Testing the deep-sea glacial disturbance hypothesis as a cause of low, present-day Norwegian Sea diversity and resulting steep latitudinal diversity gradient, using fossil records
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Abstract

Aim: Within the intensively-studied, well-documented latitudinal diversity gradient, the deep-sea biodiversity of the present-day Norwegian Sea stands out with its notably low diversity, constituting a steep latitudinal diversity gradient in the North Atlantic. The reason behind this has long been a topic of debate and speculation. Most prominently, it is explained by the deep-sea glacial disturbance hypothesis, which states that harsh environmental glacial conditions negatively impacted Norwegian Sea diversities, which have not yet fully recovered. Our aim is to empirically test this hypothesis. Specific research questions are: (1) Has deep-sea biodiversity been lower during glacial periods than during interglacial periods? (2) Was there any faunal shift at the Mid-Brunhes Event (MBE) when the mode of glacial–interglacial climatic change was altered?

Location: Norwegian Sea, deep sea (1819–2800 m), coring sites MD992277, PS1243, and M23352.

Time period: 620.7–1.4 ka (Middle Pleistocene–Late Holocene).

Taxa studied: Ostracoda (Crustacea).

Methods: We empirically test the deep-sea glacial disturbance hypothesis by investigating whether diversity in glacial periods is consistently lower than diversity in interglacial periods. Additionally, we apply comparative analyses to determine...
1 INTRODUCTION

Macroeological patterns, such as the classic deep-sea biodiversity patterns, namely the latitudinal diversity gradient and the depth diversity gradient, were first discovered in the North Atlantic Ocean (Ramirez-Llodra et al., 2010; Rex & Etter, 2010). The deep-sea latitudinal diversity gradient, which defines a decrease in deep-sea species diversity with increasing latitude, has since been intensively studied in that area (Corliss et al., 2009; Jöst et al., 2019; Lambshede et al., 2000; Rex et al., 1993, 2000; Tittensor et al., 2011; Yasuhara, Okahashi, & Cronin, 2009). The Norwegian Sea, which is situated within the North Atlantic Gateway (Figure 1; also see Jöst et al., 2019), is characterized by a low deep-sea biodiversity that constitutes an important part of the North Atlantic deep-sea latitudinal diversity gradient. Notably low benthic alpha diversities in the Norwegian Sea have been reported across many taxonomic groups, for example in gastropods, bivalves and isopods (Rex et al., 1993, 2000; Svavarsson, 1997; Svavarsson et al., 1993), amphipods (Dahl, 1979), nematodes (Lambshede et al., 2000), foraminiferans (Culver & Buzas, 2000) and ostracods (Jöst et al., 2019; Yasuhara, Hunt, et al., 2009; Yasuhara, Hunt, Dowsett, et al., 2012), resulting in a low regional benthic diversity. Many researchers attribute this low Norwegian Sea biodiversity to the establishment of the modern polar deep-sea ecosystem and biodiversity in general.

Results: The deep Norwegian Sea diversity was not lower during glacial periods compared to interglacial periods. Holocene diversity was exceedingly lower than that of the last glacial period. Faunal composition changed substantially between pre- and post-MBE.

Main conclusions: These results reject the glacial disturbance hypothesis, since the low glacial diversity is the important precondition here. The present-day-style deep Norwegian Sea ecosystem was established by the MBE, more specifically by MBE-induced changes in global climate, which has led to more dynamic post-MBE conditions. In a broader context, this implies that the MBE has played an important role in the establishment of the modern polar deep-sea ecosystem and biodiversity in general.
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involves large-scale changes in ice shelf development, sea ice volume, global ocean circulation and potentially marginal marine systems that are sensitive to changes in climate (Huang et al., 2018). From analyses of microfossils in deep-sea sediment cores, major faunal shifts and extinctions have recently been reported across the MBE in various places (Cronin et al., 2014, 2017; DeNinno et al., 2015; Hayward et al., 2007, 2012; Huang et al., 2018, 2019; Polyak et al., 2013; Zarikian et al., 2022). If “Quaternary glaciation” plays a role in the deep Norwegian Sea biodiversity, a shift in the mode of glacial-interglacial climatic variability may affect it to a certain degree.

The above-mentioned glacial disturbance hypothesis as reason for the low deep-sea benthic biodiversity in the present-day Norwegian Sea has never been rigorously tested. Here, we empirically test this hypothesis by using an alpha-scale diversity dataset of fossil ostracods in the sediment core MD992277 and published ostracod census data from two additional sediment cores (M23352 and PS1243) from the deep Norwegian Sea. Specific research questions are: (1) Was the benthic deep-sea biodiversity lower during glacial than during interglacial periods? (2) Was there any faunal shift at the MBE when the mode of glacial-interglacial climatic change was altered? Our paleobiological result shows that the actual long-term benthic deep-sea biodiversity trend in the Norwegian Sea was not consistent with the trend presumed by the glacial disturbance hypothesis, thus rejecting this hypothesis. Benthic deep-sea biodiversity in the glacial Norwegian Sea was not lower than that of interglacial periods, including the present day. Especially the Holocene diversity is much higher than that of the last glacial period, therefore, the present-day deep Norwegian Sea benthic diversity cannot be in the middle of its recovery process. This result highlights the importance of paleobiological data for empirically testing hypotheses to explain present-day diversity patterns that often involve speculations about historical processes.

2 METHODS
2.1 Coring location and sample treatment

Although the sediment of each core was sieved into varying size fractions, ultimately, all ostracod specimens were picked from sediment of >125μm, that is mesh size effect can be disregarded.

Piston core MD992277 (in the following referred to as just MD992277) was obtained with a Calypso Piston Corer onboard
the French R/V Marion-Dufresne in 1999 as part of the IMAGES program (02.08.1999; 5th cruise, leg 3: Reykjavik, Iceland to Tromsø, Norway; Labeyrie et al., 1999). The coring site was the western Norwegian Basin at the eastern slope of the Iceland Plateau, along the eastern flank of the Jan Mayen Ridge at 2800 m water depth (69°15′.01″ N, 06°19′.75″ W) (Figure 1; Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). A total length of 33.66 m of sediment core with a diameter of 12 cm was retrieved. The core was cut lengthwise, in half. Only one of the half-cores was sampled; the other one was archived. The sampling half-core was cut into 1-cm sediment samples, every 1, or alternating 2 and 3 cm, depending on core section. Roughly ½ of the half-core was sampled. The sediment volume was ~27 cm³ per each 1-cm sediment layer. This study investigated 1-cm thick sediment samples of a 7.11 m long core segment (10.45–17.56 m original core depth, equivalent to 620.7–361.6 ka; Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). A total of 432 samples was studied for ostracods (see raw census file supplied as S2). Each sample was split into 2 and 3 grain size fractions, respectively, depending on core section. The core section of this study contained 397 samples that were split into 3 grain size fractions: 125–250, 250–500, and >500 μm, and 35 samples that were split into 2 grain size fractions: 150–500 and >500 μm. Fractions were merged for ostracod census data to get complete samples of a specific age. Effectively, all sediment of >125 μm was picked for ostracods. Ostracod specimens were picked, sorted, and identified at the University of Hong Kong (HK SAR) and Hanyang University (ROK). Taxonomic identification was based mainly on Sylvester-Bradley (1973), Whatley and Coles (1987), Cronin (1989), Coles et al. (1994), Whatley et al. (1996, 1998), Stepanova et al. (2004), Wood (2005), Jellinek et al. (2006), Yasuhara, Okahashi, and Cronin (2009), Yasuhara et al. (2013), Yasuhara et al. (2014), Yasuhara and Okahashi (2014, 2015), Gemery et al. (2015).

Kasten core M23352-3 (in the following referred to as simply M23352) was obtained with a Kastenlot Corer onboard the German R/V Meteor in 1988 as part of the Meteor 7 expedition (M7; Hirschleber et al., 1988). The coring site was the western part of the southern Norwegian Basin at the eastern slope of the Iceland Plateau, along the northwestern flank of the Jan Mayen Ridge at a water depth of 1819 m (70°00′.4 N, 12°25′.8 W) (Figure 1; Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). The sampling half-core was cut into 1-cm sediment samples, every 1–3 cm, from the uppermost layer down to 350 cm, whereas the uppermost layers were spliced together with an additional trigger box core to ensure undisturbed top sediment layers (Didié & Bauch, 2002). Sediment samples were divided into grain size fractions of 125–250, 250–500, and >500 μm. Ostracods were picked, counted and identified from these separate size fractions, although the results were later merged for ostracod census data to get complete samples of a specific age. Effectively, all sediment of >125 μm was picked for ostracods. This study included 143 sediment samples of 1 cm thickness of a 3.48 m-long core segment (2–350 cm original core depth, equivalent to 194.5–4.2 ka), yielding a total of 26,138 ostracod valves (Figure 2; Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). Ostracod census data (S2) and the age model (Figure 2) are from Didié et al. (2002).

Gravity core PS1243(-1) was obtained with a Gravity Corer onboard the German R/V Polarstern in 1984 as part of the Arktis 2 program (ARK II/5) together with the trigger box core PS1243(-2) obtained with a Box Corer (Augustin et al., 1984). The coring site was the Iceland Sea at the eastern slope of the Iceland Plateau at 2710 m (PS1243(-1)) and at 2716 m (PS1243(-2)) water depths, respectively, along the eastern flank of the Jan Mayen Ridge (PS1243(-1) at 69°22′.3″ N, 06°32′.1″ W; PS1243(-2) at 69°22′.5″ N, 06°32′.4″ W) (Kandiano, 2003) (Figure 1; Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). In case of the main core PS1243(-1), fifty-five sediment samples of 1 cm thickness of a roughly 7.47 m-long core segment (8–755 cm original core depth, equivalent to 339.9–2.7 ka) treated with a sieve of >125 μm mesh size, yielding a total of 2853 ostracod valves, were included in this data set (Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). The core had a diameter of 10 cm and the sampling half-core was sampled every 1 cm throughout. For low-specimen-count samples within the uppermost 50 cm of sea floor sediment, additional sediment from the trigger box core was used to increase specimen counts to >10 valves. From this additional box core PS1243(-2), six sediment samples of 1 cm thickness of a 37 cm core segment (1.5–38.5 cm original core depth, equivalent to 10.9–1.4 ka), yielding a total of 119 ostracod valves, were included in this data set (Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). The box core had a size of 50 × 50 × 50 cm and was sampled every 2 cm, with additional sampling by 10 mL syringes for accumulation rate calculations (Bauch, Struck, & Thiede, 2001). Sediment samples were sieved into 63–125 and >125 μm size fractions for foraminiferal assemblages, whereas the smaller size fraction was not used for picking ostracods. Ostracod census data and the age model for composite core PS1243 (i.e. merged cores PS1243(-1) and (-2); in the following referred to as simply PS1243) are from Cronin et al. (2002) and Bauch, Struck, and Thiede (2001), respectively. All sediment of >125 μm was picked for ostracods. A total of 3072 ostracod valves from PS1243 were included in this study (S2).

### 2.2 | Chronology

Age models (i.e. age/depth relationships) and linear sedimentation rates of cores M23352 (from the northwestern flank of the Jan Mayen Ridge) and PS1243 and MD992277 (from the eastern flank of the Jan Mayen Ridge) are plotted in Figure 2.

#### 2.2.1 | For the core MD992277

The chronology applied was published in Helmke, Bauch, and Erlenkeuser (2003), Helmke, Bauch, and Mazaud (2003). Magnetic inclination, sediment density, lightness and carbonate content were used as sedimentary clocks. The adopted age scheme retrieved from these sedimentological parameters was calibrated and standardized.
by correlation to the benchmark $\delta^{18}$O chronology records of SPECMAP by linear interpolation between the points of correlation. Surface layers (uppermost 50 cm of sediment) were correlated with PS1243 due to core-related disturbance in sediment layers (Helmke, Bauch, & Mazaud, 2003). Well-documented characteristic trends in sediment density, lightness, carbonate content, foraminiferal fluxes, and the amount of coarse lithic fraction in respect to Pleistocene Nordic Sea sediments, were used to identify cold and warm marine isotope stages (MIS) (see Bauch & Kandiano, 2007; Elliot et al., 1998; Helmke, Bauch, & Mazaud, 2003). MIS boundaries were set according to Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). The core section used in this study was determined to span from the beginning of MIS 15 (620.7 ka) to the beginning of MIS 10 (361.6 ka) (see Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). Further details regarding core chronology are given in Appendix 2 in Online Supplement S1.

2.2.3 | For the core PS1243

The chronology applied was partially based on published records in Bauch (1997), Bauch and Helmke (1999), and Bauch et al. (2000). Planktic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}$O records were correlated to carbonate content to establish the downcore positions of MIS (Kandiano et al., 2016). Additionally, the reflectance of sediments was measured across glacial and interglacial sections of the core and compared to the results of other Norwegian Sea cores, including M23352 (Bauch & Helmke, 1999). The adopted age scheme was calibrated and standardized by correlation to the benchmark $\delta^{18}$O chronology records of SPECMAP (Bauch et al., 2000). The age/depth curve correlates well with the age/depth curve from the overlapping

genera with near-continuous time-record were used. Overall, the age model is based on the synchronization of planktic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}$O and the sediment lightness record to the standard SPECMAP chronology after applying a smooth filter with a 14-point least squares running average (Helmke & Bauch, 2003). This study includes M23352 sediments between 194.5–4.2 ka (Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). Further details regarding core chronology are given in Appendix 2 in Online Supplement S1.

2.2.2 | For the core M23352

The chronology applied was published in Bauch and Helmke (1999), Didié and Bauch (2002), and Helmke and Bauch (2003). Foraminiferal oxygen isotope analysis was based on planktic and benthic species, whereas for isotope analyses on ostracods, specimens of two
age sections of core M23352, signifying comparable sedimentation rates, and therefore supporting the comparability and compatibility of both cores (Figure 2). This study includes PS1243 sediments between 339.9–1.4 ka (Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). Further details regarding core chronology are given in Appendix 2 in Online Supplement S1.

### 2.3 Data analyses

MD992277 dataset consists of 15,293 ostracod specimens collected from 297 ostracod-bearing sediment samples spanning 620.7–361.6 ka (Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). PS1243 yielded 3072 ostracod specimens collected from 61 sediment samples corresponding to ~532.1–1.23 ka (Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1; census file, given as S2).

M23352 contained 26,019 ostracod specimens obtained from 143 sediment samples from between 194.5–4.2 ka (all post-MBE; Table 1; Figure 2; Appendix 1). Allochthonous taxa, that is deposited on site by down-slope transport or ice-rafting events (known shallow-marine species; see Table S2, given as Appendix 3 in Online Supplement S1 for MD992277 and M23352 data), as well as specimens without, at least, genus level identification were omitted from analyses.

To determine the general trend of abundance fluctuations over time, autochthonous ostracod specimen counts (i.e. raw counts) of the three cores were plotted along the total age scale of ~640–0 ka (Figure 3). Similarly, autochthonous ostracod diversity was plotted as function of age along the benthic δ18O paleoclimatic trend (Figure 4). Ostracod diversity was given as Hill number, a measure of the effective number of species in a hypothetical community (Chao et al., 2014). The Hill number (\( qD \)) is calculated based on the \( q \)th power sum of the relative species abundance; therefore, the \( q \) value determines its sensitivity to the relative species abundance. In this study, we employed the three most commonly adopted Hill numbers: \( q=0 \) (\( 2D \) or species richness), \( q=1 \) (\( D \) or Shannon diversity), and \( q=2 \) (\( D \) or Simpson diversity) to evaluate the species richness and diversity emphasis on abundant (i.e. \( 1D \)) and highly abundant (i.e. \( 2D \)) species. Alpha (Figure 5, upper panel), as well as gamma diversities (Figure 5, lower panel) were calculated, while we consider pooled diversity (MISs and pre-, during- and post-MBE) as a measure for a less biased diversity by abundance and sedimentation rate. Gamma diversity (i.e. pooled diversity of each bin, as opposed to average of each individual sample per, e.g. MIS) was used to investigate glacial versus interglacial and pre- versus post-MBE trends (Figure 5 and Figure S1, given as Appendix 4 in Online Supplement S1). To standardize the sampling efforts, we performed coverage-based rarefaction and extrapolation (sample coverage=85% for alpha diversity, and 99% for gamma diversity) using 1000 bootstrap resampling (Chao et al., 2020). Both the observed (i.e. unstandardized) and estimated Hill numbers (i.e. standardized to 85% sample coverage) are reported. As result, 11 samples (~2.2% of total) were removed before analysis due to low sample coverage (<85%). PERMANOVA (Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance) was performed

### Table 1  Comparison of relative abundances before and after the Mid-Brunhes Event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Before MBE (N = 219)</th>
<th>After MBE (N = 198)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative abundance (%)</td>
<td>Relative abundance (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allochthonous</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytheropteron</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>18.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucythere</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henryhowella</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krithe (all)</td>
<td>68.97</td>
<td>31.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krithe hundi</td>
<td>62.44</td>
<td>32.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krithe minima</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracytherois</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycop</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propontocypris</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocythere</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>10.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw count per sample</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total abundance</strong></td>
<td><strong>67</strong></td>
<td><strong>90</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Average relative abundance values of ostracod taxa and their standard deviations within the total pre-MBE and post-MBE assemblages of Norwegian Sea cores MD992277 (N = 213), M23352 (N = 143), and PS1243 (N = 61). Pre-MBE sample size is N = 219 (213 from MD992277; 6 from PS1243); post-MBE sample size is N = 198 (143 from M23352; 55 from PS1243). Taxis given in bold are subject to bias in taxonomic handling, that is absent in M23352 after the MBE, hence sample size for calculations is lower (N = 55).
to quantify comparisons of pre- and post-MBE diversity. Barren samples were removed prior to PERMANOVA computation. The 84 ostracod-bearing MBE samples (350–430 ka) were not included (Table S3, given as Appendix 5 in Online Supplement S1), as PERMANOVA works better for balanced designs (Anderson & Walsh, 2013) and our focus lies on the differences before and after the MBE, rather than on the MBE itself. PERMANOVA was computed with the R package “vegan” (Oksanen et al., 2018). The diversity calculations were computed with the R package “iNEXT” (Hsieh et al., 2020). Core localities were mapped with the software QGIS (version 3.16.8 Hannover; 1989, 1991, Free Software Foundation, Inc.). Continent shape files were acquired through open sources at https://www.igismap.com, country specific shape files through https://gadm.org/download_country_v3.html. Faunal diagrams were generated with the software SigmaPlot (version 10.0; 2006, Systat Software, Inc.) and edited with vector graphics software Inkscape (0.92.1 version 3; 2007, Free Software Foundation, Inc.). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) was used to understand the relationships among samples and taxonomic variables, generating a two-dimensional configuration of the faunal assemblages, while preserving their ranks of differences (Borcard et al., 2011; Legendre & Legendre, 2012). To reduce bias caused by the differences in numbers of samples available for the three cores, nMDS was run using uneven specimen cut-off thresholds, so that each core has roughly the same number of samples (i.e. around 50). For PS1243, a ≥ 20 specimen-cut-off...
was applied, resulting in 52 samples (9 low-count samples omitted); for M23352, $\alpha \geq 150$ specimen-cut-off was applied, resulting in 58 samples (85 low-count samples omitted); and for MD992277, $\alpha \geq 100$ specimen-cut-off was applied, resulting in 58 samples (374 low-count samples omitted). Omitted samples are indicated by open symbols in Figure 3. We applied Bray–Curtis dissimilarity on relative abundances of the allochthonous taxa and all 16 genera that are present in this subset for the nMDS. The analysis was done using the R package “vegan” (Oksanen et al., 2018).

3  |  RESULTS

3.1  |  Diversity

Diversity as calculated by Hill numbers ($0^D, 1^D, 2^D$) (Chao et al., 2014) shows substantial glacial–interglacial and shorter timescale variations (Figure 4). Generally, glacial alpha diversity tends to be higher than interglacial alpha diversity, especially in MISs 2–4, 10 and 14 (at least for $q=0$, i.e. rare species diversity).
Glacial gamma diversity also tends to be higher than interglacial gamma diversity, especially in MISs 2–4, and 14 (Figure 5, lower panel). Post-MBE diversity tends to be higher than pre-MBE diversity in alpha diversity, but it is the opposite in gamma diversity (Figure S1, given as Appendix 4 in Online Supplement S1).

### 3.2 | Relative and total abundance

Looking at the relative abundance data of ostracod taxa from the three cores, the following patterns are revealed:

Prior to the MBE, *Eucythere*, *Krithe* and *Paracytherois* show higher mean relative abundances when compared to their
post-MBE abundance rates, although the respective standard deviations are substantial (Table 1). On the contrary, Cytheropteron, Henryhowella and Propontocypris show lower mean relative abundances prior to the MBE (Table 1). Henryhowella shows a mean relative pre-MBE abundance of around 7.3% compared to a mean relative post-MBE abundance of nearly 32% (Table 1). Polycopae was mainly absent prior to the MBE, except for a few sudden spikes in abundance during MIS 15, in which it is a very abundant taxon within the ostracod assemblage, and often even the sole dominant taxon (Figure 6). After the MBE, Polycopae occurred commonly from MIS 5 onwards (Figure 6). Krithe dominates assemblages before the MBE and up until around 260 ka (Figure 6). From MIS 7 onwards, they remain a very abundant component of the ostracod assemblage, but with lower relative abundance values. Although Krithe in general appears very abundant within the assemblages before the MBE, there are species-specific differences. Whereas Krithe hunti shows a mean relative abundance of above 60% before the MBE, its post-MBE mean relative abundance reaches only roughly 16% (Table 1). Figure 7 illustrates this drop, which occurred at around 260 ka onwards. Before 260 ka, Krithe hunti appears frequently with a relative abundance of up to 100% of the total species assemblages. After 260 ka however, it decreases to less than 40% of relative abundance, occurring primarily during glacials (Figure 7). Krithe minima, on the other hand, is extremely rare before the MBE, with a mean relative abundance of around 0.8% as opposed to nearly 19% after the MBE (Table 1). In the present-day Nordic seas, K. minima is a fairly common inhabitant (Figure 7). Total abundance is lower prior to the MBE with an average raw count per sample of 67, as opposed to 143 after the MBE (Table 1). The above-mentioned faunal compositional changes are well reflected in the nMDS plot (Figure 8), showing a clear separation of pre- and post-MBE assemblages (with some overlaps though) (PERMANOVA: F = 44.61,
p < 0.001). Henryhowella has the highest value on the negative end of nMDS2, characterizing post-MBE samples (Figure 8). Several uncommon genera that exclusively occur in pre-MBE samples, such as Rosaliella and Thaerocythere, have the highest positive values of nMDS2. Pseudocythere and Polycopae have the highest values on the positive end of nMDS1, characterizing some samples of the two, more closely located sites, PS1243 and MD992277.

3.3 Diversity dependence on abundance, and diversity and abundance dependencies on sedimentation rate

Abundance versus diversity cross plots revealed that, compared to raw diversity measures, estimated Hill numbers substantially reduce the abundance dependency of diversity (see $R^2$ values on Figure S2, given as Appendix 6 in Online Supplement S1). We also contrasted sedimentation rate with diversity to illuminate potential temporal grain bias affecting the time averaging. The respective plot revealed that there is no significant relationship between diversity and sedimentation rate (see p-values on bottom panel of Figure S2, given as Appendix 6 in Online Supplement S1).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Has the deep Norwegian Sea diversity been suppressed by quaternary glaciation?

Our results show that deep Norwegian Sea diversity was not suppressed by Quaternary glaciation, with post-MBE glacial diversity tending to be notably higher than that of interglacial periods
Table differences could be species-specific sensitivities to changes in the coexistence of relatively many dominant species, as it is known that deep-sea diversity actually increased during periods of this study. Estimated Hill numbers substantially reduce the abundance dependency of diversity compared to raw diversity measures (i.e. observed Hill numbers), and there is no statistical relationship between estimated Hill numbers and averaged sedimentation rates that is higher diversities are not caused merely by lower sedimentation rates (i.e. higher time averaging) (Figure 5, given as Appendix 6 in Online Supplement S1).

Our results, based on alpha and gamma diversities, are reasonably comparable to deep-sea biological studies reporting low Norwegian Sea diversity (Culver & Buzas, 2000; Dahl, 1979; Jöst et al., 2019; Lambishead et al., 2000; Rex et al., 1993, 2000; Svavarsson, 1997; Svavarsson et al., 1993; Yasuhara, 2009; Yasuhara, Hunt, et al., 2009; Yasuhara, Hunt, Cronin, et al., 2012). Indeed, glacial environments in the deep Norwegian Sea are characterized by lower surface productivity that positively affected deep-sea diversity (Didié et al., 2002). Since it is known that diversity and surface productivity (the main food source of deep-sea benthos as particulate organic carbon flux) have a unimodal relationship (Tittensor et al., 2011) and the Norwegian Sea productivity is high (Lutz et al., 2007), it is reasonable that we see a negative relationship between diversity and productivity, as it represents the descending rim of this unimodal relationship with increasing dominance of few opportunistic species. The glacial Norwegian Sea was covered by sea ice, but only seasonally (Pflaum et al., 2003). This ice cover probably decreased surface productivity, as mentioned above. The seasonal nature of sea ice caused the deposition of ice-rafted debris (IRD), lithic grains of terrestrial origin brought in by drift-ice when the ice melted (Bond et al., 1997, 2001; Heinrich, 1988). But it is known that deep-sea diversity actually increased during periods.

(Figures 4 and 5). Especially for MIS 2–4, alpha (particularly in respect to rare species; q = 0) and gamma diversities are high (Figure 5). Therefore, the fundamental precondition of the glacial disturbance hypothesis is not supported.

The high MIS 2–4 diversities may be caused by the comparably high intermediate water temperature at that time (Cronin et al., 2017). Indeed, MIS 6 was considerably cooler and shows lower diversities according to our data (Figures 4 and 5). On the other hand, diversities during MIS 10 and 14, respectively, are high, despite the lack of high temperature indications (Cronin et al., 2017), which could point to other mechanisms operating diversity changes. Our data show that during MIS 2–4, the diversity of rare species (q = 0) is high, while during MIS 10 and 14, respectively, the diversity of dominant species (q = 2) is high (especially for MIS 14, it is higher than during MIS 2–4) (Figure 5). A possible explanation for these notable differences could be species-specific sensitivities to changes in surface production. Low or changing surface production may allow the coexistence of relatively many dominant species, as it is known that high productivity often leads to the dominance by only few opportunistic species (as seen in the case of eutrophication; Yasuhara, Hunt, Breitburg, et al., 2012). Changes in abundance and sedimentation rate should not have significantly distorted the general results of this study. Estimated Hill numbers substantially reduce the abundance dependency of diversity compared to raw diversity measures (i.e. observed Hill numbers), and there is no statistical relationship

![Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot of the faunal assemblages. PS1243 samples are indicated by dots, M23352 samples by triangles, and MD992277 samples by plus-signs. Pre-MBE samples (older than 430ka) are indicated by grey symbols; MBE samples (between 430 and 350ka) are indicated by blue symbols; post-MBE samples (younger than 350ka) are indicated by red symbols. Uneven cut-off thresholds were applied to have a similar number of samples from each core: at least 20 specimens for PS1243 (number of samples N = 52), 150 for M23352 (number of samples N = 58), 100 for MD992277 (number of samples N = 58). The stress value of ~0.1 indicates that the preservation of multivariate distance in the nMDS configuration is within good to acceptable range, quantitatively supporting the observed compositional changes.](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/geb.13844)
of intensive IRD deposition, a phenomenon known as Heinrich events (Heinrich, 1988), probably because (1) surface productivity was lower during these events and/or (2) the soft sediment habitat was disturbed or habitat heterogeneity was increased by the IRD deposition (Yasuhara & Cronin, 2008). Similarly, ostracod abundance also does not show a clear trend of low glacial values (Figure 3). However, benthic foraminifera reported to show lower glacial abundance in the deep Norwegian Sea (Struck, 1995). This probably indicates the well-known sensitivity of benthic foraminifera to food supply (Gooday, 2003; Herguera, 2000; Nees et al., 1999; Rasmussen et al., 2003; Yasuhara, Hunt, Cronin et al., 2012). In addition, recent paleoceanographic studies indicate that, in the subpolar North Atlantic and Arctic, glacial and stadial deep-sea (especially intermediate seawater) temperature was warmer than during interglacial and interstadial periods (Cronin et al., 2012; Marcott et al., 2011; Rasmussen & Thomsen, 2004; Yasuhara et al., 2019; Yasuhara, Okahashi, et al., 2014). In sum, our result and also results from other paleoecological and paleoceanographic studies, consistently show that the glacial deep Norwegian Sea environment was not particularly harsh for deep-sea benthos and their biodiversity.

Rather, the present-day-style deep Norwegian Sea ecosystem was established by an MBE-induced shift in climate. The direct trigger of the MBE faunal shift and changes in pre-MBE and post-MBE diversity is difficult to explain, and probably complex. There are several possible reasons, first, the exchange between the North Atlantic proper waters and the Nordic Seas: Stronger post-MBE glacial have led to a much lower sea level after the MBE, which might have caused a stronger isolation of the Nordic-Arctic region. This may also explain the pre-MBE presence of Atlantic taxa and their post-MBE disappearance (DeNinno et al., 2015). A second reason could be the generally much warmer intermediate water temperature in the region (except Deglacial-Holocene; see Cronin et al., 2017). As the temperature-diversity relationship is significantly positive in ostracods (at least in lower-temperature geographic ranges; see Jöst et al., 2019), warmer post-MBE intermediate water temperatures could be another reason for higher post-MBE diversity, although the gamma diversity is lower in the post-MBE period (Figure S1, given as Appendix 4 in Online Supplement S1). A third possible reason is changes in sea ice. The pre- and post-MBE sea ice regimes were different, with the pre-MBE Arctic region having experienced ice-free conditions more frequently (Cronin et al., 2017). This might have affected surface productivity and POC flux, resulting in the observed ostracod faunal composition. Overall however, more data are needed to reach a better, more comprehensive conclusion.

4.2 Did the MBE play a role in establishing the deep Norwegian Sea ecosystem, including faunal composition and biodiversity?

While we did not see a consistent difference between pre- and post-MBE diversities in alpha and gamma diversities (Figure S1, given as Appendix 4 in Online Supplement S1), we found a substantial faunal shift across the MBE (Figure 6). For example, Henryhowella, abundant during and after the MBE, is primarily absent in pre-MBE samples (Figure 6). Propontocypris shows a similar trend, being more common in post-MBE samples (Figure 6). In contrast, Eucythere and Paracythereis are abundant in many pre-MBE samples, but are very rare in post-MBE samples (Figure 6). Krithe is dominant almost throughout the record, but comparatively more abundant in pre-MBE samples than in post-MBE ones. Since Eucythere is known as an indicator of seasonal surface production (Didié et al., 2002), and Henryhowella and Krithe are probably sensitive and tolerant to low oxygen conditions, respectively (Yasuhara & Cronin, 2008), we speculate that the MBE faunal shift in the Norwegian Sea is related to a shift in the surface productivity mode, and thus in food supply and the oxygen state in the deep sea, while further details remain elusive. Krithe dolichodeira, a species with a modern distribution limited to the North Atlantic proper (i.e. on the south side of Iceland) is absent in post-MBE samples, but abundant in MBE and pre-MBE samples (Figure 7). Krithe hanti and Krithe minima on the other hand, both extant species in the Norwegian Sea and the Arctic Ocean (Jöst et al., 2022; Yasuhara, Grimm, et al., 2014), show contrasting abundance patterns. While Krithe hanti is more abundant in pre-MBE samples, Krithe minima tends to be more abundant in post-MBE samples (Figure 7). Generic faunal shifts and faunal differences across the MBE are clearly shown in the nMDS plot (Figure 8). A similar strong faunal shift is also known from the high Arctic (Cronin et al., 2017; DeNinno et al., 2015). Acetabulastoma and Polycopyle are abundant after the MBE but very rare before the MBE (Cronin et al., 2017). Several taxa, such as Echinocythereis, Arcacythere, and several species of Krithe (including Krithe dolichodeira), are absent in the present-day and post-MBE Arctic, but they were abundant before the MBE (DeNinno et al., 2015). While parts of the faunal shift are different between the Norwegian Sea and Arctic Ocean (e.g. Polycopyle does not show a clear trend in the Norwegian Sea; Henryhowella shows opposite pattern between the Norwegian Sea and Arctic Ocean) (Figure 6), this largely consistent faunal shift indicates that the MBE played an important role in the establishment of the present-day polar deep-sea ecosystem by initiation of compositional changes with possible consecutive impacts on biodiversity. Ecological causes of the very low, present-day Norwegian Sea diversity were tested in a previous macroecological study, resulting in the conclusion that the barrier effect caused by the Greenland-Iceland-Faeroe Ridge (i.e. physical and hydrochemical barrier through strong temperature and productivity gradients along the ridge) in combination with the present-day very low water temperature, are responsible for the Nordic Seas’ low diversity in, especially meiobenthos with limited dispersal abilities (Jöst et al., 2019). But it is still elusive, why such diversity decline has occurred in the Holocene, but not in the many pre-Holocene interglacials.

4.3 Limitations of study

4.3.1 Taxonomic bias

Our study is based on a combined data set of alpha diversities from three coring sites of different locations and depths, obtained...
by three types of corers. These heterogeneities in sampling, as well as taxonomic bias based on species identification by several, individual taxonomists, could potentially account for some of the observed differences in diversity and composition, unrelated to ecological causes. However, all three cores generally show a solid data comparability by examining published scanning electron microscope (SEM) images from the cores M23352 and PS1243 (Cronin et al., 2002; Didié et al., 2002; Didié & Bauch, 2002). Generally, faunal compositions of both cores are very similar, especially on genus level. Both show a high presence of Krithe and Cytheropteron specimens, and other major taxa (Henryrowella, Polycopoe, Eucythere, Propontocypris) are present in both cores (Table S4, given as Appendix 7 in Online Supplement S1). The record of M23352 lists specimens of Swainocythere, Nanocythere and Microcythere?, all of which do not occur in the PS1243 record (Table S4, given as Appendix 7 in Online Supplement S1). This could indicate ecological differences at the two coring locations, although it appears more likely that these differences reflect sample size effect and varying completeness of raw data. Sample effort is likely the cause for the appearance of additional rare taxa in M23352 that are lacking in PS1243, as more samples were picked (143 vs. 61), covering a shorter time frame (190.3 kysrs vs 530.7 kysrs) (Table S1, given as Appendix 1 in Online Supplement S1). Therefore, to further reduce taxonomic bias, while keeping full insight into our interpretative basis and avoiding statistical misinterpretation, for nMDS, we deliberately used genus-level counts only. Genus-level taxonomy was confirmed prior to analyses based on the SEMs provided in the respective publications, hence taxonomic identification on genus level is considered unaffected by taxonomic bias. In respect to census data, for PS1243 and M23352, we do not have any record of ostracod-barren samples, whereas for our own core, MD992277, we do have that record. This discrepancy only plays a role when plotting abundance over time, as the plots lacking barren samples appear smoother (see Figures 6 and 7).

4.3.2 | Discontinuous time record

Given the very limited amount of data immediately following the MBE (basically no data in MIS 9, 8, 7, except for a single PS1243 sample during MIS 8), there is the possibility that some event during this period, other than the MBE, could have had some influence on the observed faunal shift. However, faunal turnovers of microfossil communities recorded by deep-sea sediment long-cores from the Arctic Ocean have shown to be driven by fundamental shifts in sea-ice cover variability, surface primary production, and Arctic Ocean temperature as consequences of MBE-enhanced Arctic Amplification (i.e. amplified warming in Arctic regions relative to the global mean temperature) (Cronin et al., 2017; DeNinno et al., 2015). Therefore, it appears plausible, that our faunal turnover in the Norwegian Sea, which is adjacent to the Arctic Ocean, similarly, was set off during and because of the MBE, and was not caused by a later event for which we lack data. Further limitations are described in detail in Appendix.

5 | CONCLUSION

Our fossil study enabled the testing of the deep-sea glacial disturbance hypothesis as a cause of the low, present-day Norwegian Sea diversity and the resulting steep latitudinal diversity gradient. We found that Norwegian Sea deep-sea diversity based on ostracod fossils was higher during the last glacial than during the present interglacial. Hence, our findings did not support the deep-sea glacial disturbance hypothesis. Instead, the present-day deep Norwegian Sea ecosystem was likely established by the Mid-Brunhes Event, and the major faunal shifts and, perhaps, extinctions, resulting from this Pleistocene climate transition. In a broader context, we may conclude that the MBE has played an important role in the establishment of the present-day-style polar deep-sea ecosystem and biodiversity in general.
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