

Characterising the regional glaciological context for relevance for IceCube-Gen-2

Olaf Eisen, Daniela Jansen, Steven Franke, Ilka Weikusat, Frank Wilhelms Alfred Wegener Institute Bremerhaven, Germany

IceCube-Gen2 Polar Science Workshop 15 January 2021





For IceCube Gen2:

 What does ice stratigraphy look like? (around IceCube Gen2 at South Pole (Ant) but also at RNO-G pilot site in Greenland)

For Glaciologists

- Properties of ice
- Properties of basal interface (not this talk)
- Upscaling to regional scale





Impurities vary with age of ice (deposition)

- extrapolate from ice cores (Ant & Grl.)
- disturbed integrity problematic





Internal layering





Disturbed layering & basal ice

Lilien et al., TCD, 2020

- Basal units of ice sheets highly disturbed and anisotropic
- Depending on depth: influence on IceCube?
- Gen2: use array to characterise regional properties



Radar Physics – a birefringent medium

ice 1h: anisotropic crystal, effects on

- · rheology ("softness" of ice)
- radar velocity:

$$c=rac{c_0}{\sqrt{arepsilon'}}$$

$$arepsilon_{||}^\prime - arepsilon_\perp^\prime pprox 1\%arepsilon^\prime, \qquad arepsilon^\prime pprox 3.1 - 3.2$$

· seismic velocity:

$$egin{aligned} &v^s_{||} - v^s_{\perp} pprox 100 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1} pprox 5\% v^s, &v^s pprox 1900 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1} \ &v^p_{||} - v^p_{\perp} pprox 100 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1} pprox 3\% v^p, &v^p pprox 3900 \ \mathrm{ms}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$





Interference of radar waves travelling with slightly different **velocities**:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \varepsilon' &= \varepsilon'_{\parallel} - \varepsilon'_{\perp} = 0.034 \\ \Delta \mathbf{c} &= (1.6995 - 1.6903) \ 10^8 \text{ m/s} \\ &= 0.54\% \ \mathbf{c}_0 \end{split}$$



Theory: Fujita et al. (2006) on ice



Fabric anisotropy radar I: polarimetry

Multiple point measurement (pRES)



Theory: Fujita et al. (2006) on ice





Horizontal anisotropy from amplitude modulation in co-polarized data



Jansen et al., 2016



Fabric anisotropy radar II: beats

Horizontal anisotropy from amplitude modulation



HELMHOLTZ

Fabric anisotropy radar II: beats







Phase difference ϕ of ordinary and extraordinary wave causes modulation (Fujita et al., 2006)

$$\begin{split} \phi &= \frac{4\pi f}{c_0} \int_{z}^{0} (\sqrt{\epsilon'_x} - \sqrt{\epsilon'_y}) dz + (\Delta \phi_x + \Delta \phi_y), \\ &= \frac{4\pi f}{c_0} \int_{z}^{0} \frac{\Delta \epsilon(z)}{2\sqrt{\overline{\epsilon}}} dz & \text{(bulk properties of polycrystals)} \\ \text{Taylor expansion (e.g. Jordan et al., 2019)} &= 0.034\Delta\lambda \\ &= const \cdot \Delta\lambda z & \text{assuming vertically} \\ &= const \cdot \Delta\lambda z & \text{assuming vertically} \\ &= 1 \\ \lambda_3 = 1 - 2\lambda_1 - \Delta\lambda \end{split}$$

 $\Delta\lambda$: horizontal anisotropy



Nodes from destructive interference • modulation $A \sim A_0 \cos(\phi)$: minimum for $\phi = (2n-1)\pi$ wave number: $k = 2\pi$ / wavelength = $2\pi f_{mod}/c$ forward simulation: $\phi = kz = const \Delta \lambda z$ $\Delta \lambda = 0.1$ $\Delta \lambda = 0.2$ $\Delta\lambda = 0.3$ $\Delta\lambda \sim k \sim f_{mod}$ 500 1000 Pepth (m) 1500 2000 2500

3000

 $k / 2\pi = 3.75/3000 \text{ m} = 7.5/3000 \text{ m} = 11/3000 \text{ m}$ => $k = 0.0078 \text{ m}^{-1} = 0.015 \text{ m}^{-1} = 0.023 \text{ m}^{-1}$ $\Delta \lambda = 0.099 = 0.192 = 0.294$

-2

Fabric anisotropy radar II: beats





Jansen et al., upcoming

70000 m

80000 m

60000 m

along flow





Spatial analysis with spectrograms







Internal layering:

- Integrity relevant for IceCube-Gen2 analysis
 Radar:
- Cross-pol: full fabric at points (under assumptions)
- Co-pol: strength of horizontal anisotropy $\Delta \lambda = \lambda_2 \lambda_1$ as function of position
- Complementation: active & passive seismics (not this talk)

Suggestion

- Airborne survey with state of the art systems (SP? RNO-G?)
- Ground-truthing (or airborne) polarimetry (established)
- Use Gen2 radio-detector array for complementation (new)

