



AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00121.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Jung, T., F. Doblas-Reyes, H. Goessling, V. Guemas, C. Bitz, C. Buontempo, R. Caballero, E. Jakobson, J. Jungclaus, M. Karcher, T. Koenigk, D. Matei, J. Overland, T. Spengler, and S. Yang, 2015: Polar-lower latitude linkages and their role in weather and climate prediction. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00121.1, in press.



1 **Polar-lower latitude linkages and their role in weather and climate
2 prediction**

3 Thomas Jung*, Francisco Doblas-Reyes, Helge Goessling, Virginie Guemas, Cecilia Bitz, Carlo
4 Buontempo, Rodrigo Caballero, Erko Jakobson, Johann Jungclaus, Michael Karcher, Torben
5 Koenigk, Daniela Matei, James Overland, Thomas Spengler, Shuting Yang

6 *AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany*

7 Francisco Doblas-Reyes

8 *ICREA, IC3, and BSC, Barcelona, Spain*

9 Helge Goessling

10 *AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany*

11 Virginie Guemas

12 *IC3, Barcelona, Spain, and CNRM, Toulouse, France*

13 Cecilia Bitz

14 *Atmospheric Sciences Department, University of Washington, Seattle, USA*

15 Carlo Buontempo

16 *MetOffice, Exeter, UK*

17 Rodrigo Caballero

18

Meteorological Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

19

Erko Jakobson

20

Tartu Observatory, Travere, Estonia

21

Johann Jungclaus

22

MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

23

Michael Karcher

24

AWI, Bremerhaven, Germany

25

Torben Koenigk

26

SMHI, Norrköping, Sweden

27

Daniela Matei

28

MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

29

James Overland

30

NOAA/PMEI, Seattle, USA

31

Thomas Spengler

32

Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

33

Shuting Yang

34

DMI, Copenhagen, Denmark

³⁵ *Corresponding author address: Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine

³⁶ Research, Bussestrasse 24, D-27570 Bremerhaven, Germany.

³⁷ E-mail: Thomas.Jung@awi.de

ABSTRACT

³⁸ International Workshop on Polar-lower Latitude Linkages in Weather and

³⁹ Climate Prediction

⁴⁰ What: Eighty experts from twenty different countries met to assess recent

⁴¹ progress in, and new directions for, our understanding of the mechanisms

⁴² governing polar-lower latitude linkages and their role in weather and climate

⁴³ prediction including services.

⁴⁴ When: 10–12 December 2014

⁴⁵ Where: Barcelona, Spain

⁴⁶

⁴⁷ From 10–12 December 2014 the International workshop on Polar-lower latitude linkages and
⁴⁸ their role in weather and climate prediction was hosted by the Institut Català de Ciències del
⁴⁹ Clima (IC3) in Barcelona, Spain. The workshop, which was attended by 80 participants from 20
⁵⁰ countries including early career scientists, was motivated by the fact that the polar regions are an-
⁵¹ ticipated to undergo rapid changes in a warming world. These changes may have impacts for the
⁵² weather and climate elsewhere on the planet that are not sufficiently well understood. Presentations
⁵³ and discussions took into account atmospheric and oceanic teleconnections in both hemispheres.
⁵⁴ A unique aspect of the Barcelona workshop was that polar-lower latitude linkages were also dis-
⁵⁵ cussed from a prediction and services perspective. Weather and climate forecasting capacity in
⁵⁶ the polar regions is limited due to poor observational coverage and understanding of atmospher-
⁵⁷ ocean-sea ice interaction, that hamper forecast quality in lower latitudes. The prediction aspect
⁵⁸ brings socio-economic relevance to the polar-lower latitude linkages theme with benefits for the
⁵⁹ development of weather and climate services.

⁶⁰ The purpose of the workshop was to review current understanding of the workshop theme, iden-
⁶¹ tify known and unknown issues, define ways forward for closing important knowledge gaps, en-
⁶² hance cooperation, recommend specific activities for international programmes such as the Polar
⁶³ Prediction Project (PPP) and the Polar Climate Predictability Initiative (PCPI), and to provide re-
⁶⁴ search priorities for funding agencies. The workshop started by having keynote and challenger
⁶⁵ presentations; this was followed by several hours of breakout group discussions for the three dif-
⁶⁶ ferent themes: (1) atmospheric linkages, (2) oceanic linkages and (3) prediction and services;
⁶⁷ finally recommendations were presented and discussed in a plenary session. Those who were not
⁶⁸ able to come to Barcelona had the opportunity to follow most of the workshop activities online.

⁶⁹ We provide a summary of the breakout group discussions followed by workshop recommenda-
⁷⁰ tions. Further useful information, including the presentations, are available from the following
⁷¹ website: <http://polarprediction.net/linkages>.

⁷² **1. Atmospheric linkages**

⁷³ The assessment of the potential for recent Arctic changes to influence broader hemispheric
⁷⁴ weather and climate now and in the future is a difficult and controversial topic. There is little
⁷⁵ agreement on problem formulation, methods, or robust mechanisms in the research community.
⁷⁶ The best that can be said is that the science is in a pre-consensus state (Cohen et al. 2014), not
⁷⁷ unlike where ENSO research was in the late 1970s–early 1980s. The workshop was important in
⁷⁸ advancing the topic of linkages both in terms of lack of large-scale changes in seasonal climate
⁷⁹ due to Arctic amplification of temperature changes, and positive evidence for shorter term dynamic
⁸⁰ mechanisms for linkages. Despite major uncertainties due to the short observational record, given
⁸¹ that major Arctic changes began in the early 2000s, and a large chaotic component to weather
⁸² systems relative to potential Arctic forcing, the topic is significant and represents major science
⁸³ challenge to the international community, as continued Arctic changes are an inevitable aspect of
⁸⁴ anthropogenic global change and is an opportunity for improved extended range forecasts at mid-
⁸⁵ latitudes. Advances will come from both an increased observational network and interdisciplinary
⁸⁶ understanding.

⁸⁷ At the Barcelona workshop much discussion centered around three questions related to a possi-
⁸⁸ ble remote impact of Arctic amplification: "Can it? Has it? Will it?" (Barnes and Screen 2015)
⁸⁹ There was general consensus that the Arctic has the potential to modify mid-latitude weather and
⁹⁰ variability; the relative importance of different possible mechanisms, however, remains to be ex-
⁹¹ plored. The issue "Has it?" is a continuing challenge. In this context the question why different

92 people come to different conclusions from the same data was discussed. Given the magnitude of
93 natural variability and the limited observational record, one cannot expect to be able to reject the
94 null hypothesis that recent cold winters are due to chance, even if there were a signal; failure to re-
95 ject the null hypothesis does not prove the null hypothesis. Possibly, our null (or prior) hypothesis
96 should be anthropogenic climate change, and Arctic amplification. As a result the community at
97 present should consider a risk-based approach to the problem formulation that increased linkages
98 are a possibility. The issue "Will it?" is also difficult as it depends on climate models that gener-
99 ally lack skill in the representation of key features such as atmospheric boundary layers and, as a
100 result, disagree in important aspects of the projected change. Further group discussion noted that
101 there are multiple factors besides sea ice loss and snow cover which can influence atmospheric
102 dynamics in the subarctic. A focus on surface fluxes and shifts in atmospheric dynamic patterns
103 will provide improved insights and potential extended range forecast potential.

104 A main workshop conclusion is that the community must distinguish between influence on the
105 net response and possibility of modulating the response. Hemispheric, seasonal average changes
106 in cold surface temperatures, and dynamic features associated with them, relative to background
107 global warming are not likely to be of large significance. However, Arctic linkages with mid-
108 latitude weather events that are regional and episodic, lead to an increased occurrence of extreme
109 events, and vary with the season, are possible. Multiple presentations showed that linkages are
110 likely to relate to amplification of existing regional quasi-stationary waves associated with the
111 Siberian High and Greenland blocking locations. Complexity is added due to interaction of mul-
112 tiple time scales and source regions, where actual severe weather elements consist of propagation
113 of wave trains of high/low pressure on the synoptic time scale into eastern Asia and eastern North
114 America in early winter.

¹¹⁵ **2. Oceanic linkages**

¹¹⁶ The science of Arctic influences on the circulation of the North Atlantic is much more mature
¹¹⁷ than that for atmospheric linkages. Outflows from the Arctic Ocean at the surface and mid-depth
¹¹⁸ reach the overflows and the deep-water formation sites in the sub-polar North Atlantic that feed
¹¹⁹ into the meridional overturning circulation (MOC) and the sub-polar gyre (SPG) circulation. There
¹²⁰ has been consensus at the workshop that changes in the density of these outflows, for example due
¹²¹ to freshwater or sea ice export from the Arctic or runoff from Greenland, affect the sub-polar
¹²² North Atlantic in several ways: change of dense water formation in the Labrador Sea, change of
¹²³ the MOC strength, change of the SPG intensity. Great Salinities Anomalies observed during the
¹²⁴ second half of the 20th century are well-known examples for the Arctic-Atlantic interplay.

¹²⁵ At the same time inflow changes of heat and salt from the sub-polar North Atlantic into the
¹²⁶ Arctic and Nordic Seas impact heat and freshwater storage of the northern basins, sea ice cover,
¹²⁷ ocean-atmosphere heat exchange and possibly even the atmospheric circulation.

¹²⁸ It was highlighted at the workshop that both of these pathways are linked, suggesting that the
¹²⁹ Arctic-Atlantic interplay should be studied from a two-way perspective (Proshutinsky et al. 2009;
¹³⁰ Jungclaus et al. 2014). The strength of the MOC and the SPG, for example, modulate the north-
¹³¹ ward heat and salt fluxes, while the Arctic Ocean freshwater storage and release dynamics regulate
¹³² the sea ice and liquid freshwater exports. An important, but still largely open question is to what
¹³³ degree oceanic changes in the Arctic and North Atlantic impact the overlying atmosphere and
¹³⁴ hence the weather and climate over the adjacent continents, although the climate prediction com-
¹³⁵ munity is showing convincing examples of how it can affect phenomena with societal relevance
¹³⁶ such as the frequency of tropical cyclones.

137 While the existence of two-way linkages in the ocean is well established some fundamental ques-
138 tions still remain, especially when it comes to exploiting the full potential of oceanic linkages for
139 predictive purposes. It will be important, for example, to better understand the pathways and time
140 scales on which the different processes such as freshwater storage, release and advection influence
141 the lower latitudes. Given that models will be used to carry out predictions it will be important to
142 first thoroughly evaluate their representation of the different key processes and then advance the
143 models where necessary. Given that successful predictions also rely on good initial conditions,
144 poor observational coverage of the Arctic Ocean remains a key challenge. Therefore, methods
145 will need to be devised that can be used to develop a cost effective Arctic observing system that
146 allows to exploit the predictive potential inherent to the system. In this context, investments in the
147 development of coupled data assimilation systems are highly desirable.

148 **3. Prediction and services**

149 Sub-seasonal prediction experiments presented at the Barcelona workshop provide evidence that
150 what happens at the poles does not stay at the poles, especially over the Northern Hemisphere (Jung
151 et al. 2014). On sub-seasonal time scales the Arctic impact is strongest over the eastern sections of
152 the Northern Hemisphere continents. Furthermore, case studies for the winter 2009/10 suggested
153 an influence of snow on the Arctic Oscillation. When it comes to prediction, snow cover, sea ice,
154 ocean heat content and the atmosphere, including the stratosphere, are all important.

155 For improving forecasts, an increased understanding of how best to initialize these fields is
156 urgently needed. This includes determining which observations are needed and how they should
157 be assimilated. Regarding the observations, the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP) will provide
158 a unique opportunity to fill the gaps of the global observing system in polar regions and to use
159 those extra data to assess and optimize the observing system. YOPP should also increase the

¹⁶⁰ quality of satellite retrieval of parameters such as snow and ice through the provision of high-
¹⁶¹ quality observations for calibration purposes. Given the strong coupling of the different climate
¹⁶² components in polar regions, future data assimilation will need to be done in a coupled framework.
¹⁶³ Furthermore, substantial effort should be invested in characterizing uncertainty.

¹⁶⁴ The services aspect of polar-lower latitude linkages was also discussed from a prediction per-
¹⁶⁵ spective. It was argued that users needs should not be second-guessed and that closer interaction
¹⁶⁶ with users might result in the formulation of existing research questions of direct socio-economic
¹⁶⁷ relevance. A list of principles to interact with users of climate information has been developed and
¹⁶⁸ climate scientists are encouraged to use them. At the same time user needs in the Arctic are not
¹⁶⁹ yet fully understood, and it might be beneficial to involve mediators in establishing and guiding
¹⁷⁰ an efficient dialogue.

¹⁷¹ **4. Key recommendations**

- ¹⁷² • Improve understanding of the key processes in atmosphere, snow, sea ice and ocean respon-
¹⁷³ sible for linking the polar regions with the lower latitudes. Progress hinges on an improved
¹⁷⁴ observational base and on bringing expertise in high-latitude and middle-latitude dynamics
¹⁷⁵ together.
- ¹⁷⁶ • Ensure that these key processes are well represented in models used to carry out weather and
¹⁷⁷ climate predictions. This task includes data assimilation, improved Arctic-centered model
¹⁷⁸ development and parameterizations, and thorough forecast assessments.
- ¹⁷⁹ • Link the research performed for weather and climate forecasting with that carried out to
¹⁸⁰ project future climate to obtain the largest benefit from their synergies. This task should be
¹⁸¹ planned well ahead of the CMIP6 exercise.

- The community must distinguish between a potential Arctic influence on the net seasonal response and the possibility of regional episodic amplification of existing planetary wave patterns and related short-term weather events.
- Carry out coordinated model experiments to thoroughly assess possible remote impacts of polar climate change. Emphasis should be put on both local and possible global consequences of Arctic amplification.
- Explore the limits of predictability of polar weather and climate and their role for mid-latitude forecasting.
- Determine the impacts of enhanced predictive capacity in the polar regions for mid-latitude forecasting by carrying out coordinated forecasting experiments (e.g. data denial and relaxation experiments). Studying linkages from a sub-seasonal prediction perspective will allow better understanding of the prediction process and verification of polar-lower latitude pathways.
- Ensure that environmental prediction and model assessment requirements will have a high priority in the future development of the polar observing systems. The Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP), which will be held from mid-2017 to mid-2019, provides a unique opportunity for the international community to jointly advance our observational capacity.
- Raise the profile of Antarctic research and its impact on the Southern Hemisphere climate, especially over land.
- Create a working group to tackle the specificity of polar service provision. This working group could illustrate the benefits that stakeholders with interests at lower latitudes might have in improving polar predictions.

- 204 ● Simplify the funding process for research collaboration on an international level.
- 205 *Acknowledgments.* We acknowledge Francois Massonet for reading the summary and for ver-
- 206 ifying the accuracy of the content. The report reflects ideas from a variety of workshop partic-
- 207 ipants. The workshop was sponsored by the Polar Prediction Project (PPP) of WMOs World
- 208 Weather Research Programme (WWRP), the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP), the
- 209 Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS), the European Commission through the Seventh
- 210 Framework Programme SPECS project, the European Climate Research Alliance (ECRA), and the
- 211 European Geophysical Union (EGU), as well as IC3 and the Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz
- 212 Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI).

213 **References**

- 214 Barnes, E., and J. Screen, 2015: The impact of Arctic warming on the midlatitude jet-stream: Can
215 it? Has it? Will it? *WIREs Clim. Change*, doi:10.1002/wcc.337.
- 216 Cohen, J., and Coauthors, 2014: Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude weather.
217 *Nature Geosci.*, **7**, 627–637.
- 218 Jung, T., M. Kasper, T. Semmler, and S. Serrar, 2014: Arctic influence on subseasonal midlatitude
219 prediction. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41**, 3676–3680, doi:10.1002/2014GL059961.
- 220 Jungclaus, J., K. Lohmann, and D. Zanchettin, 2014: Enhanced 20th-century heat transfer to the
221 Arctic simulated in the context of climate variations over the last millennium. *Clim. Past*, **10**,
- 222 2201–2213, doi:10.5194/cp–10–2201–2014.
- 223 Proshutinsky, A., and Coauthors, 2009: Beaufort Gyre freshwater reservoir: State and variability
224 from observation. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, C00A10, doi:10.1029/2008JC005104.