Impact of UV radiation on DOM transformation on molecular level using FT-ICR-MS and PARAFAC
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A B S T R A C T

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is an omnipresent constituent of natural water bodies. Reuse and transformation of DOM compounds in the water column is driven by physicochemical and biological processes leading to the production of refractory DOM. Typically, breakdown of DOM chemical compounds into smaller or more condensed fragments is triggered by ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Here, we present a study on the photodegradation of DOM produced during an incubation experiment with a natural microbial community. At the end of the first incubation without UV irradiation, the samples from 3 mesocosms were filtered to remove microbes and particles and continuously exposed to UV radiation (280–365 nm). We investigated DOM in depth via monitoring of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations, DOM molecular characterization by Fourier-Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry (FT-ICR-MS) and excitation emission matrix spectroscopy (EEMS). Analysis of variance indicated no significant differences in the DOC concentration between treatments. Mean peaks in the fluorescent DOM (FDOM) were photo-bleached by UV radiation, and an increase in the fluorescent intensity of selected peaks was observed on irradiated samples toward the end of the experiment. Parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC) indicated the presence of three main components in all treatments: C1 (Marine humic M), C2 (Bacterial produced humic C), C3 (Tyrosine), and an additional component in the dark incubation of mesocosm 3, C4 (Tryptophan). Despite an intensive filtration protocol through 0.7, 0.2 and 0.1 μm filters, low bacterial abundances were determined (≤2.5 × 10\textsuperscript{3} cells mL\textsuperscript{-1}). We observed a direct correlation between structural indices and the intensity of PARAFAC components. Average double bond equivalent and aromaticity were strongly positively correlated with PARAFAC components C1 and C2 for one or more mesocosms. Moreover, FT-ICR-MS showed that under the tested conditions, the refractory character of the DOM assessed as the similarity to a DOM reference did not increase on molecular level. Thus, mechanisms other than photochemical transformations of relatively recent DOM are likely necessary to facilitate long-term stability of DOM in the oceans.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) is an omnipresent component of the oceans and recent calculations estimate that marine DOM contains approximately 685 Pg C, equivalent to the inorganic carbon contained by the atmosphere [1]. The composition of DOM in natural waters strongly depends on region-specific inputs as well as autochthonous sources. In general, it includes a wide variety of residues such as lignin, humic acids, fulvic acids, proteins, carbohydrates, amino acids, and carboxylic acids [2–6]. The residence time of DOM in the oceans is a key factor for the budget and fluxes of carbon in the environment [7]. The upper layer of the ocean is highly dynamic due to ambient light driving various physical and biological processes that transform DOM [8–11]. A direct link was identified in the sea surface microlayer between the growth of autotrophic organisms and the amount of photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) as well as apparent reuse of photodegraded DOM fractions [12–14]. A rising number of studies have investigated degradation mechanisms leading to the production of recalcitrant DOM [15–19] and its persistence especially in oceanic deep waters.
Here, specific limitations through environmental conditions, intrinsic stability of compounds possibly also facilitated by photochemical transformations or extreme dilution hindering microbial use may play an important role [18,20].

At the sea surface, one of the main mechanisms of DOM degradation is triggered by ultraviolet (UV) radiation. The photodegradation of DOM in the surface waters suggests the partial destruction of fluorescent structures in chemical compounds and smaller molecules are released into the surrounding environment. It has been reported that the destruction of complex structures such as humic acids and proteins produces compounds of lower molecular weight (LMW) and simpler structures [21,22]. The production of LMW compounds acts as a source of carbon and energy for heterotrophic microorganisms in the oceans, contributing to the reprocessing of DOM [23]. Conversion of LMW DOM into refractory DOM has also been explained through the condensation reactions hypothesis [24]. Additionally, biopolymers such as polysaccharides make up a larger fraction of HMW DOM, explaining its greater stability compared against LMW DOM [25]. Therefore, the conversion of DOM into more refractory compounds is likely accomplished through the intensive exposure to UV radiation, thermal induced reactions, condensation reactions and microbiological recycling processes [12,18,19,26].

DOM composition and transformation can be followed on molecular level by FT-ICR-MS, a technique which is widely used to discriminate and characterize individual molecular formulae or molecule classes in DOM. Structural indices derived from molecular formula information such as double bond equivalent (DBE) and modified aromaticity index (Almod) have recently been used to establish the aromaticity and complexity of photodegraded DOM [27–31]. Linking the complementary information derived from molecular diversity of DOM and the optical and spectroscopic characteristics of identified compounds clarifies the correlation between molecular formula level and FDOM fingerprint fractions and can thus provide a more complete picture of DOM transformations [32].

Optical properties resulting from DOM–light interactions allow for the development of a number of methodologies to better study DOM composition. A typical laboratory based approach is the analysis of excitation–emission matrices spectra (EEMs) that is based on spectroscopic analysis of FDOM. These capabilities can be enhanced by implementing in situ EEMS measurements. Recently development of new in situ sensor called “the kallemeter” was reported, which is capable to produce EEMs with an acceptable degree of resolution. In the near future improvements on this device will strengthen the researchers ability follow DOM transformations avoiding storage time [33]. Sources and transformations of DOM compounds in a sample can be determined as a function of the location of specific peaks in EEMs of the main fluorescent components [2,34]. Among the advantages derived from the use of the excitation-emission matrix spectroscopy (EEMS), in samples exempted of the inner filter effect, is the good correlation between the emission intensity and the concentration of the fluorescent group [35–37]. The correlation between the fluorophore concentration and emission intensity has been used to track the fate, stability, reuse and transformation of fluorescent compounds in diverse aquatic environments [12,38,39]. Robust quantitative biogeochemical indices have been proposed and validated to better understand FDOM dynamics in the environment. Here, we use the recent produced material index (REPIX) and the slope coefficient ($S_{275-295}$) to follow the photodegradation and reprocessing of FDOM. The $S_{275-295}$ is a good proxy for the molecular weight of DOM fractions. The absorption of LMW compounds is associated with the changes in the absorption coefficient in the wavelength interval between 275 and 295 nm [40]. Further, EEMS produce a three dimensional array combining measurements of emission, excitation and fluorescent intensity of a sample. Through parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC), independent underlying FDOM components can be derived from the total fluorescent spectra. The sophisticated technique decomposes EEMs datasets in main fluorescent components as function of specific parameters such as leverage scores, emission intensity, and residuals values [41–43].

In this study, we characterized changes in the fluorescent spectra and molecular composition of in situ produced DOM in order to determine if more recently produced DOM becomes more similar to refractory deep sea DOM on molecular level after continuous UV irradiation.

2. Methodology

2.1. Samples source and preparation

In the previous study [15], a natural coastal microbial community was incubated in low-DOC artificial seawater in order to quantify the production of refractory DOM during a long-term batch incubation. In the present study, we used the DOM produced in three replicate mesocosms (M1, M2, and M3) from the previous experiment. The samples used in the present study were obtained after a 1011 days of incubation without UV radiation. Samples were filtered through glass fiber filters to remove suspended solids. Sequential filtration was completed through a Millipore GTP Isopore membrane filter with a pore size of 0.2 μm and a Sartorius Minisart high-flow syringe filter with a polyether sulfone membrane of 0.1 μm pore size. We filled 6 replicate quartz tubes and 6 replicate borosilicate tubes with 75 mL of the filtered samples for each mesocosm. Additionally, we filled ten tubes with 75 mL of ultrapure water (MilliQ, arium 611VF, Satorius Stedim Biotech) which served as controls for light and dark treatments. Samples were labeled to indicate source mesocosm (M1, M2, M3), continuous light or dark treatment (L, D) and day of incubation (0 to 30). The borosilicate tubes were used as dark control and wrapped with aluminum foil to prevent changes in DOM due to photodecomposition. Each tube was sealed with a Teflon septum, sufficient headspace ensured oxic conditions. The incubation tubes were placed randomly in a water bath using a support to keep them in a fixed position under water at approximately 10 cm depth. Water in the open tank was recirculated allowing a uniform temperature distribution. Sampling was carried out at day 0, 3, 7, 11, 18 and 30 by processing one irradiated sample and a dark control for each mesocosm and control. All materials used were precultivated if possible (4 h, 400 °C) or thoroughly rinsed with ultrapure water at pH 2 before use.

2.2. Irradiation chamber design

A custom-made UV irradiation chamber with black Plexiglas layers was used to minimize outside UV light contamination. The top of the chamber was equipped with eight UVA 340 fluorescent tubes (Q-Lab Co., Saarbrücken, Germany) as UV radiation source. The light source has been shown to be appropriate for simulating natural UV light in the range from 280 to 365 nm [28,44]. Water temperature and light intensity were recorded with a Hobo Pendant and Onset Hobo data loggers (Melbourne, Australia). Average temperature was 21.5 °C and light intensity was 616.27 Lumen.

2.3. Bacterial cell numbers

Subsamples for the determination of bacterial cell numbers were preserved using glutaraldehyde (1% f.e.) and stored frozen at −20 °C until further analysis. Cell numbers of the free-living bacterial cells were counted by flow cytometry using a BD Biosciences Accuri C6 cytometer after DNA-staining using SybrGreen I (Invitrogen) and internal fluidic calibration of the device as described in detail by [45]. Uncertainties associated to this technique have been reported to be lower than 4.2% [46].
2.4. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)

Samples were acidified to pH 2 (HCl, Carl Roth 25% p.a.). Samples were sealed and stored at 4 °C in the dark until analysis. DOC determination was performed via high temperature catalytic combustion using a Shimadzu TOC-VPCH/CPN Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with an ASI-V auto sampler and a TNM-1 module. Calibration was using a standard deviation of l-Arginine. A deep sea reference (DSR) water sample from the Hansell laboratory at University of Miami was used as reference material to determine precision and accuracy which were better than 5% and 8%, respectively.

2.5. EEMS analysis

Spectrofluorometric measurements were completed within 24 to 72 h after sampling using a 1 cm quartz cuvette with a Horiba Aqualog spectrofluorometer (Kyoto, Japan). Three dimensional fluorescence spectra of samples and MilliQ water were determined at 10 nm bandwidth for both excitation and emission measurements. Excitation wavelengths were scanned in a spectral range of 200 nm to 500 nm and two nm interval. Fluorescence intensity was measured over a wavelength range of 200 nm to 600 nm, with a 1.617 nm pre-established intervals. EEMS were corrected using the DrEEM tool box according to [41]. FDOM peak selection was performed as described by Coble [47] in Matlab2015b, using an in-house script.

2.6. CDOM and absorption coefficients

We measured the absorbance optical density (OD) and fluorescence properties of dissolved organic matter with a Horiba Aqualog spectrophuorometer (Kyoto, Japan) within a wavelength range of 240–500 nm in 2 nm intervals. Quartz cuvettes with 1 cm path length were rinsed in a 1% Hellmanex solution to remove organic residues followed by deionized (DI) water. The samples were placed in a water bath at 20 °C in order to prevent deviations due to temperature changes during measurements. The absorption coefficient was calculated for all samples in dark and light incubations [40]. The spectral slope $S_{275-295}$ of CDOM was derived by an exponential model of methanol (MS-grade, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Extraction efficiencies on a carbon basis were 10 to 20% for M2 and 30 to 50% for M1 and M3. PPL columns have been previously evaluated to recover up to 62% of DOC in seawater samples [50], with very small and polar compounds exhibiting low extraction efficiencies [51]. We attribute the lower extraction efficiencies of M2 to the higher concentration of carbohydrates freshly produced by cyanobacteria [15]. In addition to the incubation extracts, an in-house reference sample extracted from North Equatorial Pacific Intermediate Water (NEPIW) was analyzed seven times under the same conditions to control for instrument variability and to serve as a reference for refractory deep ocean DOM [15]. The mass spectra were obtained on a 15T Solarix FT–ICR–MS (Bruker Daltonics, USA) equipped with an electrospay ionization source (Bruker Apollo II) applied in negative mode. Methanol extracts were diluted 1:1 (v/v) with ultrapure water to yield a concentration of 10 mg C L$^{-1}$. The sample was injected at a flow rate of 120 μL h$^{-1}$ with the capillary voltage set to 4 kV. 500 scans were coadded in a scanning range of 152–2000 Da. The spectra were internally mass calibrated with a list of known compounds using the Bruker Daltonics Data Analysis software package and processed using in-house Matlab routines. Molecular formulae were assigned according to the rules published in [29]. The number of assigned molecular formulae, average intensity-weighted molecular masses, double bond equivalents (DBE), H/C ratios and O/C ratios (Supplementary Table S2) were calculated for each sample. Molecular formulae were assigned to molecular classes as described previously [27]. It has to be noted that this assignment is not unambiguous as one molecular formula may comprise many different isomers. Average intensity-weighted double bond equivalent (DBE) and modified aromaticity index (AImod) were calculated for each sample [29,52].

2.9. Statistical analysis

Excitation emission matrices were analyzed to characterize the photodegradation of the in situ produced FDOM with PARAFAC [41]. Principal components were validated via split half analysis using the DrEEM toolbox. A model explaining ~99.5% of the dataset variability was fitted for both irradiated and dark incubations; PARAFAC was performed in Matlab2015b [53,54]. Variable associations were determined with the non-parametric Spearman rank test and statistical significance was determined at a threshold of $p < 0.05$. Classification of the correlation coefficient ($\rho$) used in this study was very strong ($\rho = 0.9–1$), strong ($\rho = 0.5–0.9$), moderate weak ($\rho = 0.3–0.5$), very weak ($\rho = 0.3$). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed in Microsoft Excel 2010. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was calculated to quantify differences between treated mesocosms. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity is expressed as a number between 0 and 1; where values near to 0 indicate low dissimilarity and values close to 1 indicated high dissimilarity. Bray-Curtis coefficients were multiplied by 100 and treated as percentages.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Modification of fluorescent spectra after irradiation

The recorded EEMs were normalized to Raman units (RU) and the location of the main fluorophore peaks [47] are indicated through pairs of excitation/emission (EX/EM) wavelengths (Fig. 1). Irradiated EEMs for samples in mesocosms M1L, M2L and M3L showed typical photobleaching profiles of FDOM, meanwhile slight changes in the fluorescent intensity were observed for the control samples M1D, M2D and M3D (Figs. 1, 2, and 3). After the third day (Fig. 1, M1L3), the irradiated samples exhibited a decrease of 50% in the intensity of their fluorescent signature. At day 18, fluorophores in the region matching humic A (EX/EM = 250/390 nm) and Marine humic M (EX/EM = 300/380) peaks were bleached and a fluorophore re-appeared in the region matching the tyrosine-like fluorophore (EX/EM = 276/306 nm) with a fluorescent intensity of
At the end of the experiment (day 30), only two fluorophores remained in the regions matching to recently produced Marine humic C with an intensity of 0.020 RU and tyrosine-like (peak B) with an intensity of 0.025 RU. The appearance and variation of the intensity for this fluorophore is consistent with the presence of bacteria in the samples, and it might be associated to the exudates of secondary metabolites released into the surrounding medium [23]. In the dark control, the fluorescent intensity appeared to be quite stable over time, and a loss of intensity in the tyrosine-like region was recorded along our experiment. As it was reported previously, tyrosine-like compounds may exhibit short residence times due to the presumably rapid uptake by microorganisms [23].

The fluorescent intensity signature for the light incubation of M2 decreased between days 0 and 3. >60% of the fluorescent intensity in the region matching with peak C, equivalent to 0.12 RU, was lost due to photobleaching (Fig. 2). This is in agreement with a previous report, suggesting that a decrease in fluorescence of samples exposed to UV radiation for extended periods of time is achieved in the first hours of the exposure [55]. After day 3, a new fluorophore with an intensity of 0.05 RU was detected (Fig. 2, M2L3) in the region matching the tyrosine-like peak.

At the end of the experiment on day 30, three regions were distinguishable in the EEMs: tyrosine-like (peak B), visible marine humic (peak C) and bacterial produced-humic substances (peak M). This finding suggests that although UV irradiation leads to the photodegradation of DOM in M2, it also enabled reprocessing of in situ produced FDOM in the irradiated samples.

The fluorescent fingerprint of the M2 dark control remained quasi-constant until day 18 when intensity slightly increased from 0.14 to 0.16 RU in the region matching the marine humic peak M (Fig. 2, M2D18, M2D30). Toward the middle of the experiment the intensity of peak C showed an increase from 0.10 and 0.12 RU in the dark control; also the intensity of the peak B slightly increased from 0.06 to 0.085 RU suggesting the reuse of DOM by microorganisms in both treatments. The use of DOM by bacteria was noted earlier and was assumed to be facilitated by the photolysis of DOM involving the production of low molecular weight compounds [14].

The decrease of fluorescent intensity in the light incubation M3 is consistent with a photobleaching pattern (Fig. 3). A predominant peak in the region matching to tyrosine-like (peak B) with an intensity of 0.10 RU was recorded from day 3 to 18, showing a decrease in intensity at day 30. It is interesting to note that toward the end the experiment the initial fingerprint for the FDOM appears to be restored, but with a decrease of 50% in the intensity. A closer look into the M3 initial spectrum composition, specifically at the region of humic A and humic M peaks showed that they were slightly displaced to the red part of the spectra at the end of the experiment (Fig. 3, M3L0, M3 L30). Previous studies have related a red shifted peak maximum for excitation and emission wavelength to the presence of compounds containing chemical substituents as hydroxyl (−OH), alkoxy (R-CH2OH), amino groups...
(R-NH$_3$), and carboxyl (R-COO$^-$) constituents [56]. The fluorescent fingerprint of the dark incubation of M3 remained rather constant, with changes in the fluorescent intensity in the region matching to tryptophan-like substances indicating that the predominant processing in the dark control is due to biological activity in the samples.

Overall, a loss in the fluorescent fingerprint occurs in the regions related to humic compounds (peak C-like and humic M-like). This region is known to be associated with the presence of condensed molecules like bacterial and algal exudates [57–59]. Slight changes observed in the spectral region related to tyrosine- and tryptophan-like compounds suggest an active release and uptake of those compounds that could be associated with the presence of microorganisms and the quality of the initial DOM composition [60]. Osterholz et al. reported a convergence of DOM molecular composition for the first experimental phase of >1000 days of incubation without UV irradiation for the solid-phase extractable fraction [15]. They observed, however, high DOC concentrations especially in M2 (Table 1), attributed to a different microbial community presumably largely consisting of Synechococcus, producing non-extractable DOM of different composition compared to M1 and M3.

### 3.2. Profiles of DOC and slope coefficient

We found no significant differences for the DOC concentration between treatments (ANOVA, $N = 6$, $p < .05$), (Table 1). This observation suggests a quasi-conservative behavior throughout the experiment.

Although no significant change in the DOC concentration was recorded, a high variability in the $S_{275-295}$ was observed. Although no significant change in the DOC concentration was recorded, a high variability in the $S_{275-295}$ was observed. This observation agrees with recent finding where great variability in $S_{275-295}$ was shown during the photodegradation of estuarine waters in tropical regions from different seasons [61]. This pattern projected with the appearance of a peak maximum toward the end of the experiment in the irradiated samples, and around the middle of the experiment in the dark control samples (Fig. 4). The trend in $S_{275-295}$ suggests a mixed process which could include the photochemical breakdown of DOM in the irradiated samples.

The increase of $S_{275-295}$ has been associated with the production of LMW DOM [40]. In our study, this observation can be associated to the photolysis of chemical compounds contained in DOM in the irradiated samples; meanwhile in the dark incubation the increase of the coefficient might indicate reprocessing of DOM by a small bacterial population present despite the intensive filtration protocol applied to the samples prior to incubation (Fig. 5). This microbial population exerted a direct influence on absorption coefficients and the fluorescent fingerprint of DOM.

Spearman rank test shows a negative correlation between bacterial cell numbers and the $S_{275-295}$ for the irradiated samples in M1. We observed that, although variable bacterial abundances were recorded within the samples ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cells mL$^{-1}$), in the irradiated samples photobleaching of freshly produced DOM limited the increase of $S_{275-295}$. This phenomenon is reported as the main driver for the decrease of the absorption coefficients [40]. Highest cell numbers for the light-exposed mesocosms were found at day 11 in M3, which showed a slightly different pattern regarding the photo-bleaching of the DOM.

![Fig. 2. Time series EEMS for DOM photodegradation in Mesocosm 2. EEMs of irradiated samples (upper panel) and dark control samples (lower panel). Color scale in Raman Units (RU).](image-url)
components revealed by EEMs analysis (Fig. 3, M3L0, M3L30). Previous studies show that photodegradation of DOM compounds might serve as a source of carbon and energy to microorganisms [23]. As the bacterial community was found to diverge during the last phase of the original incubation [15], it is feasible that different bacteria survived the filtration procedure and found favorable growth conditions in the UV irradiation setup. This finding might therefore imply that here the bacterial population was involved in a different way compared to the other mesocosms in shaping the FDOM in the course of the experiment (Table 2).

Spearman rank test result for M2 and M3 showed a positive correlation between bacterial cell numbers and the S275–295. This trend might indicate that the production of LMW DOM under dark conditions is related to the bacterial abundance, and possibly to a reprocessing of DOM compounds. This observation is in agreement with previous reports stating that reprocessing of DOM by microorganisms is the preferential mechanism in dark environments [14].

3.3. Modification of biogeochemical indices

3.3.1. Recent produced material index

DOM photodegradation promotes rupture of chemical structures releasing LMW compounds under irradiation, but also microbial reprocessing of DOM can lead to the production of LMW compounds. As it was previously reported, fresh microbial LMW compounds are preferentially produced under dark conditions [40]. As a consequence, weak correlations are expected between bacterial cell numbers and REPIX under highly illuminated environments. In our study, negative to very weak correlations between the bacterial cell numbers and REPIX were recorded in all mesocosms under irradiation; the strongest positive correlations were recorded in the dark controls (Table 3). Strong correspondence between these two indicators was recorded in mesocosms 2 and 3; this trend is in agreement with the increase of the S275–295, confirming the accumulation of LMW compounds in the dark control.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling day</th>
<th>Mesocosm 1</th>
<th>Mesocosm 2</th>
<th>Mesocosm 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>1101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>21.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F calculated</td>
<td>0.2231</td>
<td>0.2019</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F critical</td>
<td>1.687</td>
<td>0.6627</td>
<td>0.8220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. PARAFAC analysis

We identified three principal components in the light incubated samples. The components were labeled as: C1 (peak M/matching to marine humic like compounds), C2 (peak C/matching to bacterial humic substances), and C3 (peak B which corresponds to tyrosine-like compounds) (Supplementary Fig. S1). The PARAFAC analysis showed that the fluorescent intensity for certain fractions decreased with time. Specifically, humic M and humic C-like fractions seemed to be more photoreactive, while the intensity of tyrosine-like compounds showed a mixed behavior (Fig. 6).

![Figure 4: Slope coefficient \( S_{275-295} \). Slope ratio profiles in light and dark incubation. Irradiated samples in upper panel, lower panel shows dark incubation. Vertical scale in arbitrary units and horizontal scale in days. Note boxplots show triplicate analyses of the same sample. Data for samples M1LD7 and M2D3 is not available.](image)

![Figure 5: Total bacterial cell numbers (cells ml\(^{-1}\)) during light and dark incubation. Upper panel shows light incubation, while in lower panel is the dark incubation.](image)
A divergence in the fluorescent composition was recorded in the dark incubation of M3; instead of the component C3 tyrosine-like (peak B), we detected the component C4 tryptophan-like compound (peak T) (Supplementary Table S1). Main differences were found in the fluorescence intensity and relative position of the components detected in both treatments (Fig. 7).

The spectroscopic characterization of the PARAFAC components showed similarities between C3 and C4 (Fig. 7). Both components are related to microbiologically produced substances and protein residues, which is in agreement with the presence of a small bacterial population in the samples. It has to be noted that in comparison with the dark control, the emission peak was displaced to the red part of the spectra for the fluorophore peaks which match with marine humic M fractions and especially for the tyrosine-like peak. This positive displacement might indicate the photo-oxidation of the molecules in the light incubation and could be related to the biologic reprocessing of the materials in the dark incubation, as was previously reported [62].

### 3.5. DOM molecular characterization

Molecular characterization of irradiated DOM was performed through the calculation of chemical indices based on molecular formulae attributed to FT-ICR-MS signals. Overall aromaticity accessed via the Almod showed a slight increase in the first three days followed by a constant decrease until day 17. A slight increase in the Almod was observed after day 17 in all mesocosms. The Almod values ranged between 0.220 and 0.185 denoting some decomposition of aromatic DOM molecular formulas. The average intensity-weighted DBE decreased during the first 3 days of incubation. After this, an increase was recorded followed by a decreasing trend until day 30. DBE values ranged between 6.4 and 5.8 indicating a general a slight loss of the unsaturated character in the irradiated samples (Fig. 8).

The DBE and Almod were generally lower in the photodegraded samples and continued to diverge from the values recorded for the refractory deep sea DOM reference. The observed trends suggest that a transformation process took place instead of the production of refractory DOM. According to the DBE and Almod values we infer that the DOM signature did not become more similar to deep sea RDOM due to the continuous exposure to UV (Fig. 8). This can be related to the fact that only a small fraction of DOM absorption (240 to 390 nm) was targeted by the UV-A 340 radiation (290 to 390 nm). Additionally, taking into account the relative intensity of all assigned molecular formulas, we calculated the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of each sample to the seven NEqPIW analyses. Dissimilarity of the replicate NEqPIW analyses was 5.2 ± 1.6%, and overall dissimilarity of deep ocean refractory and irradiated DOM did not decrease over the course of the experiment (Fig. 9). Molecular group contributions were calculated for all mesocosms at each time point along the experiment. Here we present the results of Spearman rank test for selected groups describing those changes. A closer look into the chemical groups composition showed that DOM photodegradation produced intermediate metabolites with varying degrees of oxidation. In our study, specific groups such as polycyclic aromatics (PCA), polyphenols (POLY), highly unsaturated aromatic (HUAR) and highly unsaturated aliphatic (HUAA) were discriminated according to their elemental composition. The Spearman rank test between the bacterial cell numbers and relative contributions of the selected molecular groups showed for both, light and the dark incubation (Table 4). Under light incubation, a negative correlation between bacterial cell numbers and PCA molecular formula contribution was recorded. The trend might indicate a preferential use of (photodegraded) PCA compounds as a source of carbon under the experimental conditions. Additionally, we observed that the number of detected peaks slightly decreased in all treatments, suggesting a loss in molecular diversity (Supplementary Table S2).

We recorded a mixed tendency for the photolysis and biodegradation of POLY (Table 4). The Spearman rank test for the contrast between the bacterial cell numbers against POLY and HUAR molecular formulae showed a weak correlation under light incubation, while a weak positive trend was observed in the dark incubation. This observation indicates that HUAR were bleached from irradiated samples and accumulated in the dark controls. Furthermore, we recorded a strong positive correlation for the Spearman rank contrast between bacterial cell numbers and the HUAL in the irradiated mesocosms (Table 4). This observation can imply, as FT-ICR-MS is a relative method, either a release of HUAL compounds under light conditions possibly as by-product of complex molecules photolysis or an increase in HUAL contribution as more aromatic compound are photodegraded. The strong correlation between the bacterial cell numbers and the HUAL fraction indicates a positive contribution of the biodegradation to this trend. Spearman rank test showed an inverse correlation between bacterial cell numbers and the HUAL in the dark incubation. Bacterial cell numbers and HUAL were inversely correlated (Table 4). This trend suggests reprocessing of freshly produced DOM, specifically HUAL compounds, is a key driver of DOM transformation under dark conditions.

Spearman rank contrast between DBE and the PARAFAC components intensity (Supplementary Table S4) showed a positive strong correlation between the C1 (Marine humic M) and C2 (Bacterial humic C-like) in M1L. Although this correlation suggests that unsaturated compounds are associated with C1 and C2, the contrast did not show a clear trend for M2L and M3L. This observation can be attributed to not only the differences in the amount and quality of the DOM, but also to the presence of different microbial populations in the mesocosms.

The Almod was contrasted against the validated PARAFAC components. Following Supplementary Table S5, we found a positive correlation between the intensity of C1 (Marine humic) and C2 (Bacterial humic C-like) fractions against the Almod, which indicates that aromatic molecular formulae are associated to these two PARAFAC components. Our observations are consistent with previous data reporting similarities in the DOM quality and chemical composition of mesocosms M1 and M3 [15].

The comparison of the molecular and the PARAFAC composition of DOM has shown a positive correlation between DOM fractions and molecular groups in a previous study in the oligotrophic waters of the Mediterranean Sea. The authors report that molecules positively correlating with peak C were enriched in HUAR and depleted in polyphenols [32]. Similarly, in our study, we recorded strong positive correlations between the intensity of selected PARAFAC components in M1L and M3L.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M1L</th>
<th>M1D</th>
<th>M2L</th>
<th>M2D</th>
<th>M3L</th>
<th>M3D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relation</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate weak</td>
<td>Very weak</td>
<td>Moderate weak</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman rank correlation between bacterial cell numbers and S275 intensity correlation (peak A), calculated to the fact that only a small fraction of DOM absorption (240 to 390 nm) was targeted by the UV-A 340 radiation (290 to 390 nm). There was a slight loss of the unsaturated character in the irradiated samples (Fig. 8).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M1L</th>
<th>M1D</th>
<th>M2L</th>
<th>M2D</th>
<th>M3L</th>
<th>M3D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relation</td>
<td>Very weak</td>
<td>Moderate weak</td>
<td>Very weak</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman rank correlation between bacterial cell numbers and REPIX. Positive trends mainly found in the dark incubation. Classifiers are defined as very strong (ρ = 0.9−1), strong (ρ = 0.5−0.9), moderate weak (ρ = 0.3−0.5), very weak (ρ = 0.0−0.3). Results in bold are significant.
and certain chemical classes. In M1L, we mainly observed PCA and POLY to be strongly positively correlated to humic C and humic M peaks. This finding is in agreement with the fact that humic substances are comprised of condensed materials to a high degree. Furthermore, we observed a strong positive trend between tyrosine-like peak T and HUAR. This finding suggests that under the experimental conditions, photobleaching of HUAR can be considered as a source of tyrosine-like compounds. The same pattern was observed in M3L, showing strong significant correlation between humic C-like fraction and PCA; for PCA we observed a significant correlation (Table 5 and Supplementary Table S3). This finding suggests that the relative contribution of some chemical groups (i.e. POLY, PCA) identified by FT-ICR-MS is at least partially reflected by the validated PARAFAC components (i.e. humic C and humic M).

![Fig. 6. Flourescent intensity profile of PARAFAC main components over time. Notice the increase on the intensity of humic M and tyrosine toward day 30 in M3L.](image)

![Fig. 7. PARAFAC principal components. Components labeled as C1, C2, were present in all mesocosms, component C4 was identified instead of C3 in the mesocosm 3 (dark incubation).](image)
The fluorescent fingerprint of freshly produced DOM from three mesocosms revealed a dynamic process under UV irradiation dominated by photobleaching. We observed that EEMs were efficiently bleached in the first 72 h. The concentration of DOC remained constant throughout the experiment suggesting that changes observed on molecular level were small. Our findings indicated that even small microbiological populations can, by shaping and reprocessing new fluorophores, modify the 

FDOM spectroscopic fingerprints while this effect was less apparent in DOM molecular composition derived from ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry. Yet, the spectrofluorometric description through PARAFAC indicated that changes in the fluorescent intensities of main components were associated with specific chemical classes identified in DOM via FT-ICR-MS. For example, the average DBE and Almod strongly correlated to PARAFAC components C1 and C2 in at least one of the irradiated treatments. Through our integrative analysis, we could show that both the optical and mass spectrometric analyses with their different analytical windows applied in concert can provide very complementary tools to trace changes in DOM molecular composition under UV irradiation. However, taking into account compositional indices and the overall dissimilarity to refractory DOM, we infer that photochemical processing of recently biotically produced DOM does not constitute the main process responsible for the production of refractory DOM in the oceans.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2020.118027.
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Table 4
Spearman rank correlations for the contrast between bacterial cell numbers and relative contribution of chemical groups to SPE-DOM. POLY polycyclic aromatics; HUAR highly unsaturated aromatic; HUAL highly unsaturated aliphatic molecular formulas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesocosm</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>POLY</th>
<th>HUAR</th>
<th>HUAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1L</td>
<td>−0.314</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>−0.429</td>
<td>0.657*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1D</td>
<td>−0.285</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>−0.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2L</td>
<td>−0.057</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2D</td>
<td>−0.942</td>
<td>−1.000</td>
<td>0.657*</td>
<td>−0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3L</td>
<td>−0.257</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3D</td>
<td>−0.257</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>−0.543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant (n = 6, p < .05).

Table 5
Spearman rank correlation between PARAFAC components and main chemical groups identified after photodegradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesocosm</th>
<th>PARAFAC component</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>POLY</th>
<th>HUAR</th>
<th>HUAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1L</td>
<td>Marine humic (C1)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humic C-like (C2)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2L</td>
<td>Marine humic (C1)</td>
<td>−0.77</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
<td>−0.086</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humic C-like (C2)</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3L</td>
<td>Marine Humic (C1)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humic C-like (C2)</td>
<td>0.89*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine (C3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant (n = 6, p < .05).
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