Interaction between a hotspot and a fracture zone: The crustal structure of Walvis Ridge at 6° E
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A B S T R A C T

The Walvis Ridge is one of the major hotspot trails in the South Atlantic and a classical example for volcanic island chains. Two models compete about the origin of the ridge: It is either the result of a deep mantle plume or active fracture zones above mantle inhomogeneities. Among other things crustal information is needed to constrain the models. Here, we provide such constraint with a 480 km long P-wave velocity model of the deep crustal structure of the eastern Walvis Ridge at 6° E. According to our data the Walvis Ridge stretches across the Florianopolis Fracture Zone into the Angola Basin. Here, we observe a basement high and thick basaltic layers covering the oceanic crust and the fracture zone. We found two crustal roots along the profile: one is located beneath the ridge crest, the other one beneath the northern basement high in the Angola Basin. The crustal thickness reaches 18 km and 12 km and the lower crustal velocities are 7.2 km/s and 7.4 km/s, respectively. The bathymetric expression of the ridge along the profile is less pronounced than closer to shore, which is mainly attributable to the absence of a thick layer of volcanic debris, rather than to reduced crustal thickness below the basement surface. Therefore, this part of the ridge was never or only briefly subaerially exposed. The crustal structure suggests that the ridge and the fracture zone formed independently of each other. The oceanic crust north of the fracture zone, which is buried underneath the basalt layer, is younger than the reconstructed age of hotspot volcanism of the Walvis Ridge. We interpret these structures north of the fracture zone to be at least partly a product of late stage volcanism.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Worldwide, volcanic activity appears at hotspots along ocean island chains far from plate boundaries. The underlying processes within the Earth’s mantle are still discussed and two models compete to explain the source for the volcanism: either a deep mantle plume (Morgan, 1971) or tectonic stress release at fertile areas in the inhomogeneous upper mantle (e.g. Anderson, 2005).

The Walvis Ridge is one of the most striking bathymetric features of the South Atlantic and a classical example for a volcanic hotspot trail (Fig. 1). This volcanic ridge rises more than 2000 m above the surrounding seafloor and stretches SW away from the African continent for over 3000 km to the islands of Tristan da Cunha and Gough near the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. The eastern part of the ridge (east of 3° E) is a continuous, massive structure, whereas the western part is a widely distributed guyot province with loosely connected ridges and scattered seamounts forming a frayed lineament. The entire ridge shows a linear age-progression (O'Connor and Duncan, 1990; Rohde et al., 2012) starting with Cretaceous volcanism at its junction with the African continental margin. The onset of this volcanism is interpreted to be closely connected with the opening of the South Atlantic and the emplacement of continental flood basalts at ~132 Ma (Renne et al., 1996; Fromm et al., 2015).

The conjugate South American plate shows corresponding volcanic features, although a massive, elongated ridge like the Walvis Ridge is missing and the volcanic structures have a different shape. The Torres Arch (Fig. 1) is the conjugate structure to the eastern Walvis Ridge and connected to the large Rio Grande Rise via an indistinct bathymetric high.

Both structures (Walvis Ridge and Rio Grande Rise) form conjugate trails of the present day Tristan hotspot (Morgan, 1971). The variable sizes and shapes of the volcanic provinces are explained with reference to the changing relative positions of the hotspot and the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (O’Connor and Duncan, 1990). According to these models the Torres Arch, Rio Grande Rise and the eastern Walvis Ridge formed when the hotspot was close to or at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Between 80 and 60 Ma, the Rio Grande Rise and its conjugate, the N–S oriented part of Walvis Ridge at 5° E, might have been
large a igneous province similar to Iceland today. This section of Walvis Ridge lacks an age progression, implying that it developed as a product of large scale eruptions covering a broad region (O'Connor and Duncan, 1990). In contrast, the western seamount province of Walvis Ridge formed when the Tristan hotspot was well separated from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Therefore, no corresponding volcanism occurred on the South American plate.

However, some observations are not consistent with predictions of the plume model and alternative tectonic models for the origin of Walvis Ridge exist. Hotspots should form age progressive volcanic chains with active volcanism occurring only at or near the hotspot location. In contrast to this prediction, earthquake swarms in the western seamount province of Walvis Ridge have recently been interpreted as volcanogenic explosions (Haxel and Dziak, 2005). If so, the large distance to the hotspot location (∼780 km) requires either a much larger reach of the hotspot than expected or a different origin for this volcanism.

As an alternative, Fairhead and Wilson (2005) proposed that changes in intra-plate stress triggered the excess magmatism of the Walvis Ridge. In this model, fracture zones play an important role as they separate regions of contrasting stress fields and are locations of intra-plate stress release. The eastern Walvis Ridge is located directly south of the Florianopolis fracture zone (Fig. 1, FFZ; also referred to elsewhere as Rio Grande fracture zone, e.g. Cappelletti et al., 2013; Heine et al., 2013). The FFZ merges towards the west with the continental Paraná-Chacos shear zone, a line of major deformation prior to and during the breakup (Moulin et al., 2010, and references therein). The FFZ might have had an extensional component during the initial opening, forming a short-lived spreading ridge with increased magmatism building the Walvis Ridge (Elliott et al., 2009).

The FFZ and the Walvis Ridge mark a major boundary between the volcanic and non-volcanic passive margins along the African continent. By contrast, numerous seismic studies have focused on the nature of the volcanic margins of South America and Africa (e.g. Becker et al., 2012; Becker et al., 2014; Hirsch et al., 2009; Bauer et al., 2000; Fromm et al., 2015), but despite their importance, seismic data revealing the crustal structure in this region are rare. Here, we present details of a seismic refraction profile (Fromm et al., 2015) acquired 600 km west of the Namibian coast that provides new information on the crustal fabric underlying a topographically subdued part of Walvis Ridge. One hypothesis to be checked was that the part of the ridge with a subdued topography might be underlain by thinner crust, thus indicating several phases of the ridge evolution. The seismic line also offers the opportunity to gain new insights into the origin of Walvis Ridge (with regard to the competing hypotheses), the evolution of the Tristan hotspot and the interplay of hotspots with fracture zones. These results will be compared with a more easterly N–S line acquired across a topographically more pronounced part of the Walvis Ridge (Fig. 2, P3).

2. Seismic data

The acquired seismic refraction data are part of a larger geophysical experiment conducted by the Alfred Wegener Institute, (AWI) in January 2011 with the research vessel Maria S. Merian (MSM 17/2) in cooperation with Geomar, Kiel and the GeoforschungsZentrum, Potsdam (GFZ). Multidisciplinary studies in this experiment, including seismological and magnetotelluric experiments, investigated the junction of Walvis Ridge and the African continent both with ocean bottom seismometers and recording stations onshore Namibia (e.g. Heit et al., 2015; Jegen et al., 2016).

In this study, we present the results of the seismic profile P150 that crosses Walvis Ridge in a NW–SE direction at 6°E, ~600 km off the Namibian coast (Fig. 2). Here, the ridge forms a bathymetric saddle 1000 m deeper than at the western end of P100, 200 km
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**Fig. 1.** General map of the South Atlantic of our study area showing the main geological structures and magmatic features (orange, after Coffin et al., 2006). Transformation zones after Moulin et al. (2010) are indicated by dashed lines.
closer to the coast (Fig. 2). Along the profile P150 the ridge is wider and the flanks are gentler than at P3 (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the FFZ marking the northern escarpment of the ridge east of 7° E is not visible along the line. The profile extends 480 km across the ridge and into the interiors of the adjacent ocean basins. In total, 29 ocean bottom stations equipped with hydrophones (OBH) were deployed at a nominal spacing of ~13 km. Two OBH did not record any data. The data were sampled at either 200 or 250 Hz. The seismic source consisted of 8 × 8l (64l or 3905 cu in total) G-gun clusters operated at 200 bar (2900 psi) in 8 m depth. A shooting interval of 90 s resulted in 230 m mean shot spacing. Simultaneously, we acquired reflection data with a short (300 m), four channel streamer at 1000 Hz sample rate to map the sediment thickness and basement topography.

We filtered the refraction data with a bandpass of 3.5–13 Hz and applied an automatic gain control (AGC, 1 s window). Occasionally, we used higher frequencies, especially for sediment reflections, which were clearer at frequencies of up to 100 Hz. Arrival times were picked with the software ZP (Zelt, 2004). In general the data quality
is excellent. Almost all stations show continuous crustal refractions, crust–mantle reflections (PmP-phases) and a few mantle refractions (Pn-phases) at offsets up to 100 km. A strong basement reflector is clearly visible in both the OBHs and the streamer data. For stations located on the ridge crest, however, the basement is diffuse and its rough topography scatters the seismic energy. The seismic reflection data are displayed in Fig. 3 and selected OBH data examples are shown in Figs. 4–5.

3. Modelling

3.1. Seismic modelling

Before the initial model set up, the OBH stations needed to be relocated because ocean currents may displace the station while it sinks to the bottom with the result that its real position on the seafloor is slightly different from the deployment position. The shift along the profile line can be calculated from the direct arrivals. For a correctly located instrument they resemble a reflection hyperbola with its apex at zero. However if the station is misplaced along the profile line, the apex is shifted to the left or right. We picked the direct arrivals, computed a hyperbolic curve fit, and determined the in-line shift. The mean shift for all instruments was 160 m (maximum 600 m). Once relocation was complete, we projected the relocated stations onto a straight profile line without modifying the original shot-receiver offsets (Zelt and Smith, 1992).

The starting model was constrained by the bathymetry, sedimentary layers and the basement topography derived from the seismic reflection data. Because of the short streamer, we calculated velocities for the sediments using OBH data. Clear sediment reflections at the northern flank of the ridge were observed on both the streamer and OBH recordings and a standard velocity analysis using normal move outs (NMO) was carried out with the OBH data. The crustal structure was modelled with the ray-tracing software rayinvr (Zelt and Smith, 1992) mainly by forward modelling following a top to bottom approach. Velocities and boundaries were adjusted to match the observed slopes and onsets. Finally, we inverted the whole model to obtain error statistics and uncertainty estimates. The final model consists of 9 layers (Figs. 6, 7): layer 1 is the water, layers 2–4 represent the sediment layers based on the seismic reflection data (Figs. 3, 7), layers 5 and 6 are the upper crust, 7 middle crust, 8 lower
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**Fig. 4.** Data example for OBH 175 showing recorded wide-angle seismic data (top), traced arrivals (centre) and ray coverage (bottom). The data are bandpass filtered with 3.5–13 Hz corner frequencies and amplified with an automated gain control (AGC) within a 1s time window. The travel time picks are indicated by vertical bars with variable length representing the pick uncertainty. The travel time is reduced with 7 km/s. The number of drawn rays is reduced for clarity.
crust and the mantle is layer 9. The top of layer 5 corresponds to the basement derived from the reflection data. The model reveals thick crust beneath the ridge crest and a basement high north of the ridge. At the northern flank of the Walvis Ridge between those regions with thickened crust, we modelled a local low velocity zone between layers 5 and 6. More details on the velocities and crustal structure is given in Section 4.

3.2. Error analysis

The model quality and uncertainty can be estimated from the normalized $\chi^2$-value and the travel time residuals. The normalized $\chi^2$ value depends on the assumed uncertainties for travel time picks, the residuals of calculated arrivals and the node distribution. Our final model has a $\chi^2$ of 0.6 and is therefore well constrained within the given uncertainties (the ideal value is 1). The travel time residuals of 82 ms are within the estimated pick uncertainties of 60 and 150 ms (depending on the signal to noise ratio). Table 1 summarizes the mathematical error analysis for the modelled phases and stations. Additionally, we estimate the spatial uncertainty of the model with the ray coverage and the resolution plot (Figs. 8, 9). The resolution plot displays the diagonal values of the resolution matrix (values greater than 0.5 are considered well resolved) and reflects the number of data constraining a parameter. Therefore, the number and spacing of nodes strongly influence the results of this analysis. As shown in Fig. 9, our model is well resolved, except for the low velocity zone (LVZ) at the northern flank of the ridge, where refracted phases needed to constrain velocities are missing.

Although the Moho topography is well constrained with a good coverage of PmP and deep refracted phases, the area below the LVZ at a depth of 14 km is more uncertain. In particular, the transition around the intersection with the FFZ (Fig. 6, around km 190) seems to be complex, displaying several intracrustal reflections, which we could not fit at all stations.

Finally, we tested the reliability of our model by perturbing velocity and depth nodes and found, that changes of $\pm 0.2$ km/s and $\pm 2$ km in the lower crust result in model variability within error bounds and so have to be considered as unresolved.
3.3. Gravity modelling

After the seismic modelling we derived a gravity model to confirm that the modelled crustal structure is consistent with observed gravity data. We used free air satellite data (Sandwell et al., 2014) and modelled the density structure with the software IGMAS (Götze and Lahmeyer, 1988).

First, we converted the P-wave velocity model to a density model using the empirical Nafe-Drake velocity-density correlation of Barton (1986) and Ludwig et al. (1970). We kept the model as simple as possible and merged sediment layers and layers with similar densities, which were not constrained by reflections. Seismically-constrained boundaries were not changed. Since the gravity modelling software accepts no density gradients, we divided the seismic layers into polygons accounting for lateral variations and assigned a density value reflecting the converted velocities. The difference in water depths of the Cape and Angola basins (1000 m) initially caused a large difference of the gravity anomaly level between the two ends of the profile. We added an additional upper crustal layer with a density of 2.17 cm$^3$ in the Cape Basin, which resembles the upper oceanic crust with velocities of 2.8–2.9 km/s.

Finally, we inverted the densities while keeping the polygon geometry to minimize the misfit. The maximum change of +0.15 g/cm$^3$ was in the lower crust beneath the Walvis Ridge. All density changes fall within the range of the velocity–density correlation given by Barton (1986). Fig. 10 shows the gravity anomaly data and the density model along the seismic line, which is discussed in detail in Section 4.4.

Fig. 6. Final P-wave velocity model. Black lines indicate the model layers, bold italic numbers denote the layer number. The basement and the crust–mantle boundary (Mohorovičić discontinuity or abbreviated Moho) are plotted with thick black lines. There is no data coverage for the white areas of the model. The red triangles indicate the locations of the OBH recording stations.

Fig. 7. Enlarged part of the final P-wave velocity model (Fig. 6) for the sediment layers and upper crust. Labelling similar to Fig. 6.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>$t_{rms}$/s</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust</td>
<td>5729</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moho</td>
<td>2369</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>1.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVZ (top)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVZ (bottom)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10120</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results and interpretation

In this section we describe the final velocity model (Fig. 6) and interpret the observed velocities and structures (Fig. 11). Selected data examples with picked arrivals and ray-tracing results are displayed in Figs. 4 and 5.

The seismic reflection data and the velocity model reveal the asymmetric morphology of the Walvis Ridge along the line: the northern flank of the edifice differs significantly from the southern flank. The wide, northern flank is characterized by a gentle dip towards a basement high at km 40–100 marking the transition to the Angolan Basin (Fig. 6). The bathymetry is mirrored by the crust–mantle topography (Moho) with thickened crust underneath the ridge crest (~18 km) and the northern basement high (~12 km). Therefore, we divide the profile into the main ridge section around the peak from km 250 to 360, which resembles the continuation of the Walvis Ridge, and the northern edifice (km 20–250) that has an atypical crustal structure and is in an area not classically recognized as a part of Walvis Ridge based on bathymetry (Fig. 1). Both ends of the profile, in the Angola and Cape Basins (km 0–20 and 400–480), show typical velocity-depth functions of oceanic crust as described by White et al. (1992).

4.1. Sedimentary layers

Based on the seismic reflection data, we modelled three sedimentary layers with a maximum thickness of 1.2 km in the Cape Basin and velocities varying between 1.6 and 3.1 km/s. The two upper layers have been modelled with constant velocities of 1.6 and 1.9 km/s and represent unconsolidated deep-sea sediments. The third sediment layer is laterally variable and represents different types of sedimentary infills. It disappears completely at basement highs and velocities ranging between 2.4 and 3.1 km/s. Within this layer, sediments with the highest velocities of 3.1 km/s fill a basement depression at the northern edifice of the ridge (km 100–150, Fig. 3), while sediments with the lower velocities of 2.4 km/s overlay the oceanic crust in the Cape Basin.

4.2. The crustal architecture

Crustal velocities in the Cape Basin resemble those typical of oceanic crust: The upper 0.5 km thick layer 5 with velocities of 2.8 km/s is therefore likely to consist of pillow basalts, followed by a layer of sheeted dikes with velocities of 5.0–6.2 km/s and gabbro in the lower crust (velocities of 6.6 to 7.2 km/s). The total thickness
of the igneous crust is 7.4 km, which is normal for 59–127 Ma old oceanic crust (White et al., 1992).

The upper crustal velocity of 2.8 km/s is remarkable. Such a velocity is in the range of oceanic Layer 2A, but unusually slow for a 59–127 Ma old oceanic crust (White et al., 1992). It is possible that we misinterpreted the S-wave of layer 6 (Vp = 5.0 km/s ⇒ Vs = 2.88 km/s) as the refracted P-wave of layer 5. But the model is inconsistent with a velocity of 5.0 km/s in layer 5 and requires slower velocities beneath the basement. Such layers are known as hidden layers and a common problem in seismic refraction data. However, gravity modelling supports the existence of a low density/low velocity layer in the upper crust of the Cape Basin. Sediments could be mixed with pillow basalts and reduce the seismic velocity to 2.8 km/s.

The thickness of the oceanic crust north of the ridge in the Angola Basin is not as well constrained. Since the experiment design did not anticipate the anomalous crust extending that far, no station was placed on normal oceanic crust. Only the far offsets of the northernmost station cover this region. However, Moho reflections constrain the crustal thickness of 7.2 km. Here, crustal velocities range from 5.2 km/s in the upper crust to 7.4 km/s at the Moho. The lower crustal velocity is higher than for normal oceanic crust but this should not be over interpreted due to the sparse data coverage and missing reversed shots.

**Fig. 10.** Density model for profile 150. a) The observed free air satellite data (Sandwell et al., 2014), the modelled gravity data and their deviation. b) The final density model. c) Overlay of the density distribution directly converted from the P-wave velocity model and the polygon geometry of the final density model. d) The seismic P-wave velocity model.

**Fig. 11.** Geological interpretation of the velocity and density model.
4.2.1. The ridge crest

The rough basement at the ridge crest (km 250 to 300) is only defined by a weak, discontinuous reflector indicating a small velocity contrast between the basement rocks and the overlying material (Fig. 3). Additionally, a few seamounts disrupt the basement topography at km 250, 270 and 300. Upper crustal velocities at the ridge range between 4.4 and 5.6 km/s and display moderate lateral heterogeneities. Seismic velocities can be an indicator for the water depth of volcanic eruptions. Deep water is suggested by the absence of large amounts of volcanlastic material (velocities less than 4.0 km/s, Hill and Zucca, 1987), which result from explosive eruptions near the water surface as observed around many seamounts and volcanic islands e.g. Louisville, the Marquesas and Canary Islands (Contreras-Reyes et al., 2010; Wolfe et al., 1994; Watts et al., 2006). Thus, we speculate that this part of Walvis Ridge was never or only briefly near or at the surface during its active volcanic phase. The mid- and lower crustal velocities range between 6.2 and 7.2 km/s. Such velocities are typical of the gabbroic layer of igneous crust. The main ridge is not characterized by the exceptionally high velocities (> 7.2 km/s) occasionally observed at other hot spot trails (e.g. the Ninetyeast Ridge, Grevemeyer et al., 2001) or under the Walvis Ridge more close to the Namibian margin (Fromm et al., 2015).

4.2.2. The northern edifice

The northern flank of the ridge (km 100–250) between the crest and the basement high is characterized by a strong and smooth basement reflector and elevated seismic velocities (5.1–5.6 km/s) in the upper crust (layer 5). Such basement velocities are typical of basalts, although too high for the pillow basalts seen in normal oceanic crust. The underlying layer 6 is characterized by slightly lower velocities of 5.4 km/s. Low velocity zones (LVZ) generate no refracted waves and cause a time gap in the travel time curve, which is observed at all 11 OBHs along the northern flank. The thickness of this LVZ increases towards the ridge crest, where we observe a maximum time gap of 600 ms corresponding to 3.5 km thickness (Fig. 7, km 240). Within this layer 5, we observe a sequence of strong reflections with the same or slightly stronger move out (Fig. 5). This reflection pattern might be caused by an alternating layering of basaltic rocks and sediments, volcanlastic material or pillow lavas (Inoue et al., 2008), which can amplify amplitudes for certain frequencies due to constructive wave interference (Braile and Smith, 1975). We therefore interpret the upper crust as an inter-layered basalt–sediment sequence. Velocities of 6.2–7.2 km/s characterize the ~ 5.6 km thick middle and lower crust beneath the northern ridge flank (layers 7 and 8, Fig. 6). The thickness and shallow velocity gradient indicate gabbroic material of oceanic crust. We therefore interpret the crust beneath the LVZ (km 100–230) as oceanic crust that predates the activity of the hotspot in this region. Within this pre-hotspot crust we observe slightly lower velocities (6.1–7.0 km/s) at km 200 and a modest decrease in Moho depth (~ 2 km). This is the position where the Florianopolis Fracture Zone (FFZ) intersects the profile and we interpret this structural change as indicating the effects of the fracture zone. To sum up, we interpret the northern flank to consist of a thick lava-sediment layer (possibly lava flows) covering older oceanic crust and the FFZ.

4.3. Mantle

The mantle seems to be homogeneous with a constant velocity of 8.0 km/s, which is common for oceanic lithosphere (White et al., 1992). Although the Walvis Ridge is derived from melting within the mantle, we do not observe any velocity variations or anisotropy beneath the ridge. This result might be biased by the few Pn arrivals, which are visible in the data.

4.4. Density model

The free air anomaly values vary from −18 mGal to +25 mGal along the profile (Fig. 10). The minimum is located south east of the crustal root of the Walvis Ridge. The northern basement high, the northern flank and the ridge crest (km 40–340) are characterized by positive anomalies of 10, 23, and 25 mGal with a local minimum at km 190, where the free air anomaly drops from 23 to 6 mGal. Here, the FFZ intersects the profile and the model reveals a LVZ (Fig. 12) with decreased seismic velocities and reduced densities in the upper and lower crust (Fig. 10).

Fig. 12. Free air gravity anomaly map of the research area (Sandwell and Smith, 2009). The expression of the Florianopolis Fracture Zone is suppressed at the intersection with profile 150.
Overall, we obtain a good long wavelength fit, with deviations of 5 mGal. Misfits reach ±5 mGal at the northern flank of the ridge (km 50 to 190), where we observed the low velocity zone. The shorter wavelength misfits in this region reach up to 10 mGal, especially at the ridge crest (km 220 to 290). The basement topography has a large influence on the modelled gravity anomalies and we suggest that the poorly constrained geometry from the reflection seismic data is responsible for the large misfit.

Seismic velocities in the lower crust beneath the ridge (km 270–370, 7.2 km/s) are slightly decreased compared to the basement high (km 50 to 100, 7.5 km/s). This is consistent with the modelled densities of 3.005 cm³ beneath the ridge and 3.033 cm³ beneath the basement high (Fig. 10).

Overall, the gravity modelling confirms the crustal structure of the seismic model, with high misfits only in areas of poor seismic resolution. Because we do not have more constraints, we chose not to fit those areas by changing the model geometry.

5. Discussion

In this section, we compare the crustal structure modelled in profile P150 with seismic data at other profiles along the Walvis Ridge and other hotspot trails. The first two parts of the discussion are limited to the crustal structure beneath the main ridge. The structures north of the FFZ are discussed in the geodynamic framework and, last, the FFZ and its interplay with the hotspot is analysed.

5.1. The Walvis Ridge and hotspot tracks worldwide

The morphology of Walvis Ridge at the profile location is less prominent than closer to shore. The water depth at the ridge crest is 3200 m, about 1000 m deeper than at the western end of P100 (Fig. 2). However, a comparison of the basement depth at the ridge crest (km 300) with the western end of P100, 200 km away, does not reveal a significant change in depth (Fromm et al., 2015). The basement at P100 is in 4.4 km depth, while the basement at P150 lies at 4.0 km. The difference in water depth is therefore caused by variations in the sedimentary cover. Drilling at the eastern Walvis Ridge (DSDP Leg 40, Site 363, Fig. 2) revealed shallow water components in the lowermost layer indicating that this part of the ridge was emplaced subaerially or in shallow water (Bolli et al., 1978). Phreatic eruptions under such conditions produce volcaniclastic material, which likely accumulated in moats between the buried seamounts along line P100. As such materials appear from the seismic data to be absent along profile P150, the different bathymetric appearance of the ridge might be explained by a contrasting eruption style that is related to water depth: deeper submarine eruptions along P150 might have generated a less pronounced bathymetric feature. Beneath the sedimentary cover, the crustal thickness only differs slightly outside the model uncertainty: 21 km ±2 km at 9° E (P100, Fromm et al., 2015) and 18 km ±2 km at 6° E (this study). Jokat and Reents (2017) observed a more pronounced decrease in crustal thickness, to 13 km in the western guyot province at 3° W. ~1800 km further SW. This crustal thinning is consistent with a proposed general decline in the hotspot activity (Gallagher and Hawkesworth, 1994).

A comparison of the crustal velocity-depth function at Walvis Ridge with other hotspot trails reveals strong differences in crustal thickness and seismic velocities, but a common shape, with a steep velocity gradient in the upper crust and a shallow gradient in the lower crust (Fig. 13). This is similar to the crustal structure of normal oceanic crust. The steep upper crustal gradient relates to the pillow basalt layer, where compaction with depth causes increasing velocities, whereas the shallow lower crustal gradient reflects the presence of more compact gabbros (White et al., 1992). The increased crustal thickness of the Walvis Ridge is achieved by thickening in both the upper and lower crust. In contrast, other hotspot trails (namely the Ninetyeast Ridge and the Cocos Ridge Grevemeyer et al., 2001; Sallarès et al., 2003) only reveal a thickened lower crust beneath an upper crust of normal thickness.

5.2. Lower crustal structure

Another significant difference between the individual hotspot trails is the presence or absence of high seismic velocities in the lower crust. The seismic velocity and thickness of lower crustal zones in igneous settings allows conclusions to be drawn about mantle potential temperature and melt composition (Holbrook et al., 2001). Unusually thick crust requires unusually high melt production in the mantle. If this is caused by abnormally hot mantle, the melts produced will be rich in MgO and will crystallize abundant olivine and pyroxene to form crust with higher average velocities than at normal upper mantle temperatures (Farnetani et al., 1996). However, thick igneous crust can be produced by other means (hydrous mantle, enhanced convection) and high seismic velocities are not ubiquitous at hotspot trails. For example, HVLC is absent at the Galapagos trail.

![Fig. 13. Comparison between the crustal structure of profile 150 with other profiles from the Walvis Ridge and other hotspot trails. The annotated contours at 6.5–6.7 km/s mark the transition between oceanic layers 2 and 3 (dikes and gabbros). The contour at 7.2 km/s indicates anomalous high seismic velocities in the lower crust. Abbreviations: P3 (Fromm et al., 2015), WWR western Walvis Ridge (Kessling, 2008), 90E Ninetyeast Ridge (Grevemeyer et al., 2001), CR Cocos Ridge (Sallarès et al., 2003).](image-url)
(Cocos and Carnegie Ridge) and the Iceland-Faroe Ridge, whereas the Ninetyeast Ridge and Hawaii are both underlain by high velocity lower crustal bodies (Sallarès et al., 2003; Sallarès et al., 2005; Greve Meyer et al., 2001; Watts and ten Brink, 1989). Importantly, the production of HVLC can also be related to the depth of initial melting and thus to the lithospheric thickness and age at the time of its interaction with the hotspot. (Richards et al., 2013) showed that high-pressure melting under thick and old lithosphere promotes the formation of dense ultramafic melts that pond and crystallize at the Moho with V_p = 7.4 to 8.0 km/s, whereas melts produced under thin and young lithosphere produce gabbroic rocks with velocities of 6.8 to 7.5 km/s.

The Walvis Ridge in general seems not to be characterized by exceptionally high seismic velocities in the lower crust (this study, P100 west, Kessling, 2008). HVLC at the Walvis Ridge has only been found close to the continental margin in association with the Etendeka flood basalt province and probably formed during the continental breakup (P100, P3, Fromm et al., 2015; Planert et al., 2017). The ages of the Walvis Ridge and oceanic lithosphere east of 6°E are not well constrained, but plate kinematic reconstructions and dating of dredge samples suggests that the ridge has been emplaced on ~6–12 Ma old lithosphere (Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014; Bolli et al., 1978; Hay and Sibuet, 1984). The absence of lower crust with velocities higher than 7.5 km/s at this part of the ridge is consistent with relatively young lithospheric age. The slightly higher velocities north of the FFZ might also be explained by the age difference of oceanic crust. As discussed in the next section, the volcanic edifice north of the FFZ is most likely a product of late stage volcanism emplaced fully or partly ~30 Ma years after the formation of the Walvis Ridge and the, at that later time, more mature oceanic crust might have promoted higher velocities in the lower crust.

5.3. Geodynamic framework

Unfortunately, the timing and geometry of the South Atlantic opening from M0 to C34 (83.0 to 120.6 Ma, Gee and Kent, 2007) is not as tightly constrained as for later times because of the lack of magnetic reversal isochrones during the Cretaceous quiet period. Reconstructions for this period vary considerably, reflecting the differing weights given to the remaining plate kinematic constraints (e.g. Seton et al., 2012; Heine et al., 2013; Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014).

During initial opening, the Sao Paolo Plateau (SPP) was still attached to South Africa and seafloor spreading north of Walvis Ridge was focussed at a mid-ocean ridge lying west of it (Mohriak et al., 2010). Remnants of abandoned ridges in this location have been interpreted from gravity anomalies at the ‘Abimael Ridge’ (Fig. 1, Mohriak et al., 2010; Sandwell et al., 2014) and from bathymetric and gravity features at the Rio Grande Rise (Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014). Successive jumps transferred the spreading centre to locations nearer to the African coast, eventually detaching the SPP from the African plate (Fig. 14). The following sea floor spreading shifted the SPP along the transform fault of the FFZ, leaving the steep northern escarpment at Walvis Ridge and normal oceanic crust devoid of abnormal volcanism to the north of the fracture zone (P3, Fromm et al., 2015; Planert et al., 2017). The exact timing for the ridge jump varies according to the different authors from 95 Ma (Seton et al., 2012) to 85 Ma (Fig. 14, Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014).

In this study, we do not find evidence for normal oceanic crust north of the FFZ in the Angola Basin as observed closer to the coast (Fig. 2, P3, Fromm et al., 2015; Planert et al., 2017). Along line P3, the thick crust of the Walvis Ridge abruptly thins to normal oceanic crust (nearly 30 km within 30 km distance). Instead, we observe a basement high (km 70) underlain by thickened oceanic crust as well as a thick layer of basaltic material overlying pre-existing oceanic crust at km 80–140 (Fig. 11).

The influence of the fracture zone is completely different between the two profiles. At P3, the fracture zone coincides with dramatic crustal thinning, whereas the fracture zone has only minor influence on the velocity structure and Moho topography at P150. A possible explanation for this contrast is the distance between the fracture zone and the axis of the Walvis Ridge, defined as the position with maximum crustal thickness. This distance is only 30 km at P3, but more than 100 km along P150. We suspect that part of the erupted material of Walvis Ridge at P3 might have been sheared off and is today preserved on the South American plate at the Sao Paolo Plateau.

At P150 the fracture zone is not visible in bathymetry or seismic reflection data. There is only a slight velocity decrease in the lower crust and a local minimum in free air gravity data where the FFZ crosses P150. Moreover, the seismic data suggest a thick layer of basaltic material at this position (Figs. 10, 12). From this, it seems that the “northern edifice” must have formed after the transform fault became inactive and the SPP was sheared off. Thus, we suggest a difference in timing of volcanism and transform faulting between P150 and the eastern Walvis Ridge at P3. At P3, transform faulting occurred after the emplacement of Walvis Ridge, possibly removing parts of the volcanic material, creating a steep escarpment and leaving undisturbed oceanic crust north of it. In contrast, at least some
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**Fig. 14.** Reconstruction of the South Atlantic opening (Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014). Flood basalt provinces are marked in orange, red denotes the predicted hotspot location (O’Connor and Duncan, 1990). The location of line P150 is indicated in b) and c).
volcanic activity occurred after the transform faulting at P150 and volcanic rocks of the “northern edifice” covered the fracture zone. Now, the question arises whether this occurred during emplacement of the main Walvis Ridge or in a later phase of volcanism.

Reconstructions show that the hotspot was located near P150 at around 100 Ma (O’Connor and Duncan, 1990). At that time the Angola Basin north of the ridge did not exist and the SPP lay adjacent to the north of Walvis Ridge (Fig. 14 a). Contemporary emplacement of the northern edifice with the Walvis Ridge would require opening of the Angola Basin at that time (100 Ma) but the most recent reconstruction of the South Atlantic opening predicts the creation of normal oceanic crust along P150 in the Angola Basin at around 85 Ma (Fig. 14c, Pérez-Díaz and Eagles, 2014). Therefore, the northern edifice would post-date the main eruption by at least 15 million years. Late stage volcanism is observed at another hotspot trail, the Ninetyeast Ridge (Grevemeyer et al., 2001). Both the Ninetyeast Ridge and the Walvis Ridge are aligned with major transform faults. Reactivation of these fracture zones might have caused late magmatism in each case. Two seamounts located north of the FFZ (Fig. 6, km 70) were dredged during the RV Sonne cruise SO 233 (DR77, DR80; Hoernle et al., 2014). Preliminary results (Homrighausen, pers.com) indicate that the dredged samples might have erupted ~30 Ma after the main eruption phase of Walvis Ridge.

5.4. The influence of the Florianopolis fracture zone

Intraplate stress release at fracture zones above local mantle inhomogeneities has been suggested as an alternative origin for linear volcanic chains (Anderson, 2001, 2005). In accordance with this model, previous studies have proposed the Walvis Ridge as the site of a failed rift arm or ‘leaky’ fracture zone (Fairhead and Wilson, 2005; Hazel and Dziak, 2005).

Our study revealed parts of the FFZ covered beneath basalts and an undisturbed crustal root of the Walvis Ridge. Again the exact timing of events is crucial for its interpretation. The uppermost basaltic layer is not offset by transform motion and was emplaced after the active phase of the FFZ in this part of the Walvis Ridge. Fracture zones are commonly interpreted as weak zones within the crust, which preferentially become reactivated or focus magmatism. It has been stated that plumes might utilize existing weak zones as migration paths to the surface, even if this requires lateral flow over a longer distance towards the weak zone (Sleep, 2006; O’Connor et al., 2012). If the FFZ predates emplacement of Walvis Ridge (eliminating the necessity to invoke late stage volcanism), then it did not focus magmatism to form the ridge. The decreased seismic velocities and densities at the FFZ oppose the interpretation of the fracture zone as a migration path for volcanic material. Furthermore, the crustal root of the Walvis Ridge lies 100 km distant from the fracture zone and therefore both, the eruptions at Walvis Ridge and the activation of the fracture zone, seem to have been localized independently of each other.

Assuming a different timing of events, the Walvis Ridge might have been emplaced before the active transform fault, to be followed by secondary volcanism that obscured the FFZ. In this scenario, the reactivation of the transform fault might have triggered the late stage volcanism north of Walvis Ridge.

6. Conclusion

We present a model of the deep crustal structure of Walvis Ridge at 6° E based on a seismic refraction experiment and gravity modelling. The model shows that the Walvis Ridge consists of thickened oceanic crust composed of basaltic layers, pillow basalts and sheeted dikes in the upper crust and gabbroic rocks in the lower crust. The maximum crustal thickness at Walvis Ridge is 18±2 km compared with 7±1 km thick oceanic crust of the Angola and Cape Basins at the opposite ends of the seismic line. Our results show additional volcanic structures north of Walvis Ridge: a basement high underlain by 12 km thick igneous crust and basaltic layers covering old oceanic crust and the Florianopolis Fracture Zone. The extent of the Walvis Ridge and the area affected by related magmatism is therefore larger than previously estimated. The upper crustal velocities at the ridge crest indicate basaltic rocks with a low degree of fractionation and suggest that this part of the ridge was emplaced in a deep marine environment. In the lower crust, we observe no massive high velocity material similar to findings close to the Namibian coast. The lack of high velocity lower crust is attributed to the younger and thinner lithosphere and lower pressure of melting compared to the continental margin. The finding of magmatic material north of the ridge indicates that extensive volcanism occurred after the Sao Paolo Plateau sheared off the Walvis Ridge along the FFZ. The basaltic layers cover and obscure the FFZ. Therefore they might have been emplaced after the active phase of the transform fault. Recent dredge samples (Hoernle et al., 2014; Homrighausen, pers.com) indicate that a reactivation of the transform fault might have triggered a massive late stage volcanism, opening new pathways for hotspot melt trapped beneath the lithosphere. This magmatism might have overprinted the older crustal fabric. Oceanic crust (6–7 km thickness) typical for the Angola Basin might only be present at the very beginning of the line (km 6–20). In general, our data support a hotspot origin of the ridge and reject a major role of the fracture zone model in the emplacement of the Walvis Ridge.
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