

1 **Statistical upscaling of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes across the terrestrial tundra and** 2 **boreal domain: regional patterns and uncertainties**

3 Authors: Anna-Maria Virkkala^{1,2}, Juha Aalto^{1,3}, Brendan M. Rogers², Torbern Tagesson^{4,5}, Claire C. Treat⁶,
4 Susan M. Natali², Jennifer D. Watts², Stefano Potter², Alekski Lehtonen⁷, Marguerite Mauritz⁸, Edward A. G.
5 Schuur⁹, John Kochendorfer¹⁰, Donatella Zona^{11,12}, Walter Oechel^{11,13}, Hideki Kobayashi¹⁴, Masahito
6 Ueyama¹⁴, Elyn Humphreys¹⁵, Mathias Goeckede¹⁶, Hiroki Iwata¹⁷, Peter Lafleur¹⁸, Eugenie S. Euskirchen¹⁹,
7 Stef Bokhorst²⁰, Maija Marushchak^{21,22}, Bo Elberling²³, Carolina Voigt^{22,24}, Oliver Sonnentag²⁴, Frans-Jan W.
8 Parmentier^{25,26}, Gerardo Celis²⁷, Vincent L. St. Louis²⁸, Craig A. Emmerton²⁸, Matthias Pechl²⁹, Jinshu Chi²⁹,
9 Järvi Järveoja²⁹, Steven F. Oberbauer³⁰, Margaret S. Torn³¹, Sang-Jong Park³², Han Dolman³³, Ivan
10 Mammarella³⁴, Namyi Chae³⁵, Rafael Poyatos³⁶, Mats. B. Nilsson²⁹, Christina Biasi^{22,24}, Pertti Martikainen²²,
11 Efrén Lopéz-Blanco^{37,38}, Torben Røjle Christensen³⁸, Min Jung Kwon³⁹, M. Luoto¹

12 ¹Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, Finland; ²Woodwell Climate Research
13 Center, USA; ³Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland; ⁴Lund University, Sweden; ⁵Copenhagen University,
14 Denmark; ⁶Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Germany; ⁷Natural Resources Institute Finland, Finland; ⁸ University of
15 Texas, at El Paso, USA; ⁹Northern Arizona University, USA; ¹⁰Atmospheric Turbulence and Diffusion Division
16 of NOAA's Air Resources Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA; ¹¹SDSU, USA; ¹²University of Sheffield, UK;
17 ¹³University of Exeter, UK; ¹⁴Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science
18 and Technology, Japan; ¹⁵Carleton University, Canada; ¹⁶Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry,
19 Germany; ¹⁷Shinshu University, Japan; ¹⁸Trent University, Canada; ¹⁹University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute
20 of Arctic Biology, USA; ²⁰Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Netherlands; ²¹University of Jyväskylä, Finland;
21 ²²University of Eastern Finland, Finland; ²³Center for Permafrost, Department of Geoscience and Natural
22 Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; ²⁴University of Montreal, Canada;
23 ²⁵Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway; ²⁶Department of Physical Geography and
24 Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sweden; ²⁷Agronomy Department, University of Florida, USA;
25 ²⁸Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Canada; ²⁹Department of Forest Ecology and
26 Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden; ³⁰Department of Biological Sciences,
27 Florida International University, USA; ³¹Berkeley Lab and UC Berkeley, USA; ³²Korea Polar Research
28 Institute, South Korea; ³³Department of Earth Sciences, Free University Amsterdam, Netherlands; ³⁴Institute
29 for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Finland;
30 ³⁵Institutes of Life Sciences and Natural Resources, Korea University, South Korea; ³⁶CREAF, Cerdanyola del
31 Vallès, Spain; ³⁷Department of Environment and Minerals, Greenland Institute of Natural Resources,
32 Denmark; ³⁸Department of Bioscience, Arctic Research Center, Aarhus University, Denmark; ³⁹Laboratoire
33 des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, France

34 Corresponding author: Anna-Maria Virkkala, avirkkala@woodwellclimate.org

35

36

37 **Citation:** This paper has been accepted for publication by Global Change Biology.

38 Virkkala, A.-M., Aalto, J., Rogers, B.M., Tagesson, T., Treat, C.C., Natali, S.M., Watts, J.D., Potter, S.,
39 Lehtonen, A., Mauritz, M., Schuur, E.A.G., Kochendorfer, J., Zona, D., Oechel, W., Kobayashi, H., Humphreys,
40 E., Goeckede, M., Iwata, H., Lafleur, P.M., Euskirchen, E.S., Bokhorst, S., Marushchak, M., Martikainen, P.J.,
41 Elberling, B., Voigt, C., Biasi, C., Sonnentag, O., Parmentier, F.-J.W., Ueyama, M., Celis, G., St.Louis, V.L.,
42 Emmerton, C.A., Peichl, M., Chi, J., Järveoja, J., Nilsson, M.B., Oberbauer, S.F., Torn, M.S., Park, S.-J.,
43 Dolman, H., Mammarella, I., Chae, N., Poyatos, R., López-Blanco, E., Christensen, T.R., Kwon, M.J., Sachs, T.,
44 Holl, D. and Luoto, M. (2021), Statistical upscaling of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes across the terrestrial tundra and
45 boreal domain: Regional patterns and uncertainties. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 27: 4040-4059.

46 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15659>

47

48 Abstract

49 The regional variability in tundra and boreal carbon dioxide (CO₂) fluxes can be high, complicating efforts to
50 quantify sink-source patterns across the entire region. Statistical models are increasingly used to predict
51 (i.e., upscale) CO₂ fluxes across large spatial domains, but the reliability of different modeling techniques,
52 each with different specifications and assumptions, has not been assessed in detail. Here, we compile eddy
53 covariance and chamber measurements of annual and growing season CO₂ fluxes of gross primary
54 productivity (GPP), ecosystem respiration (ER), and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) during 1990–2015 from
55 148 terrestrial high-latitude (i.e., tundra and boreal) sites to analyze the spatial patterns and drivers of CO₂
56 fluxes and test the accuracy and uncertainty of different statistical models. CO₂ fluxes were upscaled at
57 relatively high spatial resolution (1 km²) across the high-latitude region using five commonly-used statistical
58 models and their ensemble, i.e., the median of all five models, using climatic, vegetation, and soil
59 predictors. We found the performance of machine learning and ensemble predictions to outperform
60 traditional regression methods. We also found the predictive performance of NEE-focused models to be
61 low, relative to models predicting GPP and ER. Our data compilation and ensemble predictions showed that
62 CO₂ sink strength was larger in boreal biome (observed and predicted average annual NEE –46 and –29 g C
63 m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively) compared to tundra (average annual NEE +10 and –2 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹). This pattern was
64 associated with large spatial variability, reflecting local heterogeneity in soil organic carbon stocks, climate,
65 and vegetation productivity. The terrestrial ecosystem CO₂ budget, estimated using the annual NEE
66 ensemble prediction, suggests the high-latitude region was on average an annual CO₂ sink during 1990–
67 2015, although uncertainty remains high.

68 Keywords: land, empirical, Arctic, permafrost, greenhouse gas, CO₂ balance, remote sensing

69

70

71 1. Introduction

72 The terrestrial ecosystem carbon dioxide (CO₂) balance is one of the largest uncertainties in the global
73 carbon budget (Friedlingstein et al., 2020), with high-latitudes (i.e., tundra and boreal biomes) representing
74 one of the least constrained budgets (López-Blanco et al., 2019; Schuur et al., 2015; Zscheischler et al.,
75 2017). Moreover, due to polar amplification and large carbon stocks, the high latitudes have the potential
76 for substantial positive feedbacks to climate warming (Abbott et al., 2016; Gasser et al., 2018; Schuur et al.,
77 2008; Turetsky et al., 2020). Currently, in the absence of major disturbances (e.g., fire), boreal forests are
78 generally CO₂ sinks (Bradshaw & Warkentin, 2015; Pan et al., 2011), while regional estimates of tundra vary
79 from sinks (McGuire et al., 2009, 2012, 2016) to sources (Belshe et al., 2013). Both the winter and growing
80 seasons are important for these annual budget estimates. A recent synthesis by Natali et al., (2019) found
81 that winter soil CO₂ emissions from the northern permafrost region are larger than previously estimated,
82 however CO₂ uptake by plants over the growing season can be substantial and is often the dominant
83 component of the annual CO₂ budget (Alekseychik et al., 2017; Kolari et al., 2009; Lafleur et al., 2012). The
84 current state of the annual terrestrial high-latitude CO₂ budget (net sink or source) remains highly
85 uncertain. A key research priority is to develop and compare methods used to estimate CO₂ budgets so that
86 best practices can be identified and regional boreal and tundra budgets constrained at annual and seasonal
87 time scales.

88 Estimating high-latitude CO₂ fluxes across large areas and over long timescales is challenging due to their
89 high spatiotemporal variability (Ai et al., 2018; Wilkman et al., 2018) that is controlled by a range of
90 environmental variables (Camps-Valls et al., 2015; Lund et al., 2010). The ecosystem CO₂ balance (net
91 ecosystem CO₂ exchange; NEE) is a relatively small difference between the two large CO₂ fluxes of
92 photosynthesis (gross primary production; GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER; comprising autotrophic and
93 heterotrophic respiration). Although NEE can be measured with the eddy covariance (EC) and chamber
94 techniques (Baldocchi et al., 1988; Lundegårdh, 1927), GPP and ER are estimated indirectly using
95 environmental light and temperature measurements for EC sites (Lasslop et al., 2010; Reichstein et al.,
96 2005) and light manipulations for chamber sites (Shaver et al., 2007). Field studies have shown that GPP,
97 ER, and NEE depend on climatic conditions (e.g., temperature, precipitation, and radiation) (López-Blanco
98 et al., 2017; Nobrega and Grogan, 2008; Zhang et al., 2018), vegetation (Cahoon et al., 2012; Fox et al.,
99 2008; Järveoja et al., 2018), and soil properties (e.g., soil nutrients and moisture) (Arens et al., 2008; Dagg
100 and Lafleur, 2011; Lund et al., 2009). However, our understanding of the influence of these drivers on GPP
101 and ER, and particularly on NEE, across the entire boreal and tundra domain remains limited (see e.g.,
102 Belshe et al., 2013; Lund et al., 2010).

103 Knowledge of the contemporary high-latitude terrestrial CO₂ budget is further limited by an increasing, but
104 still relatively sparse, flux measurement network (Alton, 2020; Chu et al., 2017; Virkkala et al., 2018). The
105 majority of flux sites are concentrated within a few intensively studied regions, particularly Alaska and
106 Fennoscandia (Metcalf et al., 2018; Pastorello et al., 2020; Virkkala et al., 2019), with just a few sites in
107 other large regions such as Siberia and northern Canada. Consequently, several methodological issues
108 related to the temporal, geographical and environmental representativeness of the measurements need to
109 be addressed to accurately estimate high-latitude carbon budgets. Previous studies have used a variety of
110 synthesis approaches (Belshe et al., 2013; McGuire et al., 2012), and statistical (Natali et al., 2019), process-
111 based (López-Blanco et al., 2019; McGuire et al., 2018; Rawlins et al., 2015; Wania et al., 2009) and
112 atmospheric inversion models (McGuire et al., 2012), yielding highly different sink-source patterns. Most of
113 these modeling studies have been conducted at coarse spatial resolutions (25 – 100 km km; Natali et al.,
114 2019; Rawlins et al., 2015; López-Blanco et al., 2019) that do not fully capture the local heterogeneity in
115 high-latitude environments despite their importance for the regional CO₂ budgets (Treat et al., 2018). New
116 efforts synthesizing the current distribution of flux data and developing models at high spatial resolution
117 are required to improve our understanding on the spatial patterns and magnitudes of CO₂ fluxes.

118 Models that rely on the statistical relationships between CO₂ flux and predictor variables have been
119 increasingly employed (e.g., Jung et al., 2020; Natali et al., 2019; Warner et al., 2019). These statistical
120 models are useful for predicting fluxes across larger areas (i.e., upscaling) because they directly draw upon
121 relationships between fluxes and environmental variables, can account for environmental variability across
122 space and time at high resolutions, and are able to handle biases in the geographic representation of the
123 data (Jung et al., 2020; Natali et al., 2019; Warner et al., 2019). A broad range of statistical models and data
124 sources are available for upscaling, but not all of these have been fully utilized. For example, many past
125 studies have upscaled high-latitude fluxes using a single model (Natali et al., 2019; Peltola et al., 2019;
126 Ueyama, Ichii, et al., 2013), but how different models compare with each other is not well known (with
127 exception of Jung et al., 2017 and Tramontana et al., 2016). Further, most of the studies have primarily
128 used machine learning models due to their ability to capture non-linear relationships in data and lack of
129 required assumptions (Elith et al., 2008). However, traditional regression methods can be a powerful tool in
130 upscaling high-latitude ground conditions due to their ability to extrapolate beyond the range of data used
131 for training, and due to their generalizability and ease of interpretation (Aalto et al., 2018). Finally, many of
132 the recent upscaling studies have relied on EC flux measurements, neglecting chamber measurements
133 despite their importance as additional data sources, especially in remote, sparsely-measured treeless
134 tundra where chambers can capture the entire ecosystem CO₂ balance and directly measure NEE and ER
135 (Natali et al., 2019). Thus, a compilation of both EC and chamber flux measurements and the comparison of

136 available modeling techniques is clearly required to ensure accurate CO₂ flux estimates from existing data
137 and models.

138 Here, we synthesize annual and growing season CO₂ fluxes from EC and chamber measurements across the
139 high-latitude terrestrial tundra and boreal biomes. We then use this new database to upscale annual
140 average ecosystem CO₂ fluxes at relatively high spatial resolution (1 km²) across the high-latitude domain
141 using several statistical models. We compare our new database of *in situ* CO₂ fluxes to past tundra
142 syntheses (Belshe et al., 2013; McGuire et al., 2012), provide a detailed assessment of model performance,
143 analyze the spatial patterns and drivers of CO₂ fluxes, and discuss the resulting CO₂ budget estimates and
144 recommendations for future work. We focus on understanding the spatial variability in average CO₂ fluxes
145 instead of a temporal analysis of CO₂ flux change; however, our modeling framework also considers the
146 interannual variability in fluxes.

147 2. Material and Methods

148 2.1 Data Collection

149 2.1.1 Collection of CO₂ flux data

150 Our study area was defined by the high-latitude tundra and boreal biomes (>45 °N) based on global
151 ecoregions (20.6 x 10⁶ km²; Fig. 1; Dinerstein et al., 2017). We first conducted a literature survey to identify
152 existing EC and chamber-based terrestrial CO₂ flux observations of GPP, ER, and NEE over annual and
153 growing season periods across the domain. Potential sites were identified from previous studies (Ichii et al.,
154 2017; Marushchak et al., 2013; McCallum et al., 2013; Watts et al., 2014) and prior synthesis efforts (Belshe
155 et al., 2013; McGuire et al., 2012; Virkkala et al., 2018). We augmented the resulting site list using a Web Of
156 Science search with key words ("tundra" or "boreal" or "arctic") and ("CO₂ flux" or "CO₂ exchange" or "CO₂
157 budget"). Additionally, a community call was solicited through a CO₂ flux synthesis workshop (Parmentier et
158 al., 2019), whereby investigators contributed their most current unpublished data. Additional EC data were
159 downloaded from FLUXNET2015 (Pastorello et al., 2020).

160 The compiled data set represents all natural vegetation types (categorized by needle- or broadleaf forest,
161 shrubland, grassland, wetland, and sparse vegetation) present in the study domain. We included flux
162 measurements from managed forests and wetlands but excluded croplands. While the EC observations
163 represent all vegetation types, chamber data from forest sites were not included since they do not
164 represent whole ecosystem fluxes. EC measures NEE directly, whereas GPP and ER are indirect estimates
165 acquired from various partitioning methods (Lasslop et al., 2010; Reichstein et al., 2005). NEE is also often
166 gap filled with the indirect GPP and ER estimates. Chambers measure NEE and ER directly, out of which GPP
167 can be estimated. If a given site reported both EC and chamber fluxes for the same year and period, EC

168 fluxes were selected over chambers as EC footprints are larger and correspond better with the scale of our
169 gridded predictor variables. In experimental manipulation studies, only the fluxes from the control plot
170 were included. We aggregated spatial replicates of chamber fluxes within a given site and year by
171 calculating the median flux.

172 We included studies and sites with NEE, GPP, and ER estimates over a full growing season or year (i.e.,
173 cumulative flux). Growing season flux measurements are provided by EC and chambers. Winter flux
174 measurements include a variety of methods in addition to EC and chambers (e.g., a gas diffusion method by
175 Björkman et al., 2010, soda lime by Welker et al., 2004, or an empirical model by Vogel et al., 2009).
176 Growing season length and measurement period were defined in multiple ways at individual sites. To allow
177 inter-site comparison, we filtered out measurements that did not represent the entire growing season and
178 standardized the remaining measurements (see Supplementary Text Section 1.1 and a similar approach in
179 Belshe et al., 2013). From this filtered data set, we calculated average growing season daily flux rates based
180 on the reported measurement length and standardized the fluxes based on a common growing season
181 length. The final list of sites having representative annual or growing season measurements is provided in
182 Supplementary Table 1, sites that were dropped are in Supplementary Table 2.

183 The resulting dataset included 148 sites with CO₂ fluxes from 1990 to 2015 from variable measurement
184 periods (Fig. 1). We compiled 1390 cumulative annual and growing season flux values (when chamber
185 measurements were aggregated per site; Fig. 1); 78 % of the aggregated observations are from EC and 22 %
186 are from chambers. Annual and growing season NEE were the most widely reported fluxes in the dataset
187 (Fig. 1). Unlike McGuire et al., (2012) and Belshe et al., (2013) we also included data from the boreal biome,
188 additional tundra sites, and wetlands (not synthesized in Belshe et al., 2013; Supplementary Fig. 1). Similar
189 to McGuire et al., (2012) and Belshe et al., (2013), our database primarily represents undisturbed
190 environments. However, it also includes measurements from ca. 10 sites that have experienced high
191 natural, anthropogenic or anthropogenically-induced disturbances, such as rapid permafrost thaw
192 (Bäckstrand et al., 2010; Cassidy et al., 2016; Trucco et al., 2012), fires (Iwata et al., 2011; Ueyama et al.,
193 2019), insect outbreaks (Heliasz et al., 2011; López-Blanco et al., 2017; Lund et al., 2017), or extensive
194 harvesting practices (Coursolle et al., 2012; Machimura et al., 2005). Throughout the text, positive numbers
195 for NEE indicate net CO₂ loss to the atmosphere (i.e., CO₂ source) and negative numbers indicate net CO₂
196 gain (i.e., CO₂ sink). GPP and ER are always given as positive numbers.

197 2.1.2 Gridded predictors and reference flux data

198 We acquired 10 eco-physiologically relevant predictors at 1 km² resolution (0.0083°) representing
199 topographic, soil, climate, and vegetation conditions: topographic wetness index (TWI), potential incoming
200 direct annual radiation (RAD; MJ cm⁻² yr⁻¹), soil organic carbon stocks in the upper 2 m (SOC; tons per ha),

201 topsoil (0-5 cm) pH, topsoil clay content (CLAY; %), growing degree days (GDD3; °C), freezing degree days
202 (FDD; °C), water balance (WAB; mm), normalized difference index (NDVI) and land cover (LC; classes were
203 mixed or broadleaved forest, needleleaved forest, grassland and shrubland, wetland, sparse vegetation; see
204 Supplementary Text Section 1.2 and Supplementary Fig. 2 for more information about the predictors).
205 These predictors characterize previously identified key relationships between CO₂ fluxes and summer and
206 winter temperatures, radiation, precipitation, local hydrology and soil conditions, soil carbon stocks, and
207 vegetation properties (i.e., see Beer et al., 2010; Belshe et al., 2013; Lund et al., 2010; Natali et al., 2019;
208 Ueyama, Iwata, et al., 2013). We recognize that GPP and ER partitioning and gap filling rely on some
209 environmental data (e.g., temperature and radiation), and consequently these fluxes already include some
210 information about variables that we also used as predictors in our statistical models. We used annual
211 (1990–2015) data for GDD3, FDD, WAB, and maximum summer NDVI; the remaining predictors were
212 considered to be static. In cases where an annual flux value extended over multiple years (i.e.,
213 measurement period from October to September of the following year, or where a study reported an
214 average flux from multiple years), a median climate or NDVI value for those years was used. All predictor
215 data sets were masked to only include tundra and boreal biomes (Dinerstein et al., 2017), and to exclude
216 permanent water bodies, urban areas, and croplands based on a land cover dataset developed by ESA,
217 (2017).

218 We compared our annual ecosystem NEE predictions and budgets (see Section 2.2.1) with FLUXCOM, a
219 global product derived from FLUXNET EC towers and machine learning at 0.5 ° resolution (Baldocchi et al.,
220 2001; Jung et al., 2017; Tramontana et al., 2016) and an ensemble of global Earth system models from the
221 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) at 1.92 x 1.5 ° resolution (Taylor et al., 2012)
222 (Supplementary Text Section 1.2).

223 2.2 Data Analysis

224 2.2.1 Statistical Modeling

225 Our main response variables were annual and growing season cumulative GPP, ER, and NEE, but we also
226 modeled daily average GPP, ER, and NEE during the growing season. Annual and growing season CO₂ fluxes
227 were linked to the environmental predictors using a range of different statistical modeling methods
228 (Supplementary Fig. 3). We used five statistical models; two were extensions of linear regression models,
229 and three were based on machine-learning. All of these models have been widely used in empirical CO₂
230 flux upscaling studies (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010; Hursh et al., 2016; Tramontana et al., 2016;
231 Ueyama, Ichii, et al., 2013). Specifically, we examined generalized linear models (GLMs); generalized
232 additive models (GAMs); generalized boosted regression trees (GBMs); random forest (RF models); and
233 support vector machines (SVMs). GLM is an extension of linear regression models where the response

234 variable can have a non-normal distribution, and the regression is generalized by linking the linear model to
235 the response variable via a link function (Nelder and Wedderburn, 1972). GAM is a more flexible method
236 than generalized linear modeling, as it can use local spline smoothing functions constrained by the user to
237 fit non-linear relationships between the response variable and the predictor (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1987).
238 GBM and RF are tree-based machine learning methods, where modeling is based on splitting the data into
239 multiple trees (Breiman, 2001; Elith et al., 2008). SVM is a powerful machine learning method based on
240 projecting vectors into a high-dimension space with a kernel function and then fitting an optimal
241 hyperplane (Smola and Schölkopf, 2004).

242 We used several model approaches because individual models have inherent strengths and weaknesses
243 (Supplementary Text Section 2). For example, machine learning methods might suffer from overfitting,
244 whereas regression methods might result in unrealistic values when extrapolated outside the model data
245 range. Further, individual models may detect different patterns in the data, and the best performing
246 models are not always the same for different response variables (Segurado and Araújo, 2004). We also
247 produced an ensemble prediction by calculating a median prediction over the five predictions from the
248 individual modeling methods (see also Tramontana et al., 2016). We used the median instead of the mean
249 to avoid extreme predicted values inflating the ensemble prediction. In this procedure, the uncertainty of
250 the ensemble is expected to be lower than the uncertainty of a single model (Aalto et al., 2018).
251 Consequently, we produced six model predictions for each of our response variables.

252 To determine the main drivers of the spatial patterns of response variables, the relative contribution of
253 predictors in the models was assessed using a prediction re-shuffling approach (Niittynen and Luoto, 2018).
254 We first fit the model and developed predictions using the original data, and then repeated this procedure
255 with the values for one predictor randomly permuted. The contribution of a variable was calculated as a
256 correlation between these two predictions (i.e., original model and the model with a shuffled predictor)
257 subtracted from one:

$$258 \text{ Relative contribution} = 1 - \text{correlation} (\text{Prediction}_{\text{original data}}, \text{Prediction}_{\text{Randomly permuted data}})$$

259 Values close to 1 indicate that the two predictions were different, indicating high variable importance of
260 the predictor variable. Each predictor was randomly permuted 100 times for each flux with each of the
261 modelling methods, and an ensemble contribution was derived by taking a mean of the values. To visualize
262 a predictor's effect on a response variable after controlling for the effects of other predictors, partial
263 dependence plots were derived from the random forest model. For both variable importance and partial
264 dependence plot analyses, we used daily average growing season fluxes because the growing season length
265 estimates that were used to calculate growing season fluxes are not independent from GDD3. We found

266 that the daily average fluxes correlated strongly with the growing season fluxes (Pearson's correlation 0.93-
267 0.94), so they can be assumed to reflect the same relationships with the predictors.

268 To extrapolate across the domain, we fit the models using the entire data set to produce annual flux
269 predictions and their ensembles that were subsequently averaged to 1990-2015 mean values. Because the
270 ensemble predictions were among the most accurate and least uncertain predictions across all response
271 variables, and because their use is generally recommended in predictive efforts (Araújo and New, 2007),
272 our final flux maps were based on the flux ensemble. Because growing season length has been estimated in
273 several different ways in previous studies, we aggregated growing season budgets for two additional
274 periods to compare the tundra and northern permafrost region growing season budgets to previous
275 studies: Belshe et al., (2013) and Natali et al., (2019). Belshe et al., (2013) estimated the growing season to
276 be 100 days at each site, and Natali et al., (2019) used the May-September period (153 days) for the
277 growing season. For this comparison, we calculated a growing season NEE budget by multiplying the
278 growing season daily NEE predictions by 100 and 153 days. However, we suggest our time-varying growing
279 season estimate more reliably represents true growing season length as it captures the variability in
280 growing season length across the high-latitude region. Regional budgets of annual NEE and the time-
281 varying 100- or 153-day growing season NEE were calculated for the entire study domain (i.e., tundra and
282 boreal biomes; Dinerstein et al., 2017), the northern permafrost region (Brown et al., 2002; excluding
283 permafrost south of the boreal biome; includes regions both in tundra and boreal biomes), the non-
284 permafrost region located within our study domain (includes boreal regions in Fennoscandia and some
285 parts of Russia and Canada), and the boreal and tundra wetland and upland regions (based on the biomes
286 and wetland and non-wetland classes in LC; ESA, 2017) by averaging the budgets estimated from annual
287 ensemble predictions over the 26-year period. In addition to annual and growing season budgets, we also
288 calculated a non-growing season budget (see Supplementary Table 3). We had different numbers of
289 observations and sites available for each flux and model, and consequently observed and predicted ER and
290 GPP fluxes and budgets do not sum up to NEE.

291 2.2.2 Model fit, predictive performance and uncertainty

292 To evaluate model fit, we predicted fluxes over the entire model training data. To assess the predictive
293 performance of the models, we used a leave-one-site-out cross validation scheme in which each site was
294 iteratively left out from the data set, and the remaining data were used to predict fluxes for the excluded
295 site (Bodesheim et al., 2018). For both model fit and predictive performance, we calculated bias an average
296 of the absolute error between prediction and actual observation, Pearson correlation (r) to determine the
297 extent of linear relationship between the observed and predicted fluxes, and root mean squared error
298 (RMSE) to estimate the model error. We use the terms "observed" and "predicted" to distinguish between

299 field measurements and model predictions but acknowledge that some of these observed values represent
300 indirect estimates of fluxes.

301 We evaluated the prediction uncertainty of all flux models and the budget uncertainty of annual and
302 growing season NEE models using a repeated random resampling procedure (Aalto et al., 2018). Prediction
303 uncertainty was calculated to characterize the spatial variability in flux predictions across the high-latitude
304 region, whereas budget uncertainty quantified the range of potential NEE budget values. We used
305 bootstrapping (fractional resampling with replacement based on LC classes) to subset the model training
306 data into 200 different data sets, all of which had the same number of observations as the original flux data
307 itself. These 200 data sets were then used to produce 200 individual predictions with all five statistical
308 models and their ensemble for each flux and for each year from 1990 to 2015 to assess prediction
309 uncertainty which was summarized using the prediction interval (PI; 95th percentile – 5th percentile).
310 Uncertainty for annual and growing season NEE budgets was estimated by calculating the range of budgets
311 from the 50 first ensemble predictions out of the 200 predictions for each year from 1990 to 2015, due to
312 computational constraints. The prediction uncertainty of annual NEE was also assessed by comparing the
313 average annual NEE budgets with the annual NEE derived from annual ER and GPP predictions, by
314 examining alternative estimates from other studies (i.e., FLUXCOM and CMIP5) and by calculating a
315 standard deviation across these products to evaluate where the regional differences occur. For more
316 details, see Supplementary Text section 2.3 and Supplementary Fig. 4.

317 3. Results

318 3.1 Observed flux variation

319 Flux measurements showed considerable variation in magnitudes and signs (sink vs source) across the high-
320 latitude environments (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Observed annual NEE (no upscaling) was on average a small
321 source of CO₂ in the most northern parts of the study domain (tundra: +10 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, 42 sites, northern
322 permafrost region: +6 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ based on 63 sites) and in drier environments (tundra upland: +16 g C m⁻²
323 yr⁻¹, 34 sites), whereas the boreal biome (–46 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, 41 sites), and in particular boreal uplands (–47 C
324 m⁻² yr⁻¹, 36 sites), and non-permafrost-boreal regions (–90 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹, 20 sites) were net ecosystem CO₂
325 sinks. All environmental categories were, on average, net CO₂ sinks during the growing season, with the
326 average NEE ranging from –37 to –115 g C m⁻² period⁻¹ (Table 1). Tundra upland and non-permafrost
327 regions had the lowest average growing season sink strength. The non-permafrost region sink was greatly
328 reduced by one disturbed site that had large source values up to +600 g C m⁻² period⁻¹ (Petrone et al.,
329 2014), but this was not apparent in the annual averages because the same site did not report annual fluxes.
330 Although the distribution of environmental conditions at the sites were fairly representative

331 (Supplementary Fig. 5), colder environments with low NDVI and GDD3 as well as high FDD were less well
332 represented (e.g., large areas of Siberia; Fig. 1).

333 3.2 Predictive performance of the models

334 The model fit and predictive performance analyses indicated that the GBM, RF and SVM (machine learning)
335 methods outperformed the GLM and GAM (regression model) approaches across most of the response
336 variables (in particular with NEE, but also with GPP and ER; model fit of annual machine learning models: $r =$
337 $0.69\text{--}0.99$ vs. regression models: $r = 0.6\text{--}0.92$; predictive performance of annual machine learning methods:
338 $r = 0.2\text{--}0.73$ vs. regression models: $r = 0.12\text{--}0.72$; Fig. 2). We found that the machine learning-based
339 methods were less uncertain (Supplementary Fig. 6) and always predicted values within the range of the
340 observed fluxes as opposed to regression models. However, the machine learning method that performed
341 best and had the least uncertainties varied depending on the flux response variable.

342 Ensemble predictions were among the best performing models (model fit of annual and growing season
343 ensemble models: $r = 0.68\text{--}0.94$; predictive performance of annual and growing season ensemble models: $r =$
344 $0.21\text{--}0.73$; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 7). However, similar to the individual models, model fit and
345 predictive performance was lower for annual and growing season NEE compared to GPP and ER (model fit
346 for GPP and ER: $r = 0.89\text{--}0.94$ vs. NEE: $r = 0.68\text{--}0.77$; predictive performance for GPP and ER: $r = 0.53\text{--}0.71$
347 vs. NEE: $r = 0.21\text{--}0.27$; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 7). Annual models for ER and NEE exhibited a better fit
348 and predictive performance than the growing season models, whereas the opposite was true for GPP (Fig. 2
349 and Supplementary Fig. 7). The growing season GPP model fit and predictive performance was higher than
350 that of the ER models, but annual GPP and ER models performed equally well. In most predictive
351 performance analyses the lowest and highest observed fluxes were over- and underestimated, respectively,
352 indicating overall poor predictive performance at the extremes (Supplementary Fig. 8–9).

353 Average predicted and observed fluxes were of similar magnitude (Table 1). However, there was a
354 tendency for the average predicted values to have slightly larger GPP and ER values (e.g., observed and
355 predicted annual GPP in the tundra: 250 g C m^{-2} and 378 g C m^{-2} , respectively) and stronger net CO_2 sink
356 values than what was observed (e.g. observed and predicted annual NEE in the tundra: $+10\text{ g C m}^{-2}$ and -2 g
357 C m^{-2} , respectively). Our cross-comparison of annual and growing season flux ensemble predictions showed
358 there was a mismatch between annual and growing season component fluxes in approximately 2 % of the
359 pixels (growing season GPP/ER larger than annual GPP/ER) and that unrealistic flux values (negative GPP or
360 ER) were found in less than 0.01 % of the pixels in the ensemble predictions.

361 3.3 Predicted flux variation

362 Predicted fluxes showed pronounced spatial variability across the region with a general trend towards
363 increasing fluxes and sink strength with decreasing latitude for GPP, ER, and NEE (Fig. 3 and Supplementary

364 Fig. 10). The variability was related to differences in climate (GDD3 and FDD), solar radiation (RAD) and
365 vegetation greenness (NDVI), which had the strongest influence on most of the fluxes (Fig. 4). Moreover,
366 SOC, CLAY, and LC were important variables for annual NEE; CLAY and SOC both had a positive yet
367 saturating relationship. The relationship between LC and annual NEE suggested that the annual and
368 growing season net sink strength was largest in wetlands and smallest in sparse vegetation (Supplementary
369 Fig. 11–12). Some variables had a very low variable importance for most of the fluxes (e.g. TWI, soil pH).

370 Our predictions revealed regional hot spots in annual and growing season NEE, GPP, and ER. Strong annual
371 and growing season CO₂ sinks, having low ER and high GPP, were found in forested regions with high GDD3,
372 NDVI, RAD, and low FDD across Fennoscandia and European Russia, southern Canada, and southern Siberia
373 (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 10). Annual CO₂ sources were identified within northern and central Siberia,
374 Greenland, northern and central Alaska, as well as northern Canada. These regions were located mainly in
375 the tundra, characterized by high FDD, and low GDD3 and NDVI. Growing season CO₂ sources were located
376 in southeastern Siberia, northern Siberia and some parts of southern and northern Canada. Largest
377 uncertainties in flux predictions were found in areas with relatively strong CO₂ sinks in the boreal biome,
378 such as in Fennoscandia and eastern Canada, but also in the tundra (e.g., Canadian Arctic Archipelago; Fig.
379 3 and Supplementary Fig. 10). The largest differences across our annual NEE, and CMIP5 and FLUXCOM
380 predictions were found in European Russia, Fennoscandia, and southeastern Canada (Fig. 5a-d).

381 3.4 Terrestrial ecosystem NEE budget for the high-latitude region

382 Our ensemble predictions showed that the annual terrestrial ecosystem CO₂ sink was considerable for the
383 high-latitude tundra and boreal region over the 26-year (1990–2015) study period (Table 2). The annual
384 NEE budget (based on upscaled NEE data) averaged $-419 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ (90 % uncertainty range: -559 to $-189 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$;
385 C yr^{-1} ; range of budgets across the 26-year time period: -449 to $-366 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$). When estimating annual
386 NEE according to the separately modeled annual GPP ($11,344 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$) and ER ($10,397 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$) budgets,
387 we obtain a NEE budget of $-948 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$. The average high-latitude growing season NEE budget over the
388 period of 1990–2015 was $-1,018 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ ($-1,332$ to $-455 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$, 90 % uncertainty range), which was
389 supported by the difference between the average growing season ER ($5,800 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$) and GPP ($7,016 \text{ Tg C}$
390 yr^{-1}) budgets. For the regional budgets, see Table 2.

391 The average annual NEE budgets over the study period from CMIP5 and FLUXCOM were -488 and -1056 Tg
392 C yr^{-1} , respectively (Supplementary Table 4). In the boreal biome, average annual GPP in our study was
393 $8,850$ compared to $8,561 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ in FLUXCOM. In the tundra biome, the average annual GPP in this study
394 was twice as high as in FLUXCOM ($2,495$ and $1,267 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$, respectively). Differences were larger for
395 annual ER. Our annual ER budget for the boreal and tundra biomes was $8,241$ and $2,156 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$,
396 respectively, but the same budgets were only $6,363$ and $1,200 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ in FLUXCOM. For the regional NEE
397 budgets estimated with CMIP5 and FLUXCOM, see Supplementary Table 4.

398 4. Discussion

399 This study provides a conceptual and methodological framework to bridge the gap between local, regional,
400 and high-latitude scales in statistical flux upscaling. Our framework is unique in that it 1) compiles a new
401 data synthesis of growing season and annual fluxes using EC and chamber data and investigates the drivers
402 of these fluxes; 2) quantifies the performance of different statistical models; and 3) provides the first
403 spatially continuous high-latitude maps of CO₂ fluxes and their uncertainties at high spatial resolution,
404 capturing the inherent spatial heterogeneity in predictors and fluxes and minimizing biases in upscaling
405 compared to coarser scale models (Fig. 5e). The better geographical and environmental coverage of the flux
406 measurements compared to past efforts improves our understanding of the spatial patterns and regional
407 budgets of terrestrial ecosystem CO₂ fluxes, however uncertainties in our direct model estimates of NEE
408 remained rather high.

409 4.1. Drivers and spatial patterns of GPP, ER, and NEE

410 Our results suggest that climatic, vegetation, and soil variables were all important predictors for terrestrial
411 ecosystem CO₂ fluxes. However, almost all CO₂ fluxes were strongly driven by the broad climatic gradients
412 and spatiotemporal variability in radiation, growing and winter season climatic conditions, water balance,
413 and the resulting vegetation greenness patterns, supporting the findings of previous syntheses (Belshe et
414 al., 2013; Lund et al., 2010; Natali et al., 2019). Even though these climatic variables are not independent of
415 our GPP and ER estimates (see section 4.2.), confidence in these results can be drawn from the underlying
416 mechanistic relationships between the climate drivers and fluxes. For example, GPP across large scales is
417 dependent on growing season temperatures, length of season, and radiation, which regulate and provide
418 resources for plant growth (López-Blanco et al., 2017; Lund et al., 2010), and ER is largely driven by
419 enzymatic processes, which are tightly linked with temperatures (Davidson et al., 2006) as well as plant
420 growth (La Puma et al., 2007). In general, we found that warmer, moderately wet, and greener conditions
421 (i.e., environments of higher biomass as indicated by NDVI) increased the magnitude of annual GPP and ER.
422 However, our results also indicate that the overall net sink strength increases with larger greenness,
423 warmer and shorter winters, and wetter climate. These results suggest that GPP and ER respond rather
424 similarly to changes in climate and vegetation conditions across the high-latitude region, although GPP
425 might increase even more due to increases in vegetation greenness (Berner et al., 2020) and changing
426 climate (Lund et al., 2010). However, differences in these relationships might occur in different regions
427 (Belshe et al., 2013) and land cover types (Baldocchi et al., 2018; Lafleur et al., 2012).

428 In addition to the climate and greenness variables operating mostly at large scales, other more local-scale
429 variables such as soil organic carbon stock and land cover helped explain CO₂ fluxes. Soil organic carbon
430 stock was the most important predictor for annual NEE, and it had a positive relationship with it,

431 demonstrating that areas with high carbon stocks might lose more CO₂. However, this result was not
432 supported by the annual ER models, which would represent the main process behind this relationship (i.e.,
433 larger carbon stocks have more potential for increased CO₂ emissions, particularly in dry conditions (Voigt
434 et al., 2019)). The lack of this relationship might be due to annual ER models not covering the full range of
435 conditions represented by the annual NEE models, or spurious causal relationships being identified by the
436 relatively poorly-performing NEE models. The importance of land cover was expected as it summarizes
437 many key processes related to carbon cycling (e.g. the carbon uptake capacity, temperature sensitivity, as
438 well as quantity and quality of carbon inputs into the soil; Sørensen et al., 2019) and distinguishes other
439 environmental characteristics across the land cover types (e.g., soil moisture is likely higher in wetlands
440 than in sparse vegetation).

441 Our ensemble prediction suggested that most of the southern high-latitude terrestrial region was an annual
442 net ecosystem CO₂ sink while the central and northern regions were neutral or small net CO₂ sources.
443 Observed and predicted spatial patterns in fluxes were similar to those described by most previous studies.
444 For example, our compiled field observations and predictions are consistent with the majority of Alaskan
445 tundra being an annual ecosystem CO₂ source on average, similar to the average observed fluxes in
446 McGuire et al., (2012) or the prediction in Ueyama et al., (2013). The strongest annual ecosystem CO₂ sinks
447 in our study were located in southern European Russia, Fennoscandia, and southern Canada, as also
448 observed in the FLUXCOM products (Jung et al., 2017; Tramontana et al., 2016).

449 For some regions, our ensemble prediction differed from the predictions of previous studies. The
450 distribution of annual CO₂ sources across the tundra biome was larger in our prediction compared to
451 FLUXCOM, particularly in Siberia and Canada. This was likely explained by our models being based on a
452 larger number of tundra sites from Canada, Greenland, European Russia, and Siberia, which were not
453 covered by the FLUXCOM model training data. Some of the sites in these regions were annual CO₂ sources
454 on some years (Emmerton et al., 2016; Karelin et al., 2013). A similar disagreement was found between an
455 Asia-focused statistical upscaling analysis by Ichii et al., (2017) which suggested stronger sink strength
456 across large parts of Siberia, likely due to a limited number of northern eddy covariance sites used to train
457 their models. The largest regional differences between our predictions, CMIP5, and FLUXCOM occurred in
458 central Siberia, Fennoscandia, European Russia, and Canada, and these differences were primarily driven by
459 the fact that CMIP5 showed these regions to be primarily sources whereas they were sinks in FLUXCOM
460 and our analysis (Fig. 5). These regional differences demonstrate that these particular areas should be
461 studied further to understand the sink-source patterns more accurately in the future.

462 Our uncertainty estimation suggests that CO₂ flux predictions should be interpreted carefully in areas that
463 lack sampling locations or have large variability in fluxes that cannot be captured by the predictor variables.

464 Such areas are particularly concentrated in European Russia, eastern Canada, and the Canadian Arctic
465 Archipelago. As the accuracy of the prediction can usually be improved with increases in the quantity and
466 quality of data, new measurements in these regions would likely improve the accuracy of high-latitude CO₂
467 flux models.

468 4.2 Key sources of uncertainty in our modeling approach

469 No single best model could be identified across the five modeling methods. However, the three machine
470 learning methods outperformed the two regression models, particularly for NEE, as demonstrated by the
471 improved model performance, lower uncertainty and the lack of unrealistically high or low flux values in
472 predictions. The better performance of the machine learning methods was likely related to their flexibility
473 and capability to find complex structures in the flux data (Elith et al., 2008). Our results demonstrate that
474 several machine learning methods should be tested to produce the most accurate high-latitude flux
475 predictions and that ensemble methods provide robust predictions (Araújo and New, 2007). Our results
476 also indicate that an ensemble prediction based on machine learning methods alone would likely lead to
477 higher model accuracy and transferability (see also Tramontana et al., 2016).

478 Our models performed well when predicting to the same data that the models were trained with, but the
479 models had challenges when predicting to new data. The predictive performance of our ensemble
480 predictions was comparable to (annual GPP and ER) or less than (growing season GPP, ER, NEE, and annual
481 NEE) that of in other global and regional upscaling studies (Ichii et al., 2017; Natali et al., 2019; Peltola et
482 al., 2019; Tramontana et al., 2016; Ueyama, Ichii, et al., 2013). However, comparisons of cross-validation
483 results are hampered by different cross-validation techniques used in studies, with some of the studies
484 including observations from the same site both in the model training and validation data, therefore
485 providing overly optimistic accuracy estimates based on non-independent data. Moreover, these other
486 studies primarily focused on a smaller area and/or shorter time period (with the exception of Tramontana
487 et al., 2016), and used a different set of predictors, further complicating this comparison. In these other
488 studies, the correlation (r) between observed and predicted fluxes (derived with cross validation),
489 measured mostly throughout the year as daily-to-monthly fluxes, was roughly 0.65–0.7 for NEE and 0.7–0.8
490 for GPP and ER. There are several reasons for why some of our models performed more poorly than these
491 previous studies, which we explain below.

492 The lower quantity of measurements and weaker comparability of fluxes derived with EC and chamber
493 techniques and with variable measurement lengths might explain the lower predictive performance in our
494 study compared to the other upscaling studies. As we used aggregated fluxes over the growing season and
495 annual time scales, the sample size in our models was smaller than in other efforts which all used daily or
496 monthly fluxes (a few hundred observations versus thousands of observations). A larger sample size usually
497 increases the predictive performance of the models, particularly when these measurements cover variable

498 environmental conditions that can be captured by the predictors. For example, FLUXCOM models (Jung et
499 al., 2017, 2020; Tramontana et al., 2016) might have had a higher predictive performance than our models
500 because they use a global FLUXNET database (Pastorello et al., 2020), which covers broad environmental
501 gradients. However, FLUXNET data originates mostly from lower latitudes (e.g., only five sites from the
502 Arctic and 34 from the boreal out of 224 global sites in total used in Tramontana et al., 2016). This could
503 explain the larger net sink strength in FLUXCOM compared to our predictions. The higher predictive
504 performance of FLUXCOM compared to our prediction might also be explained by the fact that FLUXNET is
505 based on a single flux measurement technique (EC) with standardized filtering, gap-filling, and partitioning
506 procedures. Although the inclusion of chambers in this study was crucial for adequate environmental
507 coverage, using both chamber and EC measurements, and different partitioning methods for EC, increased
508 the number of different flux measurement techniques and study designs, and may have made the
509 comparison of fluxes across sites more uncertain (Fox et al., 2008; Tramontana et al., 2016). Further, the
510 lower predictive performance of growing season models compared to annual models was potentially
511 related to the variable growing season measurement periods used across the studies. We accepted this
512 variability because our goal was to use as many published fluxes as possible to improve the geographical
513 and environmental coverage of sites.

514 The accuracy of our ensemble predictions varied depending on the flux, with the predictive performance
515 being lowest for NEE models ($r=0.21-0.27$). The predictive performance of our GPP and ER models was
516 much higher ($r=0.53-0.73$) and is comparable to past efforts (Ichii et al., 2017; Natali et al., 2019;
517 Tramontana et al., 2016; Ueyama, Ichii, et al., 2013) because these fluxes better represent the biophysical
518 processes describing carbon uptake and loss. GPP and ER also already included some information about
519 variables that we used as predictors in our statistical models, which may introduce some circularity and
520 artificially inflate the model performance. Our NEE models over- and underestimated low and high (i.e.,
521 large negative and positive) values, respectively, by approximately $100-200 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which has also
522 been demonstrated with NEE and other fluxes in previous upscaling studies (Ichii et al., 2017; Tramontana
523 et al., 2016; Warner et al., 2019). These extreme values were often from disturbed sites experiencing for
524 example, permafrost thaw or extreme forest management practices, or an observation that was notably
525 different from the site mean. Based on the cross validation results of the individually-modeled annual NEE,
526 a substantial fraction (54 %) of annual source observations were predicted to be sinks (similar to the
527 pattern observed in Ichii et al., (2017) Fig. 3b), but some sink observations (24 %) were also predicted as
528 sources. We also discovered that the observed average annual NEE was often larger (more positive) than
529 the individually-predicted average NEE, which was either a result of the model not being able to predict
530 sources accurately, or of the distribution of flux sites being biased towards environments with larger CO_2
531 source observations than the entire region on average (see the large number of sites with source

532 observations originating primarily only from Alaska in Fig. 1). These results demonstrate that the predictors
533 included in our analyses did not fully represent the spatial gradients and dynamic temporal variability in
534 environmental conditions that influence carbon cycle processes, and particularly the high and low NEE
535 conditions. Further research should explore improvements offered by other current and potential future
536 predictors related to the disturbance and permafrost conditions, snow cover duration and snow depth, soil
537 moisture and nutrient availability, and phenology, root properties, and microbial communities (Illeris et al.,
538 2003; Järveoja et al., 2018; Nobrega and Grogan, 2007).

539 Even though the geographical and environmental coverage of the flux sites was improved in our study
540 compared to previous efforts, our models included only ca. 10 sites from heavily disturbed conditions (see
541 Section 2.1.1). Consequently, our sites did not cover the full range of disturbance and post-disturbance
542 conditions and the associated impacts on CO₂ fluxes. For example, rapidly thawing permafrost and burned
543 landscapes remained largely under-sampled across Siberia. These disturbances have a substantial impact
544 on carbon cycling in high-latitude ecosystems (Abbott et al., 2016; Walker et al., 2019), including direct
545 emissions from the disturbance (not estimated with our models) and typically increased net CO₂ emissions
546 for several years to decades after the disturbance (Coursolle et al., 2012; Lund, Raundrup, et al., 2017;
547 Turetsky et al., 2020) which should ideally be captured by our models. The lack of flux data representing
548 disturbed conditions likely leads to underestimations in net ecosystem CO₂ emissions, and is generally
549 thought as one of the key limitations in statistical upscaling efforts (Jung et al., 2020; Zscheischler et al.,
550 2017).

551 4.3 Terrestrial ecosystem CO₂ budget and its uncertainty

552 Although our models may be biased towards sinks, our results suggested that high-latitude terrestrial
553 ecosystems were on average an annual net CO₂ sink during 1990–2015. The uncertainty of this budget was
554 high, as demonstrated by the low predictive performance of the annual NEE model, and the fact that
555 budgets derived from different predictions (individual NEE predictions and ER-GPP predictions) differed by
556 ca. 500 Tg C yr⁻¹ – the latter most likely being linked to the different numbers of observations and sites
557 available for each flux and model (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the annual NEE budget was of similar magnitude to
558 the one estimated by CMIP5 models and larger (less negative) than the one estimated by FLUXCOM
559 (Supplementary Table 4). The boreal biome was responsible for most of this sink strength (–406 Tg C yr⁻¹,
560 from –499 to –239 Tg C yr⁻¹; 13.9 x 10⁶ km²), whereas the tundra biome was on average a small sink (–13 Tg
561 C yr⁻¹, from –81 to +62 Tg C yr⁻¹; 6.7 x 10⁶ km²) or a small source (+10 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹), based on our average
562 predictions and observations. This suggests that the tundra biome was on average close to CO₂ neutral,
563 suggesting that the strong CO₂ sink strength, indicated by the large soil organic carbon stocks of this region
564 (Hugelius et al., 2014), might be declining, demonstrating the sensitivity of the tundra carbon cycle to
565 climate change (IPCC, 2019). Our tundra budget is within the range (though on average more positive,

566 indicating stronger source) of the one comprising process and inversion models, and field-based estimates
567 by McGuire et al., (2012) ($-103 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$, from -297 to $+89 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$). However, it differs from the source
568 budget ($+462 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$, from $+94$ to $+840 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$; $10.5 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$; wetlands not included) estimated by
569 Belshe et al., (2013). The divergence of average annual NEE across our and Belshe et al. (2013) study is
570 likely explained by our inclusion of fluxes from wetlands, which were on average annual net ecosystem CO_2
571 sinks (Table 1). The discrepancy between our and the McGuire et al., (2012) study can be explained by a 50
572 % increase in new annual tundra source observations in our data set (see e.g., Celis et al., 2017; Euskirchen
573 et al., 2014), which were not included in the McGuire et al. (2012) analysis. Further, there are some
574 differences in the study domain boundaries (e.g., Belshe et al., 2013 included alpine tundra across the
575 globe to their aerial estimate of $10.5 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$) which might explain some of the discrepancies between
576 these studies, although the general patterns of these boundaries were rather similar (see e.g. Fig 1. in
577 McGuire et al., 2012 vs. our tundra domain in Fig. 1).

578 Our findings suggest that both the boreal and tundra biomes were strong CO_2 sinks during the growing
579 season. Our growing season CO_2 budgets estimated for the same seasons as in previous studies (see Section
580 2.2.1), derived both by predicting NEE as well as subtracting GPP from ER suggest that the growing season
581 net uptake is stronger than or similar to the estimates in Belshe et al., (2013) and Natali et al., (2019). The
582 growing season NEE budget calculated for 100 days in the tundra was $-296 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ in this study,
583 compared to $-137 \pm 80 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ in Belshe et al., (2013). The growing season NEE budget estimated for 153
584 days in the northern permafrost region in this study was $-1,122 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$, whereas the process model
585 estimates varied between -687 and $-1,647 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$ in Natali et al., (2019). Further, the observed daily
586 average growing season NEE in tundra demonstrated a stronger sink strength than the average growing
587 season NEE reported in McGuire et al., (2012) and Belshe et al., (2013) (-0.6 , -0.2 , and -0.3 g C m^{-2} ,
588 respectively). Even though we acknowledge that some plant uptake and CO_2 emissions occur outside of our
589 defined growing season (i.e., our growing season estimates did not capture the spring and autumn
590 seasons), our results demonstrate that growing season CO_2 uptake might be larger than previously thought.

591 4.4. Summary and next steps in high-latitude CO_2 flux upscaling

592 Overall, our findings suggest that statistical predictions aimed at describing high-latitude CO_2 flux patterns
593 provide new insights into the understanding of broad GPP and ER patterns but require caution when
594 attempting to directly estimate NEE. Furthermore, this study demonstrates that machine learning models
595 are a robust and accurate empirical approach to predicting high-latitude terrestrial CO_2 fluxes, and that, at
596 least in our case, no individual machine learning model definitively outperformed the others. This therefore
597 supports the use of ensemble predictions to reduce uncertainties associated with a single method and to
598 produce more robust predictions. Nevertheless, the building of better models with improved data remains
599 the highest research priority. Our results suggest that the next steps for future high-latitude upscaling

600 efforts are to 1) measure fluxes over the entire year in as many sites as possible, 2) establish new sites in
601 data-poor regions and regions where CO₂ predictions were most uncertain, such as in European Russia,
602 Siberia, eastern Canada, and Canadian Arctic Archipelago, and specifically in disturbed and high-Arctic
603 conditions, 3) develop better geospatial predictors (e.g., describing soil moisture and nutrients or
604 permafrost thaw) to explain fluxes, 4) conduct detailed sensitivity tests of the importance of the flux
605 measurement method, data distribution, and different predictor data sets influencing the budgets, and 5)
606 build models at a finer temporal resolution than annual and growing season, to capture rapidly changing
607 transition periods and bypass issues associated with temporal aggregation and varying definitions of
608 seasons. High-latitude specific models are needed to more accurately monitor current emissions and
609 improve understanding of the role of high-latitude regions in the global carbon cycle, as large changes in
610 carbon cycling are likely in the near future.

611

612 Acknowledgements

613 AMV was supported by Nordenskiöld-samfundet, The Finnish Cultural Foundation, Alfred Kordelin
614 Foundation, Väisälä fund, and Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation. AMV and ML were also funded by the
615 Academy of Finland (project 286950). JA acknowledges the funding by Academy of Finland (project 33761),
616 while AL acknowledges strategic research funding by the Academy of Finland for SOMPA project (grant
617 312912). TT was funded by the Swedish National Space Board (SNSB Dnr 95/16). BR was supported by the
618 NASA Carbon Cycle Science and Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment programs (ABoVE grant
619 NNX17AE13G), SMN by NASA ABoVE (grant NNX15AT81A) and JDW by NNX15AT81A and NASA NIP grant
620 NNH17ZDA001N. AMV, BR, SN, and JDW were also funded by the Gordon and Betty Moore foundation
621 #8414. JK acknowledges NSF grant 1203583, DZ NSF 1204263 and 1702797 and WO NSF 1204263, and
622 1702798. HK, MU, and HI were funded by Arctic Challenge for Sustainability II grant JPMXD1420318865,
623 and EH and PL by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council. MG acknowledges European
624 Commission (INTAROS project, H2020-BG-09-2016, project 727890) and ESE NSF grants DEB-1636476, AON
625 856864, 1304271, 0632264, and 1107892, and the US Geological Survey. MM was funded by Academy of
626 Finland (project: 317054) and the EU 6th Framework Programme project CARBO-North (project 036993)
627 and CB and CV by the EU FP7-ENV project PAGE21 (project 282700) and the Nordic Center of Excellence
628 project DEFROST. CB was further funded by the Academy of Finland (project: 314630), and CV by Academy
629 of Finland (project 332196). BE acknowledges Danish National Research Foundation (CENPERM DNRF100)
630 and FJWP Research Council of Norway (Winterproof, project 274711) and Swedish Research Council
631 (WinterGap, project 2017-05268). VLSL and CE were funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering
632 Research Council and MP by FORMAS #2016-01289. JJ was funded by the Swedish Forest Society
633 Foundation (2018-485-Steg 2 2017) and SFO by NSF grants PLR1504381 and PLR1836898. MST

634 acknowledges Office of Biological and Environmental Research, DOE Office of Science, SJP Korean
635 government (NRF-2016M1A5A1901769, KOPRI-PN20081) and NC Korean government (MSIP) (NRF-
636 2018R1D1A1B07047778 and NRF-2016-M1A5A1901790). HS was funded by NESSC, Netherlands Earth
637 System Science Centre and IM by Academy of Finland Flagship funding (project 337549) and ICOS-Finland
638 by University of Helsinki funding. RP was funded by Humboldt Fellowship for Experienced Researchers,
639 MBN by Swedish Research Council, and ELB by Greenland Research Council.

640

641 Author contributions

642 AMV and ML designed the study. AMV extracted the flux data from the literature and the data from the
643 community call was designed and gathered by MM, TS et al. AMV, JA, and SP prepared the gridded data
644 sets. ML, JA, and AMV developed the modeling framework. TT, CT, BR, JDW, and SMN commented on the
645 analysis and AMV, with the help of JA and ML, conducted the analysis. Other authors contributed data and
646 all authors were involved in the writing.

647

648 Data availability

649 Data are archived and freely available at Zenodo. The synthesis dataset is available at [link added after next
650 week]. Averaged flux predictions and their uncertainties are available at [link added after next week]. The
651 codes to run the statistical models and predictions together with the uncertainty estimation can be found
652 in an R Markdown file as a supplement (Virkkalaetal_CO2flux_upscaling.pdf) [final edits to the document
653 after next week].

654

655 References

- 656 Aalto J, Karjalainen O, Hjort J, et al. (2018) Statistical Forecasting of Current and Future Circum-Arctic
657 Ground Temperatures and Active Layer Thickness. *Geophysical Research Letters* 45: 4889–4898.
- 658 Abbott BW, Jones JB, G Schuur EA, et al. (2016) Biomass offsets little or none of permafrost carbon release
659 from soils, streams, and wildfire: an expert assessment. *Environmental Research Letters* 11(3): 1–13.
- 660 Ai J, Jia G, Epstein HE, et al. (2018) MODIS-Based Estimates of Global Terrestrial Ecosystem Respiration.
661 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 123(2): 326–352.
- 662 Alekseychik P, Mammarella I, Karpov D, et al. (2017) Net ecosystem exchange and energy fluxes in a West
663 Siberian bog. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions* (February): 1–20.
- 664 Alton PB (2020) Representativeness of global climate and vegetation by carbon-monitoring networks;

665 implications for estimates of gross and net primary productivity at biome and global levels.
666 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, Elsevier 290(April): 108017.

667 Araújo MB and New M (2007) Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends in Ecology and*
668 *Evolution* 22(1): 42–47.

669 Arens SJT, Sullivan PF and Welker JM (2008) Nonlinear responses to nitrogen and strong interactions with
670 nitrogen and phosphorus additions drastically alter the structure and function of a high arctic
671 ecosystem. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 113(G3): 10.

672 Bäckstrand K, Crill PM, Jackowicz-Korczyński M, et al. (2010) Annual carbon gas budget for a subarctic
673 peatland. *Biogeosciences* 7(1): 95–108.

674 Baldocchi D, Falge E, Gu L, et al. (2001) FLUXNET: A New Tool to Study the Temporal and Spatial Variability
675 of Ecosystem-Scale Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapor, and Energy Flux Densities. *Bulletin of the American*
676 *Meteorological Society* 82(11): 2415–2434.

677 Baldocchi D, Chu H and Reichstein M (2018) Inter-annual variability of net and gross ecosystem carbon
678 fluxes: A review. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 249: 520–533.

679 Baldocchi DD, Hicks BB and Meyers TP (1988) Measuring biosphere-atmosphere exchanges of biologically
680 related gases with micrometeorological methods. *Ecology* 69(5): 1331–1340.

681 Beer C, Reichstein M, Tomelleri E, et al. (2010) Terrestrial Gross Carbon Dioxide Uptake: Global Distribution
682 and Covariation with Climate. *Science* 329(5993): 834–838.

683 Belshe EF, Schuur EAG and Bolker BM (2013) Tundra ecosystems observed to be CO₂ sources due to
684 differential amplification of the carbon cycle. *Ecology Letters* 16(10): 1307–1315.

685 Berner LT, Massey R, Jantz P, et al. (2020) Summer warming explains widespread but not uniform greening
686 in the Arctic tundra biome. *Nature Communications*, Springer US 11(1): 1–12.

687 Björkman MP, Morgner E, Björk RG, et al. (2010) A comparison of annual and seasonal carbon dioxide
688 effluxes between sub-Arctic Sweden and High-Arctic Svalbard. *Polar Research* 29(1): 75–84.

689 Bodesheim P, Jung M, Gans F, et al. (2018) Upscaled diurnal cycles of land-Atmosphere fluxes: A new global
690 half-hourly data product. *Earth System Science Data* 10(3): 1327–1365.

691 Bond-Lamberty B and Thomson A (2010) Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration
692 record. *Nature* 464: 579–582.

693 Bradshaw CJA and Warkentin IG (2015) Global estimates of boreal forest carbon stocks and flux. *Global and*

- 694 *Planetary Change* 128: 24–30.
- 695 Breiman L (2001) Random Forest. *Machine Learning* 45: 5–32.
- 696 Brown J, Ferrians O, Heginbottom JA, et al. (2002) Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground-Ice
697 Conditions, Version 2. Boulder, Colorado USA. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center.
- 698 Cahoon SMP, Sullivan PF, Shaver GR, et al. (2012) Interactions among shrub cover and the soil microclimate
699 may determine future Arctic carbon budgets. *Ecology Letters* 15: 1415–1422.
- 700 Camps-Valls G, Jung M, Ichii K, et al. (2015) Ranking drivers of global carbon and energy fluxes over land.
701 *International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*: 4416–4419.
- 702 Cassidy AE, Christen A and Henry GHR (2016) The effect of a permafrost disturbance on growing-season
703 carbon-dioxide fluxes in a high Arctic tundra ecosystem. *Biogeosciences* 13(8): 2291–2303.
- 704 Celis G, Mauritz M, Bracho R, et al. (2017) Tundra is a consistent source of CO₂ at a site with progressive
705 permafrost thaw during 6 years of chamber and eddy covariance measurements. *Journal of*
706 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 122(6): 1471–1485.
- 707 Chu H, Baldocchi DD, John R, et al. (2017) Fluxes All of the Time? A Primer on the Temporal
708 Representativeness of FLUXNET. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 122(2): 289–307.
- 709 Coursolle C, Giasson M-A, Margolis HA, et al. (2012) Moving towards carbon neutrality: CO₂ exchange of a
710 black spruce forest ecosystem during the first 10 years of recovery after harvest. *Canadian Journal of*
711 *Forest Research* 42(11): 1908–1918.
- 712 Dagg J and Lafleur P (2011) Vegetation Community, Foliar Nitrogen, and Temperature Effects on Tundra
713 CO₂ Exchange across a Soil Moisture Gradient. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* 43(2): 189–197.
- 714 Davidson EA, Janssens IA, Marks D, et al. (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition
715 and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* 440(7081): 165–73.
- 716 Dinerstein E, Olson D, Joshi A, et al. (2017) An Ecoregion-Based Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial
717 Realm. *BioScience* 67(6): 534–545.
- 718 Elith J, Leathwick JR and Hastie T (2008) A working guide to boosted regression trees. *Journal of Animal*
719 *Ecology*.
- 720 Emmerton CA, St. Louis VL, Humphreys ER, et al. (2016) Net ecosystem exchange of CO₂ with rapidly
721 changing high Arctic landscapes. *Global Change Biology* 22(3): 1185–1200.
- 722 ESA (2017) *Land Cover CCI Product User Guide Version 2. Tech. Rep.*

723 Euskirchen ES, Edgar CW, Turetsky MR, et al. (2014) Differential response of carbon fluxes to climate in
724 three peatland ecosystems that vary in the presence and stability of permafrost. *Journal of*
725 *Geophysical Research G: Biogeosciences* 119(8): 1576–1595.

726 Fox AM, Huntley B, Lloyd CR, et al. (2008) Net ecosystem exchange over heterogeneous Arctic tundra:
727 Scaling between chamber and eddy covariance measurements. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 22(2):
728 1–15.

729 Friedlingstein P, Sullivan MO, Jones MW, et al. (2020) Global Carbon Budget 2020. *Earth System Science*
730 *Data* 12: 3269–3340.

731 Gasser T, Kechiar M, Ciais P, et al. (2018) Path-dependent reductions in CO₂ emission budgets caused by
732 permafrost carbon release. *Nature Geoscience*, Springer US 11(11): 830–835.

733 Hastie T and Tibshirani R (1987) Generalized Additive Models : Some Applications Generalized Additive
734 Models : Some Applications. *Journal of American Statistical Association* 82(398): 371–386.

735 Heliasz M, Johansson T, Lindroth A, et al. (2011) Quantification of C uptake in subarctic birch forest after
736 setback by an extreme insect outbreak. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38(1): 1–5.

737 Hugelius G, Strauss J, Zubrzycki S, et al. (2014) Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with
738 quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps. *Biogeosciences* 11(23): 6573–6593.

739 Hursh A, Ballantyne A, Cooper L, et al. (2016) The sensitivity of soil respiration to soil temperature,
740 moisture, and carbon supply at the global scale. *Global Change Biology*: 2090–2103.

741 Ichii K, Ueyama M, Kondo M, et al. (2017) New data-driven estimation of terrestrial CO₂ fluxes in Asia using
742 a standardized database of eddy covariance measurements, remote sensing data, and support vector
743 regression. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 122(4): 767–795.

744 Illeris L, Michelsen A and Jonasson S (2003) Soil plus root respiration and microbial biomass following
745 water, nitrogen, and phosphorus application at a high arctic semi desert. *Biogeochemistry* 65(1): 15–
746 29.

747 IPCC (2019) *Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*. Pörtner H-O, Roberts DC,
748 Masson-Delmotte V, et al. (eds).

749 Iwata H, Ueyama M, Harazono Y, et al. (2011) Quick recovery of carbon dioxide exchanges in a burned black
750 spruce forest in Interior Alaska. *Scientific Online Letters on the Atmosphere* 7(1): 105–108.

751 Järveoja J, Nilsson MB, Gažovič M, et al. (2018) Partitioning of the net CO₂ exchange using an automated
752 chamber system reveals plant phenology as key control of production and respiration fluxes in a

- 753 boreal peatland. *Global Change Biology* 24(8): 3436–3451.
- 754 Jung M, Reichstein M, Schwalm CR, et al. (2017) Compensatory water effects link yearly global land CO₂
755 sink changes to temperature. *Nature* 541(7638): 516–520.
- 756 Jung M, Schwalm C, Migliavacca M, et al. (2020) Scaling carbon fluxes from eddy covariance sites to globe:
757 Synthesis and evaluation of the FLUXCOM approach. *Biogeosciences* 17: 1343–1365.
- 758 Karelin D V., Zamolodchikov DG, Zukert N V., et al. (2013) Interannual changes in PAR and soil moisture
759 during the warm season may be more important for directing of annual carbon balance in tundra than
760 temperature fluctuations. *Zhurnal Obshchei Biologii* 74(1): 3–22.
- 761 Kolari P, Kulmala L, Pumpanen J, et al. (2009) CO₂ exchange and component CO₂ fluxes of a boreal Scots
762 pine forest. *Boreal environment research* 14: 761–783.
- 763 La Puma IP, Philippi TE and Oberbauer SF (2007) Relating NDVI to ecosystem CO₂ exchange patterns in
764 response to season length and soil warming manipulations in arctic Alaska. *Remote Sensing of*
765 *Environment* 109(2): 225–236.
- 766 Lafleur PM, Humphreys ER, St. Louis VL, et al. (2012) Variation in peak growing season net ecosystem
767 production across the Canadian arctic. *Environmental Science and Technology* 46(15): 7971–7977.
- 768 Lasslop G, Reichstein M, Papale D, et al. (2010) Separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and
769 respiration using a light response curve approach: Critical issues and global evaluation. *Global Change*
770 *Biology* 16(1): 187–208.
- 771 López-Blanco E, Lund M, Williams M, et al. (2017) Exchange of CO₂ in Arctic tundra: Impacts of
772 meteorological variations and biological disturbance. *Biogeosciences* 14(19): 4467–4483.
- 773 López-Blanco E, Exbrayat JF, Lund M, et al. (2019) Evaluation of terrestrial pan-Arctic carbon cycling using a
774 data-assimilation system. *Earth System Dynamics* 10(2): 233–255.
- 775 Lopez Blanco E, Exbrayat JF, Lund M, et al. (2019) Evaluation of terrestrial pan-Arctic carbon cycling using a
776 data-assimilation system. *Earth System Dynamics* 10(2): 233–255.
- 777 Lund M, Christensen TR, Mastepanov M, et al. (2009) Effects of N and P fertilization on the greenhouse gas
778 exchange in two northern peatlands with contrasting N deposition rates. *Biogeosciences* 6(10): 2135–
779 2144.
- 780 Lund M, Lafleur PM, Roulet NT, et al. (2010) Variability in exchange of CO₂ across 12 northern peatland and
781 tundra sites. *Global Change Biology* 16(9): 2436–2448.

- 782 Lund M, Raundrup K, Westergaard-Nielsen A, et al. (2017) Larval outbreaks in West Greenland: Instant and
783 subsequent effects on tundra ecosystem productivity and CO₂ exchange. *Ambio* 46: 26–38.
- 784 Lundegårdh H (1927) Carbon Dioxide Evolution of Soil and Crop Growth. *Soil Science* 23(6): 417–453.
- 785 Machimura T, Kobayashi Y, Iwahana G, et al. (2005) Change of Carbon Dioxide Budget during Three Years
786 after Deforestation in Eastern Siberian Larch Forest. *J. Agric. Meteorol.* 60(5): 653–656.
- 787 Marushchak ME, Kiepe I, Biasi C, et al. (2013) Carbon dioxide balance of subarctic tundra from plot to
788 regional scales. *Biogeosciences* 10(1): 437–452.
- 789 McCallum I, Franklin O, Moltchanova E, et al. (2013) Improved light and temperature responses for light-
790 use-efficiency-based GPP models. *Biogeosciences* 10(10): 6577–6590.
- 791 McGuire AD, Anderson LG, Christensen TR, et al. (2009) Sensitivity of the carbon cycle in the Arctic to
792 climate change. *Ecological Monographs* 79(4): 523–555.
- 793 McGuire AD, Christensen TR, Hayes D, et al. (2012) An assessment of the carbon balance of Arctic tundra:
794 comparisons among observations, process models, and atmospheric inversions. *Biogeosciences* 9(8):
795 3185–3204.
- 796 McGuire AD, Koven C, Lawrence DM, et al. (2016) Variability in the sensitivity among model simulations of
797 permafrost and carbon dynamics in the permafrost region between 1960 and 2009. *Global*
798 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 30: 1015–1037.
- 799 McGuire AD, Lawrence DM, Koven C, et al. (2018) Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the
800 northern permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
801 *of Sciences of the United States of America* 115(15): 3882–3887.
- 802 Metcalfe DB, Hermans TDG, Ahlstrand J, et al. (2018) Patchy field sampling biases understanding of climate
803 change impacts across the Arctic. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*: 1–6.
- 804 Natali SM, Watts JD, Rogers BM, et al. (2019) Large loss of CO₂ in winter observed across the northern
805 permafrost region. *Nature Climate Change* 9: 852–857.
- 806 Nelder JA and Wedderburn RWM (1972) Generalized Linear Models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society.*
807 *Series A (General)* 135(3): 370.
- 808 Niittynen P and Luoto M (2018) The importance of snow in species distribution models of arctic vegetation.
809 *Ecography* 41(6): 1024–1037.
- 810 Nobrega S and Grogan P (2007) Deeper snow enhances winter respiration from both plant-associated and

811 bulk soil carbon pools in birch hummock tundra. *Ecosystems* 10(3): 419–431.

812 Nobrega S and Grogan P (2008) Landscape and ecosystem-level controls on net carbon dioxide exchange
813 along a natural moisture gradient in Canadian low arctic tundra. *Ecosystems* 11(3): 377–396.

814 Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, et al. (2011) A Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World’s Forests. *Science*
815 333: 1239–1243.

816 Parmentier F-JW, Sonnentag O, Mauritz M, et al. (2019) Is the northern permafrost zone a source or a sink
817 for carbon? *EOS* 100.

818 Pastorello G, Trotta C, Canfora E, et al. (2020) The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing
819 pipeline for eddy covariance data. *Scientific Data* 7(1): 225.

820 Peltola O, Vesala T, Gao Y, et al. (2019) Monthly gridded data product of northern wetland methane
821 emissions based on upscaling eddy covariance observations. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 11: 1263–1289.

822 Petrone RM., Chasmer L., Hopkinson C., et al. (2014) Effects of harvesting and drought on CO₂ and H₂O
823 fluxes in an aspen-dominated western boreal plain forest: Early chronosequence recovery. *Canadian*
824 *Journal of Forest Research* 45(1): 87–100.

825 Rawlins MA, McGuire AD, Kimball JS, et al. (2015) Assessment of model estimates of land-atmosphere CO₂
826 exchange across Northern Eurasia. *Biogeosciences* 12(14): 4385–4405.

827 Reichstein M, Falge E, Baldocchi D, et al. (2005) On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into
828 assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. *Global Change Biology* 11(9):
829 1424–1439.

830 Schuur EAG, Bockheim J, Canadell JG, et al. (2008) Vulnerability of Permafrost Carbon to Climate Change:
831 Implications for the Global Carbon Cycle. *BioScience* 58(8): 701.

832 Schuur EAG, McGuire AD, Schädel C, et al. (2015) Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback.
833 *Nature* 520(7546): 171–179.

834 Segurado P and Araújo MB (2004) An evaluation of methods for modelling species distributions. *Journal of*
835 *Biogeography* 31(10): 1555–1568.

836 Shaver GR, Street LE, Rastetter EB, et al. (2007) Functional convergence in regulation of net CO₂ flux in
837 heterogeneous tundra landscapes in Alaska and Sweden. *Journal of Ecology* 95(4): 802–817.

838 Smola AJ and Schölkopf B (2004) A tutorial on support vector regression. *Statistics and Computing* 14: 199–
839 222.

- 840 Sørensen MV, Graae BJ, Classen A, et al. (2019) Drivers of C cycling in three arctic-alpine plant communities.
841 *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 51(1): 128–147.
- 842 Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ and Meehl GA (2012) An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bulletin of*
843 *the American Meteorological Society* 93(4): 485–498.
- 844 Tramontana G, Jung M, Schwalm CR, et al. (2016) Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across global
845 FLUXNET sites with regression algorithms. *Biogeosciences* 13(14): 4291–4313.
- 846 Treat CC, Marushchak ME, Voigt C, et al. (2018) Tundra landscape heterogeneity, not interannual
847 variability, controls the decadal regional carbon balance in the Western Russian Arctic. *Global Change*
848 *Biology* 24(11): 5188–5204.
- 849 Trucco C, Schuur EAGG, Natali SM, et al. (2012) Seven-year trends of CO₂ exchange in a tundra
850 ecosystem affected by long-term permafrost thaw. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*
851 117(2): 1–12.
- 852 Turetsky MR, Abbott BW, Jones MC, et al. (2020) Carbon release through abrupt permafrost thaw. *Nature*
853 *Geoscience* 13(2): 138–143.
- 854 Ueyama M, Iwata H, Harazono Y, et al. (2013) Growing season and spatial variations of carbon fluxes of
855 Arctic and boreal ecosystems in Alaska (USA). *Ecological Applications*, Ecological Society of America
856 23(8): 1798–1816.
- 857 Ueyama M, Ichii K, Iwata H, et al. (2013) Upscaling terrestrial carbon dioxide fluxes in Alaska with satellite
858 remote sensing and support vector regression. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*
859 118(3): 1266–1281.
- 860 Ueyama M, Iwata H, Nagano H, et al. (2019) Carbon dioxide balance in early-successional forests after
861 forest fires in interior Alaska. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 275(November 2018): 196–207.
- 862 Virkkala A-M, Virtanen T, Lehtonen A, et al. (2018) The current state of CO₂ flux chamber studies in the
863 Arctic tundra : A review. *Progress in Physical Geography* 42(2): 162–184.
- 864 Virkkala A-M, Abdi AM, Luoto M, et al. (2019) Identifying multidisciplinary research gaps across Arctic
865 terrestrial gradients. *Environmental Research Letters* 14.
- 866 Vogel J, Schuur EAGG, Trucco C, et al. (2009) Response of CO₂ exchange in a tussock tundra ecosystem to
867 permafrost thaw and thermokarst development. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*
868 114(G4): 1–14.
- 869 Voigt C, Marushchak ME, Mastepanov M, et al. (2019) Ecosystem carbon response of an Arctic peatland to

870 simulated permafrost thaw. *Global Change Biology* 25(5): 1746–1764.

871 Walker XJ, Baltzer JL, Cumming SG, et al. (2019) Increasing wildfires threaten historic carbon sink of boreal
872 forest soils. *Nature*, Springer US 572(7770): 520–523.

873 Wania R, Ross I and Prentice IC (2009) Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic global
874 vegetation model: 2. Evaluation and sensitivity of vegetation and carbon cycle processes. *Global*
875 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 23(GB3015).

876 Warner DL, Bond-Lamberty B, Jian J, et al. (2019) Spatial predictions and associated uncertainty of annual
877 soil respiration at the global scale. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*.

878 Watts JD, Kimball JS, Parmentier FJW, et al. (2014) A satellite data driven biophysical modeling approach for
879 estimating northern peatland and tundra CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. *Biogeosciences* 11(7): 1961–1980.

880 Welker JM, Fahnestock JT, Henry GHR, et al. (2004) CO₂ exchange in three Canadian High Arctic
881 ecosystems: response to long-term experimental warming. *Global Change Biology* 10(12): 1981–1995.

882 Wilkman E, Zona D, Tang Y, et al. (2018) Temperature Response of Respiration Across the Heterogeneous
883 Landscape of the Alaskan Arctic Tundra. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences RESEARCH*
884 123: 2287–2302.

885 Zhang W, Jansson PE, Schurgers G, et al. (2018) Process-Oriented Modeling of a High Arctic Tundra
886 Ecosystem: Long-Term Carbon Budget and Ecosystem Responses to Interannual Variations of Climate.
887 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 123(4): 1178–1196.

888 Zscheischler J, Mahecha MD, Avitabile V, et al. (2017) Reviews and syntheses : An empirical spatiotemporal
889 description of the global surface – atmosphere carbon fluxes : opportunities and data limitations.
890 *Biogeosciences* 14: 3685–3703.

891

892