafrost carbon release

We define permafrost carbon fluxes similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) as the release from newly thawed permafrost carbon, i.e. the contribution of perennially frozen soil organic matter which becomes part of the active carbon cycle if warmed above pre-industrial temperatures. We stress that these fluxes do not describe the full carbon balance of permafrost regions which is also affected by changes in vegetation uptake, new carbon inputs into deeper soil layers, and carbon release from soil surface layers which were already seasonally thawed under pre-industrial climate (see discussion in Sect. 2.2).

16614

Depending on the degree of ground warming and thus on the extent of active layer deepening, differing amounts of newly thawed carbon will be made available for microbial decomposition and eventual release to the atmosphere. Figure 3 illustrates permafrost carbon thaw and emissions under a scenario of moderate warming (RCP2.6, upper panels) and extensive warming (RCP8.5, lower panels). Under RCP2.6, largest increases in newly thawed permafrost carbon (Fig. 3, first column) are realized until mid of the 21st century with a total of 167 Pg-C (113–239 Pg-C, 68 % range) of which 40–70 % is assumed part of the passive carbon pool and thus recalcitrant on the timescale considered here. In contrast, the pronounced and continuous warming under RCP8.5 results in much larger amounts of newly thawed permafrost carbon. By the year 2100, 367 Pg-C are thawed (233 to 497 Pg-C, 68 % range), and through further permafrost degradation in the 22nd and 23rd century, a total of 564 Pg-C (392 to 734 Pg-C, 68 % range) of organic matter is newly thawed by the year 2300. Focusing on the top three soil meters and considering a larger uncertainty spread in the permafrost carbon inventory, two recent studies estimated a min-max range of 75–870 Pg (Burke et al., 2012) and of 105 to 851 Pg (Harden et al., 2012) of newly thawed permafrost carbon under RCP8.5 until the year 2100.

The intensity of carbon release after permafrost thaw differs strongly among the scenarios in our simulations (Fig. 3). While under RCP2.6, maximum annual CO₂ emission rates are constrained to about 0.4 Pg-C yr⁻¹ (0.2 to 0.6, 68 % range), peak emission rates under RCP8.5 amount to 1.7 Pg-C yr⁻¹ (median) and can reach 2.6 Pg-C yr⁻¹ (upper 68 % range). The decline in emission rates in the 22nd and 23rd century describes the depletion of thawed permafrost carbon through release to the atmosphere. Under all RCPs, peak CO₂ emission rates occur around the end of the 21st century.

Due to much lower anaerobic CH₄ as compared to aerobic CO₂ production rates (Table 1), and due to the majority of soil carbon being thawed under aerobic conditions, methane emission from thawing permafrost soils amounts only a few percent of total permafrost carbon release. Observational and modelling experts have estimated

16615

that methane will contribute by about 1.5–3.5 % to future permafrost carbon release (Schoor et al., 2013).

Given the slow progression of permafrost thaw in wetland-affected sediments, CH₄ release from newly thawed permafrost carbon is only discernible after end of this century (Fig. 3). Our simulations suggest maximum annual CH₄ emission rates of a few Tg-CH₄ for moderate warming, about 16 Tg-CH₄ (8–28, 68 % range) for strong warming. To the contrary, abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes results in peak methane emission after mid of this century. Under RCP2.6, maximum annual CH₄ emissions are constrained to about 5.5 Tg-CH₄ (up to 11.5 for the upper 68 % range), while under RCP8.5 peak CH₄ emission reach about 26 Tg-CH₄ (14–49, 68 % range). The strong decline in emission rates towards the end of the century is an expression of the sharp decrease in thermokarst lake extents through increasing drainage under sustained warming (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement). A pronounced spike in methane emissions as a consequence of rapidly expanding and subsequently shrinking thermokarst lake areas is in line with hypotheses of past rapid thermokarst lake formation and expansion. Walter et al. (2007a) suggest an annual CH₄ release of 30–40 Tg-CH₄ from thermokarst lakes to partially explain CH₄ excursions of early Holocene atmospheric methane levels. Brosius et al. (2012) discuss a yearly contribution from thermokarst lakes of 15 ± 4 Tg-CH₄ during the Younger Dryas and 25 ± 5 Tg-CH₄ during the Preboreal period.

Our modelled total CH₄ fluxes under strong warming are comparable in magnitude to an estimated current release of 24.2 ± 10.5 Tg-CH₄ year⁻¹ from northern lakes (Walter et al., 2007b). The majority of our results suggest that methane fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon are an order of magnitude smaller than the contribution from all current natural (about 200 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹) and anthropogenic (about 350 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹) sources (Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2010). Focusing on thermokarst lakes in ice-rich sediments (i.e. on our Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst deposits), we infer 21st century averaged median emission rates of 6.3 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹ which are about double compared to recent model estimates of thermokarst lake CH₄ release

16616

(van Huissteden et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2013). Based on a carbon mass balance calculation of methane release from Siberian thermokarst lakes, Walter et al. (2007b) suggest a contribution of about 50 000 Tg-CH₄ (or 50–100 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹ over centuries) in case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma ice-complex. Considering contributions from permafrost wetlands and lakes, Burke et al. (2012) infer 21st century methane emission rates below 53 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹ for the majority of their model runs. Although our CH₄ release estimates, which are inferred by an independent modelling approach, are comparable in magnitude with recent work, a direct comparison with studies extrapolating observed CH₄ fluxes should be considered with care. Observed methane fluxes describe the full carbon balance, including contributions from soil surface layers and vegetation cover, which we do not consider in our model setting.

Under strong warming, our modelled methane emissions accumulate to 836 to 2614 Tg-CH₄ (68 % range) until the year 2100. Maximum contributions until the year 2300 can reach 10.000 Tg-CH₄ (upper 68 % range, see Table 2).

We have additionally analysed the impact of uncertainty in initial MAGT distribution on the calculated carbon fluxes. Soil temperatures affect the magnitude of carbon release in two ways. First, MAGTs determine the initial active layer profile and thus the amount of carbon perennially frozen under per-industrial climate. Second, soil temperatures determine the vulnerability of permafrost carbon to future degradation. Based on a model ensemble with sampling solely uncertainty in MAGT, we inferred a spread in the year 2100 of 32.5 ± 23 % Pg-C and 81.5 ± 8 % Pg-C for the scenarios RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 respectively, which further increase to 60 ± 33 % Pg-C and 235 ± 6 % Pg-C in the year 2300. The factor 3–5 larger fractional uncertainty for the climate mitigation scenario (RCP2.6) illustrates the enhanced sensitivity to initial permafrost temperatures of modelled carbon fluxes under moderate warming.

3.3 Contribution of deep deposits

We account for a total of 230 Pg of organic matter buried below 3 m in Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst deposits (including tabular sediments). Under aerobic or wetland

16617

conditions, our simulations suggest only small contributions of these deep deposits to the total release of newly thawed permafrost carbon even under scenarios of strong warming (Fig. 4). Discernible contributions are only inferred towards the end of our simulations (23rd century), with fluxes from deep deposits contributing a maximum of about 10 % to accumulated CO₂ release or about 5 % to total wetland CH₄ release (upper 68 % ranges). The lagged response of deep carbon release is an expression of the slow penetration of heat into the ground. In most latitude bands under the RCP2.6 scenario, no frozen carbon from deep deposits is thawed as the moderate warming does not result in active layer depths exceeding three meters.

Yet if abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes is accounted for, the fast propagation of sub-lake taliks can unlock large amounts of perennially frozen deep organic matter even within this century. Our simulations suggest that until 2100 about 25–30 % of emitted methane from thermokarst lakes stems from contributions of deep permafrost carbon (Fig. 4, lower panel). Maximum contributions until 2300 can amount to 35 % (upper 68 % range).

3.4 Permafrost-affected warming

To disentangle the warming caused by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emission from warming caused by permafrost-carbon release, we have performed paired-simulations under identical parameter settings – once with the permafrost module activated and once deactivated. The difference in global mean surface-air temperatures between each pair of ensemble simulations is what we define as the additional global warming caused by newly thawed permafrost carbon (i.e. permafrost-affected warming).

Although permafrost carbon release increases strongly with rising global temperatures (Fig. 3), our results suggest a permafrost-affected global warming of about 0.05–0.15 °C (68 % range) until 2100 which is only slightly dependent on the anthropogenic emission pathway. The quasi path-independency of the permafrost temperature feedback is an expression of the decreasing radiative efficiency under high atmospheric greenhouse gas levels. Long-term warming from the release of newly

16618

thawed permafrost carbon can add an additional 0.4 °C (upper 68 % range) to global temperatures until the year 2300. Despite of methane release contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon release, our analyses suggest that it can cause up to about 40 % (upper 68 % range) of permafrost-affected warming. In the 22nd and 23rd century the radiative balance is largely affected by aerobic permafrost carbon release as emitted CO₂ accumulates over centuries in the atmosphere – in contrast to the fast decline in methane anomalies with a typical CH₄ life-time of about a decade.

4 Discussion and conclusions

This paper presents a new observation-based model for assessing long-term climatic consequences of permafrost degradation. Our simulation strategy consisted in partitioning carbon inventories into different pools of varying soil and surface conditions to model site-specific carbon release. Rather than trying to capture permafrost-carbon dynamics in detail, we instead have aimed at describing in a simplified manner a multitude of processes which are key to permafrost carbon release – such as abrupt thaw in thermokarst-affected sediments. We have especially aimed at accounting for the contribution of carbon release from known deep deposits in the 1.3 million km² large Yedoma region of Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013; Walter Anthony et al., 2014), which had been neglected in most previous modelling studies. Our computationally efficient model has enabled us to scan the large uncertainty inherent to observing and modelling the permafrost carbon feedback. In our study we had focused on the contribution of newly thawed permafrost carbon which becomes vulnerable through soil warming above pre-industrial temperatures. However, we stress that the full permafrost carbon feedback is also affected by contributions from soil surface layers (seasonally thawed active layer) and changes in high-latitude vegetation which are not considered in this study.

The large spread in future carbon release from permafrost degradation inferred from modelling studies (see Schaefer et al., 2014 for an overview) is caused by

16619

various factors. One key issue are pronounced differences in the strength of simulated permafrost degradation. In a recent observationally-constrained model study, Hayes et al. (2014) suggest a mean deepening of the active layer of 6.8 cm over the period 1970 to 2006. We simulate a deepening by 5.9–15.5 cm (68 % range) over the same period when focusing on our mineral soil pool under aerobic conditions. By the year 2100, our simulations suggest a mean active layer deepening of this pool by 40–76 cm under RCP2.6, and of 105–316 cm under RCP8.5. The latter range covers a large part of previous estimates, although some studies suggest lower values (Schaefer et al., 2014). Yet a comparison of aggregated simulated active layer depths should be considered with care as differences in definitions (e.g. of the considered permafrost domain and its vertical extent) or different assumptions of future warming can lead to estimating systematically lower or higher active layer depths.

Our simulations suggest that permafrost emissions will be strongly constrained when limiting global warming: under a climate mitigation pathway (RCP2.6), the increase in high latitude temperatures results in a moderate deepening of the active layer which stabilizes in most latitudes after the year 2100 (in line with diagnostics based on complex models, Slater and Lawrence, 2013). Until end of the century about 36 Pg (20–58 Pg, 68 % range) of carbon can be released as CO₂. Under strong warming (RCP8.5), permafrost degradation proves substantial and cumulated CO₂ emissions can reach 87 Pg-C (42–141 Pg-C, 68 % range) by the year 2100. A release of 87 Pg-C corresponds to a mean loss of about 12 % of our initial inventory of 750 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under pre-industrial climate. Modelling studies estimated a loss of 6–33 % of initial permafrost carbon stocks, while the majority of models suggest a loss of 10–20 % (Schaefer et al., 2014). Incubation of permafrost soil samples suggest a carbon loss from mineral soils under aerobic conditions of 13 and 15 % over 100 years when assuming thaw during 4 months in a year (Schädel et al., 2013; Knoblauch et al., 2013).

The sustained long-term warming under RCP8.5 results in an almost complete degradation of near-surface permafrost in the 22nd century and illustrates the long-

16620

term consequences of permafrost carbon release: our simulations suggest that until the year 2300, a total of about 157–313 Pg-C can be released to the atmosphere. Peak emissions occur at the end of the 21st century and reach 2.5 Pg-C per year under strong warming (RCP8.5, upper 68 % range). In the 22nd and 23rd century depletion of permafrost carbon gets increasingly noticeable and total emissions from newly thawed carbon decline. Our analyses have shown a large potential of reducing uncertainty in simulated carbon fluxes especially for climate mitigation pathways when more and spatially higher resolved data of present day permafrost temperatures will be available.

Based on our conceptual model of thermokarst lake formation and drainage, our results suggest that abrupt thaw can unlock large amounts of frozen carbon within this century. We infer a deepening of the permafrost table by several meters in 100 years after thermokarst initiation, with additional talik propagation large enough to fully thaw sediments to our lower pool boundary (15 m) in the second half of the 22nd century. Subsequent CH₄ release from newly thawed permafrost under RCP8.5 results in peak emissions up to about 50 Tg-CH₄ yr⁻¹ (upper 68 % range) in the 21st century. Our modelled methane releases are of a magnitude comparable to paleo-based estimates from past thermokarst dynamics (Walter et al., 2007a; Brosius et al., 2012) and suggest slightly larger fluxes compared to two recent modelling studies (Gao et al., 2013; van Huissteden et al., 2011). In contrast to abrupt thaw and fast release under thermokarst lakes, methane release from newly thawed carbon in wetland-affected soils is slow with discernible contributions only in the 22nd and 23rd century. Although contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon release, our simulated methane fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon can cause up to 40 % of permafrost-affected warming in the 21st century. Given the short lifetime of methane, the radiative forcing from permafrost carbon in the 22nd and 23rd century is largely dominated by aerobic CO₂ release.

Under strong warming, our modelled methane emissions from newly thawed permafrost accumulate to some thousand terra grammes until the year 2100, with maximum contributions of 10 000 Tg-CH₄ (upper 68 % range) until the year 2300

16621

(see Table 1). Yet the release of this amount of CH₄ would only slightly affect future atmospheric methane levels under projected RCP CH₄ emissions as the anthropogenic contribution will dominate atmospheric CH₄ concentrations. In the extremely unlikely case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma ice-complex, Walter et al. (2007b) have discussed a contribution of 50 000 Tg of methane being released into the atmosphere.

To put into relation the contribution of carbon fluxes from deep deposits to the total, circumpolar release from newly thawed permafrost, we have analysed the contribution of individual pools. Our simulations suggest that the omission of deep carbon stores is unlikely to strongly affect CO₂ release from permafrost degradation in the coming centuries. In contrast, CH₄ fluxes from newly thawed permafrost are strongly influenced by carbon release from organic matter stored in deep deposits. Although our considered deep pools cover only about 12 % of the total area of Northern Hemisphere gelisols, and despite of the organic matter in these pools being buried deep in the ground, these pools contribute significantly to the total CH₄ balance because abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes can unlock a large portion of previously inert organic matter. About a quarter of 21st century CH₄ release stems from newly thawed organic matter stored in deep deposits (i.e. from soil layers deeper than 3 m). Further, our analyses revealed that the release from mineralization of labile organic matter contributes disproportionately high to these fluxes. Despite of assuming a fast (labile) pool fraction of only a few percent, our simulated CH₄ fluxes from newly thawed labile organic matter account for up to half of the total thermokarst-affected deep CH₄ release in the 21st century. Therefore, improved observational estimates of the share of labile organic matter would help to reduce uncertainty in simulated methane release from deep carbon deposits (Strauss et al., 2014). The analysis of individual deep pools revealed a methane release about a factor of two larger from refrozen thermokarst compared to unaltered Yedoma.

Our results suggest a mean increase in global average surface temperature of about 0.1 °C by the year 2100 (0.03–0.14 °C, 68 % ranges) caused by carbon release from newly thawed permafrost soils. Long-term warming through the permafrost carbon

16622

feedback (year 2300) can add an additional 0.4 °C (upper 68 % range) to projected global mean surface air temperatures. Our analyses suggest a permafrost-affected warming which is similar under differing scenarios of anthropogenic emissions – despite of largest carbon release from permafrost degradation under strong warming.

5 The weak path dependency is a consequence of the decreasing radiative efficiency of emitted permafrost carbon under increasing greenhouse gas levels. MacDougall et al. (2012) also modelled a permafrost-carbon feedback largely independent of the emission pathway but inferred larger upper estimates of permafrost-affected warming due to considering a much larger pool available for carbon release triggered by

10 permafrost degradation. An increase in the permafrost temperature feedback with global warming was inferred by Burke et al. (2012) who considered a much larger spread in the near-surface permafrost carbon inventory (~ 300 to 1800 Pg-C) and who estimated the permafrost temperature feedback by the year 2100 as 0.02–0.11 °C and 0.08–0.36 °C (90 % ranges) under RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 respectively.

15 5 Outlook

We consider our estimates conservative because carbon release from further, in this study unaccounted sources, are likely to increase the strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

Firstly, our study focuses solely on the carbon fluxes resulting from newly thawed

20 soils and deposits in our simulation scenarios, thus excluding carbon fluxes from permafrost-affected soils in the current active layer. These soils will also warm to different levels under RCP scenarios and very likely will be subject to enhanced mineralization of the large already seasonally thawed C pool of about 500 Pg (Hugelius et al., 2014). Secondly, we do not account for the contribution of newly thawed organic

25 matter of low quality, which we assume recalcitrant on the timescale considered here (i.e. 40–70 % of thawed organic matter is not available for release). More data and longer time series of incubation experiments, in combination with modelling

16623

work of soil-carbon dynamics, are needed to better constrain timescale assumptions for soil organic matter decomposition. Also of importance are improved data-based estimates of CH₄ : CO₂ anaerobic production ratios, which determine the share of carbon emitted as CH₄. Thirdly, we do not account for the presence, and potential thaw and mobilization, of deep frozen carbon outside the Yedoma and RTK region. Currently

5 no coherent data is available on the distribution and organic carbon characteristics of soils and sediments below 3m depth for large regions in Siberia, Alaska, and Canada. Our model results suggest that these depths will be affected by thaw over the coming centuries and available thawed organic matter would contribute

10 to the permafrost carbon feedback. Fourthly, we do not consider carbon release from degrading submarine permafrost which might result in an underestimation of circumpolar permafrost-affected methane fluxes in our study (Shakhova et al., 2010). Fifthly, extensive permafrost degradation can support a large and abrupt release of fossil CH₄ from below the permafrost cap based on presence of regional hydrocarbon

15 reservoirs and geologic pathways for gas migration (Walter Anthony et al., 2012). We do not consider this pathway of abrupt methane release which could lead to a non-gradual increase in the permafrost-carbon feedback if sub-cap CH₄ increases non-linearly with warming. Likely, the most important omission in our study stems from changes in the high-latitude carbon balance caused by altered vegetation dynamics.

20 Here, an increased carbon uptake through more productive high-latitude vegetation and the renewal of carbon sinks in drained thermokarst basins can considerably decrease the net carbon loss on centennial time-scales (Schaphoff et al., 2013; van Huissteden et al., 2011). Yet this loss can be partially compensated through enhanced respiration of soil-surface organic matter which is stored in large amounts

25 in permafrost regions (but which was not incorporated into permafrost in the past and thus is not considered in this study here). On the other hand, a transition from tundra-towards taiga-dominated landscapes as a consequence of high-latitude warming can strongly decrease surface albedo and therefore additionally warm permafrost regions. We consider the implementation of high-latitude vegetation dynamics into permafrost

16624

models a key step towards an improved capturing of the timing and strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

**The Supplement related to this article is available online at
doi:10.5194/bgd-11-16599-2014-supplement.**

5 *Acknowledgements.* Special thanks to S. Mathesius for the analysis of CMIP-5 data, G. Hugelius for providing soil carbon data for near-surface permafrost inventories, M. Allen for having provided an earlier version of the climate carbon cycle model, H. Lantuit for discussing aspects of permafrost degradation through coastal erosion, C. Schädel for discussing incubation results of soil carbon lability, and Katey Walter Anthony and Hanna Lee
10 for discussing ratios of methane vs. carbon dioxide production rates.

Portions of this study were supported by the Federal Environment Agency for Germany (UBA) under project UFOPLAN FKZ 3712 41 106 and ERC Starting Grant #338 335.

J. Strauss was supported by a grant of the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes (German National Academic Foundation) and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research
15 (Grant 01DM12011).

References

- Abnizova, A., Siemens, J., Langer, M., and Boike, J.: Small ponds with major impact: the relevance of ponds and lakes in permafrost landscapes to carbon dioxide emissions, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 26, GB2041, doi:10.1029/2011GB004237, 2012.
- 20 Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., Lu, Z., and Whitman, M. S.: Shifting balance of thermokarst lake ice regimes across the Arctic Coastal Plain of northern Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L16503, doi:10.1029/2012GL052518, 2012.
- Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J., and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude wetlands in response to permafrost thaw, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 444–448, 2011.
- 25 Beer, C., Fedorov, A. N., and Torgovkin, Y.: Permafrost temperature and active-layer thickness of Yakutia with 0.5-degree spatial resolution for model evaluation, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 305–310, doi:10.5194/essd-5-305-2013, 2013.
- 16625

- Boike, J., Langer, M., Lantuit, H., Muster, S., Roth, K., Sachs, T., Overduin, P., Westermann, S., and McGuire, A. D.: Permafrost – physical aspects, carbon cycling, databases and uncertainties, in: *Recarbonization of the Biosphere*, edited by: Lal, R., Lorenz, K., Hüttl, R. F., Schneider, B. U., and von Braun, J., Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 159–185, 2012.
- 5 Boike, J., Kattenstroth, B., Abramova, K., Bornemann, N., Chetverova, A., Fedorova, I., Fröb, K., Grigoriev, M., Grüber, M., Kutzbach, L., Langer, M., Minke, M., Muster, S., Piel, K., Pfeiffer, E.-M., Stoof, G., Westermann, S., Wischniewski, K., Wille, C., and Hubberten, H.-W.: Baseline characteristics of climate, permafrost and land cover from a new permafrost observatory in the Lena River Delta, Siberia (1998–2011), *Biogeosciences*, 10, 2105–2128, doi:10.5194/bg-10-2105-2013, 2013.
- 10 Brosius, L. S., Walter Anthony, K. M., Grosse, G., Chanton, J. P., Farquharson, L. M., Overduin, P. P., and Meyer, H.: Using the deuterium isotope composition of permafrost meltwater to constrain thermokarst lake contributions to atmospheric CH₄ during the last deglaciation, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 117, G01022, doi:10.1029/2011JG001810, 2012.
- 15 Brown, J., Hinkel, K. M., and Nelson, F. E.: The circumpolar active layer monitoring (calm) program: research designs and initial results, *Polar Geography*, 24, 166–258, 2000.
- Burke, E. J., Hartley, I. P., and Jones, C. D.: Uncertainties in the global temperature change caused by carbon release from permafrost thawing, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1063–1076, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1063-2012, 2012.
- 20 Conrad, R., Klose, M., and Claus, P.: Pathway of CH₄ formation in anoxic rice field soil and rice roots determined by ¹³C-stable isotope fractionation, *Chemosphere*, 47, 797–806, doi:10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00120-0, 2002.
- Dankers, R., Burke, E. J., and Price, J.: Simulation of permafrost and seasonal thaw depth in the JULES land surface scheme, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 773–790, doi:10.5194/tc-5-773-2011, 2011.
- 25 Dutta, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Neff, J. C., and Zimov, S. A.: Potential carbon release from permafrost soils of Northeastern Siberia, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 12, 2336–2351, 2006.
- Ekici, A., Beer, C., Hagemann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., and Hauck, C.: Simulating high-latitude permafrost regions by the JSBACH terrestrial ecosystem model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 631–647, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-631-2014, 2014.
- 30

- Eliseev, A. V., Mokhov, I. I., Arzhanov, M. M., Demchenko, P. F., and Denisov, S. N.: Interaction of the methane cycle and processes in wetland ecosystems in a climate model of intermediate complexity, *Izv. Atmos. Oceanic Phys.*, 44, 139–152, 2008.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA): Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from natural sources EPA 430-R-10-001, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2010.
- 5 Frauenfeld, O. W., Zhang, T., Barry, R. G., and Gilichinsky, D.: Interdecadal changes in seasonal freeze and thaw depths in Russia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, D05101, doi:10.1029/2003JD004245, 2004.
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, K., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate–carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP model intercomparison, *J. Climate*, 19, 3337–3353, doi:10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.
- 10 Frolking, S., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., Richard, P. J. H., Lavoie, M., and Muller, S. D.: Modeling northern peatland decomposition and peat accumulation, *Ecosystems*, 4, 479–498, 2001.
- Gao, X., Schlosser, C. A., Sokolov, A., Anthony, K. W., Zhuang, Q., and Kicklighter, D.: Permafrost degradation and methane: low risk of biogeochemical climate-warming feedback, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8, 035014, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035014, 2013
- 20 Gouttevin, I., Menegoz, M., Dominé, F., Krinner, G., Koven, C., Ciais, P., Tarnocai, C., and Boike, J.: How the insulating properties of snow affect soil carbon distribution in the continental pan-Arctic area, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 117, G02020, doi:10.1029/2011JG001916, 2012.
- Grosse, G., Schirrmeister, L., Siegert, C., Kunitsky, V. V., Slagoda, E. A., Andreev, A. A., and Dereviagnyn, A. Y.: Geological and geomorphological evolution of a sedimentary periglacial landscape in Northeast Siberia during the Late Quaternary, *Geomorphology*, 86, 25–51, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.08.005, 2007.
- 25 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Turetsky, M., McGuire, A. D., Camill, P., Tarnocai, C., Frolking, S., Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, T., Marchenko, S., Romanovsky, V., Wickland, K. P., French, N., Waldrop, M., Bourgeau-Chavez, L., and Striegl, R. G.: Vulnerability of high-latitude soil organic carbon in North America to disturbance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, G00K06, doi:10.1029/2010JG001507, 2011.
- 30

16627

- Harden, J. W., Koven, C. D., Ping, C.-L., Hugelius, G., David McGuire, A., Camill, P., Jorgenson, T., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G. J., O'Donnell, J. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C., Johnson, K., and Grosse, G.: Field information links permafrost carbon to physical vulnerabilities of thawing, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L15704, doi:10.1029/2012GL051958, 2012.
- 5 Hayes, D. J., Kicklighter, D. W., McGuire, A. D., Chen, M., Zhuang, Q., Yuan, F., Melillo, J. M., and Wulfschleger, S. D.: The impacts of recent permafrost thaw on land–atmosphere greenhouse gas exchange, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 9, 045005, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/4/045005 2014.
- 10 Hugelius, G., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Canadell, J. G., Kuhry, P., and Swanson, D. K.: The Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database: spatially distributed datasets of soil coverage and soil carbon storage in the northern permafrost regions, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 3–13, doi:10.5194/essd-5-3-2013, 2013.
- 15 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: Improved estimates show large circumpolar stocks of permafrost carbon while quantifying substantial uncertainty ranges and identifying remaining data gaps, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 4771–4822, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-4771-2014, 2014.
- 20 Jafarov, E. E., Marchenko, S. S., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Numerical modeling of permafrost dynamics in Alaska using a high spatial resolution dataset, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 613–624, doi:10.5194/tc-6-613-2012, 2012.
- Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Jones, M. C., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Modern thermokarst lake dynamics in the continuous permafrost zone, northern Seward Peninsula, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 116, G00M03, doi:10.1029/2011JG001666, 2011.
- 25 Jones, M. C., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., and Walter Anthony, K.: Peat accumulation in drained thermokarst lake basins in continuous, ice-rich permafrost, northern Seward Peninsula, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 117, G00M07, doi:10.1029/2011JG001766, 2012.
- 30 Jorgenson, M. T., Shur, Y. L., and Pullman, E. R.: Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in Arctic Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L02503, doi:10.1029/2005GL024960, 2006.

16628

- Zimov, S. A.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: implications for the global carbon cycle, *Bioscience*, 58, 701–714, 2008.
- Schuur, E. A. G., Abbott, B. W., Bowden, W. B., Brovkin, V., Camill, P., Canadell, J. G., Chanton, J. P., Chapin III, F. S., Christensen, T. R., Ciais, P., Crosby, B. T., Czimczik, C. I., Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jastrow, J. D., Jones, J. B., Kleinen, T., Koven, C. D., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M., O'Donnell, J. A., Ping, C. L., Riley, W. J., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K., Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Sky, J., Subin, Z. M., Tarnocai, C., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P., Walter Anthony, K. M., Wickland, K. P., Wilson, C. J., and Zimov, S. A.: Expert assessment of vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change, *Climatic Change*, 119, 359–374, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0730-7, 2013.
- Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying wetland methane fluxes, *Biogeochemistry*, 41, 23–51, 1998.
- Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Salyuk, A., Yusupov, V., Kosmach, D., and Gustafsson, O.: Extensive methane venting to the atmosphere from sediments of the East Siberian Arctic Shelf, *Science*, 327, 1246–1250, 2010.
- Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.: Improved attribution of climate forcing to emissions, *Science*, 326, 716–718, doi:10.1126/science.1174760, 2009.
- Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis, S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 161–185, 2003.
- Slater, A. G. and Lawrence, D. M.: Diagnosing present and future permafrost from climate models, *J. Climate*, 26, 5608–5623, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00341.1, 2013.
- Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M., and Hinzman, L. D.: Disappearing arctic lakes, *Science*, 308, 1429–1429, 2005.
- Stieglitz, M., Déry, S. J., Romanovsky, V. E., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The role of snow cover in the warming of arctic permafrost, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1721, doi:10.1029/2003GL017337, 2003.
- Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Wetterich, S., Borchers, A., and Davydov, S. P.: Grain-size properties and organic-carbon stock of Yedoma Ice Complex permafrost from

16633

- the Kolyma lowland, northeastern Siberia, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 26, GB3003, doi:10.1029/2011GB004104, 2012.
- Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzsuh, U., and Hubberten, H.-W.: The deep permafrost carbon pool of the Yedoma region in Siberia and Alaska, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 6165–6170, doi:10.1002/2013GL058088, 2013.
- Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Mangelsdorf, K., Eichhorn, L., Wetterich, S., and Herzsuh, U.: Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon – a study from Arctic Siberia, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 15945–15989, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-15945-2014, 2014.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93, 485–498, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2011.
- Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E., and Kasischke, E. S.: Recent acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in Alaskan forests and peatlands, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 27–31, 2011.
- van Huissteden, J. and Dolman, A.: Soil carbon in the Arctic and the permafrost carbon feedback, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4, 545–551, 2012.
- van Huissteden, J., Berrittella, C., Parmentier, F. J. W., Mi, Y., Maximov, T. C., and Dolman, A. J.: Methane emissions from permafrost thaw lakes limited by lake drainage, *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 119–123, 2011.
- Velichko, A. A., Catto, N., Drenova, A. N., Klimanov, V. A., Kremenetski, K. V., and Nechaev, V. P.: Climate changes in East Europe and Siberia at the Late glacial–holocene transition, *Quatern. Int.*, 91, 75–99, doi:10.1016/S1040-6182(01)00104-5, 2002.
- Walter Anthony, K. M., Anthony, P., Grosse, G., and Chanton, J.: Geologic methane seeps along boundaries of Arctic permafrost thaw and melting glaciers, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 419–426, 2012.
- Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Ili, F. S. C., Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch, *Nature*, 511, 452–456, doi:10.1038/nature13560, 2014.
- Walter, B. P. and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 14, 745–765, 2000.
- Walter, K. M., Edwards, M. E., Grosse, G., Zimov, S. A., and Chapin, F. S.: Thermokarst lakes as a source of atmospheric CH₄ during the last deglaciation, *Science*, 318, 633–636, 2007a.

16634

- Walter, K. M., Smith, L. C., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane bubbling from northern lakes: present and future contributions to the global methane budget, *Philos. T. R. Soc. A*, 365, 1657–1676, 2007b.
- 5 Yi, S., Wischnewski, K., Langer, M., Muster, S., and Boike, J.: Freeze/thaw processes in complex permafrost landscapes of northern Siberia simulated using the TEM ecosystem model: impact of thermokarst ponds and lakes, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 1671–1689, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-1671-2014, 2014.
- Yoshikawa, K. and Hinzman, L. D.: Shrinking thermokarst ponds and groundwater dynamics in discontinuous permafrost near council, Alaska, *Permafrost Periglac.*, 14, 151–160, doi:10.1002/ppp.451, 2003.
- 10 Zimov, S. A., Davydov, S. P., Zimova, G. M., Davydova, A. I., Schuur, E. A. G., Dutta, K., and Chapin, F. S.: Permafrost carbon: stock and decomposability of a globally significant carbon pool, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L20502, doi:10.1029/2006GL027484, 2006.
- Zhang, T., Frauenfeld, O. W., and Barry, R. G.: Time series of active layer thickness in the Russian Arctic, 1930–1990, Digital media, National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO, available at: <http://data.eol.ucar.edu/codiac/dss/id=106.ARCSS160>, 2006.
- 15

16635

Table 1. Permafrost model parameters and uncertainties. Some parameters are soil pool specific (MS: mineral soils, ORG: organic soils, Y: Yedoma, RTK: refrozen thermokarst deposits (separated into surface and taberal sediments), some parameters depend on hydrologic conditions (AER: aerobic, WET: wetland anaerobic, TKL: thermokarst lake anaerobic), and some parameters depend on organic matter quality (FAST and SLOW).

Parameter	Unit	Default setting	Uncertainty range	References
Carbon inventory				
Mineral soils (MS) 0–3 m (orthels and turbels)	Pg-C	540	±40%	Hugelius et al. (2014)
Organic soils (ORG) 0–3 m (histels)	Pg-C	120	±40%	Hugelius et al. (2014)
Yedoma (Y) 0–15 m	Pg-C	83	±75%	Strauss et al. (2013)
Refrozen thermokarst deposits				
RTK _{Surface} (0–5 m)	Pg-C	128	±75%	Strauss et al. (2013)
RTK _{Taberal} (5–15 m)	Pg-C	114	±75%	Walter-Anthony et al. (2014)
Fraction Fast Pool ^a	%	2.5	1–4	Dutta et al. (2006), Burke et al. (2012), Schädel et al. (2014)
Fraction Slow Pool	%	45	30–60	Sitch et al. (2003), Koven et al. (2011), Burke et al. (2012)
Carbon release				
Turnover time of aerobic slow pool at 5 °C ^b	years	25	10–40	Sitch et al. (2003), Burke et al. (2012), Dutta et al. (2006)
Ratio of production CH ₄ : CO ₂ ^{aerobic}		1 : 50	±50%	Lee et al. (2012), Schuur et al. (2008), Segers (1998)
Ratio of production CH ₄ : CO ₂ ^{anaerobic c}		FAST 1 : 1 SLOW 1 : 7	±20% ±50%	Walter-Anthony et al. (2014) Lee et al. (2012)
Q ₁₀ sensitivity aerobic		2.5	1.5–3.5	Schädel et al. (2013) and references therein
Q ₁₀ sensitivity anaerobic		3.0	2–6	Walter and Heimann (2000)
CH ₄ oxidation rate	%	TKL 15 WET 40	10–20 20–60	see Burke et al. (2012) and references therein

16636




Figure 1. Schematic subdivision of permafrost soil carbon stocks into the four main pools (mineral soils, organic soils, refrozen thermokarst deposits (including taberal), and Yedoma deposits) and into aerobic (dark yellow) and anaerobic (blue: thermokarst lake, green: wetland) fractions. Individual boxes indicate the vertical extent and overall soil carbon quantity, as well as the aerobic and anaerobic fractions (not fully to scale). The dashed lines illustrate the model resolution into latitudinal bands (only shown for the mineral soil carbon pool) and vertical layers. Exemplarily, for the mineral soil carbon pool the North–South gradient of active layer depth (red line) and soil carbon release as CO₂ and CH₄ are also shown (broad arrows). Not shown is the additional differentiation into a fast and slow pool component.

16639




Figure 2. Simulated changes in active layer depths ALD for mineral soils under moderate (RCP2.6) and extensive (RCP8.5) warming (left and right panels). Shown is the deepening of the active layer for a north–south gradient of different initial permafrost temperatures (blue: MAGTt0 = −10 °C, green: MAGTt0 = −5 °C, red: MAGTt0 = −0.5 °C) and for different hydrologic conditions (a, b: aerobic, c, d: wetland, e, f: thermokarst lake). Vertical bars illustrate the model spread inferred from an ensemble of 500 runs (68 % range). The horizontal dashed lines denote the near-surface permafrost boundary (3 m). Note the different y axes scales.

16640




Figure 3. Simulated increase in newly thawed permafrost carbon C and resulting rates of annual CO₂ and CH₄ release under moderate (upper panels) and extensive (lower panels) global warming for the years 1900 to 2300. CH₄ release is shown separately for fluxes from wetland (WET) and thermokarst lake (TKL) pools. Blue lines show ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty. Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68 % range, dotted lines: 80 % range). Shown are contributions aggregated over all individual pools, summed over all latitudes and depths layers.

16641




Figure 4. Contribution of deep permafrost carbon deposits to total carbon fluxes under aerobic (upper panel) and anaerobic (lower panel) conditions. Shown is the contribution of cumulated CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from deep deposits (3–15 m) to total circumarctic carbon release (0–15 m) under strong warming (RCP8.5). Solid lines represent median values, dashed lines 68 % ranges. CH₄ release is shown separately for wetland-affected sediments (green) and for thermokarst-affected sediments (blue).

16642




Figure 5. Increase in global average surface air temperature through newly thawed permafrost carbon under various anthropogenic warming scenarios (RCP2.6 to RCP8.5). Blue lines show ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty. Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68 % range, dotted lines: 80 % range). Shown is the temperature feedback as a consequence of CO_2 and CH_4 release from all individual pools.

Supplement of Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 16599–16643, 2014
<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/16599/2014/>
doi:10.5194/bgd-11-16599-2014-supplement
© Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Supplement of

Observation-based modelling of permafrost carbon fluxes with accounting for deep carbon deposits and thermokarst activity

T. Schneider von Deimling et al.

Correspondence to: T. Schneider von Deimling (schneider@pik-potsdam.de)

1 **Supplementary material**

2 **1 Model initialization**

3 **1.1 Permafrost carbon inventory**

4 Based on updated soil carbon data (Hugelius et al., 2013) we describe the amount of organic
5 matter in near-surface permafrost which we allocate into a mineral soil pool (SOCC<20% per
6 weight, 540 Pg-C) and into an organic soil pool (SOCC>20%, 120 Pg-C), separately for the
7 depth levels 0 to 1m, 1 to 2m, and 2 to 3m. We hereby focus on carbon in permafrost-affected
8 soils, i.e. orthels and turbels for the mineral pools, and histels for the organic pools (see Fig. 1).
9 Furthermore, we consider two additional pools to describe carbon stored in ice-rich deep deposits
10 ranging from the surface to a depth of 15 meters. Following the inventory classification by
11 Strauss et al. (2013), we consider a Yedoma pool (~80 Pg-C, 0 to 15m) and a refrozen
12 thermokarst pool (~130 Pg-C, 0 to 5m). To avoid double-accounting of near-surface inventory
13 estimates, we subtract the amount of permafrost carbon in the top three meters of the Yedoma
14 and refrozen thermokarst pools (Strauss et al., 2013) from the near-surface mineral soil pool
15 (Hugelius et al., 2013). While the Yedoma pool classifies carbon deposits unaffected by past
16 thermokarst activity, the refrozen thermokarst pool describes organic material buried in
17 sediments which had been subject to abrupt permafrost thaw in the past. In addition to the
18 estimate of Yedoma and thermokarst carbon deposits by Strauss et al. (2013), we also consider
19 permafrost carbon stored in deep taberal sediments (~110 Pg-C, Walter-Anthony et al., 2014) in
20 the depth range 5 to 15m (Fig.1). We do not separately consider an estimated 70 Pg-C stored
21 perennially frozen in deep deltaic alluvium (Hugelius et al., 2014). The potential for intensive
22 future thermokarst formation (and thus for deep thaw) in typical deltaic landscapes is rather
23 small, thus we assume that a large portion of this deep carbon store will remain frozen over the
24 next centuries.

25 As we start our simulations from pre-industrial climate, we enlarge our data-based near-surface
26 carbon pools by 10%. This increase accounts for historical permafrost carbon release and
27 matches the amount of simulated permafrost carbon at the year 2000 with the inventory estimates
28 by Hugelius et al. (2014).

1.2 Permafrost temperatures and active layer profile

To fully initialize our model, we had to determine permafrost ground temperatures of our carbon inventory. Actual observations, however, are limited and we therefore make the simplifying assumption that ground temperatures are to first order determined by surface air temperatures. We used climatology data from the Berkeley Earth dataset (<http://berkeleyearth.org/data>) to partition our permafrost grid cells (which range from 47°N to 84°N) into bins of varying surface air temperatures¹. Based on typical north-south gradients of mean annual ground temperatures (MAGTs) (Romanovsky et al., 2010; Beer et al., 2013), we assume that the bin with the warmest air temperatures corresponds to southern and warm permafrost with an initial MAGT of -0.5°C (MAGT_{Max}), and that the bin with coldest air temperatures corresponds to northern permafrost with an initial MAGT of -10°C (MAGT_{Min}). For our default parameter setting, we linearly scale the remainder of temperature bins between MAGT_{Max} and MAGT_{Min} . To account for uncertainty, we use a non-linear scaling to allow for clustering towards warmer or colder initial MAGTs (with keeping the total range of -10°C to -0.5°C fixed).

After initialization, MAGT is re-calculated at each time-step for each depth level between the soil surface and the active layer depth by assuming a time-lagged response of soil temperatures to changing surface air temperatures. Hereby we assume an increasing lag with depth, i.e. a maximum lag at the active layer level which decreases towards zero at the soil surface.

We determine the latitudinal profile of the active layer based on our prescribed north-south gradient of initial MAGTs. We assume the seasonal ground temperature cycle to exponentially decay with depth and we choose a typical scale depth to infer temperature profiles consistent with observed, “trumpet-shaped” soil temperature profiles (Romanovsky et al., 2010; Boike et al., 2013). We then define the equilibrium active layer level for each soil pool and for each latitude as the depth at which maximum soil summer temperatures equal zero degrees. Warmer locations or stronger seasonal cycles result in deeper active layers than colder regions or locations of reduced annual temperature ranges (see Koven et al. (2013)).

¹ We use summer air temperatures because they are likely to result in a better representation of the soil thermal state compared to annual mean air temperatures. Cold winter air temperatures do not fully penetrate into the ground because snow cover is an effective thermal insulator.

2 Thaw rate parametrization

We model the process of long-term active layer deepening by assuming that thaw rates can be parametrized depending on four key factors: thermal ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, active layer depth, and magnitude of the regional warming anomaly which drives permafrost degradation. For each latitude band lat , soil type S , and aerobic/anaerobic regime A , we separately calculate the time evolution of active layer depths by describing individual thaw rates $TR(t)$:

$$TR(t)_{S,A,lat} = \bar{\alpha}_{S,A} * S(t)_{S,A,lat} * \frac{dT^*(t)_{A,lat}}{z_{ALD}(t)_{S,A,lat}} \quad (1),$$

with $\bar{\alpha}$ describing aggregated soil-specific thermal diffusivities, $S(t)$ a soil temperature dependent scaling, $dT^*(t)$ the thaw driving surface warming anomaly, and $z_{ALD}(t)$ the active layer depth. The choice for these four factors is motivated in the following:

1) $\bar{\alpha}_{S,A}$ is a soil-specific parameter (*aggregated thermal diffusivity*) which determines how effectively heat can penetrate into the ground. Hereby we assume that heat diffusion into the frozen ground is to first order determined by the ice content of the sediments. We first prescribe $\bar{\alpha}_S$ for mineral soils under aerobic conditions and then use scaling factors to infer thermal diffusivities for the remaining carbon pools. As the high latent heat content of ice-rich deposits impedes the rate of downward thawing (Jorgenson et al., 2010, Romanovsky et al., 2010), we scale $\bar{\alpha}_S$ according to assumed ice-contents (typical mineral soils: 25 vol%, Yedoma: 70 vol%, refrozen thermokarst: 45 vol% (Schirrmeister et al., 2011; Strauss et al., 2013). For organic soils we assume a reduced thermal diffusivity compared to mineral soils (factor 0.5) given higher ice-contents and the low thermal conductivity of organic matter. When lakes grow deep enough to prevent winter re-freeze, permafrost degradation increases substantially due to year-round thawing (Arp et al., 2012). To capture the increase in thaw rates after thermokarst formation, we tune $\bar{\alpha}_{S,A}$ to match simulated talik propagation of Kessler et al. (2012). If soils are subject to wetland conditions (i.e. they are moisture-saturated but are not covered by lakes), we assume a reduced thermal diffusivity given the higher ice contents in these soils (see Table 1).

- 1 2) When permafrost is close to zero degrees, almost all heat is used for the phase transition from
2 ice to water, while for colder conditions the majority of the warming anomaly is used to
3 increase permafrost temperatures with little downward propagation of the thaw front. To
4 capture the difference between much lower thaw rates in cold as compared to warm
5 permafrost (see Schaphoff et al. (2013)), we describe a latitude-dependent scaling factor
6 $S(t)_{lat}$ which non-linearly scales thaw rates by mean annual ground temperatures (MAGTs).
7 Hereby, we describe a quartic dependency of $S(t)_{lat}$ on MAGT to capture the sharp increase
8 in thaw rates when permafrost temperatures approach zero degrees. The scaling factor profile
9 is parametrized to yield a ratio of 1:10 for thaw rates at coldest (MAGT= -10°C) to warmest
10 (MAGT= 0°C) permafrost.
- 11 3) We capture the strong dampening of heat propagation with depth by assuming that the thaw
12 rate is inversely proportional to depth (Kessler et al., 2012). This allows us to reproduce the
13 general tendency of high talik development rates in the first years after thermokarst initiation
14 and gradual decrease with time (Ling, 2003).
- 15 4) The magnitude of the regional surface warming anomaly is a further key driver of subsurface
16 permafrost degradation. We assume thaw rates in non-thermokarst affected sediments being
17 proportional to the magnitude of the surface air temperature anomaly, i.e. the warming above
18 pre-industrial temperatures. We calculate the warming anomaly in each latitude band by
19 accounting for the length of the thaw season (i.e. by the yearly fraction of days with non-
20 freezing surface air temperatures). To account for key differences in thaw rates between non-
21 thermokarst and thermokarst-affected sediments, we assume that degradation of the latter is
22 driven over a full year by lake bottom temperatures (and thus not by seasonal surface air
23 temperatures). We calculate lake bottom temperatures based on the annual cycle of surface
24 air temperatures while assuming that the annual summer amplitude is damped by 50% (Boike
25 et al., 2013) and that winter lake bottom temperatures cannot fall below a minimum of two
26 degrees Celsius.

27 To ensure that our scheme for describing permafrost thaw dynamics yields robust results, we
28 perform at each time step a consistency check: we calculate the equilibrium active layer depth
29 which would establish under the given climatic boundary conditions (determined by mean annual
30 air temperature and the amplitude of the seasonal cycle). We use this depth as a constraint for

1 maximum thaw rates and thus assure that the parametrization of thaw rates yields physically
2 plausible results.

3

4 **3 Anaerobic soil fractions**

5 **3.1 Thermokarst lake pool**

6 To capture future thermokarst dynamics, we have developed a conceptual model of thermokarst
7 formation and drainage. Our simulation approach is chosen to test different hypotheses of future
8 thermokarst evolution rather than providing a deterministic model projection based on small-
9 scale thermokarst processes (such as e.g. Kessler et al. (2012), Ling et al. 2012). To keep our
10 model description as simple as possible, we assume that future increases in surface air
11 temperatures are the main driver for thermokarst formation through melting of near-surface
12 ground ice and subsequent ground subsidence. Moreover, we neglect factors other than
13 temperature (e.g. surface disturbance, precipitation or local topography) which also can affect
14 thermokarst formation (van Huissteden et al., 2011).

15 To describe the evolution of newly formed thermokarst lakes in each latitudinal band, we use an
16 optimum function which non-linearly scales the latitudinal thermokarst lake area fraction
17 $F^{TKL}(t)$ by the surface air temperature anomaly $d\bar{T}'(t)$ (see Fig. S1):

18

$$19 \quad F^{TKL}_{s,lat}(t) = d_s * \exp(a_s * d\bar{T}'(t) - b_s * d\bar{T}'(t)^{c_{lat}*d\bar{T}'(t)}) \quad (2)$$

20 For each soil pool $F^{TKL}(t)$ describes the area fraction per latitudinal band which is affected by
21 newly formed thermokarst lakes. The high-latitude surface air temperature anomaly $d\bar{T}'(t)$
22 drives changes in thermokarst lake extent. It is defined as the annual surface air warming above
23 pre-industrial temperatures, averaged over all permafrost regions. We infer $d\bar{T}'(t)$ based on an
24 analysis of polar amplification factors from state-of-the-art climate models (CMIP-5, (Taylor et
25 al., 2011)). With rising $d\bar{T}'(t)$, $F^{TKL}(t)$ increases towards an optimum at which the maximum
26 thermokarst lake fraction F^{TKLmax} is realized at $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$. With further warming above
27 $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ drainage and additional processes (such as increasing evaporation and
28 terrestrialization (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012)) are assumed to outweigh lake formation.


1 By our model design, further warming above $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ leads to a decrease in the thermokarst
2 lake area which cannot fall below a prescribed minimum area fraction F^{TKLmin} . We prescribe a
3 decline which is most pronounced in southern permafrost regions where we assume a minimum
4 fraction of remaining lakes F^{TKLmin} of 3% (see Fig. S1). In the coldest permafrost regions we
5 assume a minimum fraction of 15%. The latitudinal gradient expresses the potential for more
6 extensive drainage at the southern, discontinuous permafrost boundary where the permafrost
7 body is thin and where internal drainage (i.e. subterraneous outflow (Yoshikawa and Hinzman,
8 2003)) is an efficient pathway for water removal. In northern, continuous permafrost regions, we
9 only consider lateral erosion through thermo-erosional landforms and expansion of lakes in
10 thermokarst basins (Morgenstern et al., 2011) an efficient drainage mechanism. We determine
11 the soil-specific shape parameters a_s, b_s, d_s by prescribing F^{TKLmax} and $d\bar{T}'(t)$ for each carbon
12 pool individually (see Table 1).

13 In specific regions, about 80% of the landscape is affected by thermokarst and thermal erosion
14 (Strauss et al., 2013). Yet it is unlikely that future thermokarst coverage will be as extensive
15 because existing degradational landforms and other topographic lows will favour future lake
16 drainage (Morgenstern et al. 2011). We assume the highest potential for new thermokarst lake
17 formation in unaltered ice-rich Yedoma sediments which had not been affected by past
18 thermokarst activity. We further assume a reduced potential for the formation of second-
19 generation lakes in existing basins (Morgenstern et al. 2011), i.e. in refrozen thermokarst
20 deposits. The lowest potential of new thermokarst lake formation is assumed for less ice-rich
21 organic and mineral soils (see Table 1).

22 By newly formed lakes we consider thermokarst lakes which establish under temperatures
23 warmer than pre-industrial. We do not consider existing thermokarst lakes (formed over the last
24 centuries to millennia) as a part of our thermokarst lake pool. These lakes have likely formed
25 deep taliks in the past and are underlain by sediments potentially depleted in labile organic
26 matter. We further only consider lakes being part of our thermokarst pool if they are deep
27 enough to prevent winter refreeze of the lake bottom waters (about 1 to 2m (Arp et al., 2012; Yi
28 et al., 2014)). As we do not model lake depth expansion we assume that formation of new
29 thermokarst lakes is initiated for any warming above our reference climate (i.e. pre-industrial
30 climate), while we also assume that extensive talik formation under thermokarst lakes is only
31 realized after newly formed lakes have deepened enough to reach the critical depth which

1 prevents winter refreeze (we define this time to be the year 2000). Arctic landscapes are also
 2 covered by numerous smaller lakes or ponds which fully refreeze in winter and do not develop
 3 deep taliks. Therefore they do not provide conditions for abrupt permafrost degradation and we
 4 consider ponds and smaller lakes part of our wetland pool.
 5 We do not account for changes of the CO₂ and CH₄ flux balance through establishment of new
 6 vegetation after drainage (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012;Kessler et al., 2012;Jones et al.,
 7 2012;Walter Anthony et al., 2014), see discussion in section model limitations).

8



9

10 Fig.S1: Temperature dependency of newly formed thermokarst lake area fractions.
 11 The figure illustrates the increase and decrease of the new thermokarst lake area fraction F^{TKL}
 12 (as percentage of the total permafrost area in each latitude band) with rising high latitude surface
 13 air warming $d\bar{T}'$. Curves are shown for two different choices of maximum thermokarst lake
 14 extents F^{TKLmax} (green: 25%, blue: 40%) and corresponding warming $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ (green: 3°C,
 15 blue: 5°C). The different line styles illustrate the latitudinal dependency of drainage for warming
 16 above $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ (solid: southern permafrost limit, dashed: mid permafrost latitude, dotted:
 17 northern permafrost limit).

18

19

1 **3.2 Wetland pool**

2 In this study we assume that high latitude wetland extent will slightly increase over the near-term
3 with future warming. Such an assumption is supported by projected increases in the hydrologic
4 balance of precipitation minus evaporation (AICA, Wash et al., chapter 6, 2009). We do not
5 investigate a scenario of potential northern wetland drying (as e.g. investigated by Avis et al.
6 (2011)). In our model setting we describe an increase in the wetland area fraction per latitude
7 band by a linear scaling with high latitude warming, i.e. with the high-latitude surface air
8 temperature anomaly $d\bar{T}'(t)$. Each carbon pool is initialized with a minimum wetland extent at
9 pre-industrial temperatures and reaches its maximum extent for a high-latitude warming $d\bar{T}'$ of
10 10°C (see Table 1). For further warming the wetland fraction is kept constant at the maximum
11 extent.

12 Our simulated wetland CH_4 fluxes describe methane produced from newly thawed permafrost
13 carbon. Yet the full carbon balance of wetlands is rather complex and possibly more affected by
14 future changes in soil moisture, soil temperature, and vegetation composition than by the
15 delivery of newly thawed organic matter through permafrost degradation (Olefeldt et al., 2013).
16 The accounting of these additional factors requires the implementation of comprehensive
17 wetland models (such as formulated by (Kleinen et al. (2012);Frolking et al. (2001)).

18

19 **4 Carbon release**

20 Based on our thaw rate parametrization (equation 1), we track the active layer depth for each
21 pool at each time step and thus can calculate the amount of carbon which is thawed as a
22 consequence of warming above pre-industrial temperatures. We refer to this newly thawed
23 carbon as vulnerable carbon $VC(t)$ (Burke et al., 2012). Carbon release $C^{\uparrow}(t)$ for each soil carbon
24 pool S , aerobic/anaerobic environment A , organic matter quality Q , latitude lat , and depth level z
25 is assumed proportional to the pool-specific amount of vulnerable carbon $VC(t)$ and release rate
26 $R(t)$ (see Table 1):

$$27 \quad C^{\uparrow}_{S,A,Q,lat,z}(t) = R_{S,A,Q,lat,z}(t) * VC_{S,A,Q,lat,z}(t) \quad (3)$$

28

1 We do not explicitly account for gaseous transport from subsoil layers to the atmosphere but
2 assume that the timescale involved is small compared to CO₂ and CH₄ production. Therefore, we
3 assume that carbon release rates can be described by CO₂ and CH₄ production rates. Yet we
4 account for pool-specific oxidation during methane release. We hereby assume little oxidation of
5 CH₄ from thermokarst sediments because ebullition is a rather effective pathway with little
6 chance for CH₄ oxidation. To the contrary, CH₄ release from wetlands is likely affected much
7 stronger by oxidation. We therefore assume systematically higher oxidation rates for these soils
8 (see Table 1).

9

10 Under anaerobic degradation of organic matter, methane can be produced via a variety of
11 complex food webs (Segers, 1998). For our fast pool (which describes labile organic matter) we
12 assume that methane production is dominated by fermentation of acetate. Given the
13 stoichiometry of CH₄ production by methanogenesis via this pathway, we assume a 1:1
14 production ratio of CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} (Walter Anthony et al., 2014; Conrad et al., 2002).
15 Incubations studies suggest this ratio can deviate strongly from 1:1 and cover very large ranges
16 with anaerobic CO₂ production one to two orders of magnitude larger than CH₄ production (Lee
17 et al., 2012; Scanlon and Moore, 2000; Segers, 1998). We do not account for very low
18 CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} ratios (<0.07) which might be explained by high initial CO₂ production and a
19 strong decline with time after which a stable, much larger CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} ratio might establish
20 (Scanlon and Moore, 2000).

21 Compared to the amount of labile organic matter, the slow carbon pools describe a much larger
22 inventory of organic material of varying compositions and structures. We assume that methane
23 production can also follow alternative pathways under which alternative electron acceptors are
24 likely becoming important which can reduce CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} production ratios. Based on
25 incubation results from Lee et al. (2013), we assume an anaerobic production ratio
26 CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} of 1:7 (±50%) for organic matter in the slow pool (Table 1).

27

28 As microbial soil activity rises with increasing soil temperatures, we account for a Q_{10}
29 temperature sensitivity of carbon decomposition: we calculate carbon release rates $R(t)$ for each
30 carbon pool, each latitude, and each vertical layer by scaling CO₂ and CH₄ production rates P by
31 monthly soil temperatures $TS(t)$:

1

$$2 \quad R_{S,A,Q,lat,z}(t) = (1 - OX_A) * (P_{A,Q} * Q_{10 A}^{(TS_{S,A,lat,z}(t)-10)/10}) \quad (4)$$

3

4 We calculate monthly soil temperature $TS(t)$ by assuming an exponential decay of the seasonal
5 temperature cycle with depth. We hereby assume a lagged temperature response with time (i.e.
6 zero lag at the soil surface which is assumed to warm at the same rate as surface air and
7 maximum lag at the active layer depth). When soil temperatures drop below zero degrees we
8 assume soil microbial activity to be negligible and decomposition is halted. $(1 - OX_A)$ describes
9 the fraction of released carbon which is not oxidized (with $OX = 0$ for CO_2 , and $OX =$
10 OX_{WET} or $OX = OX_{TKL}$ for CH_4 , see Table 1).

11

12

13 **5 Carbon-cycle and climate model**

14 To close the feedback loop of warming-induced permafrost degradation, carbon release, and
15 additional warming, we use a simple multi-box carbon-cycle climate model from Allen et al.
16 (2009) which was designed to span the full range of temperature and carbon cycling dynamics
17 consistent with observations.

18 The model calculates atmospheric CO_2 concentrations by describing a diffusive uptake of
19 emitted CO_2 through vegetation and surface oceans, and by an advective carbon transport into
20 the deep ocean. The uptake of heat by the ocean is modelled by a diffusive process. We have
21 used the model description by Allen et al. (2009) and have extended their model design by
22 describing a declining diffusive CO_2 uptake with rising temperatures. The extended diffusive
23 description allows us to model a decrease in airborne fractions with rising temperatures inferred
24 from complex models (Friedlingstein et al., 2006). We have tuned model parameters such that
25 we could reproduce individual CO_2 concentration pathways from the RCP database
26 (www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/tnt/RcpDb, Meinshausen 2011) based on CO_2 emission trajectories of
27 all four standard RCPs. To calculate deviations in atmospheric methane concentrations, we
28 assume an exponential decay of CH_4 anomalies with a typical e-folding lifetime of 11 years.

1 We calculate radiative forcing of CO₂ and of CH₄ by using standard formulae after Myhre et al.
2 (1998). Hereby, we also assume that indirect methane effects lead to a radiative forcing which is
3 about 15% larger than when only considering the direct radiative effect of changes in
4 atmospheric CH₄ concentrations (Shindell et al., 2009). By describing uncertainty in the
5 diffusive carbon uptake, in climate sensitivity, and in ocean heat uptake, our parameter sampling
6 accounts for a large spread in simulated carbon-cycle climate responses. Based on the pathway
7 of anthropogenic and permafrost-induced emission of CO₂ and CH₄, we thus can calculate the
8 change in global mean surface air temperature (see also supplementary information in Allen et
9 al. 2009).

10 As permafrost regions warm much stronger than the globe as a whole, it is important to account
11 for the polar amplification of temperature change to simulate the warming of permafrost regions.
12 We do this by applying latitude-dependent amplification factors which we infer from an analysis
13 of state-of-the-art climate models (CMIP-5, (Taylor et al., 2011)). This analysis has resulted in
14 typical amplification factors between 1.6 at the southernmost permafrost limit and about 2.3 at
15 the northernmost permafrost limit. By using these scaling factors, we thus can translate our
16 simulated global temperature anomalies into regional warming of high-latitude permafrost
17 regions. Based on these scaled temperatures anomalies, we calculate permafrost degradation in
18 each latitude band.

19

20 **Supplementary references**

21 Allen, M. R., Frame, D. J., Huntingford, C., Jones, C. D., Lowe, J. A., Meinshausen, M., and Meinshausen,
22 N.: Warming caused by cumulative carbon emissions towards the trillionth tonne, *Nature*, 458, 1163,
23 2009.

24 Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., Lu, Z., and Whitman, M. S.: Shifting balance of thermokarst lake ice regimes
25 across the Arctic Coastal Plain of northern Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L16503,
26 10.1029/2012GL052518, 2012.

27 Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J., and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude wetlands in
28 response to permafrost thaw, *Nature Geosci*, 4, 444-448, 2011.

1 Beer, C., Fedorov, A. N., and Torgovkin, Y.: Permafrost temperature and active-layer thickness of Yakutia
2 with 0.5-degree spatial resolution for model evaluation, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 305-310, 10.5194/essd-
3 5-305-2013, 2013.

4 Boike, J., Kattenstroth, B., Abramova, K., Bornemann, N., Chetverova, A., Fedorova, I., Fröb, K., Grigoriev,
5 M., Grüber, M., Kutzbach, L., Langer, M., Minke, M., Muster, S., Piel, K., Pfeiffer, E. M., Stoof, G.,
6 Westermann, S., Wischnewski, K., Wille, C., and Hubberten, H. W.: Baseline characteristics of climate,
7 permafrost and land cover from a new permafrost observatory in the Lena River Delta, Siberia
8 (1998–2011), *Biogeosciences*, 10, 2105-2128, 10.5194/bg-10-2105-2013, 2013.

9 Conrad, R., Klose, M., and Claus, P.: Pathway of CH₄ formation in anoxic rice field soil and rice roots
10 determined by ¹³C-stable isotope fractionation, *Chemosphere*, 47, 797-806, 10.1016/S0045-
11 6535(02)00120-0, 2002.

12 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M.,
13 Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H.
14 D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver,
15 K., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate–Carbon Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model
16 Intercomparison, *Journal of Climate*, 19, 3337-3353, 10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.

17 Frolking, S., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., Richard, P. J. H., Lavoie, M., and Muller, S. D.: Modeling northern
18 peatland decomposition and peat accumulation, *Ecosystems*, 4, 479-498, 2001.

19 Hugelius, G., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Canadell, J. G., Kuhry, P., and Swanson, D. K.: The Northern
20 Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database: spatially distributed datasets of soil coverage and soil carbon storage
21 in the northern permafrost regions, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 3-13, 10.5194/essd-5-3-2013, 2013.

22 Jones, M. C., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., and Walter Anthony, K.: Peat accumulation in drained thermokarst
23 lake basins in continuous, ice-rich permafrost, northern Seward Peninsula, Alaska, *Journal of*
24 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G00M07, 10.1029/2011JG001766, 2012.

25 Jorgenson, M. T., Romanovsky, V., Harden, J., Shur, Y., O'Donnell, J., Schuur, E. A. G., Kanevskiy, M., and
26 Marchenko, S.: Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change, *Canadian Journal of Forest*
27 *Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, 40, 1219-1236, 2010.

28 Kessler, M. A., Plug, L. J., and Walter Anthony, K. M.: Simulating the decadal- to millennial-scale
29 dynamics of morphology and sequestered carbon mobilization of two thermokarst lakes in NW Alaska,
30 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G00M06, 10.1029/2011JG001796, 2012.

31 Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V., and Schuldt, R. J.: A dynamic model of wetland extent and peat accumulation:
32 results for the Holocene, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 235-248, 10.5194/bg-9-235-2012, 2012.

1 Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., and Stern, A.: Analysis of Permafrost Thermal Dynamics and Response to
2 Climate Change in the CMIP5 Earth System Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 1877-1900, 10.1175/JCLI-D-
3 12-00228.1, 2013.

4 Lee, H., Schuur, E. A. G., Inglett, K. S., Lavoie, M., and Chanton, J. P.: The rate of permafrost carbon
5 release under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and its potential effects on climate, *Global Change*
6 *Biology*, 18, 515-527, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02519.x, 2012.

7 Ling, F.: Numerical simulation of permafrost thermal regime and talik development under shallow thaw
8 lakes on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108, 4511-4511, 2003.

9 Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M., Lamarque, J., Matsumoto, K.,
10 Montzka, S., Raper, S., and Riahi, K.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from
11 1765 to 2300, *Climatic Change*, 109, 213-241, 2011.

12 Morgenstern, A., Grosse, G., Günther, F., Fedorova, I., and Schirrmeister, L.: Spatial analyses of
13 thermokarst lakes and basins in Yedoma landscapes of the Lena Delta, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 849-867,
14 10.5194/tc-5-849-2011, 2011.

15 Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., Shine, K. P., and Stordal, F.: New estimates of radiative forcing due to well
16 mixed greenhouse gases, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 2715-2718, 1998.

17 Olefeldt, D., Turetsky, M. R., Crill, P. M., and McGuire, A. D.: Environmental and physical controls on
18 northern terrestrial methane emissions across permafrost zones, *Global Change Biology*, 19, 589-603,
19 10.1111/gcb.12071, 2013.

20 Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar Northern
21 Hemisphere during the international polar year 2007–2009: a synthesis, *Permafrost and Periglacial*
22 *Processes*, 21, 106-116, 10.1002/ppp.689, 2010.

23 Scanlon, D., and Moore, T.: Carbon dioxide production from peatland soil profiles: The influence of
24 temperature, oxic/anoxic conditions and substrate, *Soil Science*, 165, 153-160, 2000.

25 Schaphoff, S., Heyder, U., Ostberg, S., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., and Lucht, W.: Contribution of permafrost
26 soils to the global carbon budget, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 014026, 2013.

27 Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Overduin, P. P., Strauss, J., Schuur, E. A. G., and Hubberten,
28 H.-W.: Fossil organic matter characteristics in permafrost deposits of the northeast Siberian Arctic,
29 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G00M02, 10.1029/2011JG001647, 2011.

30 Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying wetland
31 methane fluxes, *Biogeochemistry*, 41, 23-51, 1998.

1 Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.: Improved Attribution
2 of Climate Forcing to Emissions, *Science*, 326, 716-718, 10.1126/science.1174760, 2009.

3 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzsuh, U., and Hubberten, H.-W.:
4 The deep permafrost carbon pool of the Yedoma region in Siberia and Alaska, *Geophysical Research*
5 *Letters*, 40, 2013GL058088, 10.1002/2013GL058088, 2013.

6 Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design, *Bulletin*
7 *of the American Meteorological Society*, 93, 485-498, 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2011.

8 van Huissteden, J., and Dolman, A.: Soil carbon in the Arctic and the permafrost carbon feedback,
9 *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4, 545-551, 2012.

10 Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Iii, F. S. C., Finlay, J. C.,
11 Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst lakes from carbon sources
12 to sinks during the Holocene epoch, *Nature*, 511, 452-456, 10.1038/nature13560, 2014.


13 Yi, S., Wischnewski, K., Langer, M., Muster, S., and Boike, J.: Freeze/thaw processes in complex
14 permafrost landscapes of northern Siberia simulated using the TEM ecosystem model: impact of
15 thermokarst ponds and lakes, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 1671-1689, 10.5194/gmd-7-1671-2014, 2014.

16 Yoshikawa, K., and Hinzman, L. D.: Shrinking thermokarst ponds and groundwater dynamics in
17 discontinuous permafrost near council, Alaska, *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 14, 151-160,
18 10.1002/ppp.451, 2003.

19

20

1



2

3 Fig.S1: Temperature dependency of newly formed thermokarst lake area fractions.

4 The figure illustrates the increase and decrease of the new thermokarst lake area fraction F^{TKL}

5 (as percentage of the total permafrost area in each latitude band) with rising high latitude surface

6 air warming $d\bar{T}'$. Curves are shown for two different choices of maximum thermokarst lake

7 extents F^{TKLmax} (green: 25%, blue: 40%) and corresponding warming $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ (green: 3°C,

8 blue: 5°C). The different line styles illustrate the latitudinal dependency of drainage for warming

9 above $d\bar{T}'^{TKLmax}$ (solid: southern permafrost limit, dashed: mid permafrost latitude, dotted:

10 northern permafrost limit).

11