<sup>1</sup> Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany <sup>2</sup> GIScience, Institute of Geography, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany <sup>3</sup> Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway <sup>4</sup> Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Institute of Geosciences, Kiel, Germany <sup>5</sup> GAMMA Remote Sensing, Gümligen, Switzerland <sup>6</sup> Department of Geography, University of Guelph, Guelph, Canada



Sofia Antonova <sup>1, 2</sup>, Andreas Kääb <sup>3</sup>, Henriette Sudhaus <sup>4</sup>, Tazio Strozzi <sup>5</sup>, Birgit Heim <sup>1</sup>, Moritz Langer <sup>1</sup>, Simon Zwieback <sup>6</sup>, Niko Bornemann <sup>1</sup> and Julia Boike <sup>1</sup>

# Permafrost thaw subsidence of Siberian yedoma: field measurements and TerraSAR-X interferometry

## Introduction

The seasonal freezing and thawing of the active layer forms excess ice during the winter, which melts during the summer, resulting in seasonal vertical movements of the ground. Additionally, relatively uniform thawing of the ice-rich layer at the permafrost table contributes to irreversible lowering of the surface, which has been reported for a number of Arctic locations (Günther et al., 2015; Streletskiy et al., 2016). We report here the field measurements from a yedoma site in the Siberian Lena River Delta made in the period from 2013 to 2017. We also show the results of differential interferometry (DInSAR), performed on the TerraSAR-X (TSX) data for the summer season in 2013.





cumulative displacement June - September 2013



field measurements رَزَرُ: thermokarst basins

bedrock

## Field measurements





## <u>TSX:</u>

wavelength 3 cm

(loss of coherence is the main problem)

- repeat cycle 11 days
- pixel size 0.9 m x 2.4 m in slant range

### DInSAR steps:

- only 11-day interferograms
- multilooking 4x3
- topographic phase removal with ArcticDEM (res. 5x5 m)
- adaptive filtering
- phase unwrapping (reference point on bedrock)
- stacking to reduce atmospheric effects
- removing linear phase ramp

thermokarst basins show distinct subsidence signal

north-1, north-2







summer 2013 was considerably colder compared to summer 2014, which can explain lower subsidence in 2013 compared to 2014

- pronounced seasonal and multi-year net subsidence from the field data, seasonal uplift is also detected;
- high spatial variability on sub-meter scale;
- multi-year variability is related to the climatic differences;
- DInSAR subsidence map generally showed a slight uplift, which might be related to the choice of reference point, i.e. can probably be corrected with a constant;
- distinct subsidence signal in the thermokarst basins;
- subsidence is greater in the wet parts of the basins.

### References

Günther, F., Overduin, P. P., Yakshina, I. A., Opel, T., Baranskaya, A. V., & Grigoriev, M. N. (2015). Observing Muostakh disappear: permafrost thaw subsidence and erosion of a ground-ice-rich island in response to arctic summer warming and sea ice reduction. The Cryosphere, 9(1), 151-178, doi:10.5194/tc-9-151-2015 Streletskiy, D.A., Shiklomanov, N.I., Little, J.D., Nelson, F.E., Brown, J., Nyland, K.E. & Klene, A.E. (2016). Thaw subsidence in undisturbed tundra landscapes. Barrow, Alaska, 1962–2015. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, doi: 10.1002/ppp.1918.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the German Aerospace Center DLR and Achim Roth for invaluable help with the TSX data access. ArcticDEM is provided by the Polar Geospatial Center under NSF OPP awards 1043681, 1559691 and 1542736



Sensing and Earth System Dynamics







sofia.antonova@awi.de