Glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) in high latitude Siberian permafrost: Diversity, environmental controls, and implications for proxy applications

Stephanie Kusch a,⇑, Maria Winterfeld b, Gesine Mollenhauer b, Silke T. Höfle a, Lutz Schirrmeister c, Georg Schwamborn d, Janet Rethemeyer a

a Institute of Geology and Mineralogy, University of Cologne, Zülpicher Str. 49b, 50674 Cologne, Germany
b Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Section of Marine Geochemistry, Am Handelskai 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany
c Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Section of Permafrost Research, Telegrafenberg, A45, 14473 Potsdam, Germany
d Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Research Group Polar Terrestrial Environmental Systems, Telegrafenberg, A45, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article info:
Article history:
Received 31 March 2019
Received in revised form 31 May 2019
Accepted 25 June 2019
Available online 28 June 2019

Keywords:
Glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers
High latitude
Siberia
Permafrost
Soil
pH calibration

A B S T R A C T

Archaeal and bacterial glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) are globally abundant in soils under various climatic conditions, but little is known about their sources, relative distribution, and environmental controls on their diversity in high latitude permafrost deposits. Thus, constraints on GDGT-based proxies, such as methylation of branched GDGTs (MBT) or cyclization of branched GDGTs (CBT) used to infer mean annual temperature or soil pH, are also sparse. We investigated the GDGT diversity in typical North Siberian permafrost deposits including the active layer of polygonal tundra soils (seasonally frozen ground), fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, Holocene and Pleistocene thermokarst sediments, and late Pleistocene Ice Complex (Yedoma). Our data show that isoprenoid GDGTs are produced by both methanotrophic and methanogenic Euryarchaeota, as well as Thaumarchaeota, but their abundance does not seem to be controlled by the investigated physicochemical parameters including %TOC, %TN, and soil pH. Branched GDGTs (brGDGTs) show similar distributional changes to those observed in other high latitude soil samples, i.e., a dominance of pentamethylated and hexamethylated brGDGTs, likely reflecting the adaptation to low temperatures and a positive correlation of the degree of cyclization with soil pH. Specifically, brGDGT-Ila correlates positively with %TOC and %TN and negatively with soil pH, while brGDGT-IIIb and brGDGT-IIb correlate negatively with %TOC and %TN and positively with pH. Moreover, we observe a negative correlation between 5-methyl and 6-methyl brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties (except brGDGT-Ila), but this anticorrelation does not seem to be related to the investigated physicochemical parameters. The observed brGDGT distribution yields a permafrost-specific soil pH calibration, $\text{pH}_{\text{PF}} = 1.8451 \times \text{CBT}_{\text{PF}} + 8.5396$ ($r^2 = 0.60$, RMSE $= 0.074$; $n = 109$).

The different investigated deposit types show some distinct GDGT distributional changes and appear to be distinguishable based on the relative abundance of crenarchaeol, GDGT-0/[crenarchaeol + GDGT-0] ratios, and CBT$\beta_{\text{PF}}$ values, although we also observe strong heterogeneity for each deposit type. In particular, Yedoma and the active layer of polygonal tundra soils represent distinct endmembers, which differ from each other, as well as from fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments and thermokarst sediments, while the latter two deposit types have similar GDGT fingerprints that are not easily distinguishable. Yet, the observed GDGT distributional differences have implications for GDGT proxies analyzed in aquatic suspended matter and sediments. Quantitative estimates of permafrost erosion, as well as soil pH inferred using BIT indices or CBT$\beta_{\text{PF}}$, respectively, may be biased by changing relative contributions of different deposit types (carrying their respective GDGT signals) to the exported permafrost OC, particularly from Yedoma and the active layer of polygonal tundra soils.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs; Appendix A) constitute the microbial cell membrane monolayer and are produced...
either with isoprenoid alkyl chains (isoGDGTs) by archaea, or with methyl-branched alkyl chains (brGDGTs) by bacteria (Schouten et al., 2013). IsoGDGTs are synthesized by a range of archaeal phylogenetic groups, but crenarchaeol and its isomer, isoGDGTs with four cyclopentane and one cyclohexane moiety, have only been found in autotrophic ammonia oxidizing Thaumarcheota. They are thus useful chemotaxonomic markers for this phylogenetic group (Schouten et al., 2013 and references therein). Heterotrophic Acidobacteria are considered the tentative source of brGDGTs (Weijers et al., 2010). However, Sinninghe Damsté et al. (2018) recently argued that the search for the biological source of brGDGTs should be extended to other bacterial phyla since: (i) so far only a limited amount of acidobacterial strains have been shown to produce brGDGTs or iso-diabatic acid (the potential building block for brGDGTs) and (ii) all environmental acidobacterial metagenomes, as well as all but one cultured acidobacterial strain, lack a newly identified gene cluster tentatively coding for the ether bond between iso-diabatic acid and glycerol (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2018).

Globally, soils have been shown to comprise a wide structural diversity of GDGTs (De Jonge et al., 2014; Weijers et al., 2006). For brGDGTs in soils, the global pattern reveals a correlation between the methylation of brGDGTs and mean annual air temperature, as well as the cyclization of brGDGTs and soil pH (De Jonge et al., 2014). Whether these environmental parameters also govern the brGDGT distribution in bacterial membranes at high latitudes (<65°N), however, is poorly constrained. To date, our knowledge of GDGT diversity in high latitude soils is very limited owing to the low number of samples investigated from these areas and missing broader regional spatial coverage. The global soil data set of De Jonge et al. (2014) contains only 12 samples obtained from Svalbard, Greenland, and Iceland. These are complemented by 11 samples from Southwest Greenland (Colcord et al., 2015), 7 samples each from the Colville river catchment in Northern Alaska (Hanna et al., 2016) and the Yenisei catchment in Siberia (De Jonge et al., 2015), and one sample each from the Mackenzie and Kolyma river catchments in Canada and Siberia, respectively (Peters et al., 2014). In addition, Bischoff et al. (2013) investigated GDGTs in 23 samples from a permafrost core recovered from Kurungnakh Island in the Lena Delta, Siberia, and Sparkes et al. (2015) investigated three samples from a Kolyma riverbank erosion profile. However, neither of these studies report detailed abundances of the full suite of GDGTs. Most of these high latitude studies do not include isoGDGT distributions but focus on brGDGT diversity and among these, however, only De Jonge et al. (2014) and De Jonge et al. (2015) report abundances of 5-methyl and 6-methyl brGDGTs. In fact, many of the high latitude samples were obtained to provide the “soil” endmember when tracing the export of permafrost-derived organic carbon (OC) into aquatic sediments (Bischoff et al., 2016; De Jonge et al., 2015, 2016b; Dog rui Selver et al., 2015; Peters et al., 2014; Sparkes et al., 2015). Thus, a comprehensive study of the GDGT diversity in high latitude soils and different permafrost deposits is still missing, restricting constraints on the environmental controls on GDGT distributions at high latitude.

Here, we present GDGT inventories and proxies of 129 permafrost samples from Arga Island, Samoylov Island, Kurungnakh Island, the Buor Khaya Peninsula, and Bol’shoy Lyakhovsky Island, representing typical North Siberian permafrost deposits including the active layer (the uppermost layer thawing during summer) of the polygonal tundra soils, Holocene fluvial terrace and floodplain sediments, Holocene and Eemian thermokarst sediments, and late Pleistocene Ice Complex (Yedoma). These deposit types have different origins including aquatic sedimentation, thermokarst, pedogenesis, and nival lithogenesis (e.g. Schirrmeister et al., 2011a, 2011b) and are characterized by different physicochemical properties, which are particularly expressed in total OC content and soil pH with ranges of 0.1–33.8% and 4.3–8.0, respectively; the most prominent differences occurring in polygonal tundra soils and Yedoma. We use these data to investigate GDGT diversity among deposit types, constrain GDGT sources and environmental controls, and assess potential implications for GDGT-based proxies in aquatic sediments as used in (paleo)environmental studies.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Site description and samples

Samples were obtained from the Lena river delta including Arga Island, Samoylov Island, Kurungnakh Island, as well as from the Buor Khaya Peninsula and Bol’shoy Lyakhovsky Island (Fig. 1, Table S1). These samples represent typical Siberian permafrost deposits including active layer of polygonal tundra soils (n = 49), Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (n = 21), Holocene thermokarst sediments (n = 9), and Late Pleistocene Yedoma (n = 43). In addition, a few samples represented glacial to Holocene cover deposits (n = 5) and late Pleistocene ice wedges (n = 2). Since the origin of these (‘other’) 7 samples cannot be further specified, we do not include the respective data when discussing deposit type specific GDGT distributions.

The active layer of polygonal tundra soils (referred to as active layer soils in the following), from the Lena Delta, were sampled from each of the three geomorphological units including Holocene fluvial deposits of the active delta (Samoylov Island), late Pleistocene to early Holocene fluvial sands (Arga Island), and late Pleistocene Ice Complex deposits covered by Holocene aeolian silty sand (Kurungnakh Island) (Schirrmeister et al., 2011a; Schwamborn et al., 2002). Drill core BK-8 was recovered from a Yedoma hill, which is composed of late Pleistocene Ice Complex deposits covered by Late Glacial and Holocene sands on the Buor Khaya Peninsula (Schirrmeister et al., 2017). Drill cores L14-02, L14-04, and L14-05 were retrieved from Bol’shoy Lyakhovsky Island. L14-02 includes a late Pleistocene Ice Complex sequence (MIS 3) while L14-04 and L14-05 represent interglacial thermokarst sediments from the Eemian (MIS 5) and interstadial deposits from the late Pleistocene (MIS 4 and MIS 3) and Holocene, respectively (Stapel et al., 2018). Each of the Buor Khaya Peninsula and Bol’shoy Lyakhovsky Island drill cores included an uppermost active layer soil. Detailed descriptions of the drill cores are given by Günther et al. (2013), Overduin (2015), Schirrmeister et al. (2017), Schwamborn and Wetterich (2016, 2015), Stapel et al. (2018, 2016), and Zimmermann et al. (2017a, 2017b).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Bulk parameters

For total organic carbon (%TOC) measurements, 20–50 mg of sample were reacted with 37% HCl for 1 h at 250°C. Excess iron oxide and tungsten oxide were added and samples were analyzed using an ELTRA CS 2000 elemental analyzer. Total nitrogen (%TN) was measured on 20–30 mg sample after addition of 20 mg tungsten trioxide as an oxidation catalyst using an Elementar Vario EL III elemental analyzer. Soil pH values were measured on sample splits after immersion in water (5:2 water:sample, v:v) for 60 min.
using a Mettler Toledo FiveEasy pH meter and are reported as means of triplicate measurements. Bulk parameters for the Samoylov and Kurungnakh active layer soils were measured previously (Table S2; Höfle et al. 2015).

2.2.2. Lipid analyses

Depending on TOC content, GDGTs were extracted from 0.5 to 10 g of freeze-dried sample using either ultrasonication or accelerated solvent extraction (Thermo Fischer ASE 300; 120 bar and 120 °C) with a dichloromethane: methanol 9:1 (v: v) solvent mixture. Subsequently, the total lipid extract was saponified using 0.5 M potassium hydroxide in methanol for 3 h at 85 °C. Neutral lipids were liquid-liquid extracted into hexane and separated into polarity fractions via silica gel column chromatography (1% activated SiO₂, 0.063–0.2 mm mesh size). GDGTs eluted in methanol (Thienemann et al., 2017). The GDGT fraction was evaporated to dryness and GDGTs were filtered through a 0.45 μm PTFE syringe filter using 95:5 (v: v) hexane:isopropanol. GDGTs were analyzed using an Agilent 1290 UHPLC connected to a 6460 QQQ equipped with an APCI ion source and operated in SIM mode following the method described in Hopmans et al. (2016). Chromatographic separation was achieved on two serial Waters BEH HILIC columns (2.1 × 150 mm, 1.7 μm; Waters) guarded by a corresponding 2.1 × 5 mm pre-column. Solvents, solvent gradient, flow rate, and oven thermostat temperature were set following Hopmans et al. (2016). GDGTs were detected as [M + H]⁺ ions and quantified against an internal C₄₆ GDGT standard (Huguet et al., 2006).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the PAST 3.16 (Hammer et al., 2001) software. Input data included GDGT fractional abundances, %TOC and %TN content, and pH values, all of which were standardized prior to running the PCA. PCA was performed separately for isoGDGTs (PCAiso) and brGDGTs (PCabr).

3. Results

3.1. Elemental parameters

Elemental parameters for the different deposit types are shown in Fig. 2. %TOC ranges from 0.1% to 33.8% and is highest in active layer soils (10.6 ± 10.0%; mean ± SD; Fig. 2A) and lowest in thermokarst sediments (0.9 ± 0.5%). Likewise, the %TN is highest in active layer soils (0.5 ± 0.5%; Fig. 2B) but is within 0.2 ± 0.2% in all other deposit types, showing a total range from 0.0% to 1.9%. Soil pH ranges from 4.3 to 8.0 in all samples. The highest soil pH was measured in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (6.8 ± 0.8; Fig. 2C) although soil pH in thermokarst sediments (6.6 ± 0.6) and Yedoma (6.7 ± 0.8) is very similar. A significantly lower soil pH was determined for active layer soils (5.2 ± 0.6).

3.2. GDGTs

The average relative abundances of isoGDGTs and brGDGTs in the different permafrost deposit types are shown in Fig. 3. In gen-
brGDGTs represent the majority of GDGTs in all deposits (90.0 ± 12.0%; mean ± SD). Highest absolute brGDGT concentrations are found in active layer soils (59.9 ± 135.3 μg/g TOC; Fig. 3A) and the lowest concentrations are observed in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (11.0 ± 5.8 μg/g TOC). Likewise, the highest relative brGDGT abundances are also observed in active layer soils (95.4 ± 4.2%; Fig. 3C) and lowest abundances are detected in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (84.7 ± 11.8%; Fig. 3D). The Branched and Isopenoid Tetraether (BIT) index, as defined by Hopmans et al. (2004), is highest in active layer soils (1.00 ± 0.01; Fig. 4E) and lowest in Yedoma (0.91 ± 0.12). The lowest BIT index was 0.52 in a Yedoma sample in core L14-02 (6.08–6.17 m).

Penta- and hexamethylated brGDGTs dominate in all deposit types accounting for, on average, 43.6 ± 5.7% and 39.5 ± 10.0%, respectively (Fig. 3C–F), while tetramethylated brGDGTs only constitute an average of 16.8 ± 6.1% of all brGDGTs. Among the penta- and hexamethylated brGDGTs, brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties account for, on average, 43.6 ± 5.7% and 39.5 ± 10.0%, respectively, while tetramethylated brGDGTs only constitute an average of 16.8 ± 6.1% of all brGDGTs. Among the penta- and hexamethylated brGDGTs, brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties are most abundant, particularly brGDGT-IIIa and brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties (0.19 ± 0.11) and #rings penta,5Me (0.12 ± 0.11) are highest in thermokarst sediments (2.5 ± 1.9, 6.3 ± 8.2%; Fig. 3F). In contrast, absolute isoGDGT concentrations (4.6 ± 4.2%; Fig. 3C) are lowest in Yedoma sediments (mean 1.6 ± 2.5 μg/g TOC) and lowest in active layer soils (mean 1.6 ± 2.5 μg/g TOC). The lowest absolute isoGDGT concentrations in all samples span several orders of magnitude ranging from 3.0 ng/g TOC to 223.4 ± 542.8 μg/g TOC, while the mean concentrations for the different deposit types agree within SD, the total isoGDGT concentrations in all samples span several orders of magnitude ranging from 3.0 ng/g TOC to 223.4 ± 542.8 μg/g TOC. GDGT-0/crenarchaeol ratios (Fig. 4A) range from 0.3 to 2841.0 with means from 5.6 ± 9.0 in thermokarst sediments to 223.4 ± 542.8 in active layer soils. GDGT-2/crenarchaeol ratios range from 0.0 to 8.0 and are highest in active layer soils (1.1 ± 2.0) and lowest in thermokarst sediments (0.2 ± 0.1). The branched and isoprenoid tetraether (BIT) index, as defined by Hopmans et al. (2004), is highest in active layer soils (1.00 ± 0.01; Fig. 4E) and lowest in Yedoma (0.91 ± 0.12). The lowest BIT index was 0.52 in a Yedoma sample in core L14-02 (6.08–6.17 m).

The highest relative abundance of isoGDGTs is evident in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (15.3 ± 11.8%; Fig. 3D), Late Pleistocene Yedoma shows the only significant relative abundance of crenarchaeol (6.3 ± 8.2%; Fig. 3F). In contrast, absolute isoGDGT concentrations are highest in thermokarst sediments (2.5 ± 1.9 μg/g TOC; Fig. 3A) and lowest in active layer soils (mean 1.6 ± 2.5 μg/g TOC). Like-wise, the highest relative brGDGT abundances are also observed in active layer soils (95.4 ± 4.2%; Fig. 3C) and lowest abundances are detected in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (84.7 ± 11.8%; Fig. 3D). The Branched and Isopenoid Tetraether (BIT) index, as defined by Hopmans et al. (2004), is highest in active layer soils (1.00 ± 0.01; Fig. 4E) and lowest in Yedoma (0.91 ± 0.12). The lowest BIT index was 0.52 in a Yedoma sample in core L14-02 (6.08–6.17 m).
respective permafrost deposit type and its basic physicochemical properties including %TOC, %TN, and soil pH, which result from complex interactions including (but not limited to) the mineralogy of the parent material, the state of decomposition and pedogenesis, current microbial and vegetation feedbacks (in case of active layer soils), and climatic conditions and are, thus, highly variable between samples and deposit types (Fig. 2). Active layer soils are currently open systems, while the physicochemical properties of the other deposit types represent time-integrated signals of past environmental conditions, which are not constrained. Here, we make the simplified assumption that in the absence of diffusive transport of compounds and ions in pore waters, the physicochemical parameters (%TOC, %TN, and pH) of the perennially frozen samples have not changed significantly since they were frozen permanently (closed system assumption) including after thermokarst or Taberite (thawed and refrozen Yedoma) development.

Fig. 3. Absolute and relative abundances of GDGTs in permafrost deposits of Northern Siberia. Summed total (A) isoGDGTs and (B) brGDGTs concentrations in different deposit types and average relative distribution of GDGTs in (C) the active layer of polygonal tundra soils, (D) fluvial terrace and floodplain sediments, (E) thermokarst sediments, and (F) Yedoma. Box-whisker plots are shown in Tukey style with IQR values of 1.5; numbers denote sample count. Appendix A shows isoGDGT and brGDGT structures.
Fig. 4. IsoGDGT ratios in permafrost deposits of Northern Siberia. (A) GDGT-0/crenarchaeol ratio, (B) GDGT-2/crenarchaeol ratio, (C) the methane index (MI) as defined by Zhang et al. (2011), (D) cren/isoGDGTs, (E) BIT index, and (F) GDGT-0/(crenarchaeol+GDGT-0). Box-whisker plots are shown in Tukey style with IQR values of 1.5; numbers denote sample count.

Fig. 5. Fractional abundances of (A) tetramethylated brGDGTs (n=3), (B) pentamethylated brGDGTs (n=6), and (C) hexamethylated brGDGTs (n=6), and weighted average number of cyclopentane moieties for (D) tetramethylated brGDGTs, (E) 5-methyl pentamethylated brGDGTs, and (F) 6-methyl pentamethylated brGDGTs in permafrost deposits of Northern Siberia.
4.1. GDGT diversity and environmental controls

4.1.1. isoGDGTs

While crenarchaeol and its isomer are only produced by ammonia oxidizing Thaumarchaeota, the other isoGDGTs (GDGT-0, GDGT-1, GDGT-2, and GDGT-3) are also synthesized by other archaea, including methanogenic and methanotrophic Euryarchaeota (Blaga et al., 2008; Weijers et al., 2011). These sources are particularly likely in permafrost deposits, which have been shown to harbor a suite of methanogenic and methanotrophic microbes (Jansson and Taś, 2014). Archaeal genomic data from permafrost areas are scarce, but 16S rRNA data from methane-rich permafrost deposits of the Kolyma River floodplain show that methanogenic Euryarchaeota dominate (57–93%) the archaeal community (Shcherbakova et al., 2016). Accordingly, we expect that GDGT-0, GDGT-1, GDGT-2, and GDGT-3 in the investigated permafrost deposits are influenced by euryarchaeal contributions. The Mi confirms that the majority of the investigated samples are indeed significantly influenced by methane (Fig. 4C) with mean values of 0.6 ± 0.3 (n = 45) in active layer soils, 0.6 ± 0.2 (n = 21) in Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, 0.4 ± 0.1 (n = 9) in thermokarst sediments, and 0.4 ± 0.2 (n = 43) in Yedoma. Moreover, mean GDGT-0/crenarchaeol ratios are >2 in all deposit types and particularly in active layer soils (Fig. 4A), implying the presence of methanogenic Euryarchaeota (Blaga et al., 2008). This agrees well with the detection of archaeol in the Bol'shoy Lyakhovsky Island L14 cores by Stapel et al. (2018) and archaeol in a drill core from Kurungnak Island investigated by Bischoff et al. (2013). Additionally, mean GDGT-2/crenarchaeol ratios are >0.2 in active layer soils, Holocene fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, and Yedoma (Fig. 4B), indicating the presence of methanotrophic Euryarchaeota in these deposit types (Weijers et al., 2011). While most thermokarst sediments have GDGT-2/crenarchaeol ratios ≤0.2, a few samples (Table S2) have GDGT-2/crenarchaeol ratios >0.2 showing that methanotrophs are also present in thermokarst sediments. We observe a strong correlation between GDGT-2 and GDGT-3 (r² = 0.96; n = 129) as well as between GDGT-0 and GDGT-1 (r² = 0.69; n = 129). This indicates that GDGT-3 and GDGT-1 are likely also of methanotrophic and methanogenic euryarchaeal origin, respectively. Surprisingly, we also observe particularly strong correlations between crenarchaeol and GDGT-2 (r² = 0.93; n = 129) and crenarchaeol and GDGT-3 (r² = 0.96; n = 129). Since we consider it unlikely that both GDGT-2 and GDGT-3 in permafrost are to a significant extent sourced from Thaumarchaeota, this may indicate that methanotrophic euryarchaeal GDGT-2 and GDGT-3, as well as thaumarchaeal crenarchaeal abundances, are determined by the same environmental parameters. However, PCAiso (Fig. 6) reveals that any of the isoGDGT fractional abundances are not, or are only weakly, correlated with the investigated physicochemical parameters (%TOC, %TN or soil pH). Stapel et al. (2018) investigated additional parameters in the L14 cores from Bol'shoy Lyakhovsky Island, including free and bound acetate and formate concentrations, but none of these substrates show a correlation with the investigated isoGDGT (GDGT-0, GDGT-1, GDGT-2, and crenarchaeol) concentrations (r² < 0.1). Accordingly, additional independent data are required to determine the environmental controls on isoGDGT abundances in permafrost deposits.

4.1.1.1. Thaumarchaeal GDGTs. Thaumarchaeota are important ammonia oxidizers in soils, which dominate over ammonium oxidizing bacteria in low pH (<5.5) and low ammonia soils (Hong and Cho, 2015; Leininger et al., 2006; Prosser and Nicol, 2012; Verhamme et al., 2011). Nicol et al. (2008) showed that soil acidity is the best predictor of thaumarchaeal abundances in soil, while Bates et al. (2011) concluded that thaumarchaeal abundances are most closely related to soil C/N ratios and Hong et al. (2015) found a negative correlation between thaumarchaeal abundances and OM and water content. Regression coefficients for crenarchaeol abundances and physicochemical parameters including %TOC (Fig. 7A), %TN (Fig. 7B), C/N ratios (Fig. 7C), and pH (Fig. 7D), respectively, reveal that in the investigated North Siberian permafrost samples no obvious relationships between these physicochemical parameters and thaumarchaeal abundances exist. If anything, we observe a weak positive relationship between soil pH and crenarchaeol (Fig. 7D). This may indicate that thaumarchaeal communities in our permafrost samples differ from those in other environments, such as temperate soils. This is likely driven by the origin of the permafrost deposit types, including Yedoma and thermokarst sediments or fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, which seem to be characterized by higher crenarchaeol concentrations and lower %TOC (Fig. 7A). Globally, 16S rRNA sequences suggest that group I.a 1.1b Thaumarchaeota dominate in soils (Auguet et al., 2009) while group I.a 1.1a Thaumarchaeota represent only a minority of sequences. This also seems to be confirmed by available permafrost genetic data (Gittel et al., 2014; Shcherbakova et al., 2016; Tripathi et al., 2018; Wilhelm et al., 2011). 16S rRNA clones in permafrost deposits of the Kolyma River floodplain show that besides the dominant methanogenic Euryarchaeota, 7–43% of the gene sequences represent Thaumarchaeota, Woesearchaeota, and Bathyarchaeota (Shcherbakova et al., 2016). Thaumarchaeal gene sequences belonged to the group 1.2 Thaumarchaeota (C3) and group I.1b Thaumarchaeota (Soil Crenarchaeota Group). The I.1b Thaumarchaeota were most closely related to the I.1b Nitrososphaera genus (Shcherbakova et al., 2016) of which strain JG1 and N. viennensis, as well as the thermophilic N. gorgensis, have been shown to produce crenarchaeol (Pitcher et al., 2010; Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2012; Stieglmeier et al., 2014). Similarly, Gittel et al. (2014) found 16S rRNA sequences belonging to the Nitrososphaerales in permafrost soils in the upper Kolyma River area. Whether the 16S rRNA data from the Kolyma River are an analogue for our Siberian permafrost samples is uncertain. Besides the potential of PCR amplification of DNA from non-viable cells, the spatial heterogeneity might be
significant. Alaskan permafrost soils investigated by Tripathi et al. (2018) revealed a large spatial diversity, with a dominance of either group I.1b Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota, or group I.1c Thaumarchaeota (formerly “Finnish Forest Soil Archaea”) in permafrost soils within a ca. 300 km radius. The latter subgroup seems to dominate in boreal settings, but have thus far not been shown to be ammonia oxidizers and it is unknown whether they synthesize crenarchaeol (Bomberg, 2016; Isoda et al., 2017; Weber et al., 2015). Thus, while we cannot determine whether group I.1c Thaumarchaeota are reflected by crenarchaeol concentrations, our data might distinguish group I.1a and group I.1b Thaumarchaeota.

Sinninghe Damsté et al. (2012) suggested that the relative abundance of the crenarchaeol isomer (cren’) in the total crenarchaeol (cren) pool, i.e., the cren’/(cren + cren’) ratio, differs between group I.1a and I.1b Thaumarchaeota. In general, cren’/(cren + cren’) ratios in our permafrost deposits are below 0.1- indicating that crenarchaeol and its isomer probably derive from group I.1a Thaumarchaeota, irrespective of deposit type (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2012). Only six active layer soil samples from Kurungnakh and Arga Island (particularly profile AG-0103) show significantly higher cren’/(cren + cren’) ratios (0.12–0.34) suggesting group I.1b Thaumarchaeota contribute significantly to the crenarchaeol pool in these soils. Thus, either North Siberian permafrost soils contain rather unusual thaumarchaeal communities or cren’/(cren + cren’) ratios do not mirror in situ thaumarchaeal communities. Independent genomic data will be required to confirm the thaumarchaeal subgroup present in our samples.

4.1.2. BrGDGTs

The ternary plot of the relative abundance of bacterial tetra-, penta-, and hexamethylated brGDGTs (Fig. 8) shows that the Siberian permafrost deposits follow the trend described by the global soil and peat data sets. Generally, our permafrost samples are dominated by pentamethylated (mean 43.6 ± 5.7%; n = 129) and hexamethylated (mean 39.5 ± 10.0%; n = 129) brGDGTs while tetramethylated brGDGTs average 16.8 ± 6.1% (n = 129). This distribution agrees well with the composition observed in the Svalbard soils in the global data set and the Greenland soils investigated by Colcord et al. (2015) and is consistent with a temperature control on the methylation of brGDGTs (De Jonge et al., 2014; Peterse et al., 2009; Weijers et al., 2007). The degree of methylation is relatively homogenous among deposit types (Fig. 5A–C), while they are characterized by different weighted average number of cyclopentane moieties (#rings; Fig. 5D–F). This is particularly obvious for tetra- and 5-methyl pentamethylated brGDGTs which correlate positively with each other ($r^2 = 0.67, n = 129$). Active layer soils show the lowest #rings tetra, #rings penta,5Me, and #rings penta,6Me values while Yedoma has the highest #rings tetra, #rings penta,3Me, and #rings penta,6Me values. This is consistent with global observations showing that the number

![Fig. 7. Cross plots of crenarchaeol concentrations against (A) total organic carbon (%TOC), (B) total nitrogen (%TN), (C) C/N ratios, and (D) soil pH in permafrost deposits of Northern Siberia. Linear fit shown with 95% confidence interval (grey shaded area).]
of cyclopentane moieties increases with pH, which is highest in Yedoma and lowest in active layer soils (Fig. 2C). Likewise, the abundance of 6-methyl brGDGTs (degree of isomerization; IR 6Me) increases with increasing pH (Fig. 9) - similar to observations in the global soil data set and in global peats (De Jonge et al., 2014; Naafs et al., 2017). However, the correlation in the permafrost deposits is weak ($r^2 = 0.18$; $n = 113$) since IR 6Me values of both fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments and thermokarst sediments do not change significantly with increasing pH. It remains to be investigated whether the degree of cyclization and degree of isomerization are physiological responses of specific (acido)bacterial species to soil pH, or instead reflect different bacterial species assemblages with different physiological traits. Sinninghe Damsté et al. (2018) recently demonstrated that the distribution of 6-methyl is diabolic acid (the potential building block for 6-methyl brGDGTs) in cultured acidobacterial strains differs between acidobacterial subdivisions. For example, strains belonging to subdivisions 1 and 3, which often accumulate in acidic soils, were shown to produce low amounts of 6-methyl is diabolic acid, while strains belonging to subdivision 6, which occur in high pH soils, have high 6-methyl is diabolic acid contents (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2018). As discussed above, these authors highlighted that the search for the bacterial source organisms of brGDGTs should be extended to bacterial phyla other than Acidobacteria (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the Acidobacteria have been shown to be an important phylum in permafrost deposits throughout the Arctic, where the occurrence of Acidobacteria seems to be predominantly linked to pH (e.g. Ganzert et al., 2014; Gittel et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Wilhelm et al., 2011; Männistö et al., 2007). Significant acidobacterial 16S rRNA gene sequence abundances were also detected in permafrost deposits in the Kolyma Indigirka lowlands (16%; Zhou et al., 1997) and Samoylov Island active layer soils (ca. 10%; Liebner et al., 2008), while a 28 m deep sample obtained from Bykovsky Peninsula, south of the Lena Delta, revealed only minor contributions (1%) from Acidobacteria (Hinsa-Leasure et al., 2010). We observe the highest total brGDGT concentrations (Fig. 3B) in the samples with the lowest pH (active layer soils; Fig. 2C), which may provide circumstantial evidence for an acidobacterial origin of brGDGTs. Additional correlations between brGDGT fractional abundances and the investigated physicochemical parameters are revealed by PCAbr (Fig. 10). The physicochemical parameters separate on PC1 and show a negative correlation of %TOC and %TN with pH. BrGDGT-IIIa correlates positively with %TOC and %TN, although PC3 (9.9% of the variance, not shown) separates a distinct cluster of a few Arga Island active layer soils which are characterized by very low abundance of brGDGT-IIIa but high %TOC. Opposite to brGDGT-IIIa, the other brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties (IIa’, Ilia, Ilia’, and Ia) do not seem to correlate with any of the
investigated physicochemical parameters but show a distinct negative correlation between the 5-methyl (Ila and Ia) and 6-methyl (IIa’ and Ila’) brGDGTs on PC2 (Fig. 10). This separation distinctly clusters permafrost samples from Bol’shoy Lyakhovsky Island (high 5-methyl brGDGT abundances) apart from Samoylov active layer soils and fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments (high 6-methyl brGDGT abundances). This likely reflects different bacterial populations in the respective deposit types and may be caused in part by their different origin. The PCA also shows the strongest positive correlation with pH (and negative correlation with %TOC and %TN) for brGDGT-Ib and brGDGT-IIb as well as brGDGTs IIb and IIb’. Abundances of cyclized hexamethylated brGDGTs IIIlc, IIIlc’, and pentamethylated brGDGT-IIlc’ show no or weak correlations with any physicochemical parameter—since they only occur in low concentrations in a subset of the investigated samples. The correlations observed between brGDGTs and pH indicate that brGDGT abundances can be used to determine a permafrost-specific pH transfer function irrespective of whether the observed changes are caused by physiological responses of specific (acidic) bacterial species or different bacterial species assemblages.

4.1.2.1. Permafrost-specific soil pH calibration. The global soil data set shows a positive correlation between the relative abundance of brGDGTs with cyclopentane moieties and soil pH, while brGDGTs without cyclopentane moieties correlate negatively with soil pH (De Jonge et al., 2014). Moreover, upon improved chromatographic separation, De Jonge et al. (2014) showed that the relative abundance of 6-methyl brGDGTs correlates positively with soil pH. Overall, this pattern is mirrored in our permafrost data set (Fig. 11). However, the observed correlation coefficients are lower and the trends observed for specific brGDGT abundances differ from the global data set. Most obviously, we observe no correlation of brGDGT-Ia and pH, which show the strongest negative correlation in the global soil data set. In contrast, as revealed by the PCA we observe the strongest negative correlation between pH and the relative abundance of brGDGT-Ila (Figs. 10 and 11), which do not correlate in the global soil data set. Accordingly, inferring soil pH using a multiple regression transfer function based on the relative abundance of brGDGTs in the global soil data set such as pH mr (2014) results in very weak correlation ($r^2 = 0.12$) with the measured soil pH (Fig. 12C). Using CBT as defined by De Jonge et al. (2014), the correlation improves slightly ($r^2 = 0.23$; Fig. 12A). This likely results from the lack of correlation between 6-methyl brGDGT relative abundance and soil pH in our permafrost data set (Fig. 11). This is reflected in the weak correlation between pH sm and soil pH (Fig. 9) and may result from high water contents of permafrost (Dang et al., 2016). Accordingly, excluding 6-methyl brGDGTs as defined by the CBT sm index of De Jonge et al. (2014), strongly improves correlation with measured soil pH values ($r^2 = 0.52$; Fig. 12B).

In order to determine a permafrost-specific CBT pf index yielding the strongest possible correlation with soil pH, we used combinatorial analysis computed using Wolfram Mathematica software. We excluded those brGDGTs (IIIlc, IIIlb, IIIlc’, IIIlc’, and IIIlc) that occurred in ≤50% of the samples. The strongest correlation coefficient was found for CBT pf (Fig. 12D,E) defined as:

$$CBT_{pf} = \log\left(\frac{Ic}{Ia}\right)$$

and pH can be described as a function of CBT pf following:

$$pH_{pf} = 1.6153 \times CBT_{pf} + 9.8909 \quad (r^2 = 0.63, \quad p < 0.001; \quad RMSE = 0.057; \quad n = 83)$$

Fig. 11. Cross plots of fractional relative abundances of brGDGTs against measured soil pH. Linear fit shown with 95% confidence interval (grey shaded area). Roman numbers and letters denote brGDGT structures (see Appendix A).
However, since brGDGT-Ic was not detected in 23% of the investigated samples, thus, potentially hampering the use of CBT PF in other permafrost samples, we opted for the strongest correlation between CBTPF and soil pH including >95% of the investigated samples (n = 125), defining CBT' PF as:

\[ \text{CBT'} PF = \log \left( \frac{I_b + I_c + IIc}{C18/C19} \right) \]  

with pH modeled as a function of CBT' PF (Fig. 12F, G) defined as:

\[ \text{pH}_{\text{PF}} = 1.8451 \times \text{CBT'} PF + 8.5396 \quad (r^2 = 0.60, \quad p < 0.001; \text{RMSE} = 0.074; n = 109) \]  

The residual pH (Fig. 12G) is similar to the residual pH observed in the global soil data set of De Jonge et al. (2014) and smaller than the residual pH observed in the peat data set of Naafs et al. (2017). The residuals show a slight bias towards soil pH underestimation at high pH and overestimation at low pH; a pattern also evident in the global soil data set of De Jonge et al. (2014). However, the residuals are not strongly heteroscedastic, suggesting that they are random enough to apply a linear model.

Similar to that observed for the peat data set of Naafs et al. (2017), the correlation between CBT PF and soil pH is weaker than the correlation between CBT' and soil pH (\( r^2 = 0.85 \)) observed for the global soil data set. Naafs et al. (2017) attributed the strong correlation between CBT' and soil pH in the global data set to amplification of the pH effect on brGDGT cyclization by the soil water content effect (Dang et al., 2016), which is absent in water saturated peats. This most likely also applies to permafrost deposits, which have high (frozen) water contents. In addition to the soil water effect, we cannot exclude that brGDGT cyclization in our samples is in part also influenced by other parameters, such as MAT. As shown in Fig. 12, the most pronounced soil pH differences exist between active layer soils and Yedoma, i.e., between annually thawing and permanently frozen deposits. If the influence of temperature and pH are unidirectional for specific brGDGTs, e.g., observed for brGDGT-Ia in the global soil data set by (De Jonge et al., 2014), CBT may be biased by MAT and MBT by soil pH. Thus, care should be taken when applying the CBT PF proxy in high latitude locations with different production environments.

### 4.2. GDGT distributions in the different deposit types and implications for GDGT-based proxies in marine sediments

Several studies used GDGT distributions, i.e., BIT indices, in coastal East Siberian Arctic Shelf sediments to determine the supply of terrestrial permafrost-derived OC to these settings (Dogrul Selver et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2014; Sparkes et al., 2015; De Jonge et al., 2015; van Dongen et al., 2008). While De Jonge et al. (2015) found a good agreement of BIT index values with bulk sedimentary \( ^{13}C \) and C/N ratios in the Kara Sea, Dogrul Selver et al. (2015) and Sparkes et al. (2015) found different trends for BIT index values (exponential decrease) and other proxies including \( R_{\text{surf}} \) and bulk sedimentary \( ^{13}C \) (linear decrease) across the shelf in both the Laptev Sea and the East Siberian Sea. Dogrul Selver et al. (2015) suggested that this may reflect OM contributions of different deposit types such as permafrost soils and Yedoma supplied via different delivery modes, i.e., fluvial runoff and coastal...
erasure. Yet, the constraints on GDGT distributions in catchment deposits were limited to a few Yedoma samples. Our data set allows us to determine more comprehensively whether specific GDGT distributions may be used to fingerprint the investigated deposit types and whether erosion of different deposit types may have implications for the signals recorded in marine (or other aquatic) sediments.

Doğrul Selver et al. (2015) and Peterse et al. (2014) found that Yedoma is characterized by low brGDGT concentrations (~3–10 µg/g TOC) and Doğrul Selver et al. (2015) concluded that high brGDGT concentrations in coastal sediments thus preclude substantial contributions from Yedoma via coastal erosion. However, Peterse et al. (2014) investigated only one, and Doğrul Selver et al. (2015) only three, Yedoma samples. On average, we observe higher total brGDGT concentrations in Yedoma samples (37.6 ± 72.5 µg/g TOC; n = 32) than previously reported, and maximum concentrations are as high as 419.2 µg/g TOC similar to the maximum concentrations detected in the other deposit types (Fig. 3B). Overall, brGDGT concentrations in fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, thermokarst sediments, and Yedoma do not differ significantly. While active layer soils indeed have higher brGDGT concentrations, the difference is less than one order of magnitude for the majority of the data (Fig. 3B). We also observe relatively similar total isoGDGT concentrations across deposit types (Fig. 3A). Thus, the different deposit types cannot be easily identified based on GDGT concentrations and conclusions as to which deposit type and/or delivery mechanism contributes GDGTs to coastal sediments should not be based on absolute GDGT abundances alone.

In contrast, the relative contributions of specific GDGTs may be a better predictor of deposit type. Peterse et al. (2014) first noted that Yedoma from the Kolyma catchment was characterized by high relative crenarchaeol abundances. High relative abundances of crenarchaeol in Kolyma and Indigirka catchment Yedoma were confirmed by Bischoff et al. (2016), but these authors found lower relative crenarchaeol abundances in Yedoma from the Lena Delta. The Yedoma samples in our data set indeed have high crenarchaeol abundances relative to total GDGTs (Fig. 3F) and relative to isoGDGTs (Fig. 4D) in particular (mean 30.5 ± 19.0%; n = 43). Accordingly, Yedoma has lower BIT index values in comparison to the other deposit types (Fig. 4E). The PCAiso revealed a negative correlation of crenarchaeol with isoGDGT-0 (Fig. 6), thus, the GDGT-0/[cren + GDGT-0] ratio (Fig. 4F) may be a useful indicator to distinguish different deposit types. The GDGT-0/[cren + GDGT-0] ratio separates active layer soils and Yedoma well from each other and reasonably well from fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments and thermokarst sediments. The latter two deposit types show rather similar overall GDGT distributions (Fig. 3D,E) and may, thus, not be distinguishable irrespective of which ratio is used. Based on the difference in soil pH of the different deposit types, CBTTP provides an additional means to distinguish the different deposit types, particularly active layer soils and Yedoma (Fig. 12F). Using crenarchaeol relative abundances, GDGT-0/[crenarchaeol + GDGT-0] ratios, and CBTTP may, thus, aid in distinguishing the different deposit types. Nonetheless, it should be noted that the variance of GDGT distributions and ratios within each deposit type is quite large, both between sites and at a given site. For example, total brGDGT concentrations in all Yedoma samples average 41.2 µg/g TOC with a significant standard deviation of 96.2 ± 14.2 µg/g TOC. Yedoma total brGDGT concentrations also differ between sites, ranging from means of 16.8 ± 14.2 µg/g TOC on the Buor Khaya Peninsula (BK-8) to 44.6 ± 118.5 µg/g TOC (L14-02) or 124.9 ± 96.8 µg/g TOC on Bol'shoy Lyakhovsky Island (114-05). At all sites, however, evidently high standard deviations highlight the strong heterogeneity, even at a given site. This warrants caution when defining deposit type-specific endmembers and applying these endmembers to mass balance calculations. It also highlights that fingerprinting GDGT assemblages based on a limited number of samples likely underestimates the natural heterogeneity and may cause misinterpretation.

While not all deposit types have distinct GDGT fingerprints (e.g. fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments and thermokarst sediments), it is still obvious that variable contributions from different sources through time may significantly bias GDGT proxy signals in sedimentary downcore records obtained from the Siberian Arctic shelf. A decrease of BIT, for example, may be caused by a relative increase of Yedoma, or a relative decrease of active layer soil OC contributions to the exported terrestrial OC pool, respectively, rather than providing quantitative estimates of permafrost erosion. This mechanism was also invoked by De Jonge et al. (2016a) to explain a marked shift towards lower BIT indices observed in unit 2d of their Kara Sea record. The authors observed both a change in brGDGT distributions and concentrations, which was not accompanied by an increase of crenarchaeol concentrations or cyclopanerate-containing brGDGTs– indicating increased marine in situ production. Similarly, physicochemical changes through time inferred using GDGT proxies such as CBT (and likely also MBT) might potentially be biased by variable contributions from the different deposit types, particularly from Yedoma and active layer soils, which have distinct pH/mb ratios. Again, such an effect was proposed by De Jonge et al. (2016a) to explain a pH decrease of about 0.5 units and a sharp MAT increase of ca. 8 °C temperature in unit 2d of core N9 in the Kara Sea.

In addition to the GDGT signals carried by the erosion of different deposit types, in situ production in the river and on the shelf (De Jonge et al., 2015; Peterse et al., 2014) will contribute OC carrying additional GDGT signatures, thus, further complicating the interpretation of downcore records in the Siberian Arctic Ocean. De Jonge et al. (2015) showed that suspended particulate matter (SPM) produced in situ in the Yenisei River is characterized by high fractional abundances of 6-methyl brGDGTs Ila' and Ila' . The relative contribution of these brGDGTs is rather homogenous in our samples and their summed abundances average 11.5 ± 8.7% although several active layer soils have summed abundances >30% and maximum summed abundances are as high as 48.7% (SA-R, 13–18 cm), similar to that observed by De Jonge et al. (2015) in the Yenisei River SPM. Accordingly, increased 6-methyl brGDGT abundances in marine sediments may not simply indicate increased aquatic in situ production, but may also derive from permafrost in the catchment. However, the threshold value for #ringstrêta determined by Sinninghe Damsté (2016) to indicate significant in situ production (0.7) is not exceeded by any of the investigated deposit types in our data set. Thus, #ringstrêta should provide reliable estimates of in situ production in paleoclimatic studies. Consequently, the effect of aquatic in situ production and varying contributions from different deposit types may also offset each other. For example, if marine in situ production of brGDGTs contributes brGDGTs with low CBT values, while the terrigenous contribution of brGDGTs derived from Yedoma (high CBT values) increases, the overall CBT value may not change if the respective contributions and proxy values are equal in terms of mass balance. Accordingly, thorough and statistically meaningful investigations of the GDGT distributions in all sources contributing OC to marine sediments, including the different terrestrial deposit types in a given catchment, riverine and lacustrine suspended matter and sediments and likely even marine suspended matter, are required to estimate reliably, the effect on GDGT distributions determined in marine sediments in the Siberian Arctic. Given the large heterogeneity of circumpolar permafrost pedons and their carbon stocks (e.g., Hugelius et al., 2014), GDGT abundances likely differ
regionally. Thus, we would advise investigation of GDGT abundances in a sufficient number of permafrost deposits from the respective catchments when studying marine sediments.

5. Conclusions

We investigated the GDGT assemblages in 129 Siberian permafrost samples—representing the active layer of polygonal tundra soils, fluvial terrace/floodplain sediments, Eemian and Holocene thermokarst sediments, and late Pleistocene Ice Complex (Yedoma)—in order to better understand GDGT diversity and environmental controls at high latitude. GDGT abundances were dominated by bacterial brGDGTs in all deposit types and showed distributional changes, which were correlated to physicochemical parameters, including %TOC, %TN, and soil pH. The positive correlation of the degree of cyclization with soil pH allowed us to propose a permafrost-specific soil pH calibration with a root mean square error of 0.074 (n = 109). The dominance of pentamethylated and hexamethylated brGDGTs agreed well with the global soil data set of De Jonge et al. (2014), reflecting a low temperature adaptation. However, since most of our permafrost samples were permanently frozen and included a range of Pleistocene and Holocene deposits, we refrained from using the degree of methylation to attempt a temperature calibration.

In contrast to brGDGTs, the relative abundance of isoGDGTs was not correlated to the investigated physicochemical parameters. However, isoGDGT relative abundances differed between deposit types and, thus, provide important information when fingerprinting different deposit types. This seems to be largely driven by changes in the relative contribution of Thaumarchaeota and methanotrophic and methanogenic Euryarchaeota, i.e., crenarchaeol/isoGDGT and GDGT-0/(crenarchaeol + GDGT-0) ratios. While caution should be taken when defining GDGT endmembers for the different deposit types due to high heterogeneity in any deposit type, variable contributions from these sources to the eroded/exported permafrost OC may severely alter GDGT proxies analyzed in marine or other aquatic sediments.
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